Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR THE EXISTENCE OF NON-OSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS AND OSCILLATION OF ALL SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

D. C. ANGELOVA, D. D. BAINOV

In the present paper necessary and sufficient conditions are obtained for the existence of non oscillatory solutions and for oscillation of all solutions of a class of second order functional differential equations with a deviation depending on the solution we seek and on its first derivative.

The oscillation results are applied to a model from the theory of rocket motors.

I. Introduction. In this paper we will find necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of non-oscillatory solutions and for oscillation of all solutions of the equation

(1) $(r(t)y'(t))' + f(t, y(t), y(g(t, y(t), y'(t))), y'(t), y'(g(t, y(t), y'(t)))) = 0, t \ge t_0 \in R$ in the cases when

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r(t)} < \infty$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r(t)} = \infty$$

as well as sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions of the equation

(4)
$$y''(t) + a(t)y^n(t) + F(t, y(t), y(G(t, y(t), y'(t))), y'(t), y'(G(t, y(t), y'(t)))) = 0,$$
 where n is a positive real number and $n \neq 1$.

Equation (1) includes as a particular case the model [1] for perturbation of the velocity of the spray of fuel in liquid propellant rocket motors

$$x''(t) + (\alpha + \beta p)x'(t) + \alpha\beta px(t) + \gamma x(t - \Delta) = \delta_1 x(t)x'(t) + \delta_2 x^2(t),$$

where

$$\Delta = \Delta(p) + h_1 p \Delta'(p) x(t) + h_2 \Delta'(p) x'(t) + \frac{[h_1 p^2 \Delta''(p) + h_3 \Delta'(p)] x^2(t)}{2} + h_4 p \Delta''(p) x(t) x'(t)$$

$$+\frac{h_2^2\Delta''(p)[x'(t)]^2}{2}$$

$$p = \frac{\rho A_2 L^* c^* v_0}{L^* c^*} , \quad \alpha = \frac{RT_c}{L^* c^*} , \quad \beta = \frac{A V_c}{\rho l A_2 L^* c^*} , \quad \gamma = \frac{RT_c A_2}{l V_c} , \quad \delta_1 = -\frac{A}{l} , \quad \delta_2 = -\frac{\alpha A}{2l} ,$$

$$h_1 = -\frac{AV_c}{A_2L^*c^*} \cdot h_2 = -\rho l, \ h_3 = -\rho A, \ h_4 = h_1h_2, \ A = \frac{(A_1A_2)^2 - (A_2A_3)^2}{(A_1A_3)^3} + K, \ l = l_1 \frac{A_3}{A_1} + \frac{A_2}{A_3} \cdot l_1 + l_2 \cdot l_2 \cdot l_3 + l_3 \cdot l_$$

SERDICA Bulgaricae mathematicae publicationes, Vol. 13, 1987, p. 347-360.

 V_c — volume of the combustion chamber, T_c — absolute temperature in the combustion chamber, p — pressure in the combustion chamber, ρ — density of the fuel, R — combustion coefficient of the product per unit mass, v_0 — velocity of the flow in the feed-line, L^* —characteristic length of the motor, c^* —characteristic velocity of the flow, A_1 —cross-section of the entry of the reservoir, A_2 —cross-section of the pipeline, A_3 —cross-section of the exit of the nozzle, l_1 —length of the reservoir, l_2 — length of the pipeline, l_3 — length of the nozzle.

Necessary and sufficient conditions for asymptotical stability of the solutions of the linear equation

$$x''(t)+(\alpha+\beta_{\rho})x'(t)+\alpha\beta\rho x(t)+\gamma x(t-\Delta)=0$$

have been obtained in [2]. Here we present some new results on the behaviour of the solution of a more general equation

(5)
$$x''(t) + (\alpha + \beta p)x'(t) + \alpha \beta px(t) + \gamma x^{\sigma}(t - \Delta)e^{\alpha \sigma^{t}}x(t) = 0,$$

where $\sigma > 1$ is even and

(6)
$$\Delta = \Delta(p) + h_1 p \Delta'(p) x(t) + h_2 \Delta'(p) x'(t).$$

In what follows we assume that the functions r, f and g satisfy conditions (H): H1. $r(t) \in C^1([t_0, \infty); (0, \infty)), r'(t) \ge 0;$

H2. $f(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times R^4, u_1 f(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) > 0$

for $u_1 \neq 0$, u_2 , u_3 , $u_4 \in R$;

H3. $g(t, v_1, v_2) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times R^2)$, $g(t, v_1, v_2) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ for any $v_1, v_2 \in R$ fixed and $g(t, v_1, v_2) \leq g(t, \overline{v_1}, \overline{v_2})$ for $v_1 \leq \overline{v_1}, v_2 \geq \overline{v_2}, t \geq t_0$; and the functions a, F and G satisfy conditions (H):

 $\overline{H}1. \ a(t) \in C([t_0, \infty); (0, \infty));$

H2.
$$F(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times R^4)$$
, $\frac{F(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)}{u_1^n} \ge 0$ for $u_1 \ne 0$, u_2 , u_3 , $u_4 \in R$, $t \ge t_0 \in R$ and $0 < n \ne 1$;

H3. $G(t, v_1, v_2) \in C([t_0, \infty) \times R^2)$, $G(t, v_1, v_2) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ for any $v_1, v_2 \in R$ fixed where $R = (-\infty, \infty)$ and $R^k = R \times \cdots \times R$ (k times). The continuous function $\psi : [t_0, \infty) \to R$ is said to be oscillatory if there exists an infinite set $\{\tau_v\}_{v=1}^{\infty} \subset [t_0, \infty)$ of zeros of $\psi(t)$ such that $\tau_v \to \infty$ as $v \to \infty$; otherwise the function $\psi(t)$ is said to be non-oscillatory.

Denote $\rho(t) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{r(s)} \cdot R(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} \frac{ds}{r(s)} \cdot \overline{g}(t) = g(t, y(t), y'(t)), g_*(t, v_1, v_2) = \min\{t, g(t, v_1, v_2)\}$ and $g^*(t, v_1, v_2) = \max\{t, g(t, v_1, v_2)\}.$

II. Main results

1. The case
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r(t)} < \infty$$

Lemma 1. Let conditions (H) and (2) hold and

(7)
$$\inf_{t \ge t_0} \{ r(t) \rho(t) \} = q = \text{const} > 0.$$

Then for each non-oscillatory solution y(t) of (1) there exists a number $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that for $t \ge t_1$, y't is bounded and with constant signs,

(8)
$$-r(t)y'(t)\rho(t) \le y(t) \le k^+ \quad \text{when} \quad y(t) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad k^+ = \text{const} > 0$$

and

(9)
$$k^- \le y(t) \le -r(t)y'(t)\rho(t)$$
 when $y(t) < 0$ and $k^- = \text{const} < 0$.

Proof. Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1) and, for instance, y(t) < 0 for $t \ge T_1 \ge t_0$ (the proof is similar when y(t) > 0 for $t \ge T_1 \ge t_0$). (1) and H2 imply (r(t)y'(t)) > 0, i. e. |y'(t)| > 0 for $t \ge T_2 \ge T_1$ and

(10)
$$r(t)y'(t) \ge r(\overline{t})y'(\overline{t}) \text{ for any } t \ge \overline{t} \ge T_2.$$

Dividing (10) by r(t), integrating from \overline{t} to t and letting $t \to \infty$ we obtain

$$0 > y(t) \ge y(\overline{t}) + r(\overline{t}) y'(\overline{t}) \int_{\overline{t}}^{t} \frac{ds}{r(s)} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} y(\overline{t}) + r(\overline{t}) y'(\overline{t}) \rho(\overline{t}),$$

i. e. $y(t) \le -r(t)y'(t)\rho(t)$. But t is arbitrary, hence the right-hand side inequality of (9) holds. In order to obtain the left-hand side inequality of (9) and the boundedness

of y'(t) we shall consider the cases when y'(t) > 0 and y'(t) < 0 separately. Let y'(t) > 0 for $t \ge T_2$. Since y(t) < 0 for $t \ge T_1$, we can find $k^- = \text{const} < 0$ and $T_3 \ge T_2$ such that $y(t) \ge k^-$ for $t \ge T_3$ which proves the left-hand side of (9). From (9) and (7) we obtain

$$0 < y'(t) \le -\frac{k^-}{r(t)\rho(t)} \le -\frac{k^-}{q}$$
 for $t \ge T_4 \ge T_3$.

Let y'(t) < 0 for $t \ge T_2$. Dividing (10) with $\overline{t} \ge T_2$ by r(t), integrating from T_2 to t

$$y(t) \ge y(T_2) + r(T_2)y'(T_2) \int_{t}^{t} \frac{ds}{r(s)} \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} y(T_2) + r(T_2)y'(T_2)\rho(T_2) = k^- = \text{const},$$

i. e. the left-hand side of (9). It is easy to see that $k^-<0$.

Let $\overline{t} = T_2$ and $r(T_2)y'(T_2) = a < 0$. Dividing (10) by r(t) and using H1, we have $0>y'(t)\geq \frac{a}{r(t)}\geq \frac{a}{r(T_2)}$ for $t\geq T_2$.

Lemma 1 is thus proved.

Theorem 1. Let the following conditions hold:

- 1. The conditions of lemma 1 are valid.
- 2. The function $f(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$ satisfies either

(11)
$$|f(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)| \le |f(t, \overline{u_1}, \overline{u_2}, \overline{u_3}, \overline{u_4})| \text{ for } |u_i| \le |\overline{u_i}|, u_i \overline{u_i} \ge 0$$
 or

(12)
$$|f(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)| \ge |f(t, \overline{u_1}, \overline{u_2}, \overline{u_3}, \overline{u_4})| \text{ for } |u_i| \le |\overline{u_i}|, u_i \overline{u_i} \ge 0$$
 for $t \ge t_0$, $i = \overline{1, 4}$.

3.
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \rho(t) |f(t, c, c, c', c')| dt = \infty \quad \text{for any } c \neq 0, c' \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then all non-oscillatory solutions of (1) tend to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let, for instance y(t)>0 for $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$ (the proof is similar when y(t)<0 for $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$). In virtue of lemma 1 y(t) is monotone and y(t) and y'(t) are bounded for $t \ge t_2 \ge t_1$, i. e. there exist constants $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ and $t \ge 0$ such that $t \ge 0$ such that t

$$0 < |y'(t)| \le M$$
 for $t \ge t_2$.

If we suppose that L>0, then for each $\varepsilon((0,L))$ there exists $t_3 \ge t_2$ such that $|y(t)-L|<\varepsilon$ for $t\ge t_3$. Let m=M when y'(t)>0 and m=0 when y'(t)<0. Applying H3, we obtain $g(t) \ge g(t, L - \varepsilon, m) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$, hence $g(t, y(t), y'(t)) \ge t_3$ for $t \ge t_4 \ge t_3$. Then $|y(g(t, y(t), y'(t)) - L| < \varepsilon$ and $0 < |y'(g(t, y(t), y'(t))| \le M$ for $t \ge t_4$. Let $c = L - \varepsilon$ and c' = 0 when (11) holds, and $c = L + \varepsilon$ and c' = M when (12) holds.

Then

(13)
$$f(t, y(t), y(g(t)), y'(t), y'(g(t))) \ge f(t, c, c, c', c')$$
 for $t \ge t_4$.

Multiplying (1) by $\rho(t)$, integrating from t_4 to t, applying (13) and (8) and letting $t \to \infty$, we get

$$0 = r(t)y'(t)\rho(t) + y(t) - r(t_4)y'(t_4)\rho(t_4) - y(t_4)$$

$$+ \int_{t_4}^{t} f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s)))\rho(s)ds \ge -r(t_4)y'(t_4)\rho(t_4) - y(t_4)$$

$$+ \int_{t_4}^{t} \rho(s)f(s, c, c, c', c')ds \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} -r(t_4)y'(t_4)\rho(t_4) - y(t_4) + \int_{t_4}^{\infty} \rho(s)f(s, c, c, c', c')ds,$$

i. e. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(s) f(s, c, c, c', c') ds < \infty$ which contradicts condition 3 of theorem 1. Thus L=0 and theorem 1 is proved.

Now we shall obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a non-oscillatory solution y(t) of (1) such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = \text{const} \neq 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{y(t)}{\rho(t)} = \text{const} \neq 0$. Theorem 2. Let the following conditions hold:

- 1. Conditions 1 and 2 of theorem 1 are fulfilled. 2. $r(t) \ge 1$ for $t \ge t_0$ and the functions $\frac{1}{r(\cdot)}$, $f(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $g(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ are Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constants v, ξ_0 and $\eta_0 > 0$, respectively.
- 3. $\sup_{t\geq t_0} |f(t, c, c, c', c')| < \infty$ and $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} |f(t, c, c, c', c')| dt < \infty$ for some $c \neq 0$ and some $c' \in R$.
 - 4. $g(t, v_1, v_2) \leq t$ for any $v_1, v_2 \in R$.

Then there exists a non-oscillatory solution of (1) with a non-zero limit as $t \to \infty$ iff

(14)
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \rho(t) |f(t, c, c, c', c')| dt < \infty$$

where the constants c and c' are the same as in condition 3.

Proof. Necessity. Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1) with $\lim y(t) \neq 0$.

If we suppose that condition 3 of theorem 1 holds, then by this theorem we conclude that all non-oscillatory solutions of (1) tend to zero as $t\to\infty$ which is a contradiction.

Sufficiency. Let (14) hold and c>0 (the proof is similar when c<0). Denote $\delta=c$ and $c'=\delta$ when (11) holds and $\delta=2c$ and c'=0 when (12) holds. Applying (2), condition 3 of theorem 2 and (14), we can find $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that $\rho(t) < 1$ for $t \ge t_1$,

(15)
$$\int_{t}^{\infty} f(t, c, c, c', c') dt \leq \delta, \int_{t}^{\infty} \rho(t) f(t, c, c, c', c') dt \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$$

and by H3 we can find $t_2 \ge t_0$ so that $g\left(t, \frac{\delta}{2}, c'\right) \ge t_0$ for $t \ge t_2$. Let $T_1 = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$,

 $T_* = \inf_{t \ge T_1} g\left(t, \frac{\delta}{2}, c'\right)$ and $T_0 = \min\{T_1, T_*\}$.

Denote by C^1 the space of all continuously differentiable functions $y : [T_0, \infty) \to R$ with the topology defined by the family of semi-norms $\|y\|_{\tau} = \sup_{[T_0, \tau]} \{|y(t)| + |y'(t)|\}$, where $\tau > T_0$ and τ is an integer, by B^1 — the set of all monotone functions $y \in C^1$ for which

(16)
$$0 \leq |y'(t)| \leq \delta, \quad \frac{\delta}{2} \leq y(t) \leq \delta \quad \text{for } t \geq T_0 \text{ and}$$
$$|y'(t) - y'(\overline{t})| \leq \alpha' |t - \overline{t}| \quad \text{for } t, \ \overline{t} \geq T_0,$$

where $\alpha' = v\delta + f_0$ and $f_0 = \sup_{t \ge T_0} f(t, c, c, c', c')$ and by $A: B^1 \to C^1$ the operator defined by the formula

$$(Ay)(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta}{2} + \rho(t) \int_{T_1}^t f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(\overline{g}(s))) ds + \int_{T_1}^{\infty} \rho(s) f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), \\ y'(\overline{g}(s))) ds, t \ge T_1 \\ \frac{\delta}{2} + \int_{T_1}^{\infty} \rho(s) f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s))) ds, t \in [T_0, T_1]. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that C^1 is a Fréchet space and B^1 is bounded, convex and closed. Let $y \in B^1$. Then the function (Ay)(t) is continuous in $[T_0, \infty)$. From (16) and H3 it follows that $\overline{g}(s) \ge g\left(s, \frac{\delta}{2}, c'\right) \ge T_0$ for $t \ge T_1$ and then from H2, (16) and condition 2 of theorem 1 we get

(17)
$$0 < f(t, y(t), y(\overline{g}(t)), y'(t), y'(\overline{g}(t))) \le f(t, c, c, c', c') \text{ for } t \ge T_1.$$

In view of the properties of $\rho(t)$ and (15)—(17) we obtain

$$\frac{\delta}{2} \leq (Ay)(t) \leq \frac{\delta}{2} + \int_{T_1}^{\infty} \rho(s) f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s))) ds$$

$$\leq \frac{\delta}{2} + \int_{T_1}^{\infty} \rho(s) f(s, c, c, c', c') ds \leq \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq T_0.$$

Since

$$[(Ay)(t)]' = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{T_1}^t f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s))) ds, & t \ge T_1 \\ 0, t \in [T_0, T_1] \end{cases}$$

then in view of (17), (15) and the fact that $r(t) \ge 1$ for $t \ge t_0$ we obtain

$$0 \leq [(Ay)(t)]' \leq \int_{T_1}^{t} f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s))) ds \leq \int_{T_1}^{\infty} f(s, c, c, c', c') ds \leq \delta \text{ for } t \geq T_0.$$

For
$$t$$
, $\overline{t} \in [T_0, T_1]$ we have $|[(Ay)(t) - (Ay)(\overline{t})]'| = 0$ and for $t > \overline{t} \ge T_1$ we obtain $|[(Ay)(t)]' - [(Ay)(\overline{t})]'| = |-\frac{1}{r(t)} \int_0^t f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s))) ds$

$$+ \frac{1}{r(\overline{t})} \int_{T_{1}}^{\overline{t}} f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s)))ds |$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{1}{r(\overline{t})} - \frac{1}{r(t)} \right|_{T_{1}}^{\overline{t}} f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s)))ds + \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{T}^{t} f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s),$$

$$y'(\overline{g}(s)))ds \leq v |t - \overline{t}|_{T_{1}}^{\infty} f(s, c, c, c', c')ds + \int_{\overline{t}}^{t} f(s, c, c, c', c')ds \leq (v\delta + f_{0}) |t - \overline{t}| = \alpha' |t - \overline{t}|$$

$$\text{while } |[(Ay)(t)]' - [(Ay)(\overline{t})]'| = \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{T_{1}}^{t} f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s)))ds$$

$$\leq \int_{\overline{t}}^{t} f(s, c, c, c', c')ds \leq \alpha' |t - \overline{t}|$$

for $t \ge T_1 \ge \overline{t} \ge T_0$ since (17) and condition 2 of theorem 2 hold. Consequently, $A(B^1) \subset B^1$ and the functions belonging to $A(B^1)$ are equicontinuous on $[T_0, \infty)$, therefore on the compact subintervals $[T_0, \tau]$ of $[T_0, \infty)$ as well. Now we shall show that A is continuous. Namely, if $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B^1$ converges to

 $y_0 \in B$ in the topology of C^1 , then for $t \in [T_0, \tau] \subset [T_0, T_1]$ we obtain

$$|(Ay_n)(t) - (Ay_0)(t)| \le \int_{T_1}^{\infty} \rho(s) |f(s, y_n(s), y_n(\overline{g}^n(s)), y_n'(s), y_n'(\overline{g}^n(s)))$$

$$-f(s, y_0(s), y_0(\overline{g}^n(s)), y_0'(s), y_0'(\overline{g}^n(s))) |ds = \int_{T_1}^{\infty} \sigma(s) F_n(s) ds$$

and $|[(Ay_n)(t)]' - [(Ay_0)(t)]'| = 0$, and for $t \in [T_1, \tau]$ where $\tau > T_1$ we get

$$|(Ay_n)(t)-(Ay_0)(t)| \leq |\rho(t)|_{T_1}^t f(s, y_n(s), y_n(\overline{g}^n(s)), y_n'(s), y_n'(\overline{g}^n(s))) ds$$

$$+\int\limits_{t}^{\infty}\rho(s)\,f(s,\,y_{n}(s),\,y_{n}(\overline{g}^{n}(s)),\,y_{n}^{'}(s),\,y_{n}^{'}(\overline{g}^{n}(s)))ds-\rho(t)\int\limits_{T_{1}^{'}}^{t}\!\!\!f(s,\,y_{0}(s),y_{0}(\overline{g^{0}}(s)),\,y_{0}^{'}(s),\,y_{0}^{'}(\overline{g^{0}}(s)))ds$$

$$-\int_{t}^{\infty} \rho(s) f(s, y_{0}(s), y_{0}(\overline{g^{0}}(s)), y_{0}'(s), y_{0}'(\overline{g^{0}}(s))) ds \mid \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} \rho(s) F_{n}(s) ds$$

and

$$|[(Ay_n)(t)]' - [(Ay_0)(t)]'| \le \frac{1}{r(t)} \int_{T_1}^{t} |f(s, y_n(s), y_n(\overline{g}^n(s)), y_n'(s), y_n'(\overline{g}^n(s)))$$

$$-f(s, y_0(s), y_0(\overline{g}^0(s)), y_0'(s), y_0'(\overline{g}^0(s))) \mid ds \leq \int_{t_0}^{t} F_n(s) ds < \int_{t_0}^{\infty} F_n(s) ds,$$

where $F_n(s) = |f(s, y_n(s), y_n(\overline{g^n}(s)), y_n(s), y_n(\overline{g^n}(s))) - f(s, y_0(s), y_0(\overline{g^0}(s)), y_0(s), y_0(\overline{g^0}(s)))|$ and $g'(s) = g(s, y_j(s), y'(s))$ for j = 0, n.

In order to estimate $F_n(s)$ we shall use (16), (17) and conditions 2 and 4 of theorem 2. For $s \ge T_1$ we have

(18)
$$F_n(s) \leq 2f(s, c, c, c', c')$$

and

$$F_n(s) \le \xi_0\{|y_n(s)-y_0(s)|+|y_n(\overline{g}^n(s))-y_0(\overline{g}^0(s))|+|y_n(s)-y_n(s)|$$

$$+ |y'_{n}(\overline{g}^{n}(s)) - y'_{0}(\overline{g}^{0}(s))| \} \leq \xi_{0} \{ ||y_{n} - y_{0}||_{\tau} + |y_{n}(\overline{g}^{n}(s)) - y_{n}(\overline{g}^{0}(s))|$$

$$+ |y_{n}(\overline{g}^{0}(s)) - y_{0}(\overline{g}^{0}(s))| + |y'_{n}(\overline{g}^{n}(s)) - y'_{n}(\overline{g}^{0}(s))| + |y'_{n}(\overline{g}^{0}(s)) - y'_{0}(\overline{g}^{0}(s))| \}$$

$$\leq \xi_{0} \{ 2 ||y_{n} - y_{0}||_{\tau} + (\delta + \alpha') |g(s, y_{n}(s), y'_{n}(s)) - g(s, y_{0}(s), y'_{0}(s))| \}$$

$$\leq \xi_{0} \{ 2 ||y_{n} - y_{0}||_{\tau} + \eta(\delta + \alpha') [|y_{n}(s) - y_{0}(s)| + |y'_{n}(s) - y'_{0}(s)| \}$$

$$\leq \xi_{0} \{ 2 + \eta(\delta + \alpha') ||y_{n} - y_{0}||_{\tau} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0.$$

Therefore, $\rho(s)F_n(s) \leq 2\rho(s)f(s, c, c, c', c')$ for $s \geq T_1$ and $\rho(s)F_n(s) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$ uniformly since (2) and (19) hold. Then by Lebesgue's theorem for dominated convergence we obtain $\int_{T_n}^{\infty} F_n(s)ds \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$ and $\int_{T_n}^{\infty} \rho(s)F_n(s)ds \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$, hence

(20)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\sup_{[T_0,\tau]} |(Ay_n)(t)-(Ay_0)(t)|\right] = 0$$
 and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\sup_{[T_0,\tau]} |[(Ay_n)(t)]'-[(Ay_0)(t)]'|\right] = 0$.

From (20) we conclude that $\|Ay_n - Ay_0\|_{\tau \longrightarrow 0}$, thus A is continuous. Applying Schauder — Tychonoff fixed point theorem [3] we find $y \in B^1$ such that y = Ay. Thus the function y = y(t) is a solution of (1) and since $y'(t) \le 0$ for $t \ge T_1$ and $y(t) \ge \frac{\delta}{2}$ for $t \ge T_0$, we conclude that $\lim y(t) = \cosh \pm 0$.

Theorem 2 is thus proved.

Theorem 3. Let conditions 1 and 2 of theorem 2 hold, $\frac{1}{\rho(t)}$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $\mu > 0$, $g(t, v_1, v_2) \le t$ for any $v_1, v_2 \in R$ and

$$\sup_{t\geq t_0} |f(t, b\rho(t), b\rho(g(t, \beta, \beta, \beta', \beta')), b', b')| < \infty$$

for any b, b', β , $\beta' \in R$ fixed.

Then there exists a non-oscillatory solution y(t) of (1) such that $\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{y(t)}{\rho(t)}=\mathrm{const}\pm0$ iff

(21)
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} |f(t, c\rho(t), c\rho(g(t, \gamma, \gamma')), c', c')| dt < \infty$$
 for some $c \neq 0$ and some $c', \gamma, \gamma' \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Necessity. Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1) and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{y(t)}{\rho(t)} = a$ = const>0 (the proof is similar when a<0). Then for each $\varepsilon(0,a)$ there exists $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that $\rho(t) \le 1$ and

(22)
$$0 < (a-\varepsilon)\rho(t) < y(t) < (a+\varepsilon)\rho(t) \le a+\varepsilon \text{ for } t \ge t_1.$$

Then by lemma 1 we can find $t_2 \ge t_1$ and d' = const > 0 such that

$$(23) 0 < |y'(t)| \le d' \text{ for } t \ge t_2.$$

Let, for instance, $0 < y'(t) \le d'$ (the proof is similar when $-d' \le y'(t) < 0$). From H3, (22) and (23) we get

(24)
$$t_2 \le g(t, 0, d') \le g(t, y(t), y'(t)) \le g(t, a + \varepsilon, 0)$$
 for $t \ge t_3 \ge t_2$

and since $\rho(.)$ is decreasing, we obtain

(25)
$$\rho(g(t, a+\varepsilon, 0)) \leq \rho(g(t, y(t), y'(t))) \leq \rho(g(t, 0, d')) \text{ for } t \geq t_3.$$

From (22), applying (24) and (25), we observe that

(26) $(a-\varepsilon)\rho(g(t, a+\varepsilon, 0)) \leq (a-\varepsilon)\rho(g(t)) \leq y(g(t)) \leq (a+\varepsilon)\rho(g(t)) \leq (a+\varepsilon)\rho(g(t, 0, d'))$ for $t \geq t_3$ and from (23) and (24) we have

$$0 \le y'(\overline{g}(t)) \le d' \text{ for } t \ge t_3.$$

Let $c=a-\varepsilon$, c'=0, $\gamma=a+\varepsilon$, $\gamma'=0$ when (11) holds and $c=a+\varepsilon$, c'=d', $\gamma=0$, $\gamma'=d'$ when (12) holds. Then from (22), (23), (26) and (27) we obtain the estimate

(28) $f(t, y(t), y(\overline{g}(t)), y'(t), y'(\overline{g}(t))) \ge f(t, c\rho(t), c\rho(g(t, \gamma, \gamma')), c', c') > 0$ for $t \ge t_3$.

Integrating (1) from t_3 to t, applying (8), (22) and (28) and letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$0 = r(t)y'(t) - r(t_3)y'(t_3) + \int_{t_3}^{t} f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s)))ds$$

$$\geq -\frac{y(t)}{\rho(t)} - r(t_3)y'(t_3) + \int_{t_3}^{t} f(s, c\rho(s), c\rho(g(s, \gamma, \gamma')), c', c')ds$$

$$\geq -(a+\varepsilon) - r(t_3)y'(t_3) + \int_{t_3}^{t} f(s, c\rho(s), c\rho(g(s, \gamma, \gamma')), c', c')ds \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} -[a+\varepsilon + r(t_3)y'(t_3)] + \int_{t_3}^{\infty} f(s, c\rho(s), c\rho(g(s, \gamma, \gamma')), c', c')ds$$

i. e. (21) holds.

Sufficiency. Let (21) hold for c>0 (the proof is similar when c<0). Denote $\delta=\frac{c}{2}$, $c'=2\delta$ when (11) holds and $\delta=c$, c'=0 when (12) holds. In view of (2) and (21) we can find $t_1 \ge t_0$, so large that

(29)
$$\rho(t_1) \leq 1, \int_{t_1}^{\infty} f(t, c\rho(t), c\rho(g(t, \gamma, \gamma')), c', c') dt \leq \delta$$

and by H3 we can find $t_2 \ge t_1$ so large that $g(t, \delta, c') \ge t_0$ for $t \ge t_2$. Let $T_1 = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$, $T_* = \inf_{t \ge T_*} g(t, \delta, c')$ and $T_0 = \min\{T_1, T_*\}$.

Denote by C_{ρ}^{1} the space of continuously differentiable functions $y: [T_{0}, \infty) \rightarrow R$ with the topology defined by the family of semi-norms $||y||_{\tau} = \sup_{[T_{0}, \tau]} \{\frac{|y(t)|}{\rho(t)} + |y'(t)|\}$ where $\tau \in (T_{0}, \infty)$ is an integer, by B_{ρ}^{1} —the set of all $y \in C_{\rho}^{1}$ for which $\delta \rho(t) \leq y(t) \leq 2\delta \rho(t)$, $0 \leq |y'(t)| \leq 2\delta$ for $t \geq T_{0}$ and $|\frac{y(t)}{\rho(t)} - \frac{y(t)}{\rho(t)}| \leq \alpha |t - \overline{t}|$, $|y'(t) - y'(\overline{t})| \leq \alpha' |t - \overline{t}|$ for $t, \overline{t} \geq T_{0}$, where $\alpha = \mu \delta + 2f_{0}$, $\alpha' = 2\nu \delta + f_{0}$ and $f_{0} = \sup_{t \geq T_{0}} f(t, c\rho(t), c\rho(g(t, \gamma, \gamma')), c', c')$ and by $\Phi: B_{\rho}^{1} \rightarrow C_{\rho}^{1}$ the operator defined by the formula

and by
$$\Phi: B_{\rho}^{1} \to C_{\rho}^{1}$$
 the operator defined by the formula
$$(\Phi y)(t) = \begin{cases} \delta \rho(t) + \rho(t) \int_{T_{1}}^{t} f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s)))ds + \int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} \rho(s)f(s, y(s), \overline{y}(g(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s)))ds + \int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} \rho(s)f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s)))ds, t \in [T_{0}, T_{1}]. \end{cases}$$

Further the proof is analogous to that of theorem 2.

Theorem 3 is proved.

The following theorem guarantees oscillation of all solutions of equation (1). Theorem 4. In addition to conditions (H), (7) and (2) assume:

1. There exists $\sigma > 1$ such that $\frac{|f(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)|}{|u_2|^{\sigma}} \leq \frac{|f(t, \overline{u_1}, \overline{u_2}, \overline{u_3}, \overline{u_4})|}{|u_2|^{\sigma}} for |u_i| \leq |\overline{u_i}|$, $u_i u_i > 0$ $(i = \overline{1, 4})$ and $t \ge t_0$.

2.
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\rho(g^*(t,\gamma,\gamma'))}{\rho(g(t,\gamma,\gamma'))} \right) \sigma \left| f(t,c\rho(t),c\rho(g(t,\gamma,\gamma')),c',c') \right| dt = \infty \text{ for any } c \neq 0, c', \gamma, \gamma' \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a non-oscillatory solution y(t) of (1) and let, for instance, y(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$ (the proof is similar when y(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_1 \ge t_0$). By lemma 1

(30)
$$0 < |y'(t)| \le k'$$
 for $t \ge t_2 \ge t_1$ and some $k' = \text{const} > 0$.

We shall consider the cases y'(t)>0 and y'(t)<0 for $t\ge t_2$ separately. Let y'(t)>0 for $t\ge t_2$. Since y(t)>0 for $t\ge t_1$, we can find $\underline{t_3}\ge t_2$ and $l=\mathrm{const}>0$ so that $y(t) \ge l$ for $t \ge t_3$. But (8) implies $y(t) \le k^+$ for $t \ge t_2$, hence $g(t) \ge g(t, l, k') \ge t_3$ and $y(\overline{g}(t)) \ge l$ for $t \ge t_4$ and $y'(\overline{g}(t)) > 0$ for $t \ge t_4$.

But condition 1 of theorem 4 yields (11). Thus (13) holds for c=l, c'=0 and

On the other hand, $g^*(t, \gamma, \gamma') \ge g(t, \gamma, \gamma')$ and $\rho(.)$ decreases. Choose the numbers $t_6 \ge t_5 \ge t_4$ so large that $\rho(t) < 1$ for $t \ge t_5$ and $g(t, \gamma, \gamma') \ge t_5$ for $t \ge t_6$. Then

$$(\frac{\rho(g^*(t,\gamma,\gamma'))}{\rho(g(t,\gamma,\gamma'))})^{\sigma}f,(t,c\rho(t),c\rho(g(t,\gamma,\gamma')),c',a') \leq f(t,c,c,c',c')$$
 for $t \geq t_6$ and hence

(31)
$$\int_{c}^{\infty} f(t, c, c, c', c') dt = \infty \text{ for any } c \neq 0, c' \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Integrating (1) from t_6 to t, applying (13) and letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we $\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t, k', k', 0, 0) dt < \infty \text{ which contradicts (31)}.$

Let y'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_2$. Consider the derivative

From (1) in view of the positiveness of y(t) and H2 it follows that (r(t)y'(t))<0for $t \ge t_1$. Then

(33)
$$-r(t)y'(t) \leq -r(g^*(t, \gamma, \gamma'))y'(g^*(t, \gamma, \gamma')) \leq \frac{y(g^*(t, \gamma, \gamma'))}{\rho(g^*(t, \gamma, \gamma'))} \text{ for } t \geq t_1$$

since $t \le g^*(t, \gamma, \gamma')$ and (8) holds, and $r(t)y'(t) \le r(t_1)y'(t_1)$ for $t \ge t_1$. Integrating last inequality from t to ∞ , we obtain

(34)
$$y(t) \ge a\rho(t)$$
 for $t \ge t_1$ $(a = -r(t_1)y'(t_1) > 0)$.

On the other hand, y(t) > 0 and y'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_1$ ensure that $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = k \ge 0$ exists, hence

(35)
$$|y(t)-k| < \varepsilon$$
 for each $\varepsilon \in (0, k)$ and $t \ge t_2 \ge t_1$.

From (30), (35) and H3 it follows that

(36)
$$t_2 \leq g(t, k-\varepsilon, k') \leq g(t, y(t), y'(t)) \leq g(t, k+\varepsilon, 0) \text{ for } t \geq t_3 \geq t_2.$$

From (34), (36) and (30) we deduce

(37)
$$y(\overline{g}(t)) \ge a\rho(\overline{g}(t)) \ge a\rho(g(t, \gamma, \gamma')) |y'(\overline{g}(t))| > 0 \text{ for } t \ge t_3$$

where $\gamma = k + \varepsilon$, $\gamma' = 0$.

Condition 1 of theorem 4, (34), (30) and (37) yield

(38)
$$\frac{f(t, y(t), y(\overline{g}(t)), y'(t)y'(\overline{g}(t)))}{y^{\sigma}(\overline{g}(t))} \ge \frac{f(t, a\rho(t), a\rho(g(t, \gamma, \gamma')), 0, 0)}{a^{\sigma}\rho^{\sigma}(g(t, \gamma, \gamma'))} \text{ for } t \ge t_3.$$

From (36) and the negativeness of y'(t) we get

(39)
$$y(g(t)) \ge y(g(t, \gamma, \gamma')) \ge y(g^*(t, \gamma, \gamma'))$$
 for $t \ge t_3$.

Then

$$(40) \left(-(-t(t)y'(t))^{1-\sigma}\right)' \ge (\sigma - 1) \frac{\rho^{\sigma}(g^*,(t,\gamma,\gamma'))}{\nu^{\sigma}(g^*(t,\gamma,\gamma'))} y^{\sigma}(g^*,(t,\gamma,\gamma')) \frac{f(t,a\rho(t),a\rho(g(t,\gamma,\gamma'),00,))}{a^{\sigma}\rho^{\sigma}(g,(t,\gamma,\gamma))}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma - 1}{a^{\sigma}} \left(\frac{\rho(g^*(t,\gamma,\gamma'))}{\rho(g(t,\gamma,\gamma'))}\right)^{\sigma} f(t,a\rho(t),a\rho(g(t,\gamma,\gamma')),0,0) \text{ for } t \ge t_3$$

since (32), (33), (38) and (39) hold.

Integrating (40) from t_3 to t, we have

(41)
$$\frac{\sigma - 1}{a^{\sigma}} \int_{s}^{t} \left(\frac{\rho(g^{*}(s, \gamma, \gamma'))}{\rho(g(s, \gamma, \gamma'))}\right)^{\sigma} f(s, a\rho(s), a\rho(g(s, \gamma, \gamma')), 0, 0)$$

$$\leq (-r(t_{3})y'(t_{3}))^{1-\sigma} - (-r(t)y'(t))^{1-\sigma} < (-r(t_{3})y'(t_{3}))^{1-\sigma}.$$

Letting $t \to \infty$ in (41), we obtain a contradiction with condition 2 of theorem 4. Theorem 4 is thus proved.

Theorems 3 and 4 imply

Corollary 1. Let the conditions of theorem 3 and condition 1 of theorem 4 hold and $\inf_{t\geq t_0} \frac{p(g^*(t, \gamma, \gamma'))}{p(g(t, \gamma, \gamma'))} > 0$ for any γ , $\gamma' \in R$.

Then all solutions of (1) oscillate iff

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} |(t, c\rho(t), c\rho(g(t, \gamma, \gamma')), c', c')| dt = \infty \text{ for any } c \neq 0. c', \gamma, \gamma' \in R.$$

2. The case $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r(t)} = \infty$.

Lemma 2. Let conditions (H) and (3) hold and y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1).

Then there exists $t_1 \ge t_0$ and a_1 , $a_2 > 0$ such that for $t \ge t_1$ y'(t) is bounded and y(t)y'(t) > 0 and $a_1 \le (y(t) \le a_2 R(t)$.

Proof. Let y(t)>0 for $t\geq t_1\geq t_0$ (the proof is similar when y(t)<0 for $t\geq t_1\geq t_0$). Then (1) and H2 yield (r(t)y'(t))'<0, |y'(t)|>0 and

$$(43) r(t)y'(t) \leq r(t_1)y'(t_1) for t \geq t_1.$$

Dividing (43) by r(t) and integrating from t_1 to t, we get

(44)
$$y(t) \le y(t_1) + r(t_1)y'(t_1) \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{ds}{r(s)} \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_1.$$

Suppose that y'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_1$. Then (44) implies the contradiction $y(t) \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} -\infty$ since (3) holds. Consequently, y'(t) > 0 and there exists $a_1 > 0$ such that $y(t) \ge a_1$ for $t \ge t_2 \ge t_1$. Denote $a_2 = r(t_1)y'(t_1) > 0$. Then (44) yields $y(t) \le a_2 R(t)$ for $t \ge t_1$. Dividing (44) by r(t) and applying H_1 , we obtain

$$0 < y'(t) \le \frac{a_2}{r(t)} \le \frac{a_2}{r(t_1)}$$

i. e. y'(t) is bounded.

Lemma 2 is proved.

Theorem 5. In addition to (H) and (3) assume that:

- 1. Condition 2 of theorem 1 is fulfilled.
- 2. R(.), $\frac{1}{r(.)}$ and g(t,.,.) are Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constants μ , ν , η_0 , respectively, $\sup_{t \ge t_0} \{R(t) | f(t, b, b, b', b')| \} < \infty$ for any b, $b' \in R$ fixed and $g(t, \nu_1, \nu_2) \le t$ for any ν_1 , $\nu_2 \in R$.
 - 3. $|f(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) f(t, \overline{u_1}, \overline{u_2}, \overline{u_3}, \overline{u_4})| \le \xi(t) \sum_{i=1}^{4} |u_i \overline{u_i}| \text{ where } \xi(t) > 0 \text{ and } \sup_{t \ge t_9} R(t) \xi(t) < \infty.$

Then there exists a bounded non-oscillatory solution of (1) iff

(45)
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} R(t) |f(t, c, c, c', c')| dt < \infty \text{ for some } c \neq 0 \text{ and some } c' \in R.$$

Proof. Necessity. Let y(t) be a bounded non-oscillatory solution of (1) and, for instance, y(t)>0 for $t\ge t_1\le t_0$ (the proof is similar when y(t)<0 for $t\ge t_1\ge t_0$). By lemma 2 we can find numbers $0< a_1< a_2$, d'>0 and $t_2\ge t_1$ such that $a_1\le y(t)\le a_2$ and $0< y'(t)\le d'$ for $t\ge t_2$. As in the proof of theorem 1 we obtain (13) for $t\ge t_3\ge t_2$ and $c=a_1$, c'=0 when (11) holds and $c=a_2$, c'=d' when (12) holds. Multiplying (1) by R(t), integrating from t_3 to t, applying (13) and letting $t\to\infty$, we get

$$R(t)r(t)y'(t) - y(t) - R(t_3)r(t_3)y'(t_3) + y(t_3) + \int_{t_3}^{t} R(s)f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g}(s)), y'(s), y'(\overline{g}(s))) ds$$

$$> -a_2 - R(t_3)r(t_3)y'(t_3) + \int_{t_3}^{t} R(s)f(s, c, c, c', c') ds \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} -(a_2 + R(t_3)r(t_3)y'(t_3)) + \int_{t_3}^{\infty} R(s)f(s, c, c, c', c') ds$$

i. e. (45).

Sufficiency. Let (45) hold for c>0 (the proof is similar when c<0). Denote $\delta=c$, $c'=\delta$ when (11) holds and $\delta=2c$, c'=0 when (12) holds. In view of (3), (45) and H1 we can find a number $t_1 \ge t_0$ so that $R(t) \ge 1$ and $r(t) \ge 1$ for $t \ge t_1$,

 $\int\limits_{t_1}^{\infty}R(s)f(s,\ c,\ c,\ c',\ c')ds\leq\frac{\delta}{2} \ \ \text{and by H3 we can find} \ \ t_2\geq t_0 \ \ \text{so large that} \ \ g(t,\ \frac{\delta}{2},\ c')$ $\geq t_0$ for $t \geq t_2$. Let $T_1 = \max\{t_1, t_2\}$, $T_2 = \inf_{t \geq T_1} g(t, \frac{\delta}{2}, c')$ and $T_0 = \min\{T_1, T_2\}$, and C^1 and B^1 be the space and the set defined in the proof of theorem 2 and $\alpha' = \frac{v\delta}{2} + \overline{f}$ and $\overline{f} = \sup_{t \ge T_1} R(t) f(t, c, c, c', c').$

Let $\psi \colon B^1 \to C^1$ be the operator defined by the formula

$$(\psi y) (t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta}{2} + \int_{T_1}^{t} R(s) f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g(s)}), y'(s), y'(\overline{g(s)})) ds + R(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g(s)}), y'(\overline{g(s)}), y'(\overline{g(s)})) ds, t \geq T_1 \\ \frac{\delta}{2} + R(T_1) \int_{T_1}^{\infty} f(s, y(s), y(\overline{g(s)}), y'(s), y'(\overline{g(s)})) ds, t \in [T_0, T_1]. \end{cases}$$

Further on we proceed as in the proof of theorem 2.

Theorem 5 is thus proved.

Theorem 6. In addition to (H) and (3) assume that:

1. Condition 1 of theorem 4 holds.

2. There exists $h_*(t, v_1, v_2) \in C^1([t_0, \infty) \times R^2)$ such that $h_*(t, v_1, v_2) \leq g_*(t, v_1, v_2)$ for any $v_1, v_2 \in R$ fixed and $h_*(t, v_1, v_2) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{\partial h_*(t, v_1, v_2)}{\partial t} \geq 0$.

3.
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} R(h_*(t, \gamma, \gamma')) | f(t, c, c, c'', c') | dt = \infty \text{ for any } c \neq 0, c', \gamma, \gamma' \in R.$$

Then all bounded solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

The proof is similar to that of theorem 4 and we omit it.

From theorems 5 and 6 we obtain

Corollary 2. Let the conditions of theorem 5 and conditions 1 and 2 of theorem 6 hold and $\inf_{t \ge t_0} \frac{R(h_*(t, \gamma, \gamma'))}{R(t)} > 0$ for any γ , $\gamma' \in R$.

Then all bounded solutions of (1) are oscillatory iff

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} R(t) |f(t, c, c, c', c')| dt = \infty \quad \text{for any} \quad c \neq 0, c' \in R.$$

Remark. We note that analogus results have been proved in [4]-[7] in the cases when $f = y(g(t))F((y(g(t))^2, t))$ and g(t) < t; f = f(y(g(t)), t) and g(t) < t; f = f(y(g(t)), t)and g(t) > t; r(t) = 1, f = f(t, y(g(t, y(t)))) and g(t, v) is of mixed type, respectively. While in [4—7] the authors have used Schauder's fixed point theorem, here we consider Fréchet spaces and apply the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem.

3. A comparison theorem. For equation (4) and the equation

(46)
$$y''(t) + a(t)y''(t) = 0$$

we shall prove the following comparison theorem.

Theorem 7. Let conditions (H) hold and let all solutions of (46) be oscillatory. Then all solutions of (4) are oscillatory.

Proof. Let all solutions of (46) be oscillatory and there exists a solution $y_0(t)$ of (4) which is non-oscillatory. Since $y_0(t) \neq 0$ for $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$, then by $\overline{H}2$ we obtain

(47)
$$\mathscr{F}(t) = \frac{F(t, y_0(t), y_0(\overline{G}(t)), y_0'(t), y_0'(\overline{G}(t)))}{y_0^n(t)} \ge 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_1.$$

Let n>1. According to theorem 1 [8], the oscillation of all solutions of (46) implies

(48)
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t a(t) dt = \infty.$$

From (47) and (48) we observe that $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} t A(t) dt = \infty$ where $A(t) = a(t) + \mathcal{F}(t)$. Applying once more theorem 1 [8], we conclude that all solutions of the equation y''(t) + A(t)y(t) = 0

are oscillatory. It is easy to see that $y_0(t)$ is a non-oscillatory solution of (49) which is a contradiction.

Let 0 < n < 1. According to theorem 1 [9], the oscillation of all solutions of (46) yields

(50)
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^n a(t) dt = \infty.$$

From (46) and (50) we observe that $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} t^n A(t) dt = \infty$. Applying now theorem 1 [9], we obtain that all solutions of (49) are oscillatory which contradicts the assumption that $y_0(t)$ is a non-oscillatory solution of (4). Thus, all solutions of (4) are oscillatory. Theorem 7 is proved.

4. An aplication. Finally, we will illustrate theorem 6 on equation (5) with (6). Corollary 3. Let α , β , γ , p, h_1 and h_2 be defined as in the introduction and $\sigma > 1$ be even. If $\alpha = \beta p$, $\Delta(p) > 1/\alpha$, $\Delta'(p) < 0$ and the numbers $t_0 = t_0(v)$ and $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(v) > 0$ are defined so that $\epsilon_0 = \Delta(p) + h_2\Delta'(p)v$ and $t_0 > 0$ for $v \ge 0$, and $\epsilon_0 = \Delta(p)$ and $t_0 > \frac{1}{\alpha} \ln[(-\alpha h_2\Delta'(p)v)]$ for v < 0, then all solutions of (5) with (6) are oscillatory for $t \ge T_0 = \sigma(t_0 + \epsilon_0)$.

In fact, by the substitution $x(t) = e^{-\alpha t}y(t)$ equation (5) is transformed into the equation

(51)
$$y^{n}(t) + \gamma e^{\alpha \sigma} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} y(t) y^{\sigma}(t - \stackrel{\frown}{\Delta}) = 0,$$

where $\Delta = \Delta(p) + [(h_1p - \alpha h_2)y(t) + h_2y'(t)]\Delta'(p)e^{-\alpha t} = \Delta(p) + h_2\Delta'(p)e^{-\alpha t}y'(t)$ since $h_1p - \alpha h_2 = \frac{-AV_c}{A_2L^*c^*}$. $\frac{\rho A_2L^*c^*v_0}{V_c} + \frac{RT_c\rho l}{L^*c^*} = \rho[-Av_0 + \frac{RT_c l}{L^*c^*}] = 0$ when $\alpha = \beta p$, i. e. when $\frac{Av_0}{l} = \frac{RT_c}{L^*c^*}$.

It is easy to see that (51) is a particular case of (1) when r(t)=1, $f(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)=\gamma e^{\alpha\sigma\Lambda}u_1u_2^{\sigma}$ and $g(t, v_2)=\overline{t}-\overline{\Delta}(t, v_2)$ and the functions r, f and g satisfy (H), (3) and condition 1 of theorem 4. From the choice of t_0 , ε_0 and $\Delta(p)$ it follows that $\overline{\Delta}(t, v_2)>0$ for $t\geq t_0$ and for any $v_2\in R$ fixed. Then $g_*(t, v_2)=g(t, v_2)$ and the function $h_*(t, v_2)=g_*(t, v_2)$ satisfies condition 2 of theorem 6. But (3) yields

$$R(h_{*}(t, v_{9})) = h_{*}(t, v_{9}) - t_{0} = t - \overline{\Delta}(t, v_{9}) - t_{0} \ge \sigma(t_{0} + \varepsilon_{0}) - \varepsilon_{0} - t_{0} = (\sigma - 1)(t_{0} + \varepsilon_{0})$$

for $t \ge T_0$ and $|f(t, c, c, c', c')| = \gamma |c| |c| |c| e^{\alpha \overline{o} \Lambda(t, c')} = \gamma |c|^{\sigma+1} e^{\alpha \overline{o} \overline{\Lambda}(t, c')}$ for any $c \ne 0$, $c' \in R$ since $\alpha > 0$, $\sigma > 0$ and $\Delta(t, c) > 0$.

Then

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{T_0}^{\infty} R(h_*(t, \gamma')) \left| f(t, c, c, c', c') \right| dt &= \int\limits_{T_0}^{\infty} \left[h_*(t, \gamma') - t_0 \right] \gamma \left| c \right|^{\sigma + 1} e^{\alpha \sigma \overline{\Lambda}(t, c')} dt \\ &> \gamma \left| c \right|^{\sigma + 1} (\sigma - 1) \left(t_0 + \varepsilon_0 \right) \int\limits_{T_0}^{\infty} dt = \infty. \end{split}$$

Thus, all conditions of theorem 6 hold and in virtue of it the solutions of (51) hence the solutions of (5) as well, are oscillatory.

Corollary 3 is established.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ju. S. Kolessov, D. I. Shvitra. Mathematical modelling of the process of combustion in the camera of liquid propellant rocket motors. Litovskii Math. Sb., No 4, 1975 (in Russian).
- 2. Ju. S. Kolessov, D. I. Shvitra. Self-excited oscillations in systems with delay. Vilnjus, 1979 (in Russian).
- 3. W. A. Coppel. Stability and asymptotic behaviour of differential equations. Boston, 1965.
 4. T. Kusano, M. Naito. Nonlinear oscillation of second order differential equations with retarded argument. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., Ser. 4, 106, 1975, 171-185.

 5. H. Onose. Oscillation and nonoscillation of delay differential equations. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.,
- Ser. 4, 107, 1976, 159-168
- 6. T. Kusano, H. Onose. Nonlinear oscillation of second-order functional differential equations with advanced argument. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 29, 1977, 541-559.
- 7. D. C. Angelova, D. D. Bainov. On the oscillation of solutions to a second order functional differential equations. *Boll. Un. Mat. Italiana*, 1—B, 6, 1982, 797-807.
- 8. F. V. Atkinson. On second order nonlinear oscillations. Pacific Math. J., 5, 1955, 645-647.
- **9.** S. Belohorec. On some properties of the equation $y''(x) + p(x)y^n(x) = 0$, 0 < n < 1. Math. Casop., 17, 1967, 10-19.

Institute for Social Management Sofia Medical Academy

Sofia

Received 24. 1. 1986 Revised 27. 4. 1987