

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Serdica

## Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

---

# Сердика

## Българско математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

## TWO REMARKS ON BOUNDED ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

ST. RUSCHEWEYH

**1. Introduction.** Let  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  be analytic in the unit disc  $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and bounded:

$$(1) \quad \sup_{|z| < 1} |f(z)| \leq 1.$$

The following inequalities are well-known:

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \leq 1,$$

$$(3) \quad |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |f(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad z \in D.$$

(2) is sharp if and only if the  $L^2$ -function

$$(4) \quad \widehat{f}(\theta) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \overline{r} |f(re^{i\theta})|$$

is 1 a. e. on  $[0, 2\pi)$  while equality holds in (3) only for suitable functions of the form

$$(5) \quad f(z) = \lambda \frac{z + z_0}{1 + \overline{z_0}z}, \quad |z_0| < 1, \quad |\lambda| = 1.$$

In the present note we improve upon (2) under the additional assumption that the range of  $f$  is bounded away from a certain point on  $\partial D$  and we shall generalize (3) to higher derivatives of  $f$ .

**2. Refinement of (2).** **Theorem 1.** *Let  $0 < s < 2$ . Assume that  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  is analytic in  $D$  and satisfies the inequalities  $|f(z)| < 1$ ,  $|f(z) + 1| \geq s$  in  $D$ . Then*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \leq 1 - s^2 \operatorname{Re} \frac{1 - a_0}{1 + a_0}.$$

(6) is sharp for every admissible choice of  $s$  and  $a_0 = f(0)$ .

It is obvious how (6) must be modified if we have  $f$  bounded away from another point on  $\partial D$  instead of the point  $-1$ . Theorem 1 — for the cases  $0 < s < 1$ ,  $a_0 = 0$  — has first been established in [2] by a more direct approach. The method presented below was essentially suggested by J. H e r s c h. It rests completely on the following Lemma which is immediately verified but required considerable ingenuity to be obtained. It is due to J. M o s e r.

**Lemma.** Let  $D_s = D \setminus \{z : |z+1| \leq s\}$ . Then

$$(7) \quad v(z) = 1 - s^2 \operatorname{Re} \frac{1-z}{1+z}$$

is harmonic in  $\bar{D}_s$  and satisfies

$$(8) \quad v(z) = |z|^2, \quad z \in \partial D_s.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $g$  be a conformal mapping of  $D$  onto  $D_s$  with  $g(0) = f(0)$ . Then the assumptions on  $f$  imply that  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  in  $D$  and Littlewood's theorem (see [1, p. 36]) and the fact that  $g$  extends continuously to  $D$  implies that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta,$$

with equality for  $f \equiv g$ . Now let  $u$  be the harmonic function in  $D$  with  $u(e^{i\theta}) = |g(e^{i\theta})|^2$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ .

The mean value property of harmonic functions implies that

$$(10) \quad u(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta.$$

Now let  $v(z) = u(g^{-1}(z))$ ,  $z \in \bar{D}_s$ . Then  $v$  is harmonic in  $D_s$  and for  $\zeta \in \partial D_s$  we get  $v(\zeta) = u(g^{-1}(\zeta)) = |\zeta|^2$ . Since Dirichlet's problem has a unique solution in  $D_s$  we see that  $v$  is the function (7) and by definition we deduce  $v(a_0) = v(g(0)) = u(0)$ . This combined with (9), (10) proves (6). It is clear that for  $f \equiv g$  we have equality in (6).

**3. Estimate for the  $n$ -th derivative of  $f$ .** Let  $f$  be as in the introduction. In [3] we proved that for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $z \in D$ , we have

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq 2n! \frac{1 - |f(z)|}{(1 - |z|)^n (1 + |z|)}$$

and it was conjectured that the factor 2 can be replaced by  $1 + |f(z)|$ . We now show that this is true and that the factor of  $(1 - |f(z)|)^2$  is best possible although — for  $n > 1$  — equality holds only in the trivial case  $f \equiv \varepsilon$ ,  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f$  be analytic in  $D$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Then

$$(11) \quad |f^{(n)}(z)| \leq n! \frac{1 - |f(z)|^2}{(1 - |z|)^n (1 + |z|)}, \quad z \in D.$$

For  $n > 1$ ,  $z \neq 0$ , equality holds for  $f \equiv \varepsilon$ ,  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ . For every  $z \in D$  there exist functions  $f_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ , bounded and analytic in  $D$  such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f_j^{(n)}(z)|}{1 - |f_j(z)|^2} = \frac{n!}{(1 - |z|)^n (1 + |z|)}.$$

**Remark.** Szász [4] obtained sharp estimates for  $|f^{(n)}(z)|$ ,  $z \in D$ , but independent of  $|f(z)|$ .

The following Lemma is well-known:

**Lemma.** Let  $h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$  be analytic in  $D$ ,  $|h(z)| \leq 1$  in  $D$ . Then

$$(12) \quad |b_k| \leq 1 - |b_0|^2, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

For  $k$  fixed we have equality in (12) only for

$$(13) \quad h(z) = \varepsilon \frac{z^k + a}{1 + az^k}, \quad |a| \leq 1, \quad |\varepsilon| = 1.$$

We note that equality in (12) holds simultaneously for two different values of  $k$  if and only if  $h \equiv \varepsilon$ ,  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ .

Proof of Theorem 2. The Lemma proves (11) for  $z = 0$ . Now let  $z \neq 0$  and define

$$h(x) = f\left(\frac{x+z}{1+zx}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k.$$

Then, by a formula of Szász [4] we obtain

$$\frac{(1-|z|^2)^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{n-k} b_k \bar{z}^{n-k}$$

and  $b_0 = h(0) = f(z)$ . Using (12) we get

$$(14) \quad \frac{(1-|z|^2)^n}{n!} |f^{(n)}(z)| \leq (1-|b_0|^2) \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{n-k} |z|^{n-k} = (1-|f(z)|^2)(1+|z|)^{n-1},$$

which is equivalent to (11). We also note that equality holds in (14) only if we have simultaneous equality in (12) for  $k=1, \dots, n$  which is possible only for  $h \equiv \varepsilon$ ,  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ , and therefore for  $f \equiv \tilde{\varepsilon}$ ,  $|\tilde{\varepsilon}| = 1$ .

Now fix  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $z \neq 0$ , and choose a sequence  $a_j \in \mathbb{D}$  with  $a_j \rightarrow z/|z|$ . Then for the bounded analytic functions

$$f_j(z) = \frac{z - a_j}{1 - \bar{a}_j z}$$

we find

$$\frac{|f_j^{(n)}(z)|}{|1 - \bar{f}_j(z)|^2} = \frac{n! |a_j|^{n-1}}{|1 - \bar{a}_j z|^{n-1} (1 - |z|^2)} \rightarrow \frac{n!}{(1 - |z|)^{n-1} (1 + |z|^2)}$$

or  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves the second claim of Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. Ch. Pommerenke. Univalent functions. Vandenhoeck u. Rupprecht, 1975.
2. St. Ruscheweyh. On a global descent method for polynomials. *Num. Math.* (to appear).
3. St. Ruscheweyh. Über einige Klassen im Einheitskreis holomorpher Funktionen. *Ber. d. Math. Stat. Sektion, Forschungszentrum Graz.*, 7, 1974.
4. O. Szász. Ungleichheitsbeziehungen für die Ableitungen einer Potenzreihe, die eine im Einheitskreise beschränkte Funktion darstellt. *Math. Z.*, 8, 1920, 303-309.

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Würzburg  
Am Hubland, D-87 Würzburg, F. R. G.

Received 16. 5. 1984