

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

ERLICH'S METHODS WITH A RAISED SPEED OF CONVERGENCE

N. KJURKCHIEV, A. ANDREEV

Let the equation

$$(1) \quad f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0 = 0$$

have different roots x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . In 1967 L. Erlich [1] suggested the following method for simultaneous determination of the roots of the equation (1):

$$(2) \quad x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k - \frac{f(x_i^k)}{f'(x_i^k) - f(x_i^k) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{1}{x_i^k - x_j^k}}, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n, \\ k=1, 2, \dots$$

He showed that the method (2) has a rate of convergence equal to 3. Let us note that in 1964 K. Dochev and P. Barnev proposed in [4] the following expression for the equation (1):

$$x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k - f(x_i^k) \frac{2 \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (x_i^k - x_j^k) - f'(x_i^k) + f(x_i^k) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{1}{x_i^k - x_j^k} \right)}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (x_i^k - x_j^k)^2}$$

$i=1, \dots, n; k=1, 2, \dots$. In 1979 Ch. Semerdzjev proved the cubic rate of convergence of the above method [5]. The cubic rate of convergence under weaker initial conditions was obtained also by N. Kjurkchiev [6] in 1982.

In 1977 A. Nourain [2] modified the method (2) in the following way:

$$(3) \quad x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k - \frac{f(x_i^k)}{f'(x_i^k) - f(x_i^k) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n (x_i^k - x_j^k + f(x_j^k)) / f'(x_j^k)^{-1}}, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n, \\ k=1, 2, \dots$$

and he proved that the rate of convergence is equal to 4.

In 1974 Alefeld and Herzberger [3] suggested the modification of Gauss-Seidel type for the method (2)

$$x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k - \frac{f(x_i^k)}{f'(x_i^k) - f(x_i^k) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{x_i^k - x_j^{k+1}} + \sum_{j=i+1}^n \frac{1}{x_i^k - x_j^k} \right)}, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n, \\ k=1, 2, \dots$$

and they obtained that the rate of convergence is in the open interval (3, 4).

In 1981 N. Kjurkchiev proposed a method which also makes use of $\{f'(x_i^k)\}_{i=1}^n$ on the k -th step [7]

$$x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k - f(x_i^k)/(f'(x_i^k) - f(x_i^k) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{1}{x_i^k - x_j^k} - f(x_i^k) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{f(x_j^k)}{(x_i^k - x_j^k)^{\beta_{s=1}}} \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n \frac{1}{x_j^k - x_s^k}),$$

$i=1, 2, \dots, n; k=1, 2, \dots$ with a rate convergence equal to 4.

The methods of type (2) and (3) (when we use the derivative) have perfect computing properties and it turned out in practice that they are inpretentious to the choice of the initial approximation.

In this paper we present the following modification of (2):

$$(4) \quad x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k - f(x_i^k)/(f'(x_i^k) - f(x_i^k) \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \frac{1}{x_i^k - x_j^k - \Delta_j^{R,k}}), \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n, \\ k=0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$\Delta_s^{R,k} = -f(x_s^k)/(f'(x_s^k) - f(x_s^k) \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq s}}^n \frac{1}{x_s^k - x_i^k - \Delta_i^{R-1,k}}), \quad s=1, \dots, n,$$

$$\Delta_s^{0,k} = 0, \quad s=1, \dots, n, \quad k=0, 1, \dots$$

It is clear that when $R=0$ the method (4) coincides with (2). The rate of convergence of (4) is equal to $2R+3$.

Theorem. Let $0 < q < 1$, $d = \min_{i \neq j} |x_i - x_j|$ and $c > 0$ be a number such that

$$d > 2c(1 + q(2n - 1)), \quad c^2 n [(d - c)(d - 2c - 2cq) (1 - cq \frac{3cq(n-1)}{(d-c)(d-2c-2cq)})]^{-1} \leq 1.$$

If the initial approximations $\{x_{ii}^0\}_{i=1}^n$ of the roots $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of the equation (1) satisfy the inequalities $|x_i - x_i^0| \leq cq, i=1, \dots, n$ then the following estimate

$$|x_i^k - x_i| \leq cq^{(2R+3)^k}, \quad i=1, \dots, n, \quad k=0, 1, \dots$$

holds.

Proof. The proof goes by induction on k . For $k=0$ the theorem is evidently true. Let us assume that the inequalities

$$(5) \quad |x_i^k - x_i| \leq cq^{(2R+3)^k}, \quad i=1, \dots, n$$

hold. We shall consider the $k+1$ approximations

$$(6) \quad x_i^{k+1} - x_i = x_i^k - x_i - f(x_i^k)/(f'(x_i^k) - f(x_i^k) \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n \frac{1}{x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}})^{-1} \\ = x_i^k - x_i - (\frac{1}{x_i^k - x_i} + \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n \frac{1}{x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k} - \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n \frac{1}{x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}})^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= x_i^k - x_i - \frac{x_i^k - x_i}{1 + (x_i^k - x_i) \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n \left(\frac{1}{x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}} - \frac{1}{x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}} \right)} \\
&= (x_i^k - x_i) \left(1 - \left(1 + (x_i^k - x_i) \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n \frac{x_{\beta_0} - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}}{(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0})(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k})} \right)^{-1} \right) \\
&= \frac{(x_i^k - x_i)^2}{1 + (x_i^k - x_i) \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n \frac{x_{\beta_0} - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}}{(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0})(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k})}} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n \frac{x_{\beta_0} - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}}{(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0})(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k})}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last sum can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n \frac{x_{\beta_0} - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}}{(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0})(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k})} = \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n \frac{x_{\beta_0} - x_{\beta_0}^k + (f'(x_{\beta_0}^k)/f(x_{\beta_0}^k) - \frac{1}{\sum_{\beta_1 \neq \beta_0}^n \frac{1}{x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1}^k - \Delta_{\beta_1}^{R-1,k}}})^{-1})}{(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0})(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k})} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n (x_{\beta_0} - x_{\beta_0}^k + \left(\frac{1}{(x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_0})} + \sum_{\beta_1 \neq \beta_0}^n \frac{1}{x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1}^k} - \sum_{\beta_1 \neq \beta_0}^n \frac{1}{x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1}^k - \Delta_{\beta_1}^{R-1,k}} \right)^{-1}) / (x_i^k \\
&- x_{\beta_0})(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}) = \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n (x_{\beta_0} - x_{\beta_0}^k) \left(1 - \left(1 + (x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_0}) \sum_{\beta_1 \neq \beta_0}^n \frac{x_{\beta_1} - x_{\beta_1}^k - \Delta_{\beta_1}^{R-1,k}}{(x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1})(x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1}^k - \Delta_{\beta_1}^{R-1,k})} \right)^{-1} \right) / \\
&(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0})(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}) = \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n ((x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_0})^2 \sum_{\beta_1 \neq \beta_0}^n \frac{x_{\beta_1} - x_{\beta_1}^k - \Delta_{\beta_1}^{R-1,k}}{(x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1})(x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1}^k - \Delta_{\beta_1}^{R-1,k})}) / \\
&(x_{\beta_0} - x_{\beta_0}^k)(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}) \left(1 + (x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_0}) \sum_{\beta_1 \neq \beta_0}^n \frac{x_{\beta_1} - x_{\beta_1}^k - \Delta_{\beta_1}^{R-1,k}}{(x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1})(x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1}^k - \Delta_{\beta_1}^{R-1,k})} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It should be noted that the sum in the numerator in the last expression is similar to the initial sum with the only difference that we have $R-1$ instead of k . Using that dependence recursively we get successively

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad &\sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n \frac{x_{\beta_0} - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}}{(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0})(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k})} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\beta_0=1 \\ \beta_0 \neq i}}^n \frac{(x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_0})^2}{(x_{\beta_0} - x_{\beta_0}^k)(x_i^k - x_{\beta_0}^k - \Delta_{\beta_0}^{R,k}) \left(1 + (x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_0}) \sum_{\beta_1 \neq \beta_0}^n \frac{x_{\beta_1} - x_{\beta_1}^k - \Delta_{\beta_1}^{R-1,k}}{(x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1})(x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1}^k - \Delta_{\beta_1}^{R-1,k})} \right)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \sum_{\beta_1 \neq \beta_0}^n \frac{(x_{\beta_1}^k - x_{\beta_1})^2}{(x_{\beta_1} - x_{\beta_0}^k)(x_{\beta_0}^k - x_{\beta_1}^k - \Delta_{\beta_1}^{R-1,k})(1 + (x_{\beta_1}^k - x_{\beta_1}) \sum_{\beta_2 \neq \beta_1}^n \frac{x_{\beta_2} - x_{\beta_2}^k - \Delta_{\beta_2}^{R-2,k}}{(x_{\beta_1}^k - x_{\beta_2}) (x_{\beta_1}^k - x_{\beta_2}^k - \Delta_{\beta_2}^{R-2,k})})} \times \dots \\ & \times \sum_{\beta_{R-1} \neq \beta_{R-2}}^n \frac{(x_{\beta_{R-1}}^k - x_{\beta_{R-1}})^2}{(x_{\beta_{R-1}} - x_{\beta_{R-2}}^k)(x_{\beta_{R-2}}^k - x_{\beta_{R-1}}^k - \Delta_{\beta_{R-1}}^{1,k})(1 + (x_{\beta_{R-1}}^k - x_{\beta_{R-1}}) \dots)} \\ & \cdot \frac{\sum_{\beta_{R+1} \neq \beta_{R-1}}^n \frac{x_{\beta_R} - x_{\beta_R}^k}{(x_{\beta_{R-1}}^k - x_{\beta_R}) (x_{\beta_{R-1}}^k - x_{\beta_R}^k)}}{\sum_{\beta_R \neq \beta_{R-1}}^n \frac{x_{\beta_R} - x_{\beta_R}^k}{(x_{\beta_{R-1}}^k - x_{\beta_R}) (x_{\beta_{R-1}}^k - x_{\beta_R}^k)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate from above the absolute value of the items in (7). To this end we show first that the following estimates

$$(8) \quad |\Delta_s^{p,k}| \leq 2cq, \quad s=1, 2, \dots, n, \quad p=0, 1, \dots, R$$

hold. The proof can be done by induction on p . When $p=0$, the inequalities (8) are satisfied since $\Delta_s^{0,k}=0$ for $s=1, 2, \dots, n$. Let us assumed that $|\Delta_s^{m-1,k}| \leq 2cq, s=1, 2, \dots, n$. Then we get for $\Delta_s^{m,k}, s=1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_s^{m,k} &= -f(x_s^k) (f'(x_s^k) - f(x_s^k) \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq s}}^n \frac{1}{x_s^k - x_l^k - \Delta_l^{m-1,k}})^{-1} \\ &= -(x_s^k - x_s) \left(\sum_{l=1}^n \frac{x_s^k - x_s}{x_s^k - x_l^k} - (x_s^k - x_s) \cdot \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq s}}^n \frac{1}{x_s^k - x_l^k - \Delta_l^{m-1,k}} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the last equality and (5)

$$|\Delta_s^{m,k}| \leq cq(1 - cq \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq s}}^n \left(\frac{1}{|x_s^k - x_l^k|} + \frac{1}{|x_s^k - x_l^k| - |\Delta_l^{m-1,k}|} \right))^{-1}.$$

From

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} |x_s^k - x_l^k| &= |x_s^k - x_s + x_s - x_l + x_l - x_l^k| \geq |x_s - x_l| - |x_s - x_s^k| - |x_l - x_l^k| \geq d - 2cq, \\ |x_s^k - x_l| &\geq d - cq \end{aligned}$$

we finally obtain

$$|\Delta_s^{m,k}| \leq cq(1 - cq(n-1) \left(\frac{1}{d-c} + \frac{1}{d-2c-2cq} \right))^{-1} \leq cq \left(1 - \frac{2cq(n-1)}{d-2c(1+q)} \right)^{-1}$$

and for the raliclity of the inequality $|\Delta_s^{m,k}| \leq 2cq$ the following conditions are sufficient

$$\left(1 - \frac{2cq(n-1)}{d-2c(1+q)} \right)^{-1} \leq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \frac{2cq(n-1)}{d-2c(1+q)} > 0.$$

These two conditions are satisfied if $d > 2c(1 + q(2n - 1))$. Now we are able to estimate the sums in (7). In view of (5), (8) and (9) we get for an arbitrary item in (7)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|x_\mu - x_\nu^k| |x_\nu^k - x_\mu^k - \Delta_\mu^{s,k}| |1 + (x_\mu^k - x_\mu)| \sum_{\lambda \neq \mu}^n \frac{x_\lambda - x_\lambda^k - \Delta_\lambda^{s-1,k}}{(x_\mu^k - x_\lambda)(x_\mu^k - x_\lambda^k - \Delta_\lambda^{s-1,k})}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|x_\mu - x_\nu^k| (|x_\nu^k - x_\mu^k| - |\Delta_\mu^{s,k}|) (1 - |x_\mu^k - x_\mu|) \sum_{\lambda \neq \mu}^n \frac{|x_\lambda - x_\lambda^k| + |\Delta_\lambda^{s-1,k}|}{(d-c)(d-2c-2cq)}} \\ & \leq ((d-c)(d-2c-2cq) (1 - cq(n-1) \frac{3cq}{(d-c)(d-2c-2cq)}))^{-1} = A. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the last inequality, (5), (6) and (7) that

$$|x_i^{k+1} - x_i| \leq [cq^{(2R+3)k}]^{2+2R+1} \cdot (nA)^{R+1} = cq^{(2R+3)k+1} (c^2nA)^{R+1} \leq cq^{(2R+3)k+1},$$

since $c^2nA \leq 1$, according to the assumptions. So, the theorem is proved.

Numerical results. In order to show the computation properties of the method we carried out a series of numerical experiments.

The polynomial $x^9 + 3x^8 - 3x^7 - 9x^6 + 3x^5 + 9x^4 + 99x^3 + 297x^2 - 100x - 300$ has the following different roots:

$$x_1 = 3, x_2 = -1, x_3 = 1, x_4 = 2i, x_5 = -2i, x_6 = 2 + i, x_7 = 2 - i, x_8 = -2 + i, x_9 = -2 - i.$$

For numerical determination of these roots we will apply the method (4) using the initial approximations

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^0 &= -3.2 + 0.2i, & x_2^0 &= -1.2 - 0.2i, & x_3^0 &= 0.1 + 1.7i, & x_4^0 &= -1.9 + 1.3i, & x_5^0 &= -1.8 - 0.8i, \\ x_6^0 &= 2.3 + 1.1i, & x_7^0 &= 1.9 - 0.7i, & x_8^0 &= 1.2 + 0.2i, & x_9^0 &= 0.2 - 2.2i, \end{aligned}$$

when $R = 0, 1, 3, 6$ and 9 . Let us denote by $\sigma(R, k) = \sum_{i=1}^9 |x_i^{k,R} - x_i^{k-1,R}|$ the error between two consistent approximation on the step $k-1$ and $k \{x_i^{k-1,R}\}_{i=1}^9, \{x_i^{k,R}\}_{i=1}^9$ by fixed R equal to $0, 1, 3, 6, 9$. The next table shows $\sigma(R, k)$ obtained for different R and k .

$R \backslash k$	0 L. Erlich	1	3	6	9
1	0.269907844 5005.10 ¹	0.267935929 7802.10 ¹	0.267912902 4201.10 ¹	0.267912462 3440.10 ¹	0.267912462 6439.10 ¹
2	0.142893377 0351.10 ⁰	0.929246993 3326.10 ⁻²	0.448638099 7840.10 ⁻⁴	0.151098417 4056.10 ⁻⁷	0.474303602 7916.10 ⁻¹¹
3	0.314259694 4109.10 ⁻⁴	0.596944211 1547.10 ⁻¹⁴	0.174483820 2929.10 ⁻¹⁴	0.277983314 2895.10 ⁻¹⁵	0.243631196 6690.10 ⁻¹⁴
4	0.165991577 6040.10 ⁻¹⁴				

REFERENCES

1. L. Erlich. A modified Newton method for polynomials. *Commun. ACM*, 10, 1967, 107-108.
2. A. Nourain. An improvement on two iteration methods for simultaneous determination of the zeros of a polynomial. *Int. Comput. Math.*, 3, 1977, 241-252.
3. G. Alefeld, J. Herzberger. On the convergence speed of some algorithms for the simultaneous approximation of polynomial roots. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 2, 1974, 237-243.
4. К. Дочев, П. Бырнев. О некоторых модификациях метода Ньютона для приближенного решения алгебраических уравнений. *Ж. Вычисл. мат.*, 4, 1964, 915-920.
5. Хр. Семерджиев. Методы одновременного приближенного нахождения всех корней данного алгебраического уравнения. Препринт ОИЯИ, Дубна, 1979, P5-12485.
6. Н. Кюркчиев. О некоторых итерационных схемах Дочевского типа с повышенной скоростью сходимости. *Год. Соф. унив., Фак. мат. и мех.*, 76, 1987 (в печати).
7. Н. Кюркчиев. О некоторых модификациях метода Л. Эрлиха для приближенного решения алгебраических уравнений. *Плиска*, 5, 1983, 43-50.

Centre for Mathematics and Mechanics
Sofia 1090 P. O. Box 373

Received 30. 4. 1985