Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

ON THE BOCHNER CURVATURE TENSOR IN AN ALMOST HERMITIAN MANIFOLD

OGNIAN T. KASSABOV

We prove a classification theorem for RK-manifolds with linear dependence between invariants of an antiholomorphic plane in the tangent space. As a consequence we find a characteristic condition for an RK-manifold to be of pointwise constant antiholomorphic sectional curvature.

1. Introduction. Let M be a 2n-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold, $n \ge 3$, with metric g and almost complex structure I and let \bigtriangledown be the covariant differentiation on M. The curvature tensor R is defined by

$$R(X, Y, Z, U) = g(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z, U)$$

for X, Y, Z, $U \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. The manifold is said to be an RK-manifold [5] if

$$R(X, Y, Z, U) = R(IX, IY, IZ, IU)$$

for all $X, Y, Z, U \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. In this paper we trate for simplicity only the case of an RK-manifold although one can made analogous considerations for an arbitrary almost Hermitian manifold.

Let E_i , $i=1,\ldots,2n$ be a local orthonormal frame field. The Ricci tensor S and the scalar curvature $\tau(R)$ are defined by

$$S(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} R(X, E_i, E_i, Y), \quad \tau(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} S(E_i, E_i).$$

Analogously we set

$$S'(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} R(X, E_i, IE_i, IY), \quad \tau'(R) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} S'(E_i, E_i).$$

We note that S and S' are symmetric and S(X, Y) = S(IX, IY), S'(X, Y) = S'(IX, IY).

The Bochner curvature tensor B [4] for M is defined by

$$B = R - \frac{1}{8(n+2)} (\varphi + \psi)(S + 3S') - \frac{1}{8(n-2)} (3\varphi - \psi)(S - S')$$

$$+ \frac{\tau(R) + 3\tau'(R)}{16(n+1)(n+2)} (\pi_1 + \pi_2) + \frac{\tau(R) - \tau'(R)}{16(n-1)(n-2)} (3\pi_1 - \pi_2),$$

where φ , ψ , π_1 and π_2 are defined by

SERDICA Bulgaricae mathematicae publicationes. Vol. 9, 1983, p. 168-171.

$$\phi(Q)(X, Y, Z, U) = g(X, U)Q(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)Q(Y, U)$$

$$+ g(Y, Z)Q(X, U) - g(Y, U)Q(X, Z),$$

$$\psi(Q)(X, Y, Z, U) = g(X, IU)Q(Y, IZ) - g(X, IZ)Q(Y, IU)$$

$$+ g(Y, IZ)Q(X, IU) - g(Y, IU)Q(X, IZ)$$

$$- 2g(X, IY)Q(Z, IU) - 2g(Z, IU)Q(X, IY),$$

$$T_1(X, Y, Z, U) = g(X, U)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U),$$

$$\pi_2(X, Y, Z, U) = g(X, IU)g(Y, IZ) - g(X, IZ)g(Y, IU) - 2g(X, IY)g(Z, IU).$$

By a plane we mean a 2-dimensional linear subspace of the tangent space $T_p(M)$ of M in p. A plane α is said to be holomorphic (resp. antiholomorphic) if $I\alpha = \alpha$ (resp. $I\alpha$ is perpendicular to α).

A tensor field T of type (0.4) is said to be an LC-tensor if it has the

properties:

1)
$$T(X, Y, Z, U) = -T(Y, X, Z, U)$$
,

- 2) T(X, Y, Z, U) = -T(X, Y, U, Z),
- 3) T(X, Y, Z, U) + T(Y, Z, X, U) + T(Z, X, Y, U) = 0.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma [1]. Let M be a 2n-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold, $n \ge 2$. Let T be an LC-tensor, satisfying the conditions:

- 1) T(X, Y, Z, U) = T(IX, IY, IZ, IU),
- 2) T(x, y, y, x)=0, where $\{x, y\}$ is a basis of any holomorphic or anti-holomorphic plane.

Then T=0.

In Section 2 we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let M be a 2n-dimensional RK-manifold, $n \ge 3$, which satisfies

(1.1)
$$\lambda R(x, y, y, x) + \mu(S(x, x) + S(y, y)) + \nu(S'(x, x) + S'(y, y)) = c(p)$$

for each point $p \in M$ and for all unit vectors $x, y \in T_p(M)$ with g(x, y) = g(x, ly) = 0, where λ, μ, ν are constants, $(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ and c(p) does not depend on x, y. Then

1) if $\lambda = 0$, then

$$\mu S + \nu S' = \frac{\mu \tau(R) + \nu \tau'(R)}{2\pi} - g;$$

2) if $\lambda \neq 0$, then M has vanishing Bochner curvature tensor and the tensor $((n+1)\lambda + 2(n^2-4)\mu)S + (2(n^2-4)\nu - 3\lambda)S'$ is proportional to the metric tensor:

$$((n+1)\lambda + 2(n^2 - 4)\mu)S + (2(n^2 - 4)v - 3\lambda)S'$$

$$= \frac{1}{2n} \{ ((n+1)\lambda + 2(n^2 - 4)\mu)\tau(R) + (2(n^2 - 4)v - 3\lambda)\tau'(R) \}g.$$

An almost Hermitian manifold M is said to be of pointwise constant antiholomorphic sectional curvature if for each point $p \in M$ the curvature of an arbitrary antiholomorphic plane α in $T_p(M)$ does not depend on α .

Corollary. Let M be a 2n-dimensional RK-manifold, n≥3. Then M has pointwise constant antiholomorphic sectional curvature if and only if M has vanishing Bochner curvature tensor and

$$(n+1)S-3S' = \frac{1}{2n} ((n+1)\tau(R) - 3\tau'(R))g.$$

This is an analogue of a well known theorem of Schouten and Struik [2], see also [3].

2. Proof of the theorem. Let e_i , Ie_i , $i=1,\ldots,n$, be an arbitrary orthonormal basis $T_p(M)$, $p \in M$. In (1.1) we put $X=e_1$, $Y=e_i$ or $Y=Ie_i$, i=2, ..., n. Adding on i we find

$$\lambda R(e_1, Ie_1, Ie_1, e_1) - (\lambda + 2(n-2)\mu)S(e_1, e_1) - 2(n-2)\nu S'(e_1, e_1)$$

$$= \mu \tau(R)(p) + \nu \tau'(R)(p) - 2(n-1)c(p)$$

and since we can take for e_1 an arbitrary unit vector in $T_p(M)$ we have

(2.1)
$$\lambda H(x) - (\lambda + 2(n-2)\mu)S(x, x) - 2(n-2)\nu S'(x, x) = \mu \tau(R)(p) + \nu \tau'(R)(p) - 2(n-1)c(p)$$

for each unit vector $x \in T_p(M)$, where H(x) is the curvature of the holomorphic plane spanned by x, Ix, i. e. H(x) = R(x, Ix, Ix, x).

If $\lambda = 0$ (2.1) takes the form

$$\mu S(x, x) + \nu S'(x, x) = \frac{2(n-1)c - \mu \tau(R)(p) - \nu \tau'(R)(p)}{2(n-2)}.$$

We put $x = e_i$, $x = Ie_i$ and adding on i we obtain

$$c = \frac{\mu \tau(R) + \nu \tau'(R)}{n}$$

and case 1) is proved.

If $\lambda \pm 0$, (1.1) and (2.1) take the form

(2.2)
$$R(x, y, y, x) + \mu_1(S(x, x) + S(y, y)) + \nu_1(S'(x, x) + S'(y, y)) = c_1,$$

(2.3)
$$H(x) - (1 + 2(n-2)\mu_1)S(x, x) - 2(n-2)\nu_1S'(x, x)$$
$$= \mu_1\tau(R)(p) + \nu_1\tau'(R)(p) - 2(n-1)c_1(p),$$

where $\mu_1 = \mu/\lambda$, $\nu_1 = \nu/\lambda$, $c_1 = c/\lambda$.

From (2.2) R(x, y, y, x) = R(x, Iy, Iy, x) and consequently

$$R\left(\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = R\left(\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{Ix-Iy}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{Ix-Iy}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

which gives H(x) + H(y) = 4R(x, y, y, x) - 2R(x, Iy, Iy, x) + 2R(x, Ix, Iy, y) + 2R(x, Iy, Ix, y).

Hence it is easy to find

(2.4)
$$(n+2)H(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} H(e_i) = S(x, x) + 3S'(x, x)$$

and

(2.5)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} H(e_i) = \frac{\tau(R)(p) + 3\tau'(R)(p)}{4(n+1)}$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we obtain

(2.6)
$$H(x) - \frac{1}{n+2} (S(x, x) + 3S'(x, x)) = -\frac{\tau(R)(p) + 3\tau'(R)(p)}{4(n+1)(n+2)} - \frac{\tau(R)(p) + 3\tau'(R)(p)}{4(n+2)(n+2)} - \frac{\tau(R)(p) + 3\tau'(R)(p)}{4(n+2)(n+2)(n+2)} - \frac{\tau(R)(p) + 3\tau'(R)(p)}{4(n+2)(n+2)} - \frac{\tau(R)(p)}{4(n+2)(n+2)} -$$

Using (2.3) and (2.6) we get

$$(2.7) \qquad (2(n-2)\mu_1 - \frac{n+1}{n+2})S(x,x) + (2(n-1)\nu_1 - \frac{3}{n+2})S'(x,x)$$

$$= 2(n-1)c_1(p) - \mu_1 \tau(R)(p) - \nu_1 \tau'(R)(p) - \frac{\tau(R)(p) + 3\tau'(R)(p)}{4(n+1)(n+2)}.$$

Hence by a simple calculation we obtain

(2.8)
$$c_1 = \left(\frac{\mu_1}{n} + \frac{2n+1}{8n(n^2-1)}\right)\tau(R) + \left(\frac{\nu_1}{n} - \frac{3}{8n(n^2-1)}\right)\tau'(R).$$

The substitution of (2.8) in (2.7) gives

(2.9)
$$(\mu_1 + \frac{n+1}{2(n^2-4)})S(x,x) + (\nu_1 - \frac{3}{2(n^2-4)})S'(x,x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2n} \{ (\mu_1 + \frac{n+1}{2(n^2-4)})\tau(R)(p) + (\nu_1 - \frac{3}{2(n^2-4)})\tau'(R)(p) \}.$$

From (2.2), (2.8) and (2.9) it follows

$$(2.10)R(x, y, y, x) - \frac{n+1}{2(n^2-4)}(S(x, x) + S(y, y)) + \frac{3}{2(n^2-4)}(S'(x, x) + S'(y, y))$$

$$= -\frac{2n^2+3n+4}{8(n^2-1)(n^2-4)}\tau(R)(p) + \frac{9n}{8(n^2-1)(n^2-4)}\tau'(R)(p).$$

According to the lemma, (2.6) and (2.10) imply that the Bochner curvature tensor B for M vanishes. The rest of the theorem follows from (2.2)and (2.10).

REFERENCES

- 1. G. Gančev. Almost Hermitian manifolds similar to the complex space forms. C. r. bulg. Sci., 32, 1979, 1179—1182.
- J. Schouten, D. Struik. On some properties of general manifolds relating to Einstein's theory of gravitation. Amer. J. Math., 43, 1921, 213—216.
 S. Tachibana. On the Bochner curvature tensor. Nat. Sc. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ., 18, 1967, 15—19.
- 4. F. Tricerri, L. Vanhecke. Curvature tensors on almost Hermitian manifolds. Trans.
- Amer. Math. Soc., 267, 1981, 365—398.

 5. L. Vanhecke. Almost Hermitian manifolds with I-invariant Riemann curvature tensor.

 Rend. Sem. Matem. Torino, 34, 1975—76, 487—498.

Centre for Mathematics and Mechanics P. O. Box 373 Sofia 1090

Received 26. 5. 1981