Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

### Serdica Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes Сердика

# Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

> For further information on Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica or contact: Editorial Office Serdica Mathematical Journal Institute of Mathematics and Informatics Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49 e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

SERDICA — Bulgaricae mathematicae publicationes **19** (1993) 53-58

## ON SOME BOUNDS FOR POLYNOMIAL ROOTS OBTAINED WHEN DETERMINING THE R-ORDER OF ITERATIVE PROCESSES<sup>†</sup>

#### N. KJURKCHIEV, J. HERZBERGER

**1. Introduction.** Let an iterative method I in a Banach space B produce sequences of iterates  $\{x^{(k)}\}$  with  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x^{(k)} = x^*$ . In many cases, one can show for the corresponding sequences of errors  $e^{(k)} = ||x^{(k)} - x^*||$  the recursion

$$e^{(k+1)} \le \gamma \prod_{i=0}^{n} \left( e^{(k-i)} \right)^{q^i(p+1)}, \quad n \le k, \quad k \ge 0,$$

where  $\gamma, p, q$  are positive and independent of k. In order to calculate the *R*-order of convergence of  $I O_R(I, x^*)$  (see Ortega and Rheinboldt [2]) one has to compute the unique positive root  $\sigma_{p,q}^{(n)}$  of the polynomial

(1) 
$$P_n(x) = x^n - (p+1) \sum_{k=1}^n q^k x^{n-k}, \quad p \ge 0, \quad q > 0.$$

J.W.Schmidt [1] has shown that

$$O_R(I, x^*) \ge \sigma_{p,q}^{(n)}$$

is valid. The following estimates for  $\sigma_{p,q}^{(n)}$  are known in the literature (see [3]-[5]):

(2) 
$$\frac{n}{n+1}(p+q+1) < \sigma_{p,q}^{(n)} < p+q+1, \quad n > q/(p+1)$$

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger$  This paper is supported partially under Contract MM-208/92 by Bulgarian Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

#### N. Kjurkchiev, J. Herzberger

(3) 
$$\sigma_{p,q}^{(n)} > \frac{(p+1)(1+S_1+S_2)}{1+(p+1)S_1}, \quad n \ge 2, \text{ where}$$

$$S_{1} = \begin{cases} n(n-1)/2, & q = 1\\ q((n-1)q^{n} - nq^{n-1} + 1)/(q-1)^{2}, & q \neq 1 \end{cases}$$
$$S_{2} = \begin{cases} n-1, & q = 1\\ (q^{n} - q)/(q-1), & q \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(4) \quad p+q+1-\frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^n}(1+1/n)^n < \sigma_{p,q}^{(n)} < p+q+1-\frac{(p+1)q^n}{(p+q+1)^n}, \quad n > q/(p+1).$$

In general, the lower bound (4) is very accurate. It was proved by Traub [9] for the case  $p \ge 0$ , q = 1. The purpose of this note is to show some new estimates for  $\sigma_{p,q}^{(n)}$ .

**2.** Some estimation formulas. In order to prove our results, we make use of some well-known estimations in the literature. The following theorems are used so as to obtain these estimations.

**Theorem A** (E.Deutsch [6]). Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a nonnegative and irreducible  $n \times n$ -matrix and let the positive vectors x, y be defined by

$$Ax = Dx, \quad A^T y = Dy,$$

where  $D = diag(d_1, \ldots, d_n) > 0$ . If x is not an eigenvector of A, then it follows for the spectral radius  $\rho(A)$  of A

(5) 
$$\rho(A) > \frac{y^T D x}{y^T x}.$$

In [4] M.Petkovic and Lj.Petkovic derive the estimation (3) by means of (5).

**Theorem B** (E.Deutsch [6]). Under the same assumptions as in Theorem A we get the inequalities

(6) 
$$\rho(A) > t - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t - d_i)^{x_i y_i / y^T x} > \frac{y^T D x}{y^T x}$$

for all  $t > \rho(A) + \max_{1 \le i \le n} (d_i - a_{ii}).$ 

54

**Theorem C** (Westerfield [7]). Let z be the unique positive root of the equation

(7) 
$$x^{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_{k}^{k} x^{n-k}, \quad q_{k} \ge 0, \quad 1 \le k \le n$$

and let positive quantities  $q_k$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$  after being arranged in order of decreasing magnitudes, form a sequence

$$q_1 \ge q_2 \ge \ldots \ge q_n.$$

Then z satisfies the inequality

$$z \le \sum_{r=1}^{n} q_r g_r,$$

where

$$g_1 = y_1, \quad g_r = y_r - y_{r-1}, \quad r = 2, 3, \dots, n$$

and  $y_k$  is the positive root of the polynomial

$$y^{k} = \sum_{r=1}^{k} y^{k-r}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

**Theorem D** (Bojanov [8]). Let

$$q_k = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{jk}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m; \quad m \ge 1, \quad a_{jk} \ge 0.$$

Let  $x_i$  be the positive zeros of the polynomials

$$x^{n} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{jk}^{k} x^{n-k}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m$$

then for the positive root z of the polynomial

$$x^n = \sum_{k=1}^n q_k^k x^{n-k}$$

the following estimation holds:

$$z < x_1 + \dots + x_m.$$

Another application of Theorem D gives a lower bound:

$$z > \sum_{r=1}^{n} q_r g_{n+1-r}.$$

**3.** Further results. The estimations of Section 2 may help us to find out the following bounds.

**Theorem 1.** Under the assumptions of Theorem C we get the bound

(8) 
$$z > \frac{\lambda_1 + \mu_1}{1 + \mu_1},$$

where

$$\lambda_j = \sum_{k=j}^n q_k^k, \quad \mu_j = \sum_{k=j}^n (k-1)q_k^k, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

Proof. Polynomial (7) if of the form

$$P(x) = x^{n} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k} x^{n-k} \quad (I_{k} = q_{k}^{k}).$$

Let us associate the following matrix to P(x) [4]

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & I_2 & I_3 & \dots & I_{n-1} & I_n \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where det (xE - A) = P(x). The matrix A is non-negative and irreducible. Perron-Frobenius theorem implies that A has a positive eigenvalue  $\lambda$  equals to its spectral radius  $\rho(A)$ . It is obvious that  $\rho(A) = z$ . Moreover, let x be chosen so that  $x = (1, \ldots, 1)^T$ . Then from Ax = Dx we get  $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ .

Similarly, one can derive

$$y = \alpha(1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n)^T, \quad \alpha > 0$$
$$y^T D x = \alpha(\lambda_1 + \mu_1)$$
$$y^T x = \alpha(1 + \mu_1).$$

\_

Now, from (5) we get (8).  $\Box$ 

We can derive another lower bound as follows:

**Theorem 2.** Under the assumption of Theorem 1 we get the lower bound

(9) 
$$z > I_1 + 2\lambda_2 - \sqrt[1+\mu_1]{\lambda_2(I_1 - 1 + 2\lambda_2)^{\mu_1}}.$$

Proof. From Theorem B, the values of

$$H(t) = t - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t - d_i)^{x_i y_i / y^T x}$$

 $\mathbf{for}$ 

$$t > \rho(A) + \max_{1 \le i \le n} (d_i - a_{ii})$$

are strict lower bounds for  $\rho(A)$ . However, since  $\rho(A)$  is not known, one should evaluate H(t) for

$$t = \beta + \max_{i} (d_i - a_{ii})$$

where  $\beta$  is an upper bound of  $\rho(A)$ . Some of the possible values of  $\beta$  are: the largest row sum of A, the largest column sum of A, max  $d_i$ .

In view of (6) and since

$$t = \max_{i} d_{i} + \max(d_{1} - I_{1}, d_{2} - 0, \dots, d_{n} - 0) = I_{1} + 2\lambda_{2}$$

it follows that

$$z > t - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t - d_i)^{x_i y_i / y^T x} \ge$$
$$I_1 + 2\lambda_2 - \left(\lambda_2 (I_1 - 1 + 2\lambda_2)^{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \dots + \lambda_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{1 + \mu_1}}$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.  $\Box$ 

**Remark.** From the bounds thus obtained we get a new bound for  $\sigma_{p,q}^{(n)}$  (see (3)):

(3')  

$$\sigma_{p,q}^{(n)} > t - \sqrt[1+\gamma]{(p+1)(q+q^2+\dots+q^{n-1})(t-1)^{\gamma}}$$

$$t = (p+1)(1+2(q+q^2+\dots+q^{n-1}))$$

$$\gamma = (p+1)\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kq^k.$$

A numerical example follows. For zero  $\sigma_{p,q}^{(n)} \approx 5.1$  of the polynomial  $x^6 = 5x^5 + 0.5x^4 + 0.05x^3 + 0.005x^2 + 0.0005x + 0.00005$  N. Kjurkchiev, J. Herzberger

(n = 6, p = 4, q = 0.1)

we get the bounds

from (2):  $\sigma_{p,q}^{(6)} > 4.371$ , from (3):  $\sigma_{p,q}^{(6)} > 3.817$ , from (3'):  $\sigma_{p,q}^{(6)} > 4.815$ .

Acknowledgement. The authors are pleased to thank Professor V. Hristov for his helpful remarks on the first version of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. SCHMIDT, On the *R*-order of coupled sequences, *Computing* **26** (1981), 333-342.
- [2] J. W. ORTEGA and W. C. RHEINBOLDT, Iterative solution of nonlinear equations in several variables, Acad. Press, New York, 1970.
- [3] J. HERZBERGER, On the *R*-order of some recurrences with applications to inclusionmethods, *Computing* 36 (1986), 175-180.
- [4] M. PETKOVIC and L. PETKOVIC, On the bounds of the *R*-order of some iterative methods, ZAMM 69 (1988), T197-T198.
- [5] N. KJURKCHIEV, Note on the estimation of the order of convergence of some iterative methods, *BIT* (1992), 525-528.
- [6] E. DEUTSCH, Lower bounds for the Perron root of a non-negative irreducible matrix, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 92 (1982), 49-54.
- [7] E. WESTERFIELD, A new bound for the zeros of polynomials, Amer. Math. Monthly 40 (1933), 18-23.
- [8] B. BOJANOV, On an estimation of the roots of algebraic equations, Appl. Mathematicae 2 (1970), 195-205.
- [9] J. TRAUB, Iterative methods for the solution of equations, Prentice-Hall, Englewood-Cliffs, N.J., 1964.

J. Herzberger Fachbereich Mathematik Universität Oldenburg D-2900 Oldenburg GERMANY

N. Kjurkchiev Institute of Mathematics 8, acad. G.Bonchev str. 1113 Sofia BULGARIA

Received 22.07.1992

58