Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

ON JACOBSON RADICAL RINGS

FERENC ANDOR SZÁSZ

It is well known that Amitsur—Kurosh radical classes R of rings determine in each ring A a maximal radical ideal R(A), called the R-radical of A; and conversely, the class of all rings A such that R(A) = A holds, forms a radical class R. (See [2], [4]). Among all concrete radicals of rings the Jacobson radical seems to be the most useful.

(See [3], [4], [6] and [7].)

The purpose of this note is to give six further characterizations for the Jacobson radical rings. The word "ring" here always means an associative ring, and J always denotes the Jacobson radical. Moreover, (x), denotes the principal right ideal, generated by the element x of A. Obviously $(x_1)_r = (x_2)_r$, determines an equivalence relation $x_1 \approx x_2$, being also a left congruence of the multiplicative semigroup of the ring A, which was before used by [6], for another characterization of J. Let us remark that \approx is an one-sided analogon of another equivalence relation *, defined by $(x_3) = (x_4)_r$, where (y) denotes the principal two sided ideal, generated by the element y of A. That is $x_3 * x_4$ holds if and only if $(x_3) = (x_4)_r$ is valide. This * was used by [7] to give a new characterization for the antisimple radical (see [1] and yet [5]).

Since, by Chapter I. of [3], J is left-right dual, therefore conditions (II*), (III*) and (IV*), obtained by always taking "left", instead of "right" in the conditions (II), (III) and (IV) of our Theorem, are also equivalent to (I), (II), (III) and (IV). Thus we obtain

six different, but equivalent condition for the case I = A.

In the sequel we shall use the following:

Lemma. Assume J
in A for the Jacobson radical J of a ring A. Then there exists a modular maximal right ideal R of A such that I
subseteq R implies $I^k
subseteq R$ for arbitrary exponent $k \ge 2$.

right ideal I of A and for arbitrary exponent $k \ge 2$. Remark. The condition $J \ne A$ in this Lemma is important, since e.g. in the case $A^2 = 0$, if we put I = A, then $I^2 = 0 \subseteq R$, but $I \subseteq R$ holds for any proper additive

subgroup R of A^+ .

Proof. By $J \neq A$ there exists a modular maximal right ideal R of A such that $R \neq A$, since by Chapter I. of [3] we have $J = \bigcap_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}$, where R_{α} runs over all modular maximal right ideals of A. Now assume $I \subseteq R$ for some right ideal I of A. Then the maximality of R in A yields

$$(\triangle)$$
 $I+R=A$.

Then, obviously, $P = \{z; A \cdot z \subseteq R\} = (R : A) = (0 : A/R)$ is a primitive ideal of A such that $P \subseteq R$ holds; see [3].

Therefore $I \not\equiv P$, being $I \not\equiv R$ and $P \subseteq R$. Thus, by the above, $AI \not\equiv R$ and

$$(\triangle \triangle)$$
 $AI + R = A$

are valide. Now multiplying (\triangle) from right by I, we have $I^2 + R \cdot I = A \cdot I$, which yields by $(\triangle \triangle)$ and (\triangle) at once

$$I^2 + R = I^2 + RI + R = (I + R) \cdot I + R = A \cdot I + R = A$$
.

SERDICA, Bulgaricae mathematicae publicationes, Vol. 15, 1989, p. 174-175.

Hence $I^2 \not\equiv R$, and by induction on k, also $I^k \not\equiv R$.

Theorem. For an arbitrary ring A the following conditions are equivalent: (I.) A is a Jacobson radical ring that is J = A;

(II.) For arbitrary element x of A, and for arbitrary element $y \in (x)^2$, holds (x), =(x+y), that is $x \approx x+y$;

(III.) There exists a fixed exponent k_0 for all elements $x \in A$ such that for any

 $v \in (x)^{k_0}$ holds $x \approx x + y$:

(IV.) For arbitrary element x of A there exists an exponent k = k(x), generally

depending on x, such that for any $x \in A$ and any $y \in (x)^k$, holds $x \approx x + y$.

Proof will be cyclic. (I.) implies (II.). Assume J=A. If (II.) does not hold for A, then there exists an element $x \in A$ and an element $y \in (x)^2$, such that $(x)_r = (x+y)_r$. Thus we have $x \notin (x+y)_r$. Consider the set \mathfrak{M} of those right ideals N of A, which satisfy $x \notin N$ and $(x+y)_r \subseteq N$. This set \mathfrak{M} is not empty by $(x+y)_r \in \mathfrak{M}$. By the Zorn lemma there exists a maximal right ideal M in \mathfrak{M} , such that $x \notin M$ and $(x+y)_r \subseteq M$. Then A/M, as an A-right module, is subdirectly irreducible with heart $(\bar{x})_r = (x + M)_r$. Thus by J = A we obtain $(\bar{x})_r \cdot A = M/M = \bar{0}$, which yields $(x)^2 \subseteq M$. Therefore $y \in (x)^2 \subseteq M$ and $x+v \in M$ imply $x=y+y-y \in M$, which contradicts to $x \notin M$. Thus (I.) implies (II.), indeed.

(II.) implies (III.). This is evident.

(III.) implies (IV.). This is also clear.

(IV.) implies (I.). Namely assume (IV.), and $J \neq A$. We shall deduce a contradiction. By Chapter I. of [3] there exists in the case $J \neq A$ an element $x \in A$ such that $x \notin R$ for a suitable modular maximal right ideal R of A. By (IV.) there exists an exponent k = k(x) such that $x \approx x + y$ for arbitrary $y \in (x)^k$. Now $x \notin R$ and $(x)_r \subseteq R$ imply, by our Lemma, $(x)_{r}^{k} \notin R$. Then $(x)_{r}^{k} + R = A$ implies the existence of elements $y_{0} \in (x)_{r}^{k}$ and o $\in R$ such that

$$x = y_0 + r_0$$

rolds. Furthermore $r_0 = x - y_0 \in R$, $(x)_r = (x - y_0)_r \subseteq R$ and $y_0 \in (x_r)k$ yield, by (IV.), evidently $x \in R$, which is a contradiction $x \notin R$.

Thus the proof is completed.

REFERENCES

- 1. V. A. Andrunakievich. Antisimple and strongly idempotent rings. Izvest. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 21, 1957, 125-144 (in Russian).
- 2. V. A. Andrunakievich Ju., M. Ryabukhin. Radicals of Algebras and Structure Theory. Moskow, 1979 (in Russian).
- 3. N. Jacobson. Structure of Rings. (Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., 37) Providence, 1956 and 1964.

- N. Jacobson. Structure of Rings. (Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Path., 37) Problems, 1990 and 1991.
 F. A. Szász. Radicals of Rings. (Disquisitiones Math. Hungar.) Budapest, 1981.
 F. A. Szász. Bemerkungen über Rechtssockel and Nilringe. Monatsh. Math., 67, 1963, 359-362.
 F. A. Szász. Eine Aquivalenzrelation für eine Charakterisierung der Jacobsonschen Radikals. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 22, 1971. 85-86.
- 7. F. A. Szász. Beiträge zur Radikaltheorie der Ringe. Publ. Math. Debrecen, 17, 1970, 267-272.

Mathematical Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences 1053 Budapest V, Realtonoda 13-15 Hungary

Received 08. 03. 1988