Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

ON THE BOURGIN — YANG THEOREM FOR MULTI-VALUED MAPS IN THE NON-SYMMETRIC CASE, II

MAREK IZYDOREK

1. Introduction. The Borsuk — Ulam theorem, which states that for every continuous map $f: S^n \to R^n$ there exists a point $x \in S^n$ such that f(x) = f(-x) has many generalizations proceeding in various directions. In some of these generalizations the sphere is replaced by more general space and mappings by compact fields or by multi-valued maps. In particular, the author has proved the following theorem (see [4]).

maps. In particular, the author has proved the following theorem (see [4]). Theorem (1.1). Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k+1}$ ($k \ge 0$) be a Borsuk's set (by Borsuk's set it is meant a subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k+1}$ which is compact and the origin lies in a bounded component of $\mathbb{R}^{n+k+1} \setminus X$) and $\varphi \colon X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a multi-valued admissible mapping. Then the covering dimension of the set

ing dimension of the set

$$A_{\varphi} = \{x \in X : \exists \lambda > 0 \text{ such that } -\lambda x \in X \text{ and } \varphi(x) \cap \varphi(-\lambda x) \neq \emptyset \}$$

is not less than k.

Recall that an u. s. c. map $\varphi \colon X \to Y$ is admissible if it admits a selector $\psi \colon X \to Y$ which is a composition of acyclic maps (see [1, 3]). On the other hand, infinite dimensional case of the above theorem for single-valued maps has been proved in [5]. Let E^{∞} denotes an infinite dimensional Banach space and let $E^{\infty-k}$ be a linear, closed subspace of E^{∞} of codimension k. This theorem can be stated as follows:

Theorem (1.2). Let X be a closed, bounded subset of E^{∞} for which the origin is in a bounded component of $E^{\infty} \setminus X$ and let $f: X \rightarrow E^{\infty - k - 1}$ be a compact vector field (i. e. a map of the form f(x) = x - F(x) where $\overline{F(X)}$ is compact). Then the covering dimension of the set

$$A_f = \{x \in X : \exists \lambda > 0 \text{ such that } -\lambda x \in X \text{ and } f(x) = f(-\lambda x)\}$$

is not less than k.

If $X \subset E^{\infty}$, a mapping $\varphi: X \to E^{\infty}$ is said to be an admissible multivalued compact field if the associated displacement mapping Φ from X into E^{∞} defined by $\Phi(x) = \{x - y, y \in \varphi(x)\}$ is an admissible multi-valued compact map (comp. [2]).

The aim of this paper is to combine theorems (1.1) and (1.2). Specifically our

theorem is:

Theorem (1.3). Let X be a closed, bounded subset of E^{∞} for which the origin lies in a bounded component of $E^{\infty} \setminus X$ and let $\varphi \colon X \to E^{\infty-k-1}$ be a multi-valued admissible compact field. Then the covering dimension of the set

$$A_{\varphi} = \{x \in X : \exists \lambda > 0 - \lambda x \in X \text{ and } \varphi(x) \cap \varphi(-\lambda x) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is not less than k.

For k=0 we have the multi-valued version of Joshi's theorem proved in [7] by W. Segiet.

2. Compactness of A_{φ} . Analogously as in [5] we prove the following two lemmas. SERDICA Bulgaricae mathematicae publicationes, Vol. 13, 1987, p. 420—422.

Lemma (2.1). The set A_{φ} is relatively compact i. e. $\overline{A_{\varphi}}$ is compact. Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in N}$ be a sequence of points in A_{φ} . Then for each $n\in N$ there exists a positive real number λ_n such that $-\lambda_n x_n\in X$ and

$$\varphi(x_n) \cap \varphi(-\lambda_n x_n) + \emptyset.$$

From the definition of a multi-valued admissible compact field we have that $(x_n - \Phi(x_n)) \cap (-\lambda_n x_n - \Phi(-\lambda_n x_n)) \neq \emptyset$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Phi \colon X \to E^{\infty}$ is the multi-valued admissible compact map associated with the compact field φ . Thus for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a point $y_n \in E^{\infty}$ such that $y_n \in (x_n - \Phi(x_n))$ and $y_n \in (-\lambda_n x_n - \Phi(-\lambda_n x_n))$. Let's denote $z_n^1 \colon = x_n - y_n$ and $z_n \colon = -\lambda_n x_n - y_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $(1 + \lambda_n) x_n = z_n^1 - z_n^2 \in \Phi(X) - \Phi(X)$.

The algebraic difference of compact sets is compact so there is a subsequence $\{(\lambda_{n_l}+1)x_{n_l}\}_{l\in N}=\{z_{n_l}^1-z_{n_l}^2\}_{l\in N}$ of the sequence $\{z_n^1-z_n^2\}_{n\in N}$ which converges to a point $z_0\in E^\infty$. Moreover, from the sequence $\{\lambda_{n_l}\}_{l\in N}$ we can choose a subsequence $\{\lambda_{n_l}\}_{s\in N}$ which converges to a positive real number λ_0 . Therefore we have

$$x_{n_{l_s}} = \frac{z_{n_{l_s}}^1 - z_{n_{l_s}}^2}{1 + \lambda_{n_{l_s}}} \xrightarrow[s \to \infty]{} \frac{z_0}{1 + \lambda_0} \in X.$$

Lemma (2.2). The set A_{φ} is closed in X.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in N}$ be a sequence of points in A_{φ} which converges to a point $x_0\in X$. There is a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n\in N}$ such that for each $n\in N$ $y_n=-\lambda_n x_n$ $\lambda_n>0$ and $\varphi(x_n)\cap\varphi(y_n)\neq\varnothing$. By the lemma (2.1) we can choose a subsequence $\{y_n\}_{t\in N}$ of $\{y_n\}_{n\in N}$ in such a way that $y_n\xrightarrow[l\to\infty]{}y_0\in X$ and $\lambda_n\xrightarrow[l\to\infty]{}\lambda_0>0$. We have $\varphi(x_0)\cap\varphi(y_0)\neq\varnothing$ because φ is an u. s. c. map. Moreover,

$$(1+\lambda_{n_l}) \cdot x_{n_l} = x_{n_l} + \lambda_{n_l} x_{n_l} = x_{n_l} - (-\lambda_{n_l} x_{n_l}) = x_{n_l} - y_{n_l}$$

$$\downarrow^{l \to \infty} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{l \to \infty}$$

$$(1+\lambda_0) \cdot x_0 \qquad \qquad x_0 - y_0$$

thus $y_0 = -\lambda_0 x_0$ and $x_0 \in A_{\varphi}$.

So we have proved that the set A_{ϕ} is compact.

3. The main result. Now we prove the following theorem:

Theorem (3.1). Let X be a closed, bounded subset of E^{∞} for which the origin lies in a bounded component of $E^{\infty} \setminus X$ and let $\varphi \colon X \to E^{\infty-k-1}$ be a multi-valued admissible compact field. Then the covering dimension of the set

$$A_{\varphi} = \{x \in X, \exists \lambda > 0 \text{ such that } -\lambda x \in X \text{ and } \varphi(x) \cap \varphi(-\lambda x) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is not less than k.

Proof. Assume contrary that dim $A_{\varphi} < k$.

Then there exists a single-valued map $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ with the following properties (see proof of the theorem (3.1) in [4]):

- (1) if $\varphi(x) \cap \varphi(-\lambda x) \neq \emptyset$ for some x and $\lambda > 0$ then $g(x) \neq g(-\lambda x)$
- (2) g(X) is compact.

We can define a map $\langle \varphi, g \rangle \colon X \to E^{\infty - k - 1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^k \simeq E^{\infty - 1}$ as follows

$$\langle \varphi, g \rangle (x) = \{ y + g(x), y \in \varphi(x) \}.$$

Proposition (1.8) in [2] implies that the map $\langle \varphi, g \rangle$ is the multi-valued admissible compact field and we can use theorem (3.1) for k=0 proved in [7]. There exist a ponit $x \in X$ and a positive real number λ such that $\langle \varphi, g(x) \rangle \cap \langle \varphi, g(x) \rangle = \emptyset$ but this implies $y_1 + g(x) = y_2 + g(-\lambda x)$ for some $y_1 \in \varphi(x)$ and $y_2 \in \varphi(-\lambda x)$ thus $y_1 = y_2$ and $g(x) = g(-\lambda x)$. Consequently $\varphi(x) \cap \varphi(-\lambda x) \neq \emptyset$ and $g(x) = g(-\lambda x)$ but in view of the condition (1) we obtain a contradiction. Hence we have dim $A_{\varphi} \geq k$ and the proof is completed.

REFERENCES

- 1. Z. Dzedzej. Fixed point index theory for a class of nonacyclic multivalued maps. Dissertationes
- Math., 253, 1985, 1-53.

 2. K. Geba, L. Górniewicz. On the Bourgin Yand theorem for multi-valued maps (to appear). 3. L. Górniewicz. Homological methods in fixed point theory of multi-valued maps. Dissertationes Math., 129, 1976, 1-71.
- 4. M. 1 z y d o r e k. On the Bourgin—Yang theorem for multi-valued maps in the non-symmetric case. Zeszyty Naukowe Uniwersytetu Gdanskiego. Matematyka, (to appear).

 5. M. 1 z y d o r e k. Infinite dimensional non-symmetric Bourgin Yang theorem, (to appear).

 6. K. D. Joshi. Infinite dimensional non-symmetric Borsuk Ulam theorem. Fund. Math., 89, 1975.
- 45-50.
- 7. W. Segiet. Nonsymmetric Borsuk Ulam theorem for multi-valued mappings. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math., 32, 1984, 113—119.

Received 18. 3. 1987

Institute of Mathematics Gdansk University, 80-952 Gdańsk ul. Wita Stwosza 57 POLAND