

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or
institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or
licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

ON THE COVERING OF TRIPLES BY EIGHT BLOCKS

D. T. TODOROV

Let $n > k > t$ be positive integers, and let \mathcal{X} be a set of n elements. Let $C(n, k, t)$ denote the number of k -tuples (subsets of \mathcal{X} having k elements each) in a minimal system of k -tuples such that every t -tuple is contained in at least one k -tuple of the system. It is known when $C(n, k, 3) = i$ for $i \leq 7$ [1, 2]. In this paper a complete description is given of those n and k for which $C(n, k, 3) = 8$.

1. Introduction. Let \mathcal{X} be a set of n elements, i. e. $|\mathcal{X}| = n$, and $n > k \geq t$ be positive integers. A collection $F = \{B_1, \dots, B_m\}$ of k -tuples of \mathcal{X} is called (n, k, t) -covering if every t -tuple of \mathcal{X} is contained in at least one B_i . The elements of F are called blocks. Let $C(n, k, t)$ denote the smallest integer m such that there exists an (n, k, t) -covering (called covering design) having m blocks. For $\{a_1, \dots, a_p\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, and $G \subseteq F$ let $G(a_1, \dots, a_p)$ denote the number of blocks of G containing $\{a_1, \dots, a_p\}$, i. e.

$$G(a_1, \dots, a_p) = |\{B_i \in G : \{a_1, \dots, a_p\} \subseteq B_i\}|.$$

If $G(a_1, \dots, a_p) = 0$ we shall write $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \bar{c} G$. The following inequalities hold (cf. [1]):

$$(1) \quad F(a) \geq C(n-1, k-1, t-1),$$

$$(2) \quad C(n, k, t) \geq]n C(n-1, k-1, t-1) / k[,$$

where $]x[$ denotes the smallest integer that is at least x .

For any $a \in \mathcal{X}$ let $SF(a)$ denote the set of all $\beta \in \mathcal{X}$ that are contained in exactly the same blocks $B_i \in F$ as a ($a \in SF(a)$).

Further on, let $\mathcal{X}(i) = \{a \in \mathcal{X} : F(a) = i\}$. It follows from (1) that if $i < C(n-1, k-1, t-1)$ then $\mathcal{X}(i) = \emptyset$. Let $A \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, $G \subseteq F$, $G = \{B_{i_1}, \dots, B_{i_s}\}$. We say that A is "broken" in G if $A \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^s B_{i_j}$, $A \cap B_{i_j} \neq \emptyset$, $A \not\subseteq B_{i_j}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$.

Let m, t be positive integers. It was shown in [1] that in the set of all ordered pairs (n, k) such that $C(n, k, t) \leq m$ there exists a pair with n/k maximal. The notation $\Gamma_{m,t}$ was introduced for this maximal ratio. Particularly, a complete description was obtained of those n and k for which $C(n, k, 2) = i$, $3 \leq i \leq 12$, and $C(n, k, 3) = j$, $4 \leq j \leq 6$ (we note that $C(n, k, t) \geq t+1$ [1]). The case $C(n, k, 3) = 7$ was studied in [2]. Combining the results in [1] and [2] we get the following theorem:

Theorem 1. (a) $C(n, k, 3) = 4$ if and only if $1 < n/k \leq 4/3$.

(b) $C(n, k, 3) = 5$ if and only if $4/3 < n/k \leq 7/5$.

(c) $C(n, k, 3) = 6$ if and only if $7/5 < n/k \leq 3/2$.

$$(n, k) \neq (6t+3, 4t+2), t \geq 1.$$

(d) $C(n, k, 3) = 7$ if and only if either $(n, k) = (6t+3, 4t+2), t \geq 1$ or $3/2 < n/k \leq 17/11, (n, k) \neq (17t+3, 11t+2)$.

It follows from this theorem that $\Gamma_{4,3} = 4/3, \Gamma_{5,3} = 7/5, \Gamma_{6,3} = 3/2, \Gamma_{7,3} = 17/11$.

2. The Case $C(n, k, 3) = 8$

Lemma. Let $n > k \geq 3$ be integers such that either

- (i) $n/k > 8/5$, or
- (ii) $n = 8t+3, k = 5t+2, t > 1$.

Then $C(n, k, 3) > 8$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary. Let $|X| = n$ and $F = \{B_1, \dots, B_8\}$ be an $(n, k, 3)$ -covering. Denote $\bar{B}_i = X \setminus B_i, i \leq 8$ (\bar{B}_i are also called blocks). It seems more convenient to write $\bar{B}_{ij} \dots_s$ instead of $B_i \cap B_j \cap \dots \cap B_s (\bar{B}_i \cap \bar{B}_j \cap \dots \cap \bar{B}_s), 1 \leq i, j, \dots, s \leq 8$.

If $n/k > 8/5$ then $(n-1)/(k-1) > 8/5$, and $C(n-1, k-1, 2) \geq 4[1, 3]$. Therefore $F(\alpha) \geq 4$ for every $\alpha \in X$ due to (1). We distinguish two cases:

Case 1. $X(4) \neq \emptyset$.

Note that if (i) holds then $X(4) \neq \emptyset$ since

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in X} |F(\alpha)| = k |F|.$$

Now let $\alpha \in X(4), \alpha \in B_{1234}$, and let $|SF(\alpha)|$ be maximal, i. e. if $\beta \in X(4)$ then $|SF(\beta)| \leq |SF(\alpha)|$. Denote $F_1 = \{B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4\}$. Obviously the block $B_i \setminus SF(\alpha), i \leq 4$, form an $(n - |SF(\alpha)|, k - |SF(\alpha)|, 2)$ -covering, and since $C(n, k, 2) = 4$ if and only if $3/2 < n/k \leq 5/3$ (cf.[1]) then

$$(4) \quad |SF(\alpha)| \leq [(5k - 3n)/2].$$

We can suppose that $SF(\alpha) = B_{1234}$ (if $\gamma \in B_{12345}$ then γ can be removed from B_5). Further on, there exists $\beta \in X \setminus SF(\alpha), F_1(\beta) = 2$. Let $\beta \in B_{12}, A = B_{12} \setminus SF(\alpha), B = \bar{B}_1 \cup \bar{B}_2 (\bar{B}_{12} = \emptyset)$, since if $\gamma \in \bar{B}_{12}$ then $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \bar{c} F$. Let $A_i = A \cap B_i, i = 3, 4 (A_3 \cap A_4 = \emptyset), A' = A \setminus (A_3 \cup A_4)$. Since the pairs (\bar{B}_1, \bar{B}_2) have to be covered in B_3, B_4 , and since this cannot be done in one of these blocks $(2(n-k) > k)$ then without loss of generality we can suppose that \bar{B}_1 is "broken" in $Q = \{B_3, B_4\}$, and $\bar{B}_2 \subset B_{34}$. Let $\bar{B}_1 = \bar{B}_1 \cap B_{34}, \omega = |\bar{B}_1|, X_1 = A_3 \cup A_4 \cup \bar{B}_1, X_2 = A' \cup (\bar{B}_1 \setminus \bar{B}_1)$. If $b \in X_1$ then $F(\alpha, b) = 3$, and there exists $\gamma \in X$ such that $F(\alpha, \gamma) = F(\alpha, \gamma, b) = 2$. If $b \in X_2$ then $F(\alpha, b) = 2$. Obviously $|X_1| = |A_3 \cup A_4| + \omega = 5k - 3n - 2 |SF(\alpha)|, |SF(\alpha)| + |A| = 2k - n, |X_2| = 3n - 4k + 2 |SF(\alpha)|$.

1) For every $\beta \in X_2, F(\beta) \geq 5$.

Conversely, let $\beta \in \bar{B}_{3456}$. Reversing the roles of α and β we see that one of the $\bar{B}_i, i = 1, 2$, say \bar{B}_1 , is "broken" in $\{B_7, B_8\}$, and the other (\bar{B}_2) is contained in B_{78} . If $\gamma \in A' \cap \bar{B}_{78}$ then from $\bar{B}_i, i \geq 5$:

$$4 |SF(\alpha)| + 2(n-k) + 2 |A| + |A_3 \cup A_4| \geq 4(n-k),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $A' \cap \bar{B}_{78} = \emptyset$, and from \bar{B}_7, \bar{B}_8

$$2 |SF(\alpha)| + |A \setminus \{\beta\}| + |A_3 \cup A_4| + (n-k) \geq 2(n-k).$$

This yields $5n - 8k + 2 \leq 0$ contradicting (i) as well as (ii). Thus it is proved that if $\beta \in A'$ then $F(\beta) \geq 5$ but obviously our considerations are valid for $\beta \in \bar{B}_1 \setminus \bar{B}_1$.

2) For every $a \in \mathcal{X}_1$, $F(a) \geq 5$.

We shall prove the assertion for $a \in A_3$ but the proof will be valid for all elements in \mathcal{X}_1 .

Suppose on the contrary, and let $a \in A \cap \bar{B}_{4567}$. Therefore $\bar{B}_8 \cap (\bar{B}_2 \cup \bar{B}_{13}) = \emptyset$ (if either $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{28}$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{13}$, or $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{138}$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_2$ then $(a, b_1, b_2) \in cF$). If $A' \cap \bar{B}_8 = \emptyset$ then from \bar{B}_8 :

$$|SF(a)| + |(A_3 \cup A_4) \setminus \{a\}| + |\bar{B}_{14}| + w \geq n - k,$$

which is a contradiction since from B_3 we have $|\bar{B}_{14}| \leq 2k - n - |SF(a)| - w$. Thus $A' \cap \bar{B}_8 \neq \emptyset$ ($|A' \cap \bar{B}_8| \geq 2|SF(a)|$) and consequently $\bar{B}_{2567} = \bar{B}_{1567} = \emptyset$.

2a) Let $\bar{B}_{2ij} \neq \emptyset$, $5 \leq i < j \leq 7$. From 1), and from \bar{B}_i , $i = 3, 5, 6, 7, 8$, we get (note that $\bar{B}_{1j} = \emptyset$, $j = 5, 6, 7$):

$$4|SF(a)| + 3(n - k) + |A| + |A \setminus \{a\}| + 2|A_3 \cup A_4| \geq 5(n - k).$$

This gives $10n - 16k + 1 + 2|SF(a)| \leq 0$, a contradiction.

2b) Suppose that $\bar{B}_{256} = \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{257} \neq \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{267} \neq \emptyset$. From \bar{B}_5 , \bar{B}_6 (note that $\bar{B}_{15} = \bar{B}_{16} = \emptyset$):

$$2|SF(a)| + |A \setminus (A' \cap \bar{B}_8)| + |A_3 \cup A_4| + (n - k) \geq 2(n - k),$$

which is a contradiction since $A' \cap \bar{B}_8 \neq \emptyset$.

2c) Let $\bar{B}_{256} = \bar{B}_{257} = \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{267} \neq \emptyset$. It is clear that $|\bar{B}_{267}| \leq |SF(a)| + |A \setminus (A' \cap \bar{B}_8)|$ (we have $\bar{B}_{15} = \emptyset$ and then $|\bar{B}_{25}| \geq n - k - |SF(a)| - |A \setminus (A' \cap \bar{B}_8)|$). Counting the elements in \bar{B}_i , $i \geq 5$, we obtain ($\bar{B}_{167} = \emptyset$):

$$4|SF(a)| + |A| + 2(n - k) + |\bar{B}_{256}| + 2|\mathcal{X}_1| \geq 4(n - k).$$

Since $|A' \cap \bar{B}_8| \geq 2|SF(a)|$ this gives $10n - 16k + 3|SF(a)| \leq 0$.

2d) $\bar{B}_{2ij} = \emptyset$, $5 \leq i < j \leq 7$. From \bar{B}_i , $i \leq 5$ and from 1) we get $4|SF(a)| + |A| + 2(n - k) + 2|\mathcal{X}_1| \geq 4(n - k)$, a contradiction.

It is proved that for every $a \in \mathcal{X}_1$, $F(a) \geq 5$.

3) For every $\beta \in \mathcal{X}_2$, $F(\beta) \geq 6$.

Let us denote $F_2 = F \setminus F_1 = \{B_5, B_6, B_7, B_8\}$, $A'_i = \{a \in A' : F_2(a) = 3, a \in \bar{B}_{4+i}\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

3a) $A'_i \neq \emptyset$, $i \leq 4$.

First we shall prove that $\bar{B}_{1i} = \emptyset$, $5 \leq i \leq 8$. Conversely let $\bar{B}_{15} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{2ij} = \emptyset$, $6 \leq i < j \leq 8$, since if $\bar{B}_{267} \neq \emptyset$ selecting $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{15}$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{267}$, $a \in A'_4$ we obtain $(a, b_1, b_2) \in cF$. Now using 1) and 2) we get from \bar{B}_6 , \bar{B}_7 , \bar{B}_8 :

$$3|SF(a)| + |\bar{B}_9| + |\bar{B}_1 \setminus \{b_1\}| + |A \setminus A'_1| + |\mathcal{X}_1| \geq 3(n - k)$$

($b_1 \in \bar{B}_{15}$), which is a contradiction. Hence $\bar{B}_{1i} = \emptyset$, $5 \leq i \leq 8$.

Further on, for every $b \in \bar{B}_2$, $F_2(b) \geq 2$ since if $b \in \bar{B}_{2678}$, $a \in A'_1$, $b_1 \in \bar{B}_1$ then $(a, b, b_1) \in cF$. Now from \bar{B}_i , $i = 5, 6, 7, 8$: $4|SF(a)| + 2(n - k) + |A| + |A_3 \cup A_4| \geq 4(n - k)$, a contradiction. Thus at least one of the A'_i is empty.

3b) $A'_1 = \emptyset$, $A'_i \neq \emptyset$, $i = 2, 3, 4$.

Let $\bar{B}_{15} \neq \emptyset$, and $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{15}$. As before $\bar{B}_{2ij} = \emptyset$, $6 \leq i < j \leq 8$. Suppose that $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{256}$. If $b_3 \in \bar{B}_{17}$, $a \in A_4$ then $(a, b_2, b_3) \bar{c}F$. Thus $\bar{B}_{17} = \bar{B}_{18} = \emptyset$, and since $\bar{B}_{278} = \emptyset$ then from \bar{B}_7, \bar{B}_8 we get

$$2|SF(\alpha)| + |A \setminus A'_2| + |A_3 \cup A_4| + (n-k) \geq 2(n-k),$$

a contradiction. This shows that $\bar{B}_{25i} = \emptyset$, $i=6, 7, 8$. Now from \bar{B}_i , $i=5, 6, 7, 8$: $4|SF(\alpha)| + |A_1| + |A| + 2(n-k) \geq 4(n-k)$ which yields $7n - 11k \leq 0$. Thus, we have proved that $\bar{B}_{15} = \emptyset$. Suppose that $\bar{B}_{16} \neq \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{17} \neq \emptyset$. Clearly $\bar{B}_{25i} = \emptyset$, $i=6, 7, 8$. From \bar{B}_5 : $|\bar{B}_{25}| \geq n-k - |SF(\alpha)| - |A_3 \cup A_4|$ and therefore $|\bar{B}_{26} \cup \bar{B}_{27} \cup \bar{B}_{28}| \leq |SF(\alpha)| + |A_3 \cup A_4|$. By our choice of α we have $|\bar{B}_{278}| \leq |SF(\alpha)|$. Now from \bar{B}_i , $i=5, 6, 7, 8$:

$$6|SF(\alpha)| + 2(n-k) + |A| + 2|A_3 \cup A_4| + \omega \geq 4(n-k),$$

which gives $10n - 16k + 3 \leq 0$ (note that $|SF(\alpha)| = 2k - n - |A| \leq 2k - n - 3$ since $A'_i \neq \emptyset$, $i=2, 3, 4$). Thus, not more than one of the sets \bar{B}_i , $i=6, 7, 8$ is non-empty. Let $\bar{B}_{16} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{257} = \emptyset$, and from \bar{B}_5, \bar{B}_7 :

$$2|SF(\alpha)| + (n-k) + |A \setminus (A'_4 \cup A'_2)| + |A_3 \cup A_4| \geq 2(n-k),$$

but this gives $5n - 8k + 3 \leq 0$, showing that $\bar{B}_{1i} = \emptyset$, $5 \leq i \leq 8$. Obviously $|\bar{B}_{25ij}| = 0$, $6 \leq i < j \leq 8$, $|\bar{B}_{2678}| \leq |SF(\alpha)|$, and from \bar{B}_i , $5 \leq i \leq 8$:

$$5|SF(\alpha)| + 2(n-k) + |A| + |A_3 \cup A_4| \geq 4(n-k).$$

a contradiction. Therefore at least two of the A'_i , $i \leq 4$ are empty.

3c) $A'_1 = A'_2 = \emptyset$, $A'_i \neq \emptyset$, $i=3, 4$.

Suppose that $\bar{B}_{256} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\bar{B}_{17} = \bar{B}_{18} = \emptyset$ since if $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{17}$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{256}$, $a \in A_4$ then $(b_1, b_2, a) \bar{c}F$. We shall prove that $\bar{B}_{2ij} = \emptyset$, $i=5, 6, j=7, 8$.

Conversely, let $\bar{B}_{267} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{15} = \emptyset$, and $\bar{B}_{257} = \emptyset$ since if $\bar{B}_{257} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{16} = \emptyset$, and using that $\bar{B}_{2567} = \bar{B}_{2568} = \emptyset$, $|\bar{B}_{2578}| \leq |SF(\alpha)|$, $|\bar{B}_{2678}| \leq |SF(\alpha)|$ we get from \bar{B}_i , $5 \leq i \leq 8$: $6|SF(\alpha)| + 2(n-k) + |A| + |A_3 \cup A_4| \geq 4(n-k)$, but this yields (see (4)) $7n - 11k \leq 0$. Now from \bar{B}_5, \bar{B}_7 : $2|SF(\alpha)| + |A \setminus A'_4| + |A_3 \cup A_4| + n - k \geq 2(n-k)$, a contradiction.

Thus, $\bar{B}_{2ij} = \emptyset$, $i=5, 6, j=7, 8$ and $\bar{B}_{278} \neq \emptyset$ (from \bar{B}_7, \bar{B}_8 : $2|SF(\alpha)| + (n-k) + |A| + |A_3 \cup A_4| - |(\bar{B}_5 \cup \bar{B}_6) \cap \bar{B}_2| \geq 2(n-k)$ which is a contradiction since $|(\bar{B}_5 \cup \bar{B}_6) \cap \bar{B}_2| > 0$). Now if $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{135}$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{146}$, $b_3 \in \bar{B}_{278}$ then $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \bar{c}F$ and thus either $\bar{B}_{15} \subseteq \bar{B}_1$ ($\bar{B}_{16} \subseteq \bar{B}_1$), or $\bar{B}_1 \cap (\bar{B}_5 \cup \bar{B}_6) \subseteq \bar{B}_3 \cup \bar{B}_1$ ($\bar{B}_4 \cup \bar{B}_1$). If for example $\bar{B}_{16} \subseteq \bar{B}_1$ then from \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_7 : $2|SF(\alpha)| + |A \setminus A'_4| + \omega + (n-k) + |A_3 \cup A_4| \geq 2(n-k)$, which is impossible. If $\bar{B}_1 \cap (\bar{B}_5 \cup \bar{B}_6) \subseteq \bar{B}_3 \cup \bar{B}_1$ then from \bar{B}_i , $i=4, 5, 6, 7, 8$: $4|SF(\alpha)| + 2|A| + |A_3 \cup A_4| + 3(n-k) + \omega \geq 5(n-k)$, which yields $7n - 11k \leq 0$.

It is proved that $\bar{B}_{256} = \emptyset$.

Further on, we shall prove that $\bar{B}_{2ij} = \emptyset$, $i=5, 6, j=7, 8$. Suppose that $\bar{B}_{267} \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\bar{B}_{15} = \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{257} = \bar{B}_{258} = \emptyset$ (if $\bar{B}_{257} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{16} = \emptyset$ and from \bar{B}_5 ,

\bar{B}_8 we get a contradiction) and $|\bar{B}_{25}| \geq n - k - |SF(\alpha)| - |A_3 \cup A_4|$. Obviously $|\bar{B}_{2578}| = |\bar{B}_{2533}| = |\bar{B}_{2537}| = \emptyset$, $|\bar{B}_{2378}| \leq |SF(\alpha)|$, and from \bar{B}_i , $i = 5, 6, 7, 8$:

$$6|SF(\alpha)| + 2|A_3 \cup A_4| + |A| + \omega + 2(n-k) \geq 4(n-k)$$

(only the elements of $\bar{B}_2 \setminus \bar{B}_5$ are contained in more than one of the sets \bar{B}_i , $i = 5, 6, 7, 8$), which is a contradiction. Thus, $\bar{B}_{267} = \emptyset$ and similarly $|\bar{B}_{2ij}| = \emptyset$, $i = 5, 6, j = 7, 8$. Now from $|\bar{B}_5, \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_7$:

$$3|SF(\alpha)| + 2(n-k) + |A \setminus A'_4| + |A_3 \cup A_4| + \omega \geq 3(n-k).$$

If this inequality gives no contradiction then (ii) holds, $A'_3 = A' \setminus A'_4 | A'_4| = 1$. It is easy to see that $|A'| \geq t + |SF(\alpha)| > 2$ ($t > 1$). Therefore $|A'_3| \geq 2$, and a contradiction will be received from $\bar{B}_5, \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_8$.

3d) Finally suppose that $A'_i = \emptyset$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $A'_4 \neq \emptyset$.

Clearly $\bar{B}_{2567} = \emptyset$. Suppose that $\bar{B}_{2ij} \neq \emptyset$, $5 \leq i < j \leq 7$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{1i} = \emptyset$, $i = 5, 6, 7$, and from $\bar{B}_5, \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_7$ we get

$$3|SF(\alpha)| + 2|A_3 \cup A_4| + 2(n-k) \geq 3(n-k),$$

which is a contradiction. Let $\bar{B}_{256} = \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{257} \neq \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{267} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\bar{B}_{15} = \bar{B}_{16} = \emptyset$, and from \bar{B}_5, \bar{B}_6 :

$$2|SF(\alpha)| + 2|A_3 \cup A_4| + (n-k) \geq 2(n-k),$$

a contradiction. Therefore we can suppose that $\bar{B}_{256} = \bar{B}_{257} = \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{267} \neq \emptyset$. This yields $\bar{B}_{15} = \emptyset$ and then $|\bar{B}_{25}| \geq n - k - |SF(\alpha)| - |A_3 \cup A_4|$. Now $|\bar{B}_{267}| \leq |SF(\alpha)| + |A_3 \cup A_4|$ and from $\bar{B}_5, \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_7$:

$$(5) \quad 4|SF(\alpha)| + 2(n-k) + 3|A_3 \cup A_4| + \omega \geq 3(n-k).$$

If (5) gives no contradiction then (ii) holds and $\bar{B}_3 \subseteq \bar{B}_2 \cup A' \cup SF(\alpha)$. Suppose that $\bar{B}_{258} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore either $\bar{B}_{16} \subseteq \bar{B}_1$ ($\bar{B}_{17} \subseteq \bar{B}_1$), or $\bar{B}_1 \cap (\bar{B}_6 \cup \bar{B}_7) \subseteq \bar{B}_3 \cup \bar{B}_1$ ($\bar{B}_4 \cup \bar{B}_1$) but in the both cases the inequality (5) will be strong. Thus, $\bar{B}_{258} = \emptyset$. Now from \bar{B}_5, \bar{B}_8 : $2|SF(\alpha)| + |A| + (n-k) \geq 2(n-k)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\bar{B}_{256} = \bar{B}_{257} = \bar{B}_{267} = \emptyset$, and from $\bar{B}_5, \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_7$: $3|SF(\alpha)| + 2(n-k) + 2|A_3 \cup A_4| + \omega \geq 3(n-k)$, which gives $7n - 11k \leq 0$.

Thus it is proved that for every $\beta \in \mathcal{X}_2$, $F(\beta) \geq 6$.

Since $F(\alpha) \geq 4$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$, and $|\mathcal{X}_2| = n - |\mathcal{X}_1| - |\bar{B}_2| - |SF(\alpha)| = 3n - 4k + |SF(\alpha)|$ then a simple counting yields $4n + |\mathcal{X}_1| + 2|\mathcal{X}_2| \leq 8k$, which gives $7n - 11k \leq 0$, a contradiction.

CASE 1 has been eliminated.

Case 2. $\mathcal{X}(4) = \emptyset$.

Clearly (ii) holds, and $|\mathcal{X}(5)| = 8t + 2$, $|\mathcal{X}(6)| = 1$. The element of $\mathcal{X}(6)$ will be denoted by ω .

1) Let $a \in \mathcal{X}(5)$, $a \in \bar{B}_{123}$. Suppose that one of the blocks \bar{B}_i , $i > 3$ has no common elements with the union of three of them. For example let $\bar{B}_4 \cap (\bar{B}_5 \cup \bar{B}_6 \cup \bar{B}_7) = \emptyset$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{567} \neq \emptyset$.

In 'eed if $\bar{B}_{567} = \emptyset$, then the blocks $B_i \setminus \{\alpha\}$, $i=4, 5, 6, 7$, form a $(8t+2, 5t+1, 2)$ -covering and then all triples containing α are covered by the blocks B_4, B_5, B_6, B_7 . Consequently α can be removed from B_8 , which yields $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}(4)$, contradicting CASE 1.

Now we shall prove that for every pair (α_1, α_2) , $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \geq 3$.

Conversely let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{X}(5)$, $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = 2$, $\alpha_1 \in \bar{B}_{678}$, $\alpha_2 \in \bar{B}_{345}$ and let $|SF(\alpha_1)| + |SF(\alpha_2)|$ be maximal, i. e. if $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathcal{X}(5)$, $F(\beta_1, \beta_2) = 2$ then $|SF(\beta_1)| + |SF(\beta_2)| \leq |SF(\alpha_1)| + |SF(\alpha_2)|$. We introduce the following notations: $D = \bar{B}_1 \cup \bar{B}_2$ (obviously $\bar{B}_{12} = \emptyset$) $R_1 = \{B_6, B_7, B_8\}$, $\bar{R}_1 = \{\bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_7, \bar{B}_8\}$, $R_2 = \{B_3, B_4, B_5\}$, $\bar{R}_2 = \{\bar{B}_3, \bar{B}_4, \bar{B}_5\}$, $D_i^1 = \{\alpha \in D : R_1(\alpha) = 1, \alpha \in B_i\}$, $i=6, 7, 8$, and similarly $D_i^2 = \{\alpha \in D : R_2(\alpha) = 1, \alpha \in B_i\}$, $i=3, 4, 5$, $A = B_{12} \setminus (SF(\alpha_1) \cup SF(\alpha_2))$. Note that $\bar{B}_i \cap (D \setminus \{\omega\}) \neq \emptyset$.

2) $D_i^1 = D_j^2 = \emptyset$, $i=6, 7, 8$, $j=3, 4, 5$.

Let $b_1 \in D_i^1 \cap \bar{B}_1$. If $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{2i}$ then $(b_1, b_2, \alpha_2) \bar{c} F$ so $D \cap \bar{B}_i \subset \bar{B}_1$ and this yields $D_i^1 \subset \bar{B}_1$. Thus, either $\bigcup_{i=6} D_i^1 \subset \bar{B}_1$, or $\bigcup_{i=6} D_i^1 \subset \bar{B}_2$, and obviously this holds also for the D_j^2 .

2a) First we shall prove that not more than one of the D_i^1 , and one of the D_i^2 are nonempty. Conversely let $D_6^1 \neq \emptyset$, $D_7^1 \neq \emptyset$, $D_6^1 \subset \bar{B}_1$. This yields $\bar{B}_{26} = \bar{B}_{27} = \emptyset$, and therefore $D_8^1 \neq \emptyset$ due to 1) (\bar{B}_2 has no common elements with $\bar{B}_1 \cup \bar{B}_6 \cup \bar{B}_7$). Thus, $\bar{B}_{28} = \emptyset$. Since $F(\alpha) = 5$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{\omega\}$ then $D_i^2 \neq \emptyset$, $i=3, 4, 5$, (if $D_3^2 = D_4^2 = \emptyset$, then $\bar{B}_2 \setminus \{\omega\} \subset \bar{B}_3 \cap \bar{B}_4$ and thus, $\bar{B}_3 = \bar{B}_4$ which shows that at least two of the D_i^2 are nonempty), $\bigcup_{i=3} D_i^2 = \bar{B}_2 \setminus \{\omega\}$. If there exists a pair (i, j) $i \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, $j \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ such that $\bar{B}_{ij} \neq \emptyset$ then selecting $b_1 \in D_j^1$, $b_2 \in D_i^2$, $\alpha \in \bar{B}_{ij}$ we obtain $(\alpha, b_1, b_2) \bar{c} F$, a contradiction. This shows that $\bar{B}_{ij} = \emptyset$, $i=3, 4, 5$, $i=6, 7, 8$, and consequently $A = \{\omega\}$, or $A = \emptyset$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $\omega \notin \bigcup_{i=6} \bar{B}_i$ and $\omega \notin \bigcup_{i=6} \bar{B}_i$. Counting the elements in the blocks of \bar{R}_1 we get $3|SF(\alpha_1)| + 2|\bar{B}_1| = 3(n-k)$, which gives $3|SF(\alpha_1)| = 3t+1$, a contradiction. Thus it is proved that not more than one of the sets D_i^1 , and one of the sets D_i^2 are nonempty.

2b) Let $D_8^1 \neq \emptyset$, $D_5^2 \neq \emptyset$, $D_8^1 \cup D_5^2 \subset \bar{B}_1$.

Clearly $\bar{B}_{25} = \bar{B}_{28} = \bar{B}_{234} = \bar{B}_{267} = \emptyset$. We shall prove that $\bar{B}_{2i} \neq \emptyset$, $i=3, 4, 6, 7$. Let $\bar{B}_{23} = \emptyset$. Since $\bar{B}_{25} = \emptyset$ then, according to 1), $\bar{B}_{135} \neq \emptyset$ which contradicts $D_4^2 = \emptyset$. Thus, $\bar{B}_{2i} \neq \emptyset$, $i=3, 4, 6, 7$. Moreover, $\bar{B}_{23} \cup \bar{B}_{24} \supseteq \bar{B}_2 \setminus \{\omega\}$, $\bar{B}_{26} \cup \bar{B}_{27} \supseteq \bar{B}_2 \setminus \{\omega\}$, and $\bar{B}_{2i} \setminus \{\omega\} \neq \emptyset$, $i=3, 4, 6, 7$ (if $\bar{B}_{23} = \{\omega\}$ then $|\bar{B}_{24}| = n-k-1$, and since $\alpha_2 \in \bar{B}_4$ then $\bar{B}_{14} = \emptyset$, a contradiction).

Let $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{234}$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{24}$, where $\{i, j\} = \{6, 7\}$ (since $\bar{B}_{2i} \setminus \{\omega\} \neq \emptyset$ such a choice is always possible). If $b_3 \in \bar{B}_{158}$ then $(b_1, b_2, b_3) \bar{c} F$. Hence $\bar{B}_{158} = \emptyset$. Let $\alpha \in A \cap \mathcal{X}(5) \cap \bar{B}_{58}$. Suppose that $\alpha \in \bar{B}_3$. Selecting $b_1 \in D_8^1$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{24}$ we get $(\alpha, b_1, b_2) \bar{c} F$. Therefore $A \cap \mathcal{X}(5) \cap \bar{B}_{58} = \emptyset$, and from \bar{B}_5, \bar{B}_8 :

$$|SF(\alpha_1)| + |SF(\alpha_2)| + |A| + 1 + (n-k) - |D_8^1 \cup D_5^2| \geq 2(n-k),$$

which is a contradiction since $|SF(\alpha_1)| + |SF(\alpha_2)| + |A| = 2k-n$.

2c) Let $D_8^1 \neq \emptyset$, $D_5^2 \neq \emptyset$, $D_8^1 \subset \bar{B}_1$, $D_5^2 \subset \bar{B}_2$.

Obviously $\bar{B}_{58} = \emptyset$. According to our choice of α_1 , α_2 , we have $|D_8^1| \leq |SF(\alpha_1)|$, $|D_5^2| \leq |SF(\alpha_2)|$. As before $\bar{B}_{1i} \neq \emptyset$, $i=3, 4$, $\bar{B}_{134} = \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{2j} \neq \emptyset$, $j=6, 7$, $\bar{B}_{267} = \emptyset$ due to 1).

(α) First suppose that $\bar{B}_{138} \neq \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{148} \neq \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{256} \neq \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{257} \neq \emptyset$. This yields $\bar{B}_{ij} = \emptyset$, $i=3, 4$, $j=6, 7$ (if $b \in \bar{B}_{36}$, $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{148}$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{257}$ then $(b, b_1, b_2) \bar{c} F$) and therefore either $A = \{\omega\}$, or $A = \emptyset$.

(α_1) Let $A = \{\omega\}$. Then $|SF(\alpha_1)| + |SF(\alpha_2)| = 2t$. Suppose that $\omega \notin \bar{B}_{34}$, $\omega \notin \bar{B}_{67}$. From \bar{B}_i , $i=3, 4, 6, 7$ we get

$$2(|SF(\alpha_1)| + |SF(\alpha_2)|) + |D_8^1| + |D_5^2| + 2(n-k) + 1 \geq 4(n-k),$$

which is a contradiction since $|D_8^1| + |D_5^2| \leq |SF(\alpha_1)| + |SF(\alpha_2)|$. Thus without loss of generality $\omega \in \bar{B}_{34}$. Now from \bar{B}_i , $i=3, 4, 6, 7$: $|D_8^1| + |D_5^2| = 2t$ (and therefore $|D_8^1| = |SF(\alpha_1)|$, $|D_5^2| = |SF(\alpha_2)|$) and $\bar{B}_1 \setminus D_8^1 \subset \bar{B}_3 \cup \bar{B}_4$, $\bar{B}_2 \setminus D_5^2 \subset \bar{B}_6 \cup \bar{B}_7$. Thus, from \bar{B}_3, \bar{B}_4 : $|\bar{B}_1 \setminus D_8^1| = 2(n-k) - 4|SF(\alpha_2)| - 2$, and from \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_7 : $|\bar{B}_2 \setminus D_5^2| = 2(n-k) - 4|SF(\alpha_1)|$. This gives $5|SF(\alpha_2)| + 2 = 5|SF(\alpha_1)|$, a contradiction.

(α_2) $A = \emptyset$. Let $\omega \in \bar{B}_1$. If $\omega \in \bar{B}_{18}$ then $|D_8^1| = |SF(\alpha_1)|$ and $|D_5^2| = |SF(\alpha_2)|$ (if $|D_8^1| < |SF(\alpha_1)|$ then there exists $a \in \bar{B}_1 \setminus \bar{B}_8$ for which either $a \in \bar{B}_{34}$, or $a \in \bar{B}_{ij}$, $i \in \{3, 4\}$, $j \in \{6, 7\}$, a contradiction). Now from the blocks of \bar{R}_1 : $4|SF(\alpha_1)| - |SF(\alpha_2)| = n-k$ but from \bar{R}_2 : $4|SF(\alpha_2)| - |SF(\alpha_1)| = n-k+1$, a contradiction. If $\omega \in \bar{B}_{17}$ then $|D_8^1| = |SF(\alpha_1)| - 1$, $|D_5^2| = |SF(\alpha_2)|$ and a contradiction is obtained as before. If $\omega \in \bar{B}_{13}$ then $|D_8^1| = |SF(\alpha_1)| - 1$, $|D_5^2| = |SF(\alpha_2)|$ and from \bar{R}_1 : $4|SF(\alpha_1)| - |SF(\alpha_2)| = n-k+2$ but from \bar{R}_2 : $4|SF(\alpha_2)| - |SF(\alpha_1)| = n-k-1$. Thus, it is proved that at least one of the sets \bar{B}_{138} , \bar{B}_{148} , \bar{B}_{256} , \bar{B}_{257} is empty.

(β) Let $\bar{B}_{138} = \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{256} \neq \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{257} \neq \emptyset$.

Clearly $\bar{B}_{18} \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{14}$, $\bar{B}_{36} = \bar{B}_{37} = \emptyset$. Moreover $\bar{B}_3 \cap A \cap \mathcal{X}(5) = \emptyset$ since if $a \in \bar{B}_3 \cap A \cap \mathcal{X}(5)$, then $a \in \bar{B}_8$, $\bar{R}_1(a) = 1$ (obviously $\bar{R}_1(a) \geq 1$, and if $a \in \bar{B}_7$, $b \in \bar{B}_{148}$, $c \in \bar{B}_{256}$ then $(a, b, c) \bar{c} F$). If $a \in \bar{B}_5$, $b \in D_8^1$, $c \in D_5^2$ then $(a, b, c) \bar{c} F$. Therefore $a \in \bar{B}_{48}$, and if $b \in \bar{B}_{25}$, $c \in D_8^1$ then $(a, b, c) \bar{c} F$. Similarly $\bar{B}_8 \cap A \cap \mathcal{X}(5) = \emptyset$. Since $\bar{B}_{36} = \bar{B}_{37} = \emptyset$, and $\bar{B}_{13} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{13} = \{\omega\}$. This yields $|D_5^2| = |B_{23}| = n-k-1 - |SF(\alpha_2)|$, and then $|\bar{B}_{14}| = 1$, a contradiction.

(γ) Let $\bar{B}_{138} = \bar{B}_{257} = \emptyset$.

Obviously $\bar{B}_{18} \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{14}$, $\bar{B}_{25} \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{26}$, $\bar{B}_{37} = \emptyset$. Now from $\bar{B}_3, \bar{B}_5, \bar{B}_7, \bar{B}_8$ we obtain $2(|SF(\alpha_1)| + |SF(\alpha_2)| + |A|) + 2(n-k) \geq 4(n-k)$ which is a contradiction.

2d) Let $D_8^1 \neq \emptyset$, $D_8^1 \subset \bar{B}_1$.

We have $\bar{B}_{2i} \neq \emptyset$, $i=6, 7$ due to 1). Clearly

$$\bigcup_{i=3}^5 \bar{B}_{2i} \supseteq \bar{B}_2 \setminus \{\omega\}, \quad \bigcup_{i=3}^5 \bar{B}_{1i} \supseteq \bar{B}_1 \setminus (D_8^1 \cup \{\omega\}), \quad \bigcup_{i=6}^8 \bar{B}_{1i} \supseteq \bar{B}_1 \setminus \{\omega\},$$

$\bar{B}_{26} \cup \bar{B}_{27} \supseteq \bar{B}_2 \setminus \{\omega\}$, $D \cap \bar{B}_8 \subset \bar{B}_1$. Now select $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{2i6}$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{2j7}$ where $i \neq j$, $\{i, j\} \subset \{3, 4, 5\}$. We shall prove that this choice is possible. First suppose that

$(\bar{B}_{23} \cup \bar{B}_{27}) \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{25}$. Then $|\bar{B}_{25}| = n - k - 1$, $|\bar{B}_{15}| = 0$, $\bar{B}_{23} \cup \bar{B}_{24} = \{\omega\}$ ($\bar{B}_{23} \cup \bar{B}_{24} = \emptyset$ yields $D_5^2 \neq \emptyset$ due to 1)). If $\bar{B}_{138} = \emptyset$ then for example $\bar{B}_{136} \neq \emptyset$ and since $\bar{B}_{257} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{48} = \emptyset$ which gives $\bar{B}_{18} = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus, $\bar{B}_{138} \neq \emptyset$, and similarly $\bar{B}_{148} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{ij} \neq \emptyset$, $i=3, 4, j=6, 7$, and from \bar{B}_i , $i=3, 4, 6, 7$:

$$2(|SF(a_1)| + |SF(a_2)| + |A|) + |D_8^1| + 2(n-k) \geq 4(n-k).$$

This gives $|D_8^1| \geq 2t$, but $|SF(a_1)| \geq 2t$, and $3t+1 = |\bar{B}_6| \geq 4t$.

Now suppose that $\bar{B}_{23} \cup \bar{B}_{24} \cup \bar{B}_{25} \subseteq \bar{B}_{26}$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{26} = \bar{B}_2 \setminus \{\omega\}$, $\bar{B}_{27} = \{\omega\}$ but this yields $\bar{B}_{16} = \emptyset$, contradicting $D_8^1 \neq \emptyset$.

Thus without loss of generality we can suppose that $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{256}$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{217}$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{138} = \emptyset$. If $\bar{B}_{148} \neq \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{158} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{36} = \bar{B}_{37} = \emptyset$ and then $\bar{B}_{13} \cup \bar{B}_{23} = \{\omega\}$ which gives $|SF(a_2)| + |A| + 1 \geq 3t+1$, a contradiction. Therefore we can suppose that $\bar{B}_{148} = \emptyset$ and then $\bar{B}_{18} \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{15}$. Consequently $\bar{B}_{36} = \emptyset$. Furthermore $\bar{B}_{216} = \emptyset$ (if $\bar{B}_{216} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{37} = \emptyset$ and $\bar{B}_{13} \cup \bar{B}_{23} = \{\omega\}$). Now consider \bar{B}_{146} . Clearly the blocks $B_i \setminus \bar{B}_{146}$, $i=2, 3, 5, 7, 8$, form an $(n - |\bar{B}_{146}|, k - |\bar{B}_{146}|, 2)$ -covering having 5 blocks and therefore $(n - |\bar{B}_{146}|) / (k - |\bar{B}_{146}|) \leq 9/5$ (cf. [1]). Thus, $|\bar{B}_{146}| \leq [(9k - 5n) / 4]$. It is obvious that the elements of $D \setminus \bar{B}_{146}$ are contained in not more than one of the blocks $\bar{B}_3, \bar{B}_4, \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_8$. If $a \in A$ is contained in three of them then $a \in \bar{B}_{468}$ (if $a \in \bar{B}_{368}, b \in \bar{B}_{25}, c \in D_8^1$ then $(a, b, c) \bar{c} F$; $a \notin \bar{B}_{368}, a \notin \bar{B}_{346}$ since $\bar{B}_{36} = \emptyset$). Further on, $\bar{B}_{137} = \bar{B}_{237} = \emptyset$ since $\bar{B}_{15} \neq \emptyset$, $\bar{B}_{25} \neq \emptyset$ but this gives $\bar{B}_{13} \cup \bar{B}_{23} = \{\omega\}$, a contradiction. Thus every element $a \in A$ is contained in two of the blocks $\bar{B}_3, \bar{B}_4, \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_8$ at the most. Therefore

$$2(|SF(a_1)| + |SF(a_2)| + |A|) + 2(n-k) + |\bar{B}_{146}| \geq 4(n-k),$$

which is impossible.

The proof of 2) is complete.

3) $\bar{B}_{ij} \neq \emptyset$, $i=1, 2, j=3, \dots, 8$.

Conversely let $\bar{B}_{28} = \emptyset$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{26} \cup \bar{B}_{27} \supseteq \bar{B}_2 \setminus \{\omega\}$. We shall prove that it is possible to select $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{216}$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{217}$ where $i \neq j, \{i, j\} \subset \{3, 4, 5\}$. If this choice is not possible then either $(\bar{B}_{26} \cup \bar{B}_{27}) \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{2i}$ for some $i \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, or $\bar{B}_{23} \cup \bar{B}_{24} \cup \bar{B}_{25} \subseteq \bar{B}_{2j}$ for some $j \in \{6, 7\}$. In the first case we get a contradiction in the way shown in 2d). Let $\bar{B}_{23} \cup \bar{B}_{24} \cup \bar{B}_{25} \subseteq \bar{B}_{26}$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{27} = \{\omega\}$, $\bar{B}_{28} = \bar{B}_2 \setminus \{\omega\}$, $\bar{B}_{16} = \emptyset$. Since $(\bar{B}_{26} \cup \bar{B}_{27}) \setminus \{\omega\} \not\subseteq \bar{B}_{2i}$, $i=3, 4, 5$, we can suppose that $\bar{B}_{24} \neq \emptyset, \bar{B}_{25} \neq \emptyset$. Now $\bar{B}_{13} = \emptyset$ (if $b \in \bar{B}_{13j}$ then $\bar{B}_{14} \cup \bar{B}_{15} \subset \bar{B}_{1j}, j=7, 8$, showing that either $\bar{B}_{18} = \bar{B}_1$, or $\bar{B}_{17} = \bar{B}_1$), and then $\bar{B}_{23} \neq \emptyset$. Using that $\bar{B}_{23} \neq \emptyset, \bar{B}_{25} \neq \emptyset$ we can prove in the same way that $\bar{B}_{15} = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction.

Thus without loss of generality we can suppose that $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{256}$, $b_2 \in \bar{B}_{217}$. Obviously $\bar{B}_{138} = \emptyset$. If $\bar{B}_{148} \neq \emptyset, \bar{B}_{158} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{36} = \bar{B}_{37} = \emptyset$. Hence $\bar{B}_{13} \cup \bar{B}_{23} = \{\omega\}$, a contradiction. Now suppose that $\bar{B}_{148} = \emptyset$ ($\bar{B}_{18} \setminus \{\omega\} \subset \bar{B}_{15}$). Therefore $\bar{B}_{36} = \emptyset$. If $\bar{B}_{246} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{37} = \emptyset$, which is impossible. Hence $\bar{B}_{216} = \emptyset$, and

it is proved that every element of $D \setminus \bar{B}_{146}$ is contained in one of the blocks $\bar{B}_3, \bar{B}_4, \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_8$ at the most.

Now we shall prove that the elements of A are contained in not more than two of these blocks. Let $a \in A \cap \bar{B}_{348}$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{17} = \emptyset$ and then $\bar{B}_{13} \subseteq \{\omega\}$. If $\bar{B}_{13} \cup \bar{B}_{14} \subseteq \{\omega\}$ then $\bar{B}_{25} = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus, $\bar{B}_{146} \neq \emptyset$ and since $\bar{B}_{158} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{237} = \emptyset$ which shows that $\bar{B}_{13} \cup \bar{B}_{23} = \{\omega\}$ ($\bar{B}_{28} = \emptyset$). Let $a \in A \cap \bar{B}_{468}$. Since $\bar{B}_{15} \neq \emptyset, \bar{B}_{25} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{137} = \bar{B}_{237} = \emptyset$ but this gives $\bar{B}_{13} \cup \bar{B}_{23} = \{\omega\}$. Thus, $A \cap \bar{B}_{468} = \emptyset$. Since $\bar{B}_{36} = \emptyset$ then $A \cap \bar{B}_{368} = A \cap \bar{B}_{346} = \emptyset$. Now we obtain a contradiction from the blocks $\bar{B}_3, \bar{B}_4, \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_8$.

4) $\bar{B}_{ij} \neq \{\omega\}, i=1, 2, j=3, \dots, 8$.

Let $\bar{B}_{28} = \{\omega\}$. Then $\bar{B}_{26} \cup \bar{B}_{27} = \bar{B}_2 \setminus \{\omega\}$. Now we can select a pair $(i, j), i \neq j, \{i, j\} \subseteq \{3, 4, 5\}$ such that $\bar{B}_{2i6} \neq \emptyset, \bar{B}_{2j7} \neq \emptyset$ (let $i=5, j=4$) since $\bar{B}_{2r} \neq \emptyset, r \leq 7$ due to 3). Further on, $\bar{B}_{138} = \emptyset$. If $\bar{B}_{148} \neq \emptyset, \bar{B}_{158} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{36} = \bar{B}_{37} = \emptyset$ contradicting $\bar{B}_{23} \neq \emptyset$. If $\bar{B}_{18} \subseteq \bar{B}_{15}$ ($\bar{B}_{148} = \emptyset$) then $\bar{B}_{36} = \emptyset$. Suppose that $\bar{B}_{246} \neq \emptyset$. This yields $\bar{B}_{37} = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus, $\bar{B}_{216} = \emptyset$ and we have proved that the elements of $D \setminus \bar{B}_{146}$ are contained in not more than one of blocks $\bar{B}_3, \bar{B}_4, \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_8$.

Now if $a \in A \cap \bar{B}_{348}$ then $\bar{B}_{17} = \emptyset$ contradicting 3). If $a \in \bar{B}_{468}$ then $\bar{B}_{137} = \emptyset$ and $\bar{B}_{13} = \emptyset$ ($\bar{B}_{36} = \emptyset, \bar{B}_{138} = \emptyset$). Since $\bar{B}_{36} = \emptyset$ then $A \cap \bar{B}_{368} = A \cap \bar{B}_{346} = \emptyset$. This shows that the elements of A are contained in not more than two of the sets $\bar{B}_i, i=3, 4, 6, 8$, and from these blocks we obtain a contradiction.

According to 3) and 4), there exist two pairs $\{i, r\}, \{j, s\}, i \neq r, \{i, r\} \subseteq \{3, 4, 5\}, \{j, s\} \subseteq \{6, 7, 8\}$ such that $\bar{B}_{2ij} \neq \emptyset, \bar{B}_{2rs} \neq \emptyset$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $i=5, j=6, r=4, s=7$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{138} = \emptyset$. If $\bar{B}_{148} \neq \emptyset, \bar{B}_{158} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{36} = \bar{B}_{37} = \emptyset$ and $\bar{B}_{13} \subseteq \{\omega\}$, contradicting either 3), or 4). Thus, $\bar{B}_{148} = \emptyset, \bar{B}_{18} \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{15}$. Now $\bar{B}_{36} = \emptyset$ and if $\bar{B}_{246} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{137} = \emptyset$ but this yields $\bar{B}_{13} \subseteq \{\omega\}$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{216} = \emptyset$. Similarly $\bar{B}_{248} = \emptyset$. Let $\bar{B}_{238} = \emptyset$. As before it is proved that $A \cap \bar{B}_{348} = A \cap \bar{B}_{468} = A \cap \bar{B}_{368} = A \cap \bar{B}_{346} = \emptyset$, and from $\bar{B}_3, \bar{B}_4, \bar{B}_6, \bar{B}_8$ a contradiction is obtained. Hence $\bar{B}_{238} \neq \emptyset$, and $\bar{B}_{138} = \bar{B}_{147} = \bar{B}_{156} = \emptyset$. If $\bar{B}_{157} \neq \emptyset$ then $\bar{B}_{146} = \emptyset$ which gives $\bar{B}_{14} \subseteq \{\omega\}$. Therefore $\bar{B}_{17} \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{13}$, and similarly $\bar{B}_{18} \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{11}, \bar{B}_{18} \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{15}, \bar{B}_{26} \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{25}, \bar{B}_{27} \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{24}, \bar{B}_{28} \setminus \{\omega\} \subseteq \bar{B}_{23}$. Denoting $\bar{B}_{ij} \setminus \{\omega\} = \tilde{B}_{ij}$ we have $\tilde{B}_{18} = \tilde{B}_{15}, \tilde{B}_{17} = \tilde{B}_{13}, \tilde{B}_{16} = \tilde{B}_{14}, \tilde{B}_{28} = \tilde{B}_{23}, \tilde{B}_{27} = \tilde{B}_{24}, \tilde{B}_{26} = \tilde{B}_{25}$. It is easy to verify that $A \subseteq \{\omega\}$.

(a) Let $A = \{\omega\}$. Clearly $\bar{B}_j = \tilde{B}_j, i=1, 2, j=3, \dots, 8$. If $R_1(\omega) = 1$ then from the blocks of $\bar{R}_1: 3|SF(a_1)| + 2(n-k) + 2 = 3(n-k)$, a contradiction. Therefore $R_1(\omega) = R_2(\omega) = 2$. From \bar{R}_1, \bar{R}_2 we obtain $|SF(a_1)| = |SF(a_2)| = t$. Let $\omega \notin \bar{B}_{56} \cup \bar{B}_{47} \cup \bar{B}_{38} \cup \bar{B}_{37} \cup \bar{B}_{46} \cup \bar{B}_{58}$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $\omega \in \bar{B}_{57}$. Selecting $b_1 \in \bar{B}_{26}, b_2 \in \bar{B}_{16}$ we get $(b_1, b_2, \omega) \in F$. Now let $\omega \in \bar{B}_{56}$. Since $\bar{B}_{25} = \bar{B}_{26}$ then $|\bar{B}_{15}| = |\bar{B}_{16}| = |\bar{B}_{14}| = |\bar{B}_{18}|$ and consequently $|\bar{B}_{25}| + 1 = |\bar{B}_{26}| + 1 = |\bar{B}_{24}| = |\bar{B}_{23}| = |\bar{B}_{23}| = |\bar{B}_{27}|$. Denoting $|\bar{B}_{25}| = x$ we obtain from $|\bar{B}_{24}|, t=3, \dots, 8: 6x+4=6t+2$, which is impossible.

(b) $A = \emptyset$. Let $\omega \in \bar{B}_{13}$. From \bar{R}_2 we get $3|SF(a_2)| = 3t+1$, a contradiction.

It is proved that for every pair (α_1, α_2) , $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \geq 3$.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{X}$. We say that α is equivalent to β , and write $\alpha \sim \beta$ if and only if $\beta \in SF(\alpha)$. It is obvious that \sim is an equivalence relation and thus \mathcal{X} is divided into disjoint classes S_1, \dots, S_p . Let $\alpha \in S_i, \beta \in S_j, R \subseteq F$. We define $R(S_i, S_j) = R(\alpha, \beta)$. Clearly if $S_i \neq \{\omega\}, S_j \neq \{\omega\}$ then $3 \leq F(S_i, S_j) \leq 4$. Let $F(S_1), F(S_2), F(S_1, S_2) = 3, S_1 \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^5 B_i, S_2 \subseteq \bigcap_{j=3}^7 B_j$. Denote $R_1 = \{B_1, B_2\}, R_2 = \{B_3, B_4, B_5\}, R_3 = \{B_6, B_7\}, M_j = \{S_i : \forall \alpha \in S_i, R_2(\alpha) = j\}$. Now we shall prove that $M_1 \setminus \{\omega\} = \emptyset$. Let $S_3 \in M_1 \setminus \{\omega\}, S_3 \subset B_3$. If $S_{i_0} \in M_3 \setminus \{\omega\}$ then $R_1(\alpha) + R_2(\alpha) = 2$ for every $\alpha \in S_{i_0}$ since $F(S_{i_0}, S_3) \geq 3$. Therefore $S_{i_0} \cap B_3 = \emptyset$. Obviously if $S_{i_1} \in M_1 \setminus \{\omega\}$ then $S_{i_1} \cap B_3 = \emptyset$.

Further on, if $S_{i_2} \in M_2 \setminus \{\omega\}, S_{i_2} \subset B_{46}$ then $S_{i_2} \cap B_3 = \emptyset$ since $F(S_{i_2}, S_3) \geq 3$. Thus if $S_i \subset B_3$ then either $S_i = \{\omega\}$, or $S_i \subset B_3$. Since $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 \subset B_3$ then $|B_3| \geq |B_8| + 2$, a contradiction. It is proved that $M_1 \setminus \{\omega\} = \emptyset$ and then $\bar{B}_{ij} \setminus \{\omega\} = \emptyset, 3 \leq i < j \leq 5$. Therefore $8t + 3 \geq |\bigcup_{i=3}^5 \bar{B}_i| \geq 9t + 2$ which contradicts $t > 1$. It follows that $F(\alpha, \beta) \geq 4$ for every pair $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subset \mathcal{X}$, but a simple counting shows that this is impossible.

The proof is complete.

Theorem 2. $C(n, k, 3) = 8$ if and only if either

- (i) $17/11 < n/k \leq 8/5, (n, k) \neq (8t+3, 5t+2), t > 1$, or
- (ii) $n = 17t+3, k = 11t+2, t \geq 1$.

Proof. First we shall prove that if either (i), or (ii) holds then $C(n, k, 3) \leq 8$. It follows from Theorem 1 that $C(n, k, 3) > 7$. Let $k = 5t + j, 0 \leq j \leq 4$. Since $C(n_1, k, t) \leq C(n, k, t)$ for $n_1 \leq n$ it is sufficient to prove that $C(n, k, 3) \leq 8$ for $n = 8t + i, k = 5t + j$ where (i, j) is one of the ordered pairs $(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), (4, 3), (6, 4)$.

Let S_1, \dots, S_8 be disjoint sets, and $A = S_1 \cup S_3 \cup S_5 \cup S_7, B = S_2 \cup S_4 \cup S_6 \cup S_8$. Clearly the blocks $A \cup S_i$, and $B \cup S_j, i = 2, 4, 6, 8, j = 1, 3, 5, 7$ cover all triples of $\mathcal{X} = A \cup B$. If $n = 8t + i$ set $|S_\nu| = t + 1, 1 \leq \nu \leq i, |S_\omega| = t, \omega > i$. This shows that if (i) holds, and $(n, k) \neq (11, 7)$ then $C(n, k, 3) \leq 8$. Setting $|S_1| = 3t, |S_i| = 2t + 1, i = 2, 3, 4, |S_j| = 2t, 5 \leq j \leq 8$ we obtain $C(17t+3, 11t+2, 3) \leq 8$.

Finally, the blocks

- | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| $\{1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\},$ | $\{2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\},$ |
| $\{3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\},$ | $\{4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\},$ |
| $\{5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\},$ | $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\},$ |
| $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9\},$ | $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11\}.$ |

form an $(11, 7, 3)$ -covering. The Lemma shows that there are no other pairs (n, k) such that $C(n, k, 3) = 8$.

REFERENCES

1. W. H. Mills. Covering Designs I: Coverings by a small number of subsets. *Ars Combinatoria*, 8, 1979, 199-315.
2. D. T. Todorov. On some covering designs. *J. Comb. Theory*, 39, 1985, 83-101.
3. R. G. Stanton, J. G. Kalbfleish, R. C. Mullin. Covering and packing problems. Proc. of the Second Chapel Hill Conf. on Comb. Math. University of N. C. 1970, 428-450.

Department of Mathematics
Karl Marx Institute of Economics
1185 Sofia Bulgaria

Received 10. 7. 1984
Revised 8. 10. 1985