Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

ON A MAZURKIEWICZ THEOREM

VLADIMIR T. TODOROV

In the first part for each metric space X an example of zero-dimensional subset M of X is constructed, such that $X \setminus M$ does not contain an arc. In the second part the structure is studied of the sets of type $I^n \setminus M$, where I = [-1,1] and M is a subset of I^n with dimension $k \le n-2$. It is shown that every two points of $I^n \setminus M$ are connected in $I^n \setminus M$ by a strengthened Cantor (n-k-1)-dimensional manifold in the sense of Alexandroff.

A classical theorem of Mazurkiewicz states, that if M is a subset of n-dimensional cube $I^n = [-1, 1]^n$, such that $\dim M \le n-2$, then the set $I^n \setminus M$ is a semicontinuum (see [4]). This result is completed by an example due to V_i tush kin [3] of such an 0-dimensional set $M \subset I^3$, that for every two points $p_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i)$; i=1, 2 of $I^3 \setminus M$ with $z_1 \neq z_2$, there is not an arc, containing p_1 and p_2 and lying in $I^3 \setminus M$ (an arc is a set, which is homeomorphic to a closed interval).

Section I of this paper is a generalization of Vitushkin's example (see theo-

rem 1). There, a 0-dimensionalsu bset M of the Hilbert cube I^{\aleph_0} is constructed, such that $I^{\aleph_0} \setminus M$ does not contain arcs. We shall note, that if M is a F_o -subset of

 I^n and dim $M \le n-2$ that $I^n \setminus M$ is a linearly connected (see [7]).

Section III is a reinforcement of the result of Hadjiivanov [6]. It is shown, that if $M \subset I^n$ and $\dim M \leq k$, where $k \leq n-2$ then any two points of $I^n \setminus M$ can be connected with a (V_{n-k-1}) -continuum (see Definition 2.), which lies in $I^n \setminus M$.

1. We shall use the following theorem of Bing [2, Theorem 3].

If F and H are two disjoint continua in I^{\aleph_0} , there is a hereditarily indecomposable continuum which has exactly two complementary domains and which is irreducible with respect to separating F from H.

The main result of this section is

Theorem 1. There exists a zero-dimensional subset M of I^{\aleph_0} , the complement $I^{\aleph_0} \setminus M$ of which does not contain an arc.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{U_i \mid i=1, 2, ...\}$ be a countable base of I^{\aleph_0} consisting of open balls. We shall say that the pair $\gamma = (U_i, U_j)$, where U_i and U_j belong to \mathcal{B} is normal, if $[U_i] \subset U_j$ and $I^{\aleph_0} \setminus U_j$ is connected. Denote with \mathcal{A} the set of all normal pairs. Apparently $|\mathcal{A}| = \aleph_0$. From the theorem of Bing it follows, that for every $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$, $\gamma = (U_i, U_j)$, there exists a hereditarily indecomposable continuum K_{γ} , which separates $I^{\aleph_0} \setminus U_j$ from U_i . Let $I^{\aleph_0} \setminus K_{\gamma} = V_{\gamma} \cup W_{\gamma}$ SERDICA Bulgaricae mathematicae publicationes. Vol. 6, 1980, p. 240—244.

and $[U_i] \subset V_r$. We shall show that the set $\{V_r \mid r \in A\}$ is a base of I^{\aleph_0} . Let Gbe an open set of $I^{\otimes 0}$ and $x \in G$. Since $\mathscr B$ is a base of $I^{\otimes 0}$, there is a $U_j \in \mathscr B$, such that $x \in U_j \subset G$. Let O be an open set, for which $x \in O \subset [O] \subset U_j$. We may choose a member U_i of $\mathscr B$ such that $x \in U_j \subset O$. It is clear that the pair γ $=(U_i, U_j)$ is normal. But $x \in U_i \subset V_j \subset I^{\aleph_0} \setminus W_j \subset U_j \subset G$ and therefore $\{V_j | \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a base of I^{\aleph_0} . Hence, for the set $M = I^{\aleph_0} \cup \{K_r \mid r \in \mathcal{A}\}$ it is true, that ind M=0 because $F \subset V_y \subset K_y$ and, therefore, dim M=0. Suppose that $I^{K_0} \setminus M$ contains the arc Γ , and let $\varphi:[0, 1] \to \Gamma \subset I^{\aleph_0}$ M is a homeomorphism. Then $[0, 1] \subset \cup \{\varphi^{-1}(K_{\gamma} \cap \Gamma) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and from the inequality $\mathcal{A} \mid \leq \aleph_0$ and the theorem of Baire, it follows that there exists a member γ_0 of \mathcal{A} for which Int $(\varphi^{-1}(K_{\gamma_0} \cap \Gamma)) \neq \Phi$. Let, for example, $[a, b] \subset \varphi^{-1}(K_{\gamma_0} \cap \Gamma)$. Then $\varphi([ab]) \subset K_{\gamma_0}$, which is a contradiction to the fact that K_{γ_0} is hereditarily indecomposed by posable.

It is easy to check, that from Theorem I follows

Corollary 1. Every metric space X contains a zero-dimensional subset M_X , the complement $X \setminus M_X$ of which does not contain an arc.

Proof. Let $\varphi: X \to I^{\aleph_0}$ be a uniformly zero-dimensional map form X to f^{N_0} (such a map exists; see for example [5]). Then if $M_X = f^{-1}(M)$ we obtain that dim M_X =dim M=0. If $\Gamma \subset X \setminus M_X$ is an arc then dim $f(\Gamma)$ =1 and therefore $f(\Gamma)$ is not a point. From the least it follows, that $f(\Gamma)$ contains an arc, but it is impossible.

2. In that section we recall some definitions and facts which are necessary in the sequel.

Further on, by space we understand a compact metric space, which is

contained in the m-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^m .

Let X be as above. By an n-dimensional chain lying in X over an abelian group $\mathfrak A$ we understand the linear form $\kappa = a_1 a_1 + \ldots + a_k a_k$, where the coefficients a_1, \ldots, a_k belong to $\mathfrak A$, and a_1, \ldots, a_k are n-dimensional oriented simplexes in X, i. e. systems of n+1 points (vertices) of X, given in a definite order modulo an even permutations. If the diameter of the vertices of the simplex σ is less than ε , then σ is said to be an ε -simplex. If each simplex of the chain \varkappa is an ε -simplex, then \varkappa is said to be an ε -chain. The boundary $\partial \varkappa$ of \varkappa is defined as usual. Two ε -chains \varkappa_1 and \varkappa_2 in X are δ -homologous in X if there exists a δ -chain \varkappa in X such that $\partial \varkappa = \varkappa_1 - \varkappa_2$ (notation: $\varkappa_1_{\widetilde{\delta}} \varkappa_2$ in X). As usually if for an ε -chain \varkappa , we have $\partial \varkappa = 0$ (respectively, if the carrier of ∂x is $\Phi \subseteq X$, i. e. all vertices of ∂x are elements of Φ), then κ is called $\varepsilon = \text{cycle}$ in X (resp. ε -cycle in X rel Φ). By an n-dimensional true chain in X we understand the sequence $\varkappa = \{\varkappa_i\}$ of n-dimensional ε_i -chains \varkappa_i such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \varepsilon_i = 0$. If $\varkappa = \{\varkappa_i\}$ and $\varkappa' = \{\varkappa_i'\}$ are two ture chains, then we define $\varkappa \pm \varkappa' = \{\varkappa_i \pm \varkappa_i'\}$ and $\partial \varkappa = \{\partial \varkappa_i\}$. If, for some closed $\Phi \subset X$, Φ is a carrier of every \varkappa_i , then Φ is called a carrier of \varkappa . The true chain $\varkappa = \{\varkappa_i\}$ is said to be a true cycle relative to Φ , if Φ is the carrier of $\partial_{\varkappa}(\Phi)$ may be empty). The true cycle $z=\{z_i\}$ is a convergent true cycle if the true cycle $\{z_i-z_{i+1}\}$ is homologous to zero in X.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. By an *n*-dimensional polyhedral chain x, lying in $U = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus X$ (or in $U=B^m \setminus X$, where B^m is an open cube in \mathbb{R}^m for which $X \subset [B^m]$) we understand a chain over a group of all integers, the polyhedron of which lies in U and contains x.

In the sequel by a cycle lying in the compactum X (rel Φ) we understand a convergent true cycle over the group of the rational numbers. For

the proof of the following results see, for example [1].

Let $X = X' \cup X''$ be a sum of two closed subsets X' and X'' and z^n be a convergent true cycle in X. Denote by z_1^n the part of z^n which lies in $X'(z_1^n \text{ consists of all simplecses of } z^n$, everyone of which contains a vertice, belonging to X') and let $z^{n-1} = \partial z_1^n$.

Lemma 1 [1, p. 208]. If $z^n \sim 0$ in $X = X' \cup X''$ then $z^{n-1} \sim 0$ in X_0 $= X' \cap X''$.

Definition 1. Let X be a metric space. The n-dimensional diameter $\alpha^n X$ of X is the infimum of all $\delta > 0$, such that there exists a continuous δ -map from X in an n-dimensional polyhedron.

Definition 2. The compact metric space X is called a (V_n) -continuum if dim X=n and for every two disjoint closed subsets F and G of X, such

that Int $F \neq \emptyset$ and Int $G \neq \emptyset$, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$, such that for every partition C in X between F and G we have $\alpha^{n-2}C \ge \varepsilon$.

A compactum $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a cycle z^k , lying in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus X$ (or in $B^n \setminus X$) are said (as usually) to be linked if z^k is not homologous to zero in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus X$ (in $B^n \setminus X$). If X is the minimal in the sense of Zorn compactum with this condition, then X and z^k are said to be irreducibly linked.

Lemma 2 [1, p. 241]. Every k-dimensional compactum $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which is irreducibly linked with certain cycle z^p (p=n-k-1) in certain (topological) ball, is a (V_p) -continuum.

3. Denote with $I_{\pm i}^n$ the opposite faces $\{x \in I^n \mid x_i = \pm 1\}$; $i = 1, \ldots, n$ of the *n*-dimensional cube I^n .

Take some number $a \in (0, 1)$ and let $i, j' \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$; $i \neq j'$. Denote by $\eta^{i}_{\pm j}$ the map $\eta^{i}_{\pm j} : I^{n} \to I^{n}$ satisfied the following conditions:

(i) the restriction of η_{+j}^i on the set $I^n \setminus I_{+j}^n$ is a homeomorphism and $\begin{array}{l} \eta_{\pm j}^{i}\left(I_{\pm j}^{n}\right) = I_{\pm j}^{n}, \\ (ii) \ \ \text{for} \ \ H = \{x \in I_{\pm j}^{n} | \ |x_{i}| \leq a\}, \quad \eta_{\pm j}^{i}(H) = \{x \in I_{\pm j}^{n} | \ x_{i} = 0\}. \end{array}$

(iii)
$$\eta_{+i}^i(x) = x$$
 if $\varrho(x, I_{+i}^n) \ge a$.

It is easy to check that such a map exists; get for example

$$\eta_{+i}^{i}(x) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, \psi(\delta_{\pm i}(x), x_i), x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n),$$

where $\delta_{\pm j}(x) = \varrho(x, I_{+j}^n); \ \psi(\delta, t) : [0, 1] \times I \to I$ is a function defined by

$$\psi(\delta, t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(\delta, t) & \text{for } 0 \leq \delta \leq a \\ t & \text{for } a < \delta \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\varphi:[0, 1] \times I \rightarrow I$ is obtained by the formula

$$\varphi(\delta, t) = \begin{cases} t \, \delta/a & \text{for } 0 \le |t| \le a \\ |t(1-\delta) + \operatorname{sign} t \cdot (\delta - a)|/(1-a) & \text{for } a < |t| \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Consider the space \mathbb{R}^k as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n (n > k)$; $\mathbb{R}^k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_{$ $= \dots = x_n = 0$. Denote by z^{k-1} the (k-1)-dimensional cycle, the carrier of which is the (k-1)-dimensional sphere $S^{k-1} = \mathbb{R}^k \cap I^n$. Let for $i=1, 2, \ldots, k$ C_i be a partition in I^n between I^n_{+i} and I^n_{-i} and $C = \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i$.

Lemma 3. z^{k-1} is not homologous to zero in $I^n \setminus C$.

Proof. Let $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \min \left(\left\{ \varrho \left(C_i, I_{+i}^n \cup I_{-i}^n \right) \middle| i = 1, \dots, k \right\} \cup \left\{ \varrho \left(C, \bigcup_{i=1}^k \left(I_{+i}^n \cup I_{-i}^n \right) \right) \right\} \right)$ and $a = 1 - \delta$. For the number a we may choose the mappings $\eta_{\pm j}^l$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, 2, \dots, k\} \cup \{ e \left(C, \bigcup_{i=1}^k \left(I_{+i}^n \cup I_{-i}^n \right) \right) \right\} \right)$..., k} in such a way that the conditions (i) — (iii) hold. Denote $\eta_i = \eta_{-i}^i \circ \eta_{+i}^i$ and $h_i = \eta_1^i \circ \ldots \circ \eta_k^i$. It is easy to check that $C_i' = h_i(C_i)$ is a partition between I_{+i}^{n} and I_{-i}^{n} ; $h_{i}(x)=x$ if $\varrho(x, T)\geq a$, where $T=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}(I_{+i}^{n}\cup I_{-i}^{n})$ and the restriction of h_i the set $I^n \setminus T$ is a homeomorphism. Therefore $\bigcap_{i=1}^k C_i' = \bigcap_{i=1}^k C_i = C$. Suppose that, $z^{k-1} \sim 0$ in $I^n \setminus C$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $z^{k-1} \sim 0$ in $Φ = I^n \setminus \{x \in I^n \mid \varrho(x, C) < ε\}$. We may assume that z^{k-1} is a convergent true cycle in Φ with carrier $S^{k-1} \subset Φ$. Because C_k is a partition in I^n , then C_k is a partition in Φ and $Φ = Φ' \cup Φ''$; $Φ' \cap Φ'' = C_k' \cap Φ = Φ_1$. Let x^{k-1} be the part of z^{k-1} which lies in Φ'. Denote $∂x^{k-1} = z^{k-2}$. It is easy to check that the carrier of z^{k-2} is the set $S^{k-1} \cap C_k$. According to lemma 1. $z^{k-2} \sim 0$ in Φ_1 . By induction we obtain for $1 \le i < k$, the cycle z^{k-i-1} , lying in $\Phi_{i-1} = \bigcap_{i=k-l+1}^{k} C_i' \cap \Phi$ and such that $z^{k-i-1} \sim 0$ in Φ_{i-1} . The refore, the cycle z^0 is homologous to zero in $\Phi_{k-1} = \bigcap_{i=2}^{k} C_i' \cap \Phi$ and the carrier of z^0 is the set set $\Phi_{k-1} \cap S^{k-1} = \bigcap_{i=2}^{k} C_i' \cap S^{k-1} = \bigcap_{i=2$ $(1,0,\ldots,0)$ and $(-1,0,\ldots,0)$ (because $C = \bigcap_{i=2}^{k} C_{i}' \cap C_{1}'$ is partition between $(1,0,\ldots,0)$..., 0) and $(-1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ in Φ_{k-1} , and therefore z^0 is not homologous to zero in Φ_{k-1} , which is a contradiction.

Theorem 2. Let $M \subset I^n$ and $\dim M \leq k$, where $k \leq n-2$. For every two different points p_+ and p_- of $I^n \setminus M$ there exists a (V_{n-k-1}) -continuum K, such that $\{p_+, p_-\} \subset K \subset I^n \setminus M$.

Proof. Denote with p_{+i} and p_{-i} the coordinates of points p_+ and p_- , respectively. Since $p_+ \neq p_-$ then there is such an integer i_0 for which $p_{+i_0} \neq p_{-i_0}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $i_0 = n$. Denote $\xi = (p_{+n} + p_{-n})/2$ and let P be an (n-1)-dimensional hyperplane $K = \{x \in I^n \mid x_n = \xi\}$ in I^n . Let Qbe the sum of two connes over K with vertices p_+ and p_- , respectively. Consider the map $\varphi: I^n \to Q$, defined by the formula

$$\varphi(x) = |x_n| p_{\epsilon} + (1 - |x_n|) (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \xi),$$

where $\varepsilon = \text{sig } nx_n$. The restriction of φ on the set $I^n \setminus (I_{+n}^n \cup I_{-n}^n)$ is a homeomorphism and $\varphi(I_{+n}^n) = p_{\pm}$. Then the set $M_1 = \varphi^{-1}(Q \cap M)$ is homeomorphic to $Q \cap M$ and, therefore, dim $M_1 \le k$. It should be noted that we consider two different copies of the n-dimensional cube I^n , which are contained in the diagram $\varphi: I^n \to Q \subset I^n$.

Since dim $M_1 \le k$ there are partitions C_i between I_{+i}^n and I_{-i}^n for i=1, 2, ..., k+1 and such that $M_1 \cap C = \varphi$; $C = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} C_i$. It follows from lemma 3 that the cycle z^k with carrier $S^k = \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \cap FrI^n$ is not homologous lemma to zero in $I^n \setminus C$. Since the restriction of φ on the set $I^n \setminus (I_{+n}^n \cup I_{-n}^n)$ is a homeomorphism, the relation $\varphi(z^k) = z_1^k + 0$ in $Q \setminus \varphi(C)$ holds. Let $K \subset \varphi(C)$ be a compactum which is irreducibly linked with z_1^k in Q (by means of Zorn's lemma it is easy to check that such a compactum exists; see also [1, p. 210]). According to lemma 2 K is a (V_{n-k-1}) -continuum.

Let us show that $\{p_+, p_-\} \subset K$. Suppose the contrary and let, for instance, $p_+ \notin K$. Take the conne L over $|z_1^k| = S^{k-1}$ with vertice p_+ . It is clear that $L \cap K = \emptyset$. Since L is homeomorphic to I^{k+1} , we have $z_1^k \sim 0$ in L and therefore $z_1^k \sim 0$ in

 $Q \setminus K$, which is a contradiction,

Since every (V_n) -continuum is an n-dimensional Cantor manifold, the above theorem gives the following result, belonging to Hadjiivanov [6]: Corollary 2. Let $M \subset I^n$ and dim $M \le k \le n-2$. Then for every two points

p and q of I^n/M there is an (n-k-1)-dimensional Cantor manifold K, such that $\{p, q\} \subset K \subset I^n \setminus M$.

The author thanks N. Hadjiivanov for his encouragement and suggestions and R. Duda for his remark concerning the final part of the proof of theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- 1. П. С. Александров. Введение в гомологическую теорию размерностей. Москва, 1975.
- R. H. Bing. Higher dimensional hereditarily indecomposable continua. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 71, 1951, 297—273.
- 3. А. Г. Витушкин. Замечания к данному К. Ситниковым решению одной задачи Урысона. Доклады АН СССР, 100, 1955, 5—8.
 4. S. Mazurkiewicz. Sur les ensembles de dimension faible. Fund. Math., 13: 1929,
- 210 217.
- 5. М. Катетов. О размерности несепарабельных пространств. Чехослов. мат. ж., 2, 1952, 333-368.
- 6. Н. Г. Хаджииванов. О канторовых многообразиях. Доклады Б 4Н, 31, 1978, 941 — 944.
- 7. Н. Г. Хаджииванов, А. Л. Хамамджиев. Один метод П. С. Урысана для доказательства неразрезания F_a -подмножеством. Сердика 3, 1977, 130—135.

Centre for Mathematics and Mechanics

Received 13. 3. 1979

1090 Sofia

P.O.Box 373