

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Serdica

## Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

---

# Сердика

## Българско математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

## NOTE ON CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS WITH QUASICONFORMAL EXTENSION

SHIGEYOSHI OWA, VLADIMIR MIČIĆ, MILUTIN OBRADOVIĆ

By use of some results due to H. Silverman [7] and J. Brown [1] some conditions, sufficient or necessary and sufficient, for a function  $f$  from certain subclasses of univalent functions, that provide the belonging of  $f$  to the class of functions with quasiconformal extension, are obtained. Further on some distortion inequalities are proved.

A fractional calculus was developed by Owa in [5, 6]. In the final chapter of the paper some estimates of the fractional integrals and fractional derivatives of functions having quasiconformal extension, studied in the previous chapters, are obtained.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $A$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in the unit disc  $U$ . Further, let  $S$  be the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions univalent in  $U$ .

A function  $f \in A$  is said to be starlike of order  $\alpha$  if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha$$

for some  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , and for all  $z \in U$ . We denote by  $S^*(\alpha)$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions which are starlike of order  $\alpha$  in  $U$ .

A function  $f \in A$  is said to be convex of order  $\alpha$  if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha$$

for some  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , and for all  $z \in U$ . Also we denote by  $K(\alpha)$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of all functions convex of order  $\alpha$  in  $U$ .

We note that  $f(z) \in K(\alpha)$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$  and that  $S^*(\alpha) \subseteq S^*(0) = S^*$ ,  $K(\alpha) \subseteq K(0) = K$ , for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ .

Let  $T$  be the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions of the form

$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0).$$

We denote by  $T^*(\alpha)$  and  $C(\alpha)$  the classes  $T^*(\alpha) = S^*(\alpha) \cap T$ ,  $C(\alpha) = K(\alpha) \cap T$ .

We begin by recalling the following lemmas due to H. Silverman [7].

**Lemma 1.** *If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.1), satisfies  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\alpha) |a_n| \leq 1-\alpha$  for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , then  $f \in S^*(\alpha)$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.1), satisfies  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-\alpha) |a_n| \leq 1-\alpha$  for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , then  $f \in K(\alpha)$ .*

Lemma 3. The function  $f$ , defined by (1.2), is in the class  $T^*(\alpha)$  if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\alpha)a_n \leq 1-\alpha.$$

The result (1.3) is sharp.

Lemma 4. The function  $f$ , defined by (1.2), is in the class  $C(\alpha)$  if and only if

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-\alpha)a_n \leq 1-\alpha.$$

The result (1.4) is sharp.

**2. Quasiconformal extension.**  $f$  is a  $K$ -quasiconformal mapping ( $1 \leq K < +\infty$ ) of a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  if it is a sense-preserving homeomorphism of  $D$ , absolutely continuous on almost all lines parallel to the coordinate axes and

$$(2.1) \quad |f_{\bar{z}}| \leq k |f_z| \quad \text{a. e. in } D,$$

with  $k = (K-1)/(K+1)$  (i. e.  $K = (1+k)/(1-k)$ ).

We say that a function  $f$  is in the class  $S_k$  if it is in  $S$  and has a  $K$ -quasiconformal extension on  $\mathbb{C}$  (cf. [2, 3, 4]).

We need the following lemma, due to J. E. Brown [1].

Lemma 5. If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.1), satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \frac{k-1}{k+1}) |a_n| \leq \frac{2k}{1+k}$$

for  $0 \leq k < 1$ , then  $f \in S^* \cap S_k$ .

Since  $f \in K$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in S^*$ , replacing  $|a_n|$  with  $n|a_n|$  we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6. If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.1), satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n + \frac{k-1}{k+1}) |a_n| \leq \frac{2k}{1+k}$$

for  $0 \leq k < 1$ , then  $f \in K \cap S_k$ .

We denote by  $T_k$  the subclass of  $S_k$  with members of the form (1.2). As simple consequences of lemmas 1-6 the following propositions and assertions follow.

Proposition 1. If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.1), satisfies the inequality

$$(2.2) \quad \text{for } 0 < k < 1, \text{ then } f \in S^*(\frac{1-k}{1+k}) \cap S_k.$$

Proposition 2. If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.1), satisfies the inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \text{for } 0 < k < 1, \text{ then } f \in K(\frac{1-k}{1+k}) \cap S_k.$$

A1. The function  $f$ , defined by (1.2), is in the class  $T^*(\frac{1-k}{1+k}) \cap T_k$  if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n + \frac{k-1}{k+1}) a_n \leq \frac{2k}{1+k},$$

where  $0 < k < 1$ . Equality in (2.4) is attained for

$$(2.5) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{2k}{(n+1)k+(n-1)} z^n, \quad (n \geq 2).$$

A2. If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.2), is in the class  $T^*(\frac{1-k}{1+k}) \cap T_k$  with  $0 < k < 1$ , then

$$(2.6) \quad a_n \leq \frac{2k}{(n+1)k+(n-1)}, \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Equality in (2.6) is attained for  $f$  given by (2.5).

A3. The function  $f$ , defined by (1.2) is in the class  $C(\frac{1-k}{1+k}) \cap T_k$  if and only if

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n + \frac{k-1}{k+1}) a_n \leq \frac{2k}{1+k},$$

where  $0 < k < 1$ . Equality in (2.7) is attained for the function

$$(2.8) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{2k}{n((n+1)k+(n-1))} z^n, \quad (n \geq 2).$$

A4. If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.4), is in the class  $C(\frac{1-1}{1+k}) \cap T_k$  with  $0 < k < 1$ , then

$$(2.9) \quad a_n \leq \frac{2k}{n((n+1)k+(n-1))}, \quad (n \geq 2).$$

Equality in (2.9) is attained for the function  $f$  given by (2.8).

### 3. Distortion inequalities.

Theorem 1. If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.2), is in the class  $T^*(\frac{1-k}{1+k}) \cap T_k$  with  $0 < k < 1$ , then

$$(3.1) \quad |z| - \left(\frac{2k}{3k+1}\right) |z|^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + \left(\frac{2k}{3k+1}\right) |z|^2$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad 1 - \left(\frac{4k}{3k+1}\right) |z| \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \left(\frac{4k}{3k+1}\right) |z|$$

for  $z \in U$ . Equalities in (3.1) and (3.2) are attained for the function

$$(3.3) \quad f(z) = z - \left(\frac{2k}{3k+1}\right) z^2.$$

*Proof.* By A1 we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\frac{3k+1}{k+1}\right) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{k-1}{k+1}\right) a_n \leq \frac{2k}{1+k}$$

or

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{2k}{3k+1}.$$

Therefore we have

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \geq |z| - \left(\frac{2k}{3k+1}\right) |z|^2$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq |z| + \left(\frac{2k}{3k+1}\right) |z|^2.$$

In order to prove the second part of the theorem we need

$$\frac{3k+1}{2(k+1)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{k-1}{k+1}\right) a_n \leq \frac{2k}{1+k},$$

that implies

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \leq \frac{4k}{3k+1}.$$

From (3.6) the desired estimations

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \geq 1 - \left(\frac{4k}{3k+1}\right) |z|$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \leq 1 + \left(\frac{4k}{3k+1}\right) |z|$$

follow.

Corollary 1. Let  $f$ , defined by (1.2), be in the class  $T^*\left(\frac{1-k}{1+k}\right) \cap T_k$  with  $0 < k < 1$ . Then the unit disk  $U$  is mapped by  $f$  onto a domain that contains the disk  $|w| < (k+1)/(3k+1)$ .

Theorem 2. If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.2), is in the class  $C\left(\frac{1-k}{1+k}\right) \cap T_k$  with  $0 < k < 1$ , then

$$(3.7) \quad \left|z - \left(\frac{k}{3k+1}\right) |z|^2\right| \leq |f(z)| \leq |z| + \left(\frac{k}{3k+1}\right) |z|^2$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad 1 - \left(\frac{2k}{3k+1}\right) |z| \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \left(\frac{2k}{3k+1}\right) |z|$$

for  $z \in U$ . Equalities in (3.7) and (3.8) are attained for

$$(3.9) \quad f(z) = z - \left(\frac{k}{3k+1}\right) z^2.$$

This theorem can be proved by the same manner as Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. Let  $f$ , defined by (1.2), be in the class  $C\left(\frac{1-k}{1+k}\right) \cap T_k$  with  $0 < k < 1$ . Then the unit disk  $U$  is mapped by  $f$  onto a domain that contains the disk  $|w| < (2k+1)/(3k+1)$ .

**4. Fractional calculus.** The following definitions are due to Owa [5, 6].

Definition 1. The fractional integral of order  $\delta$  of the function  $f$  is defined by

$$D_z^{-\delta} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{1-\delta}} d\zeta,$$

where  $\delta > 0$ ,  $f$  is analytic in a simply connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of  $(z-\zeta)^{\delta-1}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z-\zeta)$  to be real when  $z-\zeta > 0$ .

Definition 2. The fractional derivative of order  $\delta$  of the function  $f$  is defined by

$$D_z^{\delta} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)^{\delta}} d\zeta,$$

where  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ ,  $f$  is analytic in a simply connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of  $(z - \zeta)^{-\delta}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z - \zeta)$  to be real when  $z - \zeta > 0$ .

Definition 3. Let  $n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Under the hypotheses of Definition 2 the fractional derivative of order  $(n + \delta)$  is defined by

$$D_z^{n+\delta} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^\delta f(z).$$

Theorem 3. If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.2), is in the class  $T^*(\frac{1-k}{1+k}) \cap T_k$  with  $0 < k < 1$ , then

$$(4.1) \quad |D_z^{-\delta} f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|^{1+\delta}}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} \left(1 - \frac{4k}{(2+\delta)(3k+1)} |z|\right)$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad |D_z^{-\delta} f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1+\delta}}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} \left(1 + \frac{4}{(2+\delta)(3k+1)} |z|\right)$$

for  $\delta > 0$  and  $z \in U$ . Equalities in (4.1) and (4.2) are attained for  $f$  given by (3.3).

Proof. Note that

$$\Gamma(2+\delta) z^{-\delta} D_z^{-\delta} f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\delta)}{\Gamma(n+1+\delta)} a_n z^n.$$

Setting  $G(n) = \Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2+\delta)/\Gamma(n+1+\delta)$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), we have

$$(4.3) \quad 0 < G(n) \leq G(2) = 2/(2+\delta).$$

It follows from (3.5) and (4.3)

$$|\Gamma(2+\delta) z^{-\delta} D_z^{-\delta} f(z)| \geq |z| - G(2) |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \geq |z| - \frac{4k}{(2+\delta)(3k+1)} |z|^2,$$

that gives (4.1) and

$$|\Gamma(2+\delta) z^{-\delta} D_z^{-\delta} f(z)| \leq |z| + G(2) |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq |z| + \frac{4}{(2+\delta)(3k+1)} |z|^2,$$

that shows (4.2). Finally, equalities in (4.1) and (4.2) are attained for  $f$  defined by

$$D_z^{-\delta} f(z) = \frac{z^{1+\delta}}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} \left(1 - \frac{4k}{(2+\delta)(3k+1)} z\right),$$

that is, defined by (3.3).

Using (3.9), we can prove

Theorem 4. If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.2), is in the class  $C(\frac{1-k}{1+k}) \cap T_k$  with  $0 < k < 1$ , then

$$(4.4) \quad |D_z^{-\delta} f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|^{1+\delta}}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} \left(1 - \frac{2k}{(2+\delta)(3k+1)} |z|\right)$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad |D_z^{-\delta} f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1+\delta}}{\Gamma(2+\delta)} \left(1 + \frac{2k}{(2+\delta)(3k+1)} |z|\right)$$

for  $\delta > 0$  and  $z \in U$ . Equalities in (4.4) and (4.5) are attained for  $f$  given by (3.9).

**Theorem 5.** *If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.2), is in the class  $T^*(\frac{1-k}{1+k}) \cap T_k$  with  $0 < k < 1$ , then*

$$(4.6) \quad |D_z^\delta f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2-\delta)} \left(1 - \frac{4k}{(2-\delta)(3k+1)} |z|\right)$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad |D_z^\delta f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2-\delta)} \left(1 + \frac{4k}{(2-\delta)(3k+1)} |z|\right)$$

for  $0 \leq \delta < 1$  and  $z \in U$ . Equalities in (4.6) and (4.7) are attained for  $f$  given by (3.3).

**Proof.** It follows from Definition 2 that

$$\Gamma(2-\delta) z^\delta D_z^\delta f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(n+1-\delta)} a_n z^n = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} H(n) n a_n z^n,$$

where  $H(n) = \Gamma(n)\Gamma(2-\delta)/\Gamma(n+1-\delta)$ . Since  $0 \leq H(n) \leq H(2) = 1/(2-\delta)$ , by using (3.6) we have

$$|\Gamma(2-\delta) z^\delta D_z^\delta f(z)| \geq |z|^{-H(2)} |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \geq |z| \left| -\frac{4k}{(2-\delta)(3k+1)} |z| \right|,$$

that proves (4.6), and

$$|\Gamma(2-\delta) z^\delta D_z^\delta f(z)| \leq |z|^{+H(2)} |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n \leq |z| \left| +\frac{4k}{(2-\delta)(3k+1)} |z| \right|,$$

that proves (4.7). Further, it is clear that the equalities in (4.6) and (4.7) are attained for  $f$  defined by

$$D_z^\delta f(z) = \frac{z^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2-\delta)} \left(1 - \frac{4k}{(2-\delta)(3k+1)} z\right),$$

that is, defined by (3.3).

Using (3.9), we can prove

**Theorem 6.** *If the function  $f$ , defined by (1.2), is in the class  $C(\frac{1-k}{1+k}) \cap T_k$  with  $0 < k < 1$ , then*

$$(4.8) \quad |D_z^\delta f(z)| \geq \frac{|z|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2-\delta)} \left(1 - \frac{2k}{(2-\delta)(3k+1)} |z|\right)$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad |D_z^\delta f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2-\delta)} \left(1 + \frac{2k}{(2-\delta)(3k+1)} |z|\right)$$

for  $0 \leq \delta < 1$  and  $z \in U$ . Equalities in (4.8) and (4.9) are attained for  $f$  given by (3.9).

## REFERENCES

1. J. E. Brown. Quasiconformal extensions for some geometric subclasses of univalent functions *Intern. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **7**, 1984, 187-195.
2. O. Lehto, O. Tammi. Area method and univalent functions with quasiconformal extensions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A, I*, **2**, 1976, 307-313.
3. O. Lehto, O. Tammi. Area method and univalent functions with quasiconformal extensions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A, I*, **4**, 1979, 253-257.
4. S. Owa. On the univalent functions with quasiconformal extension. *Math. Japon*, **23**, 1978, 259-261.
5. S. Owa. On the distortion theorems, I. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **18**, 1978, 53-59.
6. S. Owa. Some applications of the fractional calculus. *Research Notes in Math.*, **138**. London, 1985, 164-175.
7. H. Silverman. Univalent functions with negative coefficients. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **5**, 1975, 109-116.

S. Owa  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Kinki University  
 Higashi-Osaka,  
 Osaka 577, Japan

V. Mičić  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of  
 Civil Engineering  
 Bulevar revolucije 73  
 11000 Beograd, Yugoslavia

M. Obradović  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Technology  
 and Metallurgy  
 Karnegijeva 4  
 11000 Beograd, Yugoslavia

Received 04. 01. 1987

Revised 24. 12. 1988