Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

SOME SEQUENCE SPACES AND ALMOST CONVERGENCE

EKREM SAVAŞ

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to introduce and investigate some new sequence spaces. Also some inclusion theorems have been established.

1. Introduction. Let s be the set of all real or complex sequences and let us denote by ℓ_{∞} the Banach space of bounded sequence $x = (x_k)$ normed by $||x|| = \sup |x_k|$. Let D be the shift operator on s, that is,

$$D((x_k)) = (x_{k+1}).$$

It may be recalled that (see, Banach [1]) Banach limit L is a non-negative linear functional on ℓ_{∞} such that L is invariant under the shift operator (that is, L(Dx) = L(x), $\forall x \in \ell_{\infty}$) and L(e) = 1 where $e = (1, 1, 1, \ldots)$. A sequence $x \in \ell_{\infty}$ is called almost convergent (Lorentz [4]) if all Banach limits of x coincide. Let \hat{c} be the set of all almost convergent sequences. Lorentz proved that

$$\hat{c} = \left\{ x : \lim_{m} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^{m} x_{n+i} \text{ exists uniformly in } n \right\}.$$

Several authors including Duran [2], King [3] and Schaefer [8] have studied almost convergent sequences.

It is natural to expect that almost convergence must be related to some concept \widehat{BV} in the same vein as convergence is related to the concept of BV. BV denotes the set of all sequences of bounded variation and a sequence in \widehat{BV} will mean a sequence of almost bounded variation. \widehat{BV} was introduced and discussed in [6]. Also $\widehat{\widehat{BV}}$ naturally comes up for investigation and is considered along with \widehat{BV} . To define \widehat{BV} , let

(1)
$$d_{mn} = d_{mn}(x) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{i=0}^{m} x_{n+i}$$

with $D^0 = 1$. It is evident that

$$d_{0n}(x) = x_n = D^0 x_n$$

Now define

(3)
$$d_{-1,n}(x) = D^{-1}x_n = x_{n-1}$$

and then write for $m, n \geq 0$,

(4)
$$t_{mn}(x) = d_{mn}(x) - d_{m-1,n}(x).$$

So that by (2), (3) and (4) we have

$$t_{0,n}(x) = D^0 x_n - D^{-1} x_n = x_n - x_{n-1}.$$

When $m \geq 1$ a straightforward calculation shows that

$$t_{mn}(x) = \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{m} (x_{n+i} - x_{n+i-1}).$$

We define (see, [6])

$$\widehat{\overline{\mathrm{BV}}} = \left\{ x : \sum_{m} |t_{mn}(x)| \text{ converges uniformly in } n \right\}$$

$$\widehat{\widehat{\overline{\mathrm{BV}}}} = \left\{ x : \sup_{n} \sum_{m} |t_{mn}(x)| < \infty \right\}.$$

Note that BV = $\left\{x: \sum_{k} |x_{k} - x_{k-1}| < \infty\right\}$, where we define $x_{-1} = 0$. BV is Banach space normed by

$$||x|| = \sum_{k} |x_k - x_{k-1}| < \infty.$$

Let $A=(a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of real or complex numbers. We write $Ax=(A_n(x))$ if $A_n(x)=\sum_k a_{nk}x_k$ converges for each n. Let X and Y be any two nonempty subsets of s. If $x=(x_k)\in X$ implies that $Ax=(A_n(x))\in Y$, we say that A define a matrix transformation from X into Y and we denote it by $A:X\to Y$. By (X,Y) we mean the class of matrices A such that $A:X\to Y$.

In this paper we introduce and study, using the idea of infinite matrices, some new sequence spaces which generalize the spaces \widehat{BV} and $\widehat{\widehat{BV}}$ studied by Nanda and Nayak. We establish some inclusion relations. Our results include the corresponding results of Nanda and Nayak.

The spaces $|\widehat{B}, p|$ and $|\widehat{\widehat{B}}, p|$. Let $B = (b_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of real or complex numbers and let (p_m) be a sequence of real numbers such that $p_m > 0$, $\sup p_m < \infty$. We define

$$|B, p| = \left\{ x : \sum_{n} |B_{n}(x) - B_{n-1}(x)|^{p_{n}} < \infty \right\}$$

$$(B, p)_{\infty} = \left\{ X : \sup_{n} |B_{n}(x) - B_{n-1}(x)|^{p_{n}} < \infty \right\}$$

$$\left|\widehat{B}, p\right| = \left\{ x : \sum_{m} |t_{mn}(Bx)|^{p_{m}} \text{ converges uniformly in } n \right\}$$

$$\left|\widehat{B}, p\right| = \left\{ x : \sup_{n} \sum_{m} |t_{mn}(Bx)|^{p_{m}} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$t_{mn}(Bx) = \sum_{k} b(n, k, m) x_{k}$$

is such that

$$b(n,k,m) = \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{m} i(b_{n+i,k} - b_{n+i-1,k}) \quad m \ge 1,$$

$$b(n,k,0) = b_{nk} - b_{n-1,k}.$$

If $p_n = p$ for all n, then we write $|B|_p$ for |B,p|. Similarly if $p_m = p$ for all m, we write $|\widehat{B}|_p$ and $|\widehat{\widehat{B}}|_p$ for $|\widehat{B},p|$ and $|\widehat{\widehat{B}},p|$ respectively. If $p_m = p$ for all m, we write $(B)_{\infty}$ for $(B,p)_{\infty}$.

We now have

Theorem 1. $|\widehat{B},p|\subset |\widehat{\widehat{B}},p|$.

Proof. Let $x \in |\widehat{B}, p|$. Then, there is an integer M such that

(5)
$$\sum_{m\geq M} \left| \sum_{k} b(n,k,m) x_k \right|^{p_m} \leq 1.$$

Hence it is enough to show that for fixed m, $\sum_{k} b(n, k, m)x_k$ is bounded. It follows from (5) that

$$\left|\sum_{k} b(n,k,m)x_{k}\right| \leq 1 \text{ for } m \geq M \text{ and all } n.$$

If $m \geq 1$, then

$$(m+1) \sum_{k} b(n,k,m) x_{k} - (m-1) \sum_{k} b(n,k,m-1) x_{k}$$
$$= \sum_{k} b_{n+m,k} x_{k} - \sum_{k} b_{n+m-1,k} x_{k}.$$

Hence for any fixed $m \ge M+1$, $\sum_k b_{n+m,k} x_k - \sum_k b_{n+m-1,k} x_k$ is bounded. Therefore $\sum_k b(n,k,m) x_k$ is bounded for all m,n and this completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2. |B,p| is a linear topological space paranormed by

$$f(x) = \left(\sum_{n} \left| \sum_{k} (b_{nk} - b_{n-1,k}) x_{k} \right|^{p_{n}} \right)^{1/M},$$

where $M = \max(1, \sup p_n).|\widehat{B}, p|$ is paranormed by

(6)
$$g(x) = \sup_{n} \left(\sum_{m} \left| \sum_{k} b(n, k, m) x_{k} \right|^{p_{m}} \right)^{1/M}$$

 $|\widehat{B}, p|$ is paranormed by (6) if $\inf p_m > 0$. Also if $\inf p_n > 0$, then $(B, p)_{\infty}$ is paranormed by

$$h(x) = \sup_{n} \left| \sum_{k} (b_{nk} - b_{n-1,k}) x_{k} \right|^{p_{n}/M}$$

Proof. Because of Theorem 1, (6) is meaningful for $x \in |\widehat{B}, p|$. The proof is a routine verification by using standard techniques and therefore we omit it.

Theorem 3. If $p \ge 1$, then $|B|_p \subset |\widehat{B}|_p$.

In order to prove Theorem 3 we require the following Lemma.

Lemma 1. Suppose that

(i) $\sum |a_{mn}|$ converges for each n,

(ii)
$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Then $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_{mn}|$ converges uniformly in n.

see, for example, Maddox [5], p. 168.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $x \in |B|_p$ and $m \ge 1$. We have by Hölder's inequality when p > 1 and trivially when p = 1

$$\left| \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k} i(b_{n+i,k} - b_{n+i-1,k}) x_{k} \right|^{p} \le$$

$$\le \frac{1}{m(m+1)^{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} i^{p} \left| \sum_{k} (b_{n+i,k} - b_{n+i-1,k}) x_{k} \right|^{p}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k} b(n,k,m) x_{k} \right|^{p} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{p} \left| \sum_{k} (b_{n+i,k} - b_{n+i-1,k}) x_{k} \right|^{p} \sum_{m=i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(m+1)^{p}} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k} (b_{n+i,k} - b_{n+i-1,k}) x_{k} \right|^{p} . \end{split}$$

Then since $b(n, k, 0) = b_{nk} - b_{n-1,k}$, we have

$$\sum_{m} \left| \sum_{k} b(n,k,m) x_{k} \right|^{p} \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k} (b_{ik} - b_{i-1,k}) x_{k} \right|^{p}.$$

Hence the hypotheses of Lemma 1 are satisfied for

$$b_{mn} = \left| \sum_{k} b(n,k,m) x_{k} \right|^{p}.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 4.
$$|\widehat{\widehat{B}}|_p \subset (B)_{\infty}$$
.

Proof. Note that

(7)
$$\sup_{m,n} \left| \sum_{k} b(n,k,m) x_{k} \right| \leq \left(\sup_{n} \sum_{m} \left| \sum_{k} b(n,k,m) x_{k} \right|^{p} \right)^{1/p}$$

(8)
$$\sup_{m,n} \left| \sum_{k} b(n,k,m) x_{k} \right| \ge \sup_{n} \left| \sum_{k} b(n,k,0) x_{k} \right| = \sup_{n} \left| \sum_{k} (b_{nk} - b_{n-1,k}) x_{k} \right|$$
$$\sup_{m,n} \left| \sum_{k} b(n,k,m) x_{k} \right| = \sup_{n,m} \left| \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \sum_{k} (b_{n+i,k} - b_{n+i-1,k}) x_{k} \right|$$

(9)
$$\leq \sup_{n,i} \left| \sum_{k} (b_{n+1,k} - b_{n+i-1,k}) x_{k}^{n} \right| \sup_{m} \left(\frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{m} i \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sup_{k} \left| \sum_{k} (b_{nk} - b_{n-1,k}) x_{k} \right|$$

Now the result follows from (7), (8) and (9). This completes the proof. \Box

This research was done while the author was a visiting scientist at Leicester University.

Acknowlegement. I am grateful to the referee for many useful comments that improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Banach. Theorie des operation lineaires. Warszawa, 1932.
- [2] J. P. Duran. Infinite matrices and almost convergence. Math. Zeit., 128 (1972), 75-83.
- [3] J. P. King. Almost Suitable sequences. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (6) (1966), 1219-1225.
- [4] G. G. LORENTZ. A contribution to the theory of divergent sequence. Acta Math., 80 (1948), 167-190.

- [5] I. J. MADDOX. Elements of functional analysis. Cambr. Univ. Press, 1970.
- [6] S. NADA and K. C. NAYAK. Some new sequence spaces. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 8 (1978), 836-846.
- [7] E. SAVAS Some sequence spaces with an index. Mathematica Balkanica, 7 (1993).
- [8] P. SCHAEFER. Almost convergence and almost summable sequences. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (1969), 51-54.

Departement of Mathematics Firat University Elaziğ TURKEY

Receive 12.10.1992 Revised 28.05.1993