

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Serdica

## Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

---

# Сердика

## Българско математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

# FOURIER OPERATIONAL CALCULUS

JÜRGEN PRESTIN, MANFRED TASCHÉ

With the help of the Fourier operational calculus, we give necessary and sufficient solvability conditions and construct periodic solutions of linear differential equations as well as solutions of special boundary value problems. Using functional analytical methods, we obtain a simple representation of generalized inverses of polynomial operators  $p(d/dt)$ . This simplifies recent results of Grozdev [3] and Dimovski [2].

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  denote the set of all integers. Let  $p$  be a monic complex polynomial of degree  $n \geq 2$

$$(1) \quad p(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \lambda^k = \prod_{j=1}^s (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{n_j}$$

with pairwise different roots  $\lambda_j$  and let

$$(2) \quad p(\lambda)^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \alpha_{j,r} (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{-r}$$

be the decomposition of  $p(\lambda)^{-1}$  into vulgar fractions. Then let  $K = \{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$  be the set of all  $k \in \mathcal{Z}$  with  $2\pi i k = \lambda_j$  for some  $j = 1, \dots, s$ .

Let  $C_1$  denote the Banach space of all (complex-valued) continuous 1-periodic functions  $f$  with norm  $\|f\|_{C_1} = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f(t)|$ . Further let  $L_1^p$ , ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) be the set of all classes of almost everywhere (a. e.) equal (complex-valued) 1-periodic functions  $f$  which are Lebesgue integrable to  $p$ -th power over  $[0, 1]$ , with the norm  $\|f\|_p = (\int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt)^{1/p}$ . In the following,  $X$  denotes one of the spaces  $C_1$  or  $L_1^p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) with the corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$ .

For any two functions  $f \in X$  and  $g \in L_1^1$  the *periodic convolution*  $f * g$  is defined (a. e.) through the absolutely convergent integral

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_0^t f(t-\tau) g(\tau) d\tau + \int_t^1 f(1+t-\tau) g(\tau) d\tau.$$

Then  $f * g \in X$  and

$$(3) \quad \|f * g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X \|g\|_1.$$

Since  $X \subseteq L_1^1$ , the periodic convolution is defined on  $X$  and is a commutative, associative and distributive operation on  $X$  [1, p. 10]. The  $r$ -times convolution  $f * \dots * f$  of  $f \in L_1^1$  with itself is denoted by  $f^{*r}$  ( $r = 1, 2, \dots$ ), where  $f^{*1} = f$ .

Now we consider the boundary value problem

$$(4) \quad p(d/dt) x(t) = f(t), \quad x^{(j)}(0) = x^{(j)}(1), \quad (j=0, \dots, n-1)$$

with a given function  $f \in X$ . For instance, a linear time-invariant feedback system with 1-periodic input and 1-periodic output  $x$  can be described by (4). Following result is well-known ([4, p. 216]; [2]):

**Theorem.** *Let  $f \in X$ . If  $K = \emptyset$  that means  $p(2\pi ik) \neq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ , then (4) has a unique solution  $x(t) = (g * f)(t)$  with*

$$g(t) = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \frac{a_{jr}}{(r-1)!} \frac{\partial^{r-1}}{\partial \lambda^{r-1}} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{1 - e^\lambda} \right) \Big|_{\lambda = \lambda_j}$$

In this paper we generalize this result and give necessary and sufficient solvability conditions of problem (4) in the case  $K \neq \emptyset$ .

**2. Operators  $D$  and  $T$ .** Let  $C_1^r (r=1, 2, \dots)$  denote the set of all 1-periodic (complex-valued) functions which are  $r$ -times continuously differentiable.  $AC_1^r (= AC_1^0)$  is the set of all 1-periodic absolutely continuous functions. Correspondingly,  $AC_1^{r-1} (r=1, 2, \dots)$  denotes the set of all functions  $f$  with  $f^{(j)} \in AC_1 (j=0, \dots, r-1)$ . For  $r=1, 2, \dots$ , we set

$$W_X^r = \begin{cases} C_1^r & \text{if } X = C_1, \\ \{f \in L_1^p \mid f = \varphi \text{ a. e.}, \varphi \in AC_1^{r-1}, \varphi^{(r)} \in L_1^p\} & \text{if } X = L_1^p, (1 \leq p < \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then the  $r$ -th derivative  $D^r f$  of  $f \in W_X^r$  is defined by

$$(D^r f)(t) = \begin{cases} f^{(r)}(t) & \text{if } X = C_1, \\ \varphi^{(r)}(t) \text{ a. e.} & \text{if } X = L_1^p, (1 \leq p < \infty), \end{cases}$$

where  $\varphi \in AC_1^{r-1}$  with  $\varphi^{(r)} \in L_1^p$  is such that  $f(t) = \varphi(t) = \text{a. e.}$  [1, p. 34]. The densely defined linear operator  $D^r$  maps the domain  $\text{Dom } D^r = W_X^r$  into  $X$ . Obviously, all eigenvalues  $\mu_k = 2\pi ik (k \in \mathcal{Z})$  of  $D$  are simple and corresponding eigenfunctions are  $x_k(t) = \exp 2\pi ikt$ .

The finite Fourier transform of  $f \in X$  is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(k) = \int_0^1 f(t) e^{-2\pi ikt} dt, \quad (k \in \mathcal{Z}).$$

For any  $f, g \in X$  and all  $k \in \mathcal{Z}$  we have ([1, p. 168])

$$(5) \quad f(t) * e^{2\pi ikt} = \widehat{f}(k) e^{2\pi ikt}$$

and by the convolution theorem

$$(6) \quad [f * g](k) = \widehat{f}(k) \widehat{g}(k).$$

Note that the periodic convolution has divisors of zero. For any  $k, m \in \mathcal{Z}$  we obtain by (5)  $e^{2\pi ikt} * e^{2\pi imt} = \delta_{km} e^{2\pi ikt}$ , where  $\delta_{km}$  denotes the Kronecker symbol.

The linear operator  $T: X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$(Tf)(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right) * f(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 (t - \tau + \frac{1}{2}) f(\tau) d\tau, \quad (t \in [0, 1])$$

is bounded by (3) and fulfils

$$(7) \quad T(f * g) = (Tf) * g = f * (Tg)$$

for any  $f, g \in X$  by the properties of the periodic convolution. Furthermore,  $T1 = 0$  and  $(Tf)^\wedge(0) = 1 * (Tf) = (T1) * f = 0$  by (5) and (7). If  $B_r$  ( $r = 1, 2, \dots$ ) denotes the  $r$ -th Bernoulli polynomial, then

$$(8) \quad T^r\left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right) = T^r(-B_1(t)) = -\frac{1}{(r+1)!} B_{r+1}(t) \quad (t \in [0, 1])$$

that means

$$(9) \quad (-B_1(t))^{*r} = -\frac{1}{r!} B_r(t) \quad (t \in [0, 1]).$$

By (6) this implies

$$(10) \quad \left[-\frac{1}{r!} B_r(t)\right]^\wedge(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k = 0, \\ (2\pi ik)^{-r} & \text{for } k \in \mathcal{Z}, k \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Using (7) and (8) we obtain

$$(11) \quad (T^r f)(t) = \left(-\frac{1}{r!} B_r(t)\right) * f(t) \quad (t \in [0, 1])$$

for all  $f \in X$ . By (6) and (10) it follows that

$$(12) \quad (T^r f)^\wedge(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k = 0, \\ (2\pi ik)^{-r} \widehat{f}(k) & \text{for } k \in \mathcal{Z}, k \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $T^r f \in \text{Dom } D^r$  for all  $f \in X$ . By a theorem [1, p. 173] the statement  $T^r f \in \text{Dom } D^r$  is equivalent to  $T^r f \in W[X; (2\pi ik)^r]$ , where  $W[X; (2\pi ik)^r]$  is the set of all functions  $h \in X$  for which there exists  $g \in X$  such that  $(2\pi ik)^r \widehat{h}(k) = \widehat{g}(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ . By (12) we have  $(2\pi ik)^r (T^r f)^\wedge(k) = \widehat{g}(k)$  for every  $k \in \mathcal{Z}$  with  $g = f - \widehat{f}(0) \in X$ . Furthermore

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} (D^r T^r f)(t) &= f(t) - \widehat{f}(0) \quad \text{for all } f \in X, \\ (T^r D^r f)(t) &= f(t) - \widehat{f}(0) \quad \text{for all } f \in \text{Dom } D^r. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T^r D^r T^r f &= T^r f \quad \text{for all } f \in X, \\ D^r T^r D^r f &= D^r f \quad \text{for all } f \in \text{Dom } D^r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T^r$  is a bounded generalized inverse of  $D^r$ . If  $X = L_1^2$ , then  $T^r$  is the orthogonal generalized inverse of  $D^r$ . Let  $I$  denote the identity. Since  $I - T^r D^r$  is a projector of  $\text{Dom } D^r$  onto the kernel  $\text{Ker } D^r$ , we obtain  $\text{Ker } D^r = \text{Lin } \{1\}$  by (11). Since  $D^r T^r$  is a projector of  $X$  onto the closure of the image  $\text{Im } D^r$ , it follows by (11) that  $\overline{\text{Im } D^r} = \text{Im } D^r$  and that the cokernel  $\text{Coker } D^r$  is  $\text{Lin } \{1\}$ .

If  $f \in \text{Dom } D^r$  and  $g \in L_1^1$ , then by (5), (7) and (11)



$$(14) \quad f * g = (T^r D^r f + \widehat{f}(0)) * \widehat{g} = \widehat{f}(0) \widehat{g}(0) + T^r (D^r f * g) \in \text{Dom } D^r$$

and by (6), (11) and  $(D^r f)^\wedge(0) = 0$

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} D^r (f * g) &= D^r T^r (D^r f * g) = (D^r f * g) - (D^r f * g)^\wedge(0) \\ &= (D^r f * g) - (D^r f)^\wedge(0) \widehat{g}(0) = (D^r f) * g. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. Let  $f$  be a  $r$ -times continuously differentiable function. Then for  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$h(t) = f(t) - \sum_{k=0}^r (f^{(k)}(1) - f^{(k)}(0)) \frac{1}{(k+1)!} B_{k+1}(t) \in \text{Dom } D^r.$$

Proof. Obviously,  $h$  is  $r$ -times continuously differentiable. Using  $B_{k+1}^\wedge(t) = (k+1)B_k(t)$ ,  $B_{k+1}(1) = B_{k+1}(0)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), we obtain

$$h^{(j)}(t) = f^{(j)}(t) - \sum_{k=j}^r (f^{(k)}(1) - f^{(k)}(0)) \frac{1}{(k-j+1)!} B_{k-j+1}(t)$$

and thus

$$h^{(j)}(1) - h^{(j)}(0) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

**3. Operators  $(D - \lambda)^r$ .** For any complex number  $\lambda$  the exponential element  $e_\lambda$  is defined by

$$(16) \quad e_\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{1 - e^\lambda} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 2\pi i k \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{Z}, \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right) e^{2\pi i k t} & \text{if } \lambda = 2\pi i k \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{Z}, \end{cases}$$

for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . This element  $e_\lambda$  has the characteristic property

$$(17) \quad e_\lambda(k) = \begin{cases} (2\pi i k - \lambda)^{-1} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 2\pi i k, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda = 2\pi i k \end{cases}$$

for all  $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ . It is easy to prove that for  $r = 2, 3, \dots$

$$(18) \quad e_\lambda^{*r}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial^{r-1}}{\partial \lambda^{r-1}} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t}}{1 - e^\lambda} \right) & \text{if } \lambda \neq 2\pi i k \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{Z}, \\ -\frac{1}{r!} B_r(t) e^{2\pi i k t} & \text{if } \lambda = 2\pi i k \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{Z} \end{cases}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . By (6) and (17) it follows that

$$(19) \quad (e_\lambda^{*r})^\wedge(k) = \begin{cases} (2\pi i k - \lambda)^{-r} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 2\pi i k, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda = 2\pi i k \end{cases}$$

for all  $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Note that (9) and (10) are special cases of (18) and (19). Especially we obtain  $e_0(t) = 1/2 - t$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Furthermore we remark that  $e_\lambda \notin \text{Dom } D$ .

The densely defined linear operator  $(D - \lambda)^r$  maps the domain  $\text{Dom } D^r$  into  $X$ . Obviously, we have

$$\text{Ker } (D-\lambda)^r = \begin{cases} \text{Lin } \{ e^{2\pi ikt} \} & \text{if } \lambda = 2\pi ik \text{ for some } k \in \mathcal{Z}. \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The linear operator  $T_\lambda : X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$(20) \quad T_\lambda f = e_\lambda * f \quad \text{for all } f \in X$$

is bounded by (3) and fulfils  $T_\lambda(f * g) = (T_\lambda f) * g = f * (T_\lambda g)$  for all  $f, g \in X$ . Note that  $T_0 = T$ . Furthermore we obtain by (5), (6), (17) and (20)

$$(21) \quad T_{2\pi k} e^{2\pi ikt} = 0$$

and  $(T_{2\pi ik} f)^\wedge(k) = 0$  for any  $f \in X$  and all  $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Using (18) and (20) we get

$$(22) \quad T_\lambda^r f = e_\lambda^{*r} * f \quad \text{for all } f \in X \text{ and } r = 1, 2, \dots$$

with  $T_\lambda^1 = T_\lambda$ . By (20),  $T_\lambda$  commutes with  $T_\mu$  for all complex numbers  $\lambda, \mu$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a complex number and  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $T_\lambda^r f \in \text{Dom } D^r$  for every  $f \in X$ . In the case  $\lambda \neq 2\pi ik$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ , the operator  $T_\lambda^r$  is a bounded inverse of  $(D-\lambda)^r$ . In the case  $\lambda = 2\pi ik$  for some  $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ , the operator  $T_\lambda^r$  is a bounded generalized inverse of  $(D-\lambda)^r$ .*

**Proof.** We show that  $T_\lambda^r f \in \text{Dom } D^r$ ,

$$(23) \quad ((D-\lambda)^r T_\lambda^r f)(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{if } \lambda \neq 2\pi ik \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{Z}, \\ f(t) - \widehat{f}(k) e^{2\pi ikt} & \text{if } \lambda = 2\pi ik, k \in \mathcal{Z} \end{cases}$$

or all  $f \in X$  and

$$(24) \quad (T_\lambda^r (D-\lambda)^r f)(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{if } \lambda \neq 2\pi ik \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{Z}, \\ f(t) - \widehat{f}(k) e^{2\pi ikt} & \text{if } \lambda = 2\pi ik, k \in \mathcal{Z} \end{cases}$$

for all  $f \in \text{Dom } D^r$ . Hence by (21) it follows that  $T_\lambda^r (D-\lambda)^r T_\lambda^r f = T_\lambda^r f$  for all  $f \in X$  and  $(D-\lambda)^r T_\lambda^r (D-\lambda)^r f = (D-\lambda)^r f$  for all  $f \in \text{Dom } D^r$ .

It is only necessary to prove (23) and (24) for  $r = 1$ . By Lemma 1, it follows that  $h(t) = e_\lambda(t) + B_1(t) + \frac{\lambda}{2} B_2(t) \in \text{Dom } D$  ( $t \in [0, 1]$ ). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (T_\lambda f)(t) &= (h * f)(t) - ((B_1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} B_2) * f)(t) \\ &= (h * f)(t) + (Tf)(t) + \lambda(T^2 f)(t) \in \text{Dom } D \end{aligned}$$

by (14) for arbitrary  $f \in X$ . Further it follows by (13) and (15)

$$\begin{aligned} (DT_\lambda f)(t) &= ((Dh) * f)(t) + (DTf)(t) + \lambda(DT^2 f)(t) \\ &= ((Dh) * f)(t) + f(t) - \widehat{f}(0) + \lambda(Tf)(t). \end{aligned}$$

By (16) we have

$$(Dh)(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda e_\lambda(t) + 1 + \lambda B_1(t) & \text{if } \lambda \neq 2\pi ik \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{Z}, \\ \lambda e_\lambda(t) + 1 + \lambda B_1(t) - e^{2\pi ikt} & \text{if } \lambda = 2\pi ik, k \in \mathcal{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Then we obtain for  $\lambda \neq 2\pi ik$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{Z}$

$$(DT_\lambda f)(t) = \lambda(T_\lambda f)(t) + f(t)$$

by (5), (11) and (20). In the case  $\lambda = 2\pi ik, k \in \mathcal{L}$  we get

$$(DT_\lambda f)(t) = \lambda(T_\lambda f)(t) + f(t) - \widehat{f}(k) e^{2\pi ikt}$$

by (5), (11) and (20). Hence we obtain (23) for  $r=1$  in both cases. For any  $f \in \text{Dom } D$  we have by (15), (20)

$$T_\lambda(D-\lambda)f = e_\lambda * (D-\lambda)f = (D-\lambda)(e_\lambda * f) = (D-\lambda)T_\lambda f$$

and by (23) it follows (24) for  $r=1$ .

Note that  $T_\lambda^r$  is the orthogonal generalized inverse of  $(D-\lambda)^r$  in the case  $X = L_1^2$ .

Since  $(D-\lambda)^r T_\lambda^r$  is a projector of  $X$  onto the closure of  $\text{Im } (D-\lambda)^r$ , it follows by (23) that

$$\overline{\text{Im } (D-\lambda)^r} = \text{Im } (D-\lambda)^r = \begin{cases} X & \text{if } \lambda \neq 2\pi ik \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{L}, \\ \{f \in X \mid \widehat{f}(k) = 0\} & \text{if } \lambda = 2\pi ik, k \in \mathcal{L} \end{cases}$$

and that

$$\text{Coker } (D-\lambda)^r = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda \neq 2\pi ik \text{ for all } k \in \mathcal{L}, \\ \text{Lim } \{e^{2\pi ikt}\} & \text{if } \lambda = 2\pi ik, k \in \mathcal{L}. \end{cases}$$

**4. Polynomial operator  $p(D)$ .** With (1) we form the densely defined linear operator  $p(D): \text{Dom } D^n \rightarrow X$ . By assumption this operator has the kernel

$$\text{Ker } p(D) = \begin{cases} \text{Lin } \{e^{2\pi i k_\mu t}, \mu = 1, \dots, m\} & \text{if } K \neq \emptyset, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } K = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Then  $P: X \rightarrow \text{Ker } p(D)$  defined by

$$(25) \quad (Pf)(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mu=1}^m \widehat{f}(k_\mu) e^{2\pi i k_\mu t} & \text{if } K \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } K = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

for all  $f \in X$ , is a projector of  $X$  onto  $\text{Ker } p(D)$ . By (6) this projector has the property

$$(26) \quad P(f * g) = (Pf) * g = f * (Pg)$$

for any  $f, g \in X$ . Then we obtain the support of  $p(D)$

$$\text{Supp } p(D) = \text{Ker } P \cap \text{Dom } D^n = \begin{cases} \{f \in \text{Dom } D^n \mid \widehat{f}(k_\mu) = 0, \mu = 1, \dots, m\} & \text{if } K \neq \emptyset, \\ \text{Dom } D^n & \text{if } K = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

The domain  $\text{Dom } D^n$  can be represented as direct sum

$$\text{Dom } D^n = \text{Ker } p(D) \dot{+} \text{Supp } p(D).$$

The operator  $p(D)$  maps  $\text{Ker } p(D)$  into  $\{0\}$  and  $\text{Supp } p(D)$  one-to-one onto the image  $\text{Im } p(D)$ . Note that  $P$  commutes with  $T_\lambda$  by (20) and (26).

**Theorem 3.** *The operator*

$$(27) \quad R = T_{\lambda_1}^{n_1} \dots T_{\lambda_s}^{n_s} (I - P) : X \rightarrow X$$

is a generalized inverse of  $p(D)$  with following properties:

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} p(D)R &= I - P \text{ on } X, \\ Rp(D) &= I - P \text{ on } \text{Dom } D^n, \\ p(D)Rp(D) &= p(D) \text{ on } \text{Dom } D^n, \\ Rp(D)R &= R \text{ on } X. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore

$$(29) \quad R = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \alpha_{j,r} T_{\lambda_j}^r (I - P)$$

holds, i. e.

$$(30) \quad Rf = \left( \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \alpha_{j,r} e_{\lambda_j}^{*r} \right) * (I - P)f$$

for all  $f \in X$ .

Proof. Let  $f \in X$ . Then  $g = f - Pf$  is an element of  $X$  with  $\widehat{g}(k_\mu) = 0$  ( $\mu = 1, \dots, m$ ). By (23) it follows that

$$(D - \lambda_j)^{n_j} T_{\lambda_j}^{n_j} g = g \quad (j = 1, \dots, s).$$

Since all operators  $T_{\lambda_j}^{n_j}$  commute, this implies

$$p(D)Rf = p(D) T_{\lambda_1}^{n_1} \dots T_{\lambda_s}^{n_s} g = g = (I - P)f$$

and hence  $Rp(D)Rf = Rf - RPf = Rf$ . Now let  $f \in \text{Dom } D^n$ . Then  $g = f - Pf \in \text{Dom } D^n$  with  $\widehat{g}(k_\mu) = 0$  ( $\mu = 1, \dots, m$ ). By (24) it follows that  $T_{\lambda_j}^{n_j} (D - \lambda_j)^{n_j} g = g$  ( $j = 1, \dots, s$ ). Since  $T_{\lambda_j}^{n_j}$  commutes with  $(I - P)$ , this implies

$$Rp(D)f = Rp(D)g = (I - P) T_{\lambda_1}^{n_1} \dots T_{\lambda_s}^{n_s} p(D)g = (I - P)g = (I - P)f$$

and hence  $p(D)Rp(D)f = p(D)f - p(D)Pf = p(D)f$ .

Now we prove formula (29). Let  $p_j(\lambda)$  be the polynomials  $p_j(\lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{-n_j} p(\lambda)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, s$ ). Then (2) implies that

$$(31) \quad 1 = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \alpha_{j,r} p_j(\lambda) (\lambda - \lambda_j)^{n_j - r}$$

for all complex  $\lambda$ . Hence

$$I = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \alpha_{j,r} p_j(D) (D - \lambda_j)^{n_j - r} \text{ on } \text{Dom } D^{n-1}.$$

For arbitrary  $f \in X$  is  $Rf \in \text{Dom } D^n$  such that by (22), (23) and (27) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Rf &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \alpha_{jr} p_j(D) (D - \lambda_j)^{n_j-r} T_{\lambda_1}^{n_1} \dots T_{\lambda_s}^{n_s} (I - P)f \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \alpha_{jr} T_{\lambda_j}^r (I - P)f = \left( \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \alpha_{jr} e_{\lambda_j}^{*r} \right) * (I - P)f \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \alpha_{jr} (I - P) e_{\lambda_j}^{*r} * f. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3 it follows that  $p(D)$  is normally solvable. The image  $\text{Im } p(D)$  is closed. Since  $p(D)R$  is a projector of  $X$  onto  $\text{Im } p(D)$ , it follows by (25) and (28) that

$$\text{Im } p(D) = \begin{cases} \{f \in X \mid \widehat{f}(k_\mu) = 0, \mu = 1, \dots, m\} & \text{if } K \neq \emptyset, \\ X & \text{if } K = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$\text{Coker } p(D) = \begin{cases} \text{Lin } \{e^{2\pi i k_\mu t}, \mu = 1, \dots, m\} & \text{if } K \neq \emptyset, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } K = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Therefore  $P$  is a projector of  $X$  onto  $\text{Coker } p(D)$  parallel to  $\text{Im } p(D)$  and  $X = \text{Im } p(D) \dot{+} \text{Coker } p(D)$  holds.

**5. Homogeneous boundary value problems.** Now we consider the equation

$$(32) \quad p(D)x = f$$

for given  $f \in X$ . This equation is solvable if and only if  $f \in \text{Im } p(D)$ , this means  $Pf = 0$  or  $\widehat{f}(k_\mu) = 0$  ( $\mu = 1, \dots, m$ ) if  $K \neq \emptyset$ . Then we obtain following theorem from the properties (28) and (30) of  $R$ :

**Theorem 4.** *The equation (32) is solvable if and only if  $Pf = 0$ . Under the assumption  $Pf = 0$ , any solution of (32) possesses the form*

$$(33) \quad x(t) = \begin{cases} (Rf)(t) + \sum_{\mu=1}^m \gamma_\mu e^{2\pi i k_\mu t} & \text{if } K \neq \emptyset, \\ (Rf)(t) & \text{if } K = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma_\mu$  are arbitrary complex numbers and

$$Rf = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \alpha_{jr} e_{\lambda_j}^{*r} * (I - P)f.$$

Since  $R$  is the orthogonal generalized inverse of  $p(D)$  in the case  $X = L_1^2$  we obtain under the assumption  $Pf = 0$  that

$$(34) \quad x^* = Rf$$

is the unique solution of (32) with minimal norm, i. e.,  $\|x\|_2 > \|x^*\|_2$  for any solution  $x \neq x^*$  of (32). More general, an element  $\tilde{x} \in \text{Dom } D^n$  is called a *least squares solution* of (32), if

$$\|p(D)\tilde{x} - f\|_2 = \inf \{ \|p(D)x - f\|_2 \mid x \in \text{Dom } D^n \}.$$

Evidently, every solution is a least squares solution too. From the properties (28) of the orthogonal generalized inverse  $R$  of  $p(D)$  follows:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $X = L_1^2$  and  $f \in X$ . Then any least squares solution of (32) can be represented in the form (33). The element (34) is the unique least squares solution of minimal norm, i. e.,  $\|x\|_2 > \|x^*\|_2$  for any least squares solution  $x \neq x^*$ .*

**6. Inhomogeneous boundary value problems.** Now we consider the inhomogeneous boundary value problem

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} p\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)y(t) &= g(t) \quad (t \in [0, 1]), \\ y^{(j)}|_0 &= \eta_j \quad (j = 0, \dots, n-1), \end{aligned}$$

with given continuous function  $g$ , unknown  $n$ -times continuously differentiable function  $y$  and given complex numbers  $\eta_j$ , where  $y|_0 = y(1) - y(0)$ . By (1) and (35) follows that

$$y^{(n)}|_0 = g|_0 - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j \eta_j = \eta_n.$$

Then by Lemma 1 we obtain that

$$(36) \quad x = y - b \in \text{Dom } D^n$$

with

$$b(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \eta_k \frac{1}{(k+1)!} B_{k+1}(t).$$

Furthermore

$$(37) \quad f(t) = g(t) - p\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)b(t) \in C_1.$$

Hence (35) is equivalent to  $p(D)x = f$ . Thus any solution  $y$  of (35) corresponds with a solution  $x = y - b$  of (32), and vice versa. By Theorem 4, the problem (32) can be solved if and only if  $Pf = 0$ . Under the assumption  $Pf = 0$ , any solution  $x$  of (32) possesses the form (33). Let

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} a_{jr} T'_{\lambda_j}.$$

Note that  $R = S(I - P)$  by (27) and hence  $Rf = Sf$  by  $Pf = 0$ . Then by (33), (36) and (37), any solution of (35) is given by

$$(38) \quad y(t) = \begin{cases} (Sg)(t) + b(t) - Sp\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)b(t) + \sum_{\mu=1}^m \gamma_{\mu} e^{2\pi i k_{\mu} t} & \text{if } K \neq \emptyset, \\ (Sg)(t) + b(t) - Sp\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)b(t) & \text{if } K = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma_{\mu}$  are arbitrary complex numbers.

Now we shall make use of the simple

**Lemma 6** (Taylor's formula). *If  $z$  is a  $r$ -times continuously differentiable function, then*

$$(39) \quad T_{\lambda}^r\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda\right)^r z - z - \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \left(\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda\right)^l z\right)_0^1 e_{\lambda}^{*(l+1)} = \begin{cases} -\widehat{z}(k) e^{2\pi i k t} & \text{for } \lambda = 2\pi i k \ (k \in \mathcal{L}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** We proceed by induction. The equation

$$(40) \quad T_{\lambda}\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda\right)z - z - z_0^1 e_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} -\widehat{z}(k) e^{2\pi i k t} & \text{for } \lambda = 2\pi i k \ (k \in \mathcal{L}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

shows that Taylor's formula (39) holds for  $r=1$ . Suppose that (39) holds for  $r \geq 1$ . If  $z$  is  $(r+1)$ -times continuously differentiable, then by (5) and (17) we have  $e^{2\pi i k t} * e^{2\pi i k t} = 0$  and hence by (20), (39) and (40) we obtain for  $\lambda = 2\pi i k$  ( $k \in \mathcal{L}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\lambda}^{r+1}\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda\right)^{r+1} z &= e_{\lambda} * [T_{\lambda}^r\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda\right)^r (z' - \lambda z)] \\ &= T_{\lambda}\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda\right)z + \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \left(\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda\right)^{l+1} z\right)_0^1 e_{\lambda}^{*(l+2)} \\ &= z + \sum_{l=0}^r \left(\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda\right)^l z\right)_0^1 e_{\lambda}^{*(l+1)} - \widehat{z}(k) e^{2\pi i k t}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the Lemma 6 can be proved in the case  $\lambda \neq 2\pi i k$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{L}$ . Note that by using of (11) and (18) the formula (39) is the *polynomial expansion of Bernoulli* in the special case  $\lambda=0$ :

$$z(t) = \widehat{z}(0) + \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} z^{(l)}_0 \frac{1}{(l+1)!} B_{l+1}(t) - \frac{1}{r!} (B_r * z^{(r)})(t).$$

Applying (31) and (39), we obtain

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} Sp\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)b &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} a_{jr} T_{\lambda}^r\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_j\right)^r \left[\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_j\right)^{n_j-r} p_j\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)b\right] \\ &= b + \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} a_{jr} \left(\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_j\right)^{l+n_j-r} p_j\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)b\right)_0^1 e_{\lambda_j}^{*(l+1)} + u \end{aligned}$$

with certain function  $u \in \text{Ker } p(D)$ . By Lemma 1 we have  $b^{(j)}_0^1 = y^{(j)}_0^1 = \eta_j$  ( $j=0, \dots, n$ ) and hence

$$\zeta_{j,r} = [(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_j)^r p_j(\frac{d}{dt}) y] \Big|_0 = [(\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_j)^r p_j(\frac{d}{dt}) b] \Big|_0 \quad (j=1, \dots, s; r=0, \dots, n_j-1).$$

Consequently by (38) and (41), any solution of (35) is given by

$$(42) \quad y = \begin{cases} Sg - \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \alpha_{jr} \zeta_{j,n_j-r+l} e_{\lambda_j}^{*(l+1)} + \sum_{\mu=1}^m \gamma_\mu e^{2\pi i k_\mu t} & \text{if } K \neq \emptyset, \\ Sg - \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{r=1}^{n_j} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \alpha_{jr} \zeta_{j,n_j-r+l} e_{\lambda_j}^{*(l+1)} & \text{if } K = \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where  $\gamma_\mu$  are arbitrary complex numbers.

Assume that  $K \neq \emptyset$ . Then by (25) and (37),

$$(Pf)(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^m (\widehat{g}(k_\mu) - (p(\frac{d}{dt})b)^\wedge(k_\mu)) e^{2\pi i k_\mu t}.$$

Since  $x \in \text{Dom } D^n$  and  $(D^k x)^\wedge(0) = x^{(k-1)} \Big|_0 = 0 \quad (k=1, \dots, n)$  holds, we obtain by (5), (15) and (36)

$$\begin{aligned} (p(\frac{d}{dt})b)^\wedge(k_\mu) e^{2\pi i k_\mu t} &= (p(\frac{d}{dt})y - p(\frac{d}{dt})x) * e^{2\pi i k_\mu t} \\ &= (p(\frac{d}{dt})y) * e^{2\pi i k_\mu t} - (p(D)x) * e^{2\pi i k_\mu t} = (p(\frac{d}{dt})y) * e^{2\pi i k_\mu t} - p(D)(x * e^{2\pi i k_\mu t}) \\ &= (p(\frac{d}{dt})y) * e^{2\pi i k_\mu t}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, a continuously differentiable function  $h$  satisfies

$$((\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_j)h) * e^{\lambda_j t} = h \Big|_0 e^{\lambda_j t}$$

with  $\lambda_j = 2\pi i k_\mu$ . Choosing

$$h = (\frac{d}{dt} - \lambda_j)^{n_j-1} p_j(\frac{d}{dt}) y$$

we obtain

$$(Pf)(t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^m (\widehat{g}(k_\mu) - \zeta_{j,n_j-1}) e^{2\pi i k_\mu t} \quad \text{with } \lambda_j = 2\pi i k_\mu.$$

Consequently,  $Pf=0$  holds if and only if

$$(43) \quad \widehat{g}(k_\mu) = \zeta_{j,n_j-1} \quad \text{for all } \mu=1, \dots, m \text{ and } \lambda_j = 2\pi i k_\mu.$$

We summarize:

**Theorem 7.** *If  $K \neq \emptyset$ , then the inhomogeneous boundary value problem (35) is solvable if and only if the condition (43) is fulfilled. Under the assumption (43), any solution of (35) possesses the form (42). If  $K = \emptyset$ , then the problem (35) is uniquely solvable and the solution is given by (42).*



**7. Examples.** Let us consider the special boundary value problem

$$(44) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \alpha\right)\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \beta\right)y(t) = g(t) \quad (t \in [0, 1]),$$

$$y|_0^1 = \eta_0, \quad y'|_0^1 = \eta_1$$

and apply Theorem 7.

If  $\alpha, \beta \neq 2\pi ik$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then the unique solution of (44) is given by

$$y = y(t; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} (e_\alpha * g - e_\beta * g + (\beta\eta_0 - \eta_1)e_\alpha + (\eta_1 - \alpha\eta_0)e_\beta).$$

If  $\alpha = 2\pi il$  ( $l \in \mathcal{L}$ ) and  $\beta \neq 2\pi ik$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{L}$  and if the condition  $\widehat{g}(k) = \eta_1 - \beta\eta_0$  is fulfilled, then any solution of (44) is given by  $y(t) = y(t; 2\pi il, \beta) + \gamma e^{2\pi ilt}$  with arbitrary complex number  $\gamma$ .

If  $\alpha = 2\pi il$ ,  $\beta = 2\pi ik$  ( $l, k \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $l \neq k$ ) and if the conditions

$$\widehat{g}(k) = \eta_1 - 2\pi il\eta_0,$$

$$\widehat{g}(l) = \eta_1 - 2\pi ik\eta_0$$

are fulfilled, then any solution of (44) can be represented by

$$y(t) = y(t; 2\pi il, 2\pi ik) + \gamma_1 e^{2\pi ilt} + \gamma_2 e^{2\pi ikt}$$

with arbitrary complex numbers  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ .

If  $\alpha = \beta \neq 2\pi ik$  for all  $k \in \mathcal{L}$ , then the unique solution of (44) is given by  $y = y(t; \alpha) = e_\alpha^{*2} * g - (\eta_1 - \alpha\eta_0)e_\alpha^{*2} - \eta_0 e_\alpha$ .

Finally, if  $\alpha = \beta = 2\pi ik$  ( $k \in \mathcal{L}$ ) and if the condition  $\widehat{g}(k) = \eta_1 - 2\pi ik\eta_0$  is satisfied, then any solution of (44) is given by

$$y(t) = y(t; 2\pi ik) + \gamma e^{2\pi ikt}$$

with arbitrary complex number  $\gamma$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Butzer, P. L., R. J. Nessel. *Fourier Analysis and Approximation*. Basel — Stuttgart, 1971.
2. Dimovski, I. *Convolutional Calculus*. Sofia, 1982.
3. Grozdev, S. I. *A convolutional approach to abstract differential equations in resonance cases*. Ph. D. Thesis. Sofia, 1980.
4. Kaplan, W. *Operational Methods for Linear Systems*. Reading, Mass., 1962.

*Wilhelm-Pieck-Universität Rostock*  
*Sektion Mathematik*  
*DDR-2500 Rostock, Universitätsplatz 1*

*Received 8. 3. 1983*