

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Serdica

## Mathematical Journal

### Сердика

### Математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.  
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
which is the new series of  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

## JAMES BOUNDARIES AND MARTIN'S AXIOM

Gilles Godefroy

*Communicated by S. L. Troyanski*

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space, and  $B$  a subset of the dual unit ball  $B_{X^*}$  such that every  $x \in X$  attains its norm on  $B$ . Under Martin's axiom and the negation of continuum hypothesis, it is shown that one of the following statements is true: (a) the dual unit ball  $B_{X^*}$  is the norm-closed convex hull of  $B$ ; (b) the set  $B$  contains a subset  $\Gamma$  which has the cardinality of the continuum, and is equivalent to the canonical basis of  $l_1(\Gamma)$ . Several consequences of this optimal result are spelled out.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  be an arbitrary Banach space. A subset  $B$  of the dual unit ball  $B_{X^*}$  is called a James boundary, or in short a boundary (see [5]), if for every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $x^* \in B$  such that  $x^*(x) = \|x\|$ . The set  $\text{Ext}(B_{X^*})$  of extreme points of the dual unit ball provides a classical example. It readily follows from Hahn-Banach theorem that  $B_{X^*}$  is the weak\*-closed convex hull of any boundary  $B$ . Sometimes, for instance if  $X$  is separable and does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$  (see [5]), it can actually be shown that the dual unit ball is the norm-closed convex hull of any boundary  $B$ . However this is not true in general: if for instance  $X = \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$  is the space of continuous functions on

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B20, Secondary 46A50.

*Key words:* James boundary, uncountable  $l_1$ -bases, Martin's axiom.

the unit interval, then  $B = \{\delta_x : x \in [0, 1]\}$  is a boundary, whose norm-closed convex hull consists of discrete measures. We refer to [9] for related results, under assumptions of topological regularity of  $B$ . We refer to [2] for lineability in the set of norm-attaining functionals, and to [12], [15], [16] for important results on James' theorem and boundaries.

Martin's axiom is a combinatorial statement which allows to extend Cantor's diagonal argument to all cardinals which are strictly less than the continuum  $c$ . It is therefore an obvious consequence of the continuum hypothesis ( $CH$ ), but what makes it important is that it is compatible with the negation of continuum hypothesis (see Theorem 11E in [4]). It turns out that if we assume Martin's axiom and the negation of continuum hypothesis (denoted  $(MA + \neg CH)$ ), transfinite biorthogonal systems can be constructed in quite a few spaces (see [21], [1], [7]). This feature of Martin's axiom is confirmed by the present work. On the other hand, the world looks quite different if  $(CH)$  is assumed (see [19], [13]).

In this short note, we show that under  $(MA + \neg CH)$ , the natural example given above, namely the boundary  $B = \{\delta_x : x \in [0, 1]\}$  in  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])^*$  which does not span  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1])^*$  in norm, is somewhat minimal. This result does not require any assumption of regularity on the boundary  $B$ . Some consequences of this observation are given.

**2. Results.** The proof of our main result will rely in particular on Simons' inequality [17]. We refer to [6] for various applications of this inequality. A bounded subset  $\Gamma$  of cardinality  $\tau$  in a Banach space  $E$  is said to be equivalent to the natural basis of  $l_1(\tau)$  if there exists  $m > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \sum \lambda_\gamma \gamma \right\| \geq m \sum |\lambda_\gamma|$$

for any family  $(\lambda_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of scalars with finitely many non-zero terms. With this notation, our main result reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.**  *$(MA + \neg CH)$ : Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space, and let  $B \subset B_{X^*}$  be a boundary. If  $B$  does not contain a subset equivalent to the natural basis of  $l_1(c)$  where  $c$  is the cardinality of the continuum, then  $B_{X^*}$  is the norm-closed convex hull of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that  $B$  does not contain a subset equivalent to the natural basis of  $l_1(c)$  where  $c$  is the cardinality of the continuum. Since  $c$  is not of countable cofinality, it follows from Theorem 4 in [20] that if we denote  $Z = \overline{\text{span}}(B) \subset X^*$ , then this space  $Z$  does not contain an isomorphic copy of  $l_1(c)$ . Hence by [10], it follows from  $(MA + \neg CH)$  that if  $(z_n^*)$  is any bounded

sequence in  $Z^*$ , there exists a sequence  $(c_k^*)$  of successive convex combinations of  $(z_n^*)$ , such that  $(c_k^*)$  is a weak\* convergent sequence (when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ).

Therefore, if  $(x_n)$  is any bounded sequence in  $X$ , there exists a sequence  $(c_k)$  of successive convex combinations which is pointwise convergent on  $B$ , and hence, weakly Cauchy since  $B$  is a boundary ([17], see [6, Corollary 2]). It follows that  $X \not\supseteq l_1$ , since obviously the canonical basis of  $l_1$  has no weakly Cauchy sequence of convex combinations.

If  $B_{X^*}$  is not the norm-closed convex hull of  $B$ , there exists  $F \in B_{X^{**}}$  and  $x_0^* \in B_{X^*}$  such that  $F(x_0^*) > \sup F(B)$ . Let  $\sup F(B) < \alpha < F(m_0^*)$ . Let  $C = \{x \in B_X : x_0^*(x) > \alpha\}$ . Clearly  $F \in \overline{C}^{w^*}$ . Since  $X$  is separable and  $X \not\supseteq l_1$ , the compact space  $B_{X^{**}}$  consists of first Baire class functions [14] and thus it is angelic in the sense defined in [3]. In particular, there is a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq C$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^*(x_n) = F(x^*)$  for all  $x^* \in B$ . Since  $B$  is a boundary of  $B_{X^*}$  and  $\sup F(B) < \alpha$ , it follows from Simons' inequality [17] that there is  $x \in \text{co}(\{x_n\}) \subseteq C$  such that  $\alpha > \sup x(B)$ . Since we clearly have  $\overline{\text{conv}}^{w^*}(B) = B_{X^*}$ , this implies  $\alpha > \|x\|$ . But this contradicts  $x_0^*(x) > \alpha$ .  $\square$

Theorem 2.1 implies of course that if  $X$  is separable and  $X^*$  does not contain  $l_1(c)$ , then  $B_{X^*}$  is the norm-closed convex hull of any boundary. This result does not request axioms (it is part of Theorem III.1 in [5]), and it is shown by the second half of the above proof.

A duality argument provides the following translation of Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** *(MA +  $\neg$ CH) Let  $Z$  be a Banach space which does not contain  $l_1(c)$  isomorphically. If there is a norm-closed separable subspace  $X$  of  $Z^*$  which separates  $Z$  and consists of norm-attaining linear functionals, then  $X$  is an isometric predual of  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed let  $Q : Z \rightarrow X^*$  be the canonical map of restriction to  $X$ . The set  $B = Q(B_Z)$  is a boundary of  $B_{X^*}$ , and it follows from the lifting property of  $l_1$  that the norm-closed linear span of  $B$  does not contain  $l_1(c)$ . Hence  $B$  is norm-dense in  $B_{X^*}$  by Theorem 2.1. But this implies that  $Q$  is an isometry from  $Z$  onto  $X^*$ .  $\square$

Note that the space  $Z$  is simply assumed to be separating in Corollary 2.2, and since it ends up being an isometric predual it is in particular 1-norming. The example of  $X = \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$  as separating subspace of  $l_1(c)^*$  shows that our assumption on  $Z$  is necessary. Along these lines,  $l_1(c)^*$  contains a norm-closed separable subspace consisting of norm-attaining functionals which is separating but not norming (Proposition 4 in [8]).

**Corollary 2.3.** *(MA) Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space. If there is a*

boundary  $B \subset B_{X^*}$  with cardinality strictly less than  $c$ , then  $X^*$  is separable and  $B_{X^*}$  is the norm-closed convex hull of  $B$ .

**Proof.** If we assume  $(\neg CH)$ , Theorem 2.1 shows that that  $B_{X^*}$  is the norm-closed convex hull of  $B$ . If we assume  $(CH)$ , then  $B$  is countable and again  $B_{X^*}$  is the norm-closed convex hull of  $B$  by Simons' inequality [17]. In both cases, the density character of  $X^*$  is strictly less than  $c$ . But a Cantor-type construction (or the stronger Stegall's theorem [18]) shows that if  $X$  is separable and  $X^*$  is not, then the density character of  $X^*$  is  $c$ . Therefore  $X^*$  is separable.  $\square$

We note that in the above Corollary 2.3, we may merely assume that the norm-density character of the norm-closed linear span is less than  $c$  and reach the same conclusion. Assuming norm-attainment on  $B$  is of course necessary: indeed if  $X$  is any separable Banach space, there exists a 1-norming separable subspace of  $X^*$ .

We conclude this short note with some remarks and examples, and a natural problem.

**Remarks.** 1) Assuming the separability of  $X$  in Theorem 2.1 or in Corollary 2.2 is necessary. For instance let  $X = \mathcal{C}(\omega_1)$  be the space of continuous functions on the locally compact ordered space  $\omega_1$  of all countable ordinals. The set  $B = \{\delta_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  is a boundary of  $B_{X^*}$  whose norm closed linear span  $Z = l_1(\omega_1)$  does not contain  $l_1(c)$  (under  $(MA + \neg CH)$ ). However,  $\delta_{\omega_1} \in X^*$  does not belong to  $Z$ .

2) The statement "there exists a separable norm-closed subspace  $X$  of  $l_\infty(\omega_1)$  which separates  $l_1(\omega_1)$  and consists of norm-attaining functionals" is undecidable in  $(ZFC)$ . Indeed, it is true if we assume  $(CH)$  (use a bijective map between  $\omega_1$  and  $[0, 1]$  and take  $X = \mathcal{C}([0, 1])$ ). It is false if we assume  $(MA + \neg CH)$  since then, Theorem 2.1 would show that  $l_1(\omega_1)$  is isometric to  $X^*$ , but since  $l_1(\omega_1)$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and is not separable it cannot be isomorphic to the dual of a separable space.

3) It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 11 in [15] that in  $(ZFC)$  and with the assumptions and notation of Theorem 2.1, if  $B_{X^*}$  is not the norm-closed convex hull of  $B$ , then the norm closed linear span of  $B$  contains asymptotic copies of  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$ . Note that it follows from Theorem 6 in [20] that if a Banach space  $Z$  contains isomorphically  $l_1(c)$ , then it contains asymptotic copies of  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$ .

4) Corollary 2.2 easily implies the following James-type theorem, under  $(MA + \neg CH)$ : let  $Z$  be a Banach space which does not contain isomorphically

$l_1(c)$ . Let  $X$  be a separating subspace of  $Z^*$ , and denote by  $\tau_X$  the locally convex topology of pointwise convergence on  $X$ . We assume that the topology  $\tau_X$  is metrizable on  $B_Z$ . Then the convex set  $(B_Z, \tau_X)$  is compact if and only if every  $\tau_X$ -continuous affine function on  $B_Z$  attains its supremum. The usual example of  $X = \mathcal{C}([0, 1]) \subset l_1(c)^*$  shows that the assumption made on  $Z$  is necessary.

5) Is Theorem 2.1 a result from  $(ZFC)$ , or is an axiom necessary? In the proof,  $(MA + \neg CH)$  has been used for showing the existence of weak\* convergent sequences of convex combinations in  $Z^*$ , and this completely fails under  $(CH)$  since for instance, there exists ([19]) under  $(CH)$  a Grothendieck space  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  which does not contain  $l_1(c)$ , and in the norm-closed space  $l_1(K)$  the weak\* convergent sequences are actually norm-convergent. Hence it might be so that Theorem 2.1 is not provable in  $(ZFC)$ . However, under a mild topological assumption on the boundary  $B$ , one can dispense with axioms: indeed, assume that  $B$  is weak\* analytic (in the sense of Suslin). It is in particular so if  $B$  is a weak\* Borel subset of  $X^*$ . The restriction to  $B$  of  $B_{X^{**}}$  is a pointwise compact set  $\mathcal{K}$  of functions on the topological space  $A = (B, w^*)$ , which contains a dense subset of continuous functions – namely, the restriction of  $B_X$  to  $B$ . By [3], the compact set  $\mathcal{K}$  is angelic or contains a copy of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{K}$  is angelic, the end of the proof of Theorem 1 shows that  $B_{X^*}$  is the norm-closed convex hull of  $B$ . If  $\mathcal{K}$  contains a copy of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  and if we denote by  $Z$  the norm-closed linear span of  $B$ , then  $B_{Z^*}$  contains a weak\* homeomorphic copy of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ , and thus by [20], the space  $Z$  contains  $l_1(c)$ . This argument also works as soon as  $B$  contains a weak\* analytic boundary, e.g. the range of a norm-to-weak\* Borel selector of the support mapping (see [11]).

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. BACAĀ, P. HAJEK. Mazur intersection property for Asplund spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **255**, 8 (2008), 2090–2094.
- [2] P. BANDYOPADHYAY, G. GODEFROY. Linear structures in the set of norm-attaining functionals on a Banach space. *J. Convex Anal.* **13**, 3–4 (2006), 489–497.
- [3] J. BOURGAIN, D. FREMLIN, M. TALAGRAND. Pointwise compact sets of Baire-measurable functions. *Amer. J. Math.* 100 (1978), 845–886.
- [4] D. FREMLIN. Consequences of Martin's axiom. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. **84**. Cambridge etc., Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [5] G. GODEFROY. Boundaries of a convex set and interpolation sets. *Math. Ann.* **277** (1987), 173–184.

- [6] G. GODEFROY. Some applications of Simons' inequality. *Serdica Math. J.* **26**, 1 (2000), 59–78.
- [7] G. GODEFROY. On the diameter of the Banach-Mazur set. *Czech Math. J.* **60**, 1 (2010), 95–100.
- [8] G. GODEFROY. The use of norm-attainment. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. – Simon Stevin* **20**, 3 (2013), 417–423.
- [9] A. S. GRANERO, J. M. HERNÁNDEZ. On James boundaries in dual Banach spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **263**, 2 (2012), 429–447.
- [10] R. HAYDON, M. LEVY, E. ODELL. On sequences without  $weak^*$  convergent convex block sequences. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **100** (1987), 94–98.
- [11] J. JAYNE, C.A. ROGERS. Borel selectors for upper semi-continuous set valued maps. *Acta Math.* **155** (1985), 41–79.
- [12] M. MORILLON. A new proof of James' sup theorem. *Extr. Math.* **20**, 3 (2005), 261–271.
- [13] S. NEGREPONTIS. Banach spaces and topology. In: Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology (Eds K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan), North-Holland, 1984, 1045–1142.
- [14] E. ODELL, H. P. ROSENTHAL. A double-dual characterization of Banach spaces not containing  $\ell_1$ . *ISRAEL J. MATH.* **20** (1975), 375–384.
- [15] H. PFITZNER. Boundaries for Banach spaces determine weak compactness. *Invent. Math.* **182**, 3 (2010), 585–604.
- [16] H. PFITZNER. A conjecture of Godefroy concerning James' theorem. *Quart. J. Math.* **64**, 2 (2013), 547–553.
- [17] S. SIMONS. A convergence theorem with boundary. *Pacific J. Math.* **40** (1972), 703–708.
- [18] C. STEGALL. The Radon-Nikodym property in conjugate Banach spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **206** (1975), 213–223.
- [19] M. TALAGRAND. Un nouveau  $\mathcal{C}(K)$  qui possède la propriété de Grothendieck. *Israel J. Math.* **37** (1980), 181–191.
- [20] M. TALAGRAND. Sur les espaces de Banach contenant  $l_1(\tau)$ . *Israel J. Math.* **40** (1981), 324–330.
- [21] S. TODORCEVIC. Biorthogonal systems and quotient spaces via Baire category methods. *Math. Ann.* **335**, 3 (2006), 687–715.

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu  
Case 247, 4 place Jussieu  
75005 Paris, France  
e-mail: gilles.godefroy@imj-prg.fr

Received March 4, 2015