

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicaciones

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.

Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgariacae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

REDUCIBILITY OF KAMPÉ DE FÉRIET'S HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES OF HIGHER ORDER

M. A. PATHAN, M. I. QURESHI, F. U. KHAN

The main object of this paper is to obtain a reduction formula of Kampé de Fériet's hypergeometric series. As special cases of our main result, we obtain the correct form of three erroneous reduction formulae of Pathan [10; pp. 1115(3.2), 1116(3.3) and (3.4)].

1. Introduction. From time to time by the concept of separation of a power series into its even and odd terms, many research workers such as Barr [4, p. 591(1)], Carlson [5, p. 234(10)], Mac Robert [7, p. 95(8)], Manocha [9, p. 43(3)], Sharma [13, p. 145(2)], [14, pp. 95(1), 99 (line 6)] and Srivastava [16, p. 191(3)], etc. have developed and used different types of hypergeometric series identities. Indeed, the useful nature of these identities had an impressive influence in the development of the transformations and reductions of hypergeometric functions of one and more variables. Using the fact, we apply here a series identity together with Dixon's theorem to obtain a reduction formula of Kampé de Fériet's double hypergeometric function of higher order into a combination of two generalized hypergeometric series ${}_pF_q$'s. By suitable adjustment of parameters, variables and by process of confluence in the main reduction formula, we obtain some known reduction formulae of Bailey [2, p. 245(2.05)], [3, p. 239(4.6)] and Preece [11, pp. 375(8), 378 (11)]. Subsequently some incorrect reduction formulae of Pathan [10, pp. 1115 (3.2), 1116(3.3) and (3.4)] are also corrected here.

2. Main reduction formula. Consider the double hypergeometric series of Kampé de Fériet in the notation of Srivastava and Panda [17, p. 423 (26)] in the form

$$(2.1) \quad S = F_{q; 1; 1}^{p; 1; 1} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p): d-2e+1; d; & x, -x \\ (b_q): 2-2e; & 2e; \end{matrix} \right],$$

where (a_p) etc. are abbreviated for the array of p parameters a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p and (a_0) denotes the absence of the parameter. (2.1) can be written in power series form

$$(2.2) \quad S = \sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(a_p)]_{m+n}(d-2e+1)_m(d)_n(-1)^n x^{m+n}}{[(b_q)]_{m+n}(2-2e)_m(2e)_n m! n!},$$

where $[(a_p)]_s$ etc. denote

$$\prod_{i=1}^p (a_i)_s = \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{(\Gamma a_i + s)}{\Gamma a_i}.$$

On using Lemma [12, p. 56(1)] and a result [12, p. 32(8)] in (2.2), we get

$$(2.3) \quad S = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(a_p)_m(d-2e+1)_m x^m}{[(b_q)_m(2-2e)_m m!} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -m, d, 2e-m-1; \\ 2e, 2e-d-m; \end{matrix} 1 \right].$$

Now using Dixon's summation theorem [15, p. 52(2.3.3.6)] for well poised generalized hypergeometric polynomial ${}_3F_2(1)$ [12, pp. 73(2), 92(§ 53)] in (2.3), we have

$$(2.4) \quad S = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(a_p)_m(d-2e+1)_m (\frac{1}{2}+e-d-\frac{m}{2})_m (2e-m)_m x^m}{[(b_q)_m(2-2e)_m(2e-d-m)_m (\frac{1}{2}+e-\frac{m}{2})_m m!}$$

which on using the series identity

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A(m) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A(2m) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A(2m+1)$$

and Lemma 5 in [12, p. 22], reduces in the form of our required reduction formula

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}_{F_{q; 1; 1}}^{p; 1; 1} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p): d-2e+1; d; \\ (b_q): 2-2e; 2e; \end{matrix} x, -x \right] \\ & = {}_{2p+2}F_{2q+3} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(a_p), \frac{1}{2}(a_p)+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+(e-d), \frac{1}{2}+(d-e); \\ \frac{1}{2}(b_q), \frac{1}{2}(b_q)+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+2e}{2}, \frac{3-2e}{2}; \end{matrix} 4^{p-q-1} \cdot x^2 \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{x(e-d)(2e-1) \prod_{i=1}^p (a_i)}{2e(1-e) \prod_{i=1}^q (b_i)} \\ & \times {}_{2p+2}F_{2q+3} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(a_p)+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}(a_p)+1, 1+e-d, 1-e+d; \\ \frac{1}{2}(b_q)+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}(b_q)+1, \frac{3}{2}, 2-e, e+1; \end{matrix} 4^{p-q-1} \cdot x^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

3. Special cases. Putting $p=2$, $q=1$, $a_1=2a$, $a_2=2b$ and $b_1=2c$ in (2.6), we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & {}_{F_{1; 1; 1}}^{2; 1; 1} \left[\begin{matrix} 2a, 2b: d-2e+1; d; \\ 2c: 2-2e; 2e; \end{matrix} x, -x \right] \\ & = {}_6F_5 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, \frac{2a+1}{2}, \frac{2b+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}+(e-d), \frac{1}{2}+(d-e); \\ c, \frac{2c+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+2e}{2}, \frac{3-2e}{2}; \end{matrix} x^2 \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{x(e-d)(2e-1) ab}{e(1-e)c}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\times {}_6F_5 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{2a+1}{2}, \frac{2b+1}{2}, a+1, b+1, 1+e-d, 1-e+d; \\ \frac{2c+1}{2}, c+1, \frac{3}{2}, 2-e, e+1; \end{matrix} x^2 \right]$$

which is the correct form of Pathan's result [10, p. 1115(3.2)].

When b , a , e and x are replaced by c , $\frac{a}{2}$, $\frac{e}{2}$ and $-x$, respectively, in (3.1) then we get a known reduction formula of Bailey [3, p. 239(4.6)]

$$(3.2) \quad F_2[a; d, d-e+1; e, 2-e; x, -x]$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2}, \frac{a+1}{2}, (\frac{1+e}{2})-d, (\frac{1-e}{2})+d; \\ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+e}{2}, \frac{3-e}{2}; \end{matrix} x^2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{ax(e-1)(e-2d)}{e(2-e)} \times {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{a+2}{2}, (\frac{2+e}{2})-d, (\frac{2-e}{2})+d; \\ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{4-e}{2}, \frac{e+2}{2}; \end{matrix} x^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

which is the correct form of Pathan [10, p. 1116(3.3)]. Here F_2 is Appell's function of second kind [1, p. 14(12)].

Putting $d=e$ in (3.1), we get the following correct form of Pathan [10, p. 1116(3.4)]

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &F_{\frac{2}{1}; \frac{1}{1}; \frac{1}{1}} \left[\begin{matrix} 2a, 2b; 1-e; e; \\ 2c; 2-2e; 2e; \end{matrix} x, -x \right] \\ &= {}_5F_4 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, \frac{2a+1}{2}, \frac{2b+1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; \\ c, \frac{2c+1}{2}, \frac{1+2e}{2}, \frac{3-2e}{2}; \end{matrix} x^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing b , a , e and x by c , $a/2$, $e/2$ and $-x/a$, respectively, in (3.3), taking $|a| \rightarrow \infty$, using the confluence principle [6, p. 48 (§ 3.5)] and Kummer's first transformation [12, p. 125(2)], we have a known result of Prece [11, p. 375(8)]

$$(3.4) \quad {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{e}{2}; \\ e; \end{matrix} x \right] {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{2-e}{2}; \\ 2-e; \end{matrix} x \right] = e^x {}_1F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}; \\ \frac{1+e}{2}, \frac{3-e}{2}; \end{matrix} \frac{x^2}{4} \right],$$

where ${}_1F_1$ is Kummer's confluent hypergeometric function [12, p. 123(1)].

In (2.6) putting $e=1/2$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad F_{q; \frac{1}{1}; \frac{1}{1}}^{\rho; 1; 1} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_\rho); d; d; \\ (b_q); 1; 1; \end{matrix} x, -x \right]$$

$$= 2p + 2F2q + 3 \left[\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}(a_p), \frac{1}{2}(a_p) + \frac{1}{2}, d, 1-d; \\ \frac{1}{2}, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}(b_q), \frac{1}{2}(b_q) + \frac{1}{2}; \end{array} \right]^{4^{p-q-1} \cdot x^2}.$$

In (3.2) replacing x by x/a , taking $|a| \rightarrow \infty$, using confluence principle, we have another known result of Prece [11, p. 378(11), see also 8, p. 395, Ex 110(ii)]

$$(3.6) \quad {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} d; & x \\ e; & \end{matrix} \right] {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} d-e+1; & -x \\ 2-e; & \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= {}_2F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} (\frac{1+e}{2})-d, (\frac{1-e}{2})+d; & \frac{x^2}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3-e}{2}, \frac{e+1}{2}; & \end{matrix} \right] - \frac{(1-e)(e-2d)x}{e(2-e)}$$

$$\times {}_2F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{e}{2}+(1-d), (1+d)-\frac{e}{2}; & \frac{x^2}{4} \\ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{4-e}{2}, \frac{2+e}{2}; & \end{matrix} \right].$$

The result (3.6) may also be obtained from (2.6) by suitable adjustment of parameters and variables, with $p=q=0$ and using the fact that the empty product is interpreted as unity. Similarly in (3.6), replacing x by x/d and $|d| \rightarrow \infty$, we have a known result of Bailey [2, p. 245(2.05)]

$$(3.7) \quad {}_0F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -; & x \\ e; & \end{matrix} \right] {}_0F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -; & -x \\ 2-e; & \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= {}_0F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} -; & \frac{-x^2}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3-e}{2}, \frac{e+1}{2}; & \end{matrix} \right] + \frac{2x(1-e)}{e(2-e)} \times {}_0F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} -; & \frac{-x^2}{4} \\ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{4-e}{2}, \frac{2+e}{2}; & \end{matrix} \right],$$

where ${}_0F_1$ is Bessel function [12, p. 74(3)].

When $d=0$ or $d=2e-1$, (2.6) reduces to a result in the form

$$(3.8) \quad p + 1Fq + 1 \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p), 1-2e; & x \\ (b_q), 2-2e; & \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= 2p + 1F2q + 2 \left[\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}(a_p), \frac{1}{2}(a_p) + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1-2e}{2}; \\ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}(b_q), \frac{1}{2}(b_q) + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3-2e}{2}; \end{array} \right]^{4^{p-q-1} \cdot x^2}$$

$$+ \frac{x(1-2e) \prod_{i=1}^p (a_i)}{2(1-e) \prod_{i=1}^q (b_i)}$$

$$\times {}_{2p+1}F_{2q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}(a_p) + \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{1}{2}(a_p) + 1, & 1-e; \\ \frac{1}{2}(b_q) + \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{1}{2}(b_q) + 1, & \frac{3}{2}, & 2-e; \end{matrix} \right] {}^{4^{p-q-1} \cdot x^2}.$$

It is noteworthy that results similar to (3.8) were also obtained by Barr [4, p. 591(1)], Carlson [5, 234(10)], Manocha [9, p. 43(3)], Sharma [13, p. 145(2)] and Srivastava [16, p. 191(3)]. When $e=2d$, (3.2) reduces in the form

$$(3.9) \quad F_2[a; d, 1-d; 2d, 2-2d; x, -x] = {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2}, & \frac{a+1}{2}, & \frac{1}{2}; \\ \frac{1+2d}{2}, & \frac{3-2d}{2}; \end{matrix} x^2 \right],$$

which is the special case of Bailey [3, p. 239(4.4)].

RÉFÉRENCES

1. P. Appell, J. Kampé de Fériet. Fonctions hypergéométriques et hypersphériques, Polynômes d'Hermite. Paris, 1926.
2. W. N. Bailey. Products of generalized hypergeometric series. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2), **28**, 1927, 242–254.
3. W. N. Bailey. On the sum of a terminating ${}_3F_2(1)$. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, **4**, 1953, 237–240.
4. G. E. Barr. The integration of generalized hypergeometric functions. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **65**, 1969, 591–595.
5. B. C. Carlson. Some extensions of Lardner's relations between ${}_0F_3$ and Bessel functions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **1**, 1970, 232–242.
6. Y. L. Luke. The Special functions and their approximations, Vol. 1. New York and London, 1969.
7. T. M. MacRobert. Integrals involving a modified Bessel function of the second kind and an E-function. *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.*, **2**, 1954, 93–96.
8. T. M. MacRobert. Functions of a complex variable. London, 1958.
9. H. L. Manocha. Generating functions for Jacobi and Laguerre polynomials. *Mat Vesn.*, **11**, 1974, 43–47.
10. M. A. Pathan. On some transformations of triple hypergeometric series $F^{(3)}$. III. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **9**, 1978, 1113–1117.
11. C. T. Preece. The product of two generalized hypergeometric functions. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)*, **22**, 1924, 370–380.
12. E. D. Rainville. Special functions. New York, 1960.
13. B. L. Sharma. A formula for hypergeometric series and its applications. *An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Sti. Mat.*, **12**, 1974, 145–154.
14. B. L. Sharma. Some new formulae for hypergeometric series. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **16**, 1976, 95–99.
15. L. J. Slater. Generalized hypergeometric functions. Cambridge, 1966.
16. H. M. Srivastava. A note on certain identities involving generalized hypergeometric series. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A.*, **82** (2)-Indag. Math., **41** (2), 1979, 191–201.
17. H. M. Srivastava, R. Panda. An integral representation for the product of two Jacobi polynomials. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, **12**, 1976, 419–425.