

INSPIRING SCIENCE EDUCATION CONFERENCE 2016

APRIL 22-24, PALLINI, GREECE

PROCEEDINGS



ELLINOGERMANIKI AGOGI

Published by **Ellinogermaniki Agogi**

Editors:

Dr. Angelos Lazoudis, Dr. Stephanos Cherouvis

Artwork:

Anna Mavroeidi



inspiring
SCIENCE
education

This project has received funding from the European Union's ICT Policy Support Programme as part of the Competitiveness and Innovation Framework Programme (Grant Agreement no. 325123). This publication reflects only the editor's and contributors' views and the European Union is not liable for any use that might be made of information contained therein.

Copyright © 2016 by Ellinogermaniki Agogi

All rights reserved.



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial- ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>

Printed by **EPINOIA S.A.**

ISBN 978-960-473-775-8

Contents

Enquiring and learning about particles, x-rays and exoplanets 9

Caterina Boccato, *INAF – Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, vicolo osservatorio 5 35122 Padova (IT)*
caterina.boccato@oapd.inaf.it

Sandro Bardelli, *INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, via Ranzani 1 40127 Bologna (IT)*
sandro.bardelli@oabo.inaf.it

Stefano Sandrelli, *INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, via Brera 28, 20121 Milano (IT)*
stefano.sandrelli@brera.inaf.it

CERN, Remote Telescopes, IceCube: The three Major Virtual Visits 17

Chiotelis¹, I., Theodoropoulou², M., and Birbas³, G.

¹Experimental High School of University of Patras, ²General High School of Krestena,

³General High School of Pelopio

johnchiotelis@yahoo.gr, mariatheodoropoulou@ymail.com, georgebirbas@gmail.com

Illustrating problem solving skills in ISE 25

Prof. Dr. Rüdiger Tiemann*, Amany Annagar* & Dr. Jessica Langheinrich[°]

*Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Chemistry Department, Chemistry Education, Brook-Taylor St. 2,
 12489 Berlin, Germany, *ruediger.tiemann@chemie.hu-berlin.de // amany.annagar@chemie.hu-berlin.de*

[°]Universität Bayreuth, Biology Education, Universitätsst. 30, Building NWI, 95447 Bayreuth,
 Germany, *franz.bogner@uni-bayreuth.de*

Connecting Embodied Learning in educational practice to the realisation of science educational scenarios through performing arts 31

Smyrniou Z.¹, Sotiriou M.², Georgakopoulou E.¹, Papadopoulou O.¹

¹National Kapodistrian University of Athens (NKUA) School of Philosophy, Faculty of Philosophy,
 Pedagogy and Psychology Department of Pedagogy, University Campus, 15784 Ilissia, Athens,
 Greece, *zsmyrniou@ppp.uoa.gr, elgeorgakop@hotmail.com, ²Science View, sotiriou@scienceview.gr*

Highlighting Good Practices in Teaching STEM via Webinars in the Framework of the European Project MARCH 39

Sofia Papadimitriou, *Head of Educational Radio-Television, Ministry of Education, Research and
 RA, Greece, sofipapadi@minedu.gov.gr*

Anastasia Andritsou, *Head of the Department of Programmes and Partnerships, British Council,
 Greece, Anastasia.Andritsou@britishcouncil.gr*

The Impact of Scientific School Contests on Students' Attitude towards Science and in following scientific careers 47

Tsourlidaki Eleftheria, *Ellinogermaniki Agogi*, eleftheria@ea.gr

Sofoklis Sotiriou, *Ellinogermaniki Agogi*, sotiriou@ea.gr

Inspiring Teachers for Inspired Children 55

Doran, R., Almeida, M., Costa, A., Cabral, L., Furtado, P.

NUCLIO – Núcleo Interativo de Astronomia, Largo dos Topázios 48 – 3Fte 2785-817 S.D.Rana – Portugal, rosa.doran@nuclio.pt, geral@nuclio.pt

Linking Spatial Thinking and Problem-Solving Skills of Young Children 61

Christos Charcharos, Margarita Kokla and Eleni Tomai

School of Rural and Surveying Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, H. Polytechniou Str. 9, 15780 Zografos Campus, Greece
chchar@central.ntua.gr mkokla@survey.ntua.gr etomai@mail.ntua.gr

Exploring Science via Studying Building and Sharing Models 71

Chiocciariello A., Pastore E., *Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto per le Tecnologie Didattiche*, augusto@itd.cnr.it, pastore@itd.cnr.it

Following Curiosity on Mars 79

Garabet^{1,2}, M., Baldea¹, A., *SIVECO ROMANIA, Victoria Park, Șoseaua București-Ploiești 73-81, Corpul 4, Sector 1, 013685, Bucharest, Romania, National College “Grigore Moisil”, Bucharest, Timisoara Bd., 33, Bucharest, Romania*, mihaela.garabet@siveco.ro, ana.baldea@siveco.ro

An environmental approach on the importance of Light 85

Eleni Voukloutzi

American Farm School, Thessaloniki, Greece, evoukloutzi@yahoo.com

The steps for elaboration of the “Rosetta stone” demonstrator 91

Radoslav Yoshinov, *Laboratory of Telematics – Bulgarian academy of science, Sofia, Bulgaria*, yoshinov@cc.bas.bg

Monka Kotseva, *Laboratory of Telematics – Bulgarian academy of science, Sofia, Bulgaria*, mkotseva@cc.bas.bg

Fostering connections among Improvisational Art and Science Education.**The implementation of “Meaning Generating Trajectory” 97**

Konstantina Kotsari¹, Zacharoula Smyrnaiou², *Educational Technology Lab* (<http://etl.ppp.uoa.gr/>),
National & Kapodistrian University of Athens (<http://en.uoa.gr/>), konkotsari@gmail.com¹,
zmyrnaiou@ppp.uoa.gr²

Informal astronomy and space education in the consructivist environment 103

Ivo Jokin, *Science teacher in Municipal center for extracurricular activities, municipality Dolna Mitropolia, Bulgaria*, e-mail: ivo_jokin@abv.bg

From the Earth, to the neighbourhood of stars 109

Baboura Anna, *Preschool education, Master of Education – Digital technologies in education*
anna.baboura@gmail.com

Inspiring Science Education in Romania 115

Jugureanu¹, R., Baldea¹, A., Garabet^{1,2}, M., *SIVECO ROMANIA, Victoria Park, Șoseaua București-Ploiești 73-81, Corpul 4, Sector 1, 013685, Bucharest, Romania, National College “Grigore Moisil”, Bucharest, Timisoara Bd., 33, Bucharest, Romania*, radu.jugureanu@siveco.ro, ana.baldea@siveco.ro,
mihaela.garabet@siveco.ro

Reflection of ISE idea for linking school education and scientific research in the National Strategy for effective implementation of ICT in education and science in the Republic of Bulgaria 123

Radoslav Yoshinov, *Laboratory of Telematics – Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria*,
yoshinov@cc.bas.bg

Daniela Pavlova, *University for Library Studies and Information Technologies, Sofia, Bulgaria*,
d.pavlova@unibit.bg

Orlin Kouzov, *Ministry of Education and Science, Sofia, Bulgaria*, okouzov@mon.bg

Using Astronomical Research in School Education 129

Chris E. North, Haley L. Gomez, Emma Foxell, *School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, Queen's Buildings, The Parade, Cardiff, CF24 3AA, UK*, chris.north@astro.cf.ac.uk,
GomezH@cardiff.ac.uk, FoxellE@cardiff.ac.uk

Edward L. Gomez, Stuart R. Lowe, *Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network, 6740 Cortona Dr, Suite 102, Goleta, CA9117, Unites States*, egomez@lcogt.net, stuart@strudel.org.uk

Center for Green Education at Anatolia College 137

E.Kalambokis 1*, L.Antonoglou 2, C.P. Hadjiantoniou-Marouli 2

¹Anatolia College, Pylea, 55510 Thessaloniki, ²Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124, Thessaloniki, ebokis@anatolia.edu.gr

Babies and the Moon 141

Doran, R., Gonçalves, J., *NUCLIO – Núcleo Interativo de Astronomia Largo dos Topázios 48 – 3Fte 2785-817 S.D.Rana – Portugal*, rosa.doran@nuclio.pt, jose.goncalves@nuclio.pt

Gaining Media Literacy by Utilizing Web 2.0 Tools in Primary School IT Education 145

Mirena Maljković, *Primary school “Rapska”, Rapska 3, Zagreb, Croatia*, *Primary school “Jure Kaštelana”, V. Ruždjaka 2a, Zagreb, Croatia*, mirena.maljkovic@skole.hr

Integrating Innovative Practices in Secondary Special Education in Greece 153

Nikolaos Nerantzis,

Physicist in Secondary Special Education (Public Special Junior High School of Thessaloniki)
iSe ambassador for Greek schools (Ellinogermaniki Agogi, Greece), abc57001@gmail.com

Enhancing Reading Comprehension of Students with Reading Difficulties or Dyslexia in the 3rd Year of Primary School, by Implementing Inquiry Based Science Education with Digital Technologies 163

Grigoriou Vasiliki, Zacharoula Smyrniou, *Educational Technology Lab (ETL), National Kapodistrian University of Athens (NKUA) School of Philosophy, Faculty of Philosophy, Pedagogy and Psychology Department of Pedagogy, University Campus, 15784 Ilissia, Athens, Greece*
vgrigoriou1@gmail.com, zsmyrniou@ppp.uoa.gr

The Effect of Vertical Jump Exercise Program in School Setting: A Pilot Study 169

Gortsila, E.¹, Patsiaouras A.²

¹Physical Education Teacher/PhD, Athens, Greece, egortsi@yahoo.gr, ²EPP University of Thessaly/DPESS, Karies Trikala Greece, spat@pe.uth.gr

**The Effect of an Educational Scenario on Throwing Skills Development,
Understanding of Physics Concepts and Initiation into Olympism Values in First
School Age 175**

Roupa Athina, *Ph.D Physical Education Teacher, Ypoloxagou Kapetanaki 47 Agios Dimitrios Athina Attica 17342, e-mail 1) athinaroupa@yahoo.gr 2) aroupa@cgs.edu.gr*

Different Approach for Assessment of Student's Problem Solving Skills 179

Roumen Trifonov, *Technical University of Sofia, 8 st. Kliment Ohridski bul., Sofia 1000, Bulgaria*
r_trifonov@tu-sofia.bg

Galya Pavlova, *Technical University of Sofia, 8 st. Kliment Ohridski bul., Sofia 1000, Bulgaria*
raicheva@tu-sofia.bg

The steps for elaboration of the “Rosetta stone” demonstrator

Radoslav Yoshinov,

Laboratory of Telematics – Bulgarian academy of science, Sofia, Bulgaria

yoshinov@cc.bas.bg

Monka Kotseva,

Laboratory of Telematics – Bulgarian academy of science, Sofia, Bulgaria

mkotseva@cc.bas.bg

Abstract

The Rosetta Stone is a granodiorite stele, found in a small village in the Delta called Rosetta, with writing on it in two languages (Egyptian and Greek), using three scripts (hieroglyphic, demotic and Greek) with a decree issued at Memphis, Egypt, in 196 BC on behalf of King Ptolemy V. Because it presents essentially the same text in all three scripts (with some minor differences among them), the stone provided the key to the modern understanding of Egyptian hieroglyphs. The demonstrator implies the idea to learn a language, through immersion, as a direct result of being a part of the culture and the world of the country of the respective language, instead of sitting in a classroom. To use computer technology to simulate the way that people learn their native language—with pictures and sounds in context, and with no translation. As a result, we use the idea of the Rosetta stone phenomena to elaborate interactive technology-based learning solutions that are dedicated to changing people's lives through the power of language and literacy education.

Keywords

Demonstrator, Rosetta Stone assessment, prob-

lem solving skills, technology-based learning, Egyptian script

1. Introduction

The ISE project funded by the EU aims to develop key competencies. Each competency should be seen as a combination of three elements (knowledge, skills and attitudes) knowledge of which varies depending on the context requires.

ISE Demonstrators are part of service-oriented architecture where learning experiences are dynamically constructed taking into account user profiles and pedagogical templates based on inquiry based science methods.

2. Learning objects

Current developments in eLearning have promoted the concept of reusable learning objects. Traditionally, learning was organized in lessons and courses covering predefined objectives. In eLearning environments the material is broken into smaller independent pieces named learning objects that can be used as they are or in combination with other material to form higher level objects covering the learning needs of the users on

demand and at the right time. In this context, the fundamental idea behind learning objects is that instructional designers can build small instructional components that can be reused a number of times in different learning contexts [Wiley, 2002]. Learning objects are stand-alone pieces of information that are reusable in multiple contexts, depending on the needs of the individual user. The important question should be the granularity of such an object, topic of many discussions. Different content models addressing granularity of learning objects are resulting in different implementations (reviewed by Verbert and Duval, 2004, 2008; Balatsoukas, Moris, and O'Brien, 2008). Also different pedagogical approaches may be used, requiring different implementations of learning objects. Many times the pedagogical approach is strictly bound with the learning object, reducing the possibilities for reusing this object in different contexts.

The proposed definition to be used for learning object is a collection of digital materials — pictures, documents, simulations — coupled with a clear and measurable learning objective.

The proposed definition to be used for learning scenario is a priori description of a learning situation, independently of the underlying pedagogical approach. It describes its organization with the goal of ensuring the appropriation of a precise set of knowledge, competences or skills. It may specify roles, activities and required resources, tools and services.

The proposed definition to be used for lesson plan is instructor's road map of what students need to learn and how it will be done effectively during the class time.

Based on these three definitions the authors bring out the following definition for **ISE demonstrator**: *Realized learning scenario, based on appropriate lesson plan(s), consisting of learning objects, elaborated using inquiry based science methods.*

3. What are the steps to elaborate a new ISE Demonstrator?

A scientific demonstration is a scientific experiment carried out for the purposes of demonstrating scientific principles. In science classrooms as well as in many other fields and locations, models are used either as visual aids or to demonstrate a principle or process. For the realization of an ISE Demonstrator, we adhere to the scenario template and step-by-step guidance for planning the lesson.

The authors used the five step scenario template for the ISE Demonstrators:

Identifying the target audience and analyze their needs was the first step for elaborating the demonstrator. The important part was to define the competence level of the targeted auditory for the subject of the ISE Demonstrator and their expectations on the outcomes. In the second step was important to identify the learning needs of the target group and the expected outcomes of the ISE Demonstrator. (When the target audience is well known, one can determine their wants accurately and formulate the ISE Demonstrator outcomes accordingly). The learning outcomes shaped the goals of the ISE Demonstrator. They were to be achieved by means of objectives, formed like tasks implemented in the ISE Demonstrator accordingly. To pick the most relevant ones determining the learning outcomes a DIF (using **D**ifficult, **I**important, **F**requent parameters) analysis was done. The third step included choosing the situation for the ISE demonstrator forming the appropriate level of interaction. Choosing the appropriate type of ISE Demonstrator (Skill-Based, Problem-Based, Issue-Based, Speculative, or Gaming) formed the forth step. The fifth step included the design of the ISE Demonstrator from Identification of a realistic trigger event, through creating a believable and relatable protagonist toward turning feedback into effective instructional tools. As shown on

Fig. 1, specifying concrete objectives for student learning helped to determine the kinds of teaching and learning activities to be used in class, while those activities defined how to check whether the learning objectives have been accomplished.



Fig. 1

In addition to keep ISE Demonstrator Quality standards on every step we had to take into account the following criteria. The elaborated ISE Demonstrators should be well-defined, inquiry based, working (with all links and material available), simple to use, adopt and change. They should be relevant, inspiring and motivating to learners and teachers, connected with real world problems and to a Big Idea of Science. The last, but very important step was the evaluation process of the ISE Demonstrator.

4. How did we apply these steps on the “Rosetta Stone” ISE Demonstrator?

At some point during the fourth century, all knowledge of ancient Egyptian scripts was lost, leaving no method available to decipher the language of hieroglyphics which had been richly preserved on ancient Egyptian monuments, stone tablets, and sheets of papyrus. Fortunately, while on an expedition to Egypt in 1799, Napoleon’s army discovered an artefact which has become known as the Rosetta Stone. This stone contained the inscription of a decree issued in 196 BC by Ptolemy V Epiphanes. The decree was repeated three

times in two languages, Greek and Egyptian, with the Egyptian version appearing twice, once in hieroglyphics and once in demotic, a cursive form of the hieroglyphic script. Fortunately, there is an abundance of information on ancient Greek dialects and therefore, the stone’s Greek version of the decree contained the key to decipher the meaning of the ancient Egyptian texts. Today, because of the Rosetta Stone, we can interpret many ancient texts and inscriptions of Egyptian hieroglyphic and demotic scripts found on sheets of papyrus and monuments throughout Egypt.

One of the big challenge in crafting a learning experience is figuring out how to engage the learners. All groups of learners are different from one another – they all learn in a different way – they have different styles of learning. There are many ways to create engaging and interactive learning. The key is to engage the learner and create an experience that is memorable and enjoyable as well as educational. Moreover, taking into account the previous knowledge (background) of Learners can significantly reduce learning time, since learning activities that are intended to fulfill learning goals that have been already fulfilled at a satisfactory (for the Learner) level in the past could be excluded from the learning experience. All these factors have to be decided by the teacher himself. Keeping in mind the objective and subjective factors, the teacher can change the lesson in depending education level.

The first step before we start the implementation of the scenario was to determine the age category to which is directed the educational material.

Ancient Egypt is of interest to small and large pupils, since today is difficult to explain how the Egyptians have reached such heights of development of society, culture, art and construction, more than 3500 years BC. Restricting the age category helps in determining the depth of facts interpretation. Determinant of putting

age limits are the national requirements for the education program in “history and civilization” of the Bulgarian school, where the 7-th class is determined.

Since the material is not completely historical, as it relates to language learning and linguistics and art and methods such as induction, analogy etc, it can be used in optional courses and compulsory classes.

For the realization of the demonstrator, we adhere to the scenario template and step-by-step guidance for planning the lesson taking into account the requirements of the education program in “history and civilization” of the Bulgarian school for the 7-th class.

Formulated were some questions to verify the general level of knowledge of the material related to the history of Egypt. Before answering questions students were asked to track video to recap the most important elements related to the power of Egypt and the building of the pyramids.

The teacher was facilitated to draw the attention of students to the main purpose of the lesson - Egyptian script and its main characteristics and elements of the shaped letter - pictograms and ideograms

Proposed were several tasks illustrating these concepts. The main objective of these tasks was to connect shaped letter use in everyday life e.g. signs, road signs and emoticons. In the proposed and elaborated tests was provided a field where students could record their answers. It was elaborated with the Google form tool, which allows the teacher to collect and analyze the submitted responses. These tests were designed to test the observation abilities of students in reading unfamiliar circuits or signs, which was an important prerequisite for orientation in real life.

In the next step of the scenario, the teacher gave factual opening and attempts to read the Rosetta Stone. He directed students to the main findings, which had made Champollion in his

discovery. A hypothesis was raised what could be done to match hieroglyphic writing to the Latin alphabet and thus planning the investigation how students could express their thoughts by the means of Egyptian hieroglyphs. While resolving the proposed tasks students themselves had to make the correlation between the Latin alphabet and hieroglyphic writing.

Important part of the demonstrator was oriented toward analysis and Interpretation. In this phase of the lesson students were given the opportunity to decipher and code texts. To achieve this ability it was necessary to translate the texts written in hieroglyphic letter in English and then in Bulgaria and vice versa. This exercise was very similar to the deciphering of the Rosetta Stone, as Champollion did, based on his knowledge of Greek and Coptic languages and alphabets (the languages in which the Egyptian inscription was done).

With the help of questions the teacher facilitated reaching the conclusions that on this principle of change of the letters with hieroglyphs or with other characters was based the encryption of texts and as application the digital codes of computer code tables and characters.

Taking into account the level of competence of the students the teacher could show the table with the character set in the computer. He could create an example, using the Code function in Excel, which displays the codes of the characters.

5. Evaluation and assessment of the “Rosetta stone” Demonstrator

The Rosetta Stone Demonstrator enables teachers to use in their lessons factual material that is related to Egyptian culture. The purpose of this demonstrator is students to become aware of the interactions between different languages and their structure. To boost their motivation for learning new languages by revealing the unity in diversity, and the common features of various cultural and linguistic forms.

In the demonstrator, the comparative method by which the Rosetta Stone has been translated was used. This is the basic method for solving linguistic tasks as they are presented to students. Linguistic tasks are essentially logical tasks. They help us to look more closely at the symbols displayed, and search for matches, for explanations of what we see, to connect it with our previous knowledge, and develop our observation skills. As a “added effect” we expand our knowledge and the relationship between the various disciplines taught in school. Many current teachers have limited experience with DEMONSTRATORS software from the learners’ perspective and may be novices as well using this technology for teaching. Unlike textbooks, software structure of Demonstrator is often not transparent and can be difficult to “skim” for both content and program operation. Additionally, it could be difficult to get a fully operational version for evaluation.

So evaluation of the Demonstrator referred to the process of investigating it to judge its appropriateness for a given subject learning setting, identifying ways it may be effectively implemented in that setting, and assessing its degree of success and determining whether to continue use or to make adjustments in implementation for future use. We could think of these three stages respectively as selection, implementation, and assessment. Considerations of implementation could and arguably should be an integral part of the selection process. Assessment was carried out by the target group for which the Demonstrator was elaborated. It was invincibly made by teachers/tutors - professionals who should use it in the educational process and by learners - direct users of this content. The evaluation was done as traditional assessment using conventional methods (driven by checklists or forms, guided by appropriate methodological frameworks or linked to theory and research-based criteria) and as performance based assessment, measuring student’s creativity and the level of application of the obtained knowledge in real-life contexts. The key in evaluation was to determine whether the teaching presence in the Demonstrator (that either do not involve tutorial software or

represent blends of tutorial and tool-oriented applications) in combination with the content was effective for the given objective.

The authors used the modified Jamieson, Chapelle, and Preiss (2004) criteria which was operationalized for a judgmental analysis of the Demonstrator. The following parameters were accounted:

learning potential of the Demonstrator: The degree of opportunity present for beneficial focus on form;

Learner fit of the Demonstrator: The amount of opportunity for engagement with the Demonstrator under appropriate conditions given learner characteristics;

Meaning focus: The extent to which learners’ attention was directed toward the meaning of the Demonstrator;

Authenticity of the Demonstrator: The degree of correspondence between the learning activity and target activities of interest to learners out of the classroom;

Positive Impact: The positive effects of the Demonstrator activities on those who participated in it;

Practicality: The adequacy of resources, supporting and being used in the Demonstrator.

The following scheme (Fig. 2.) for evaluation was used:




Fig. 2

5. Conclusions

The “Rosetta stone” demonstrator is working issue-based demonstrator. It is well-defined, inquiry based, simple to use, adopt and change. It is relevant, inspiring and motivating to learners and teachers of the 7-th class of the Bulgarian school (students of age 13~15), but is appropriate also for the secondary schools of the country.

The “Rosetta stone” demonstrator is connected with real world problem. It exploits a Big Idea of Science.

It contains big learning potential, showing the root of coding and of linguistic semantics.

It gives great opportunity to learners for engagement and attraction of their attention.

It drives attention to everyday activities of the target audience explaining semiotic meanings.

It uses adequate resources in support, thus having high practicality.

6. References

- [1] P Balatsoukas, A Morris, A O’Brien Learning Objects Update: Review and Critical Approach to Content Aggregation. - Educational Technology & Society, 2008
- [2] Klerkx, J., Duval, E., Meire, M. (2004). Using Information Visualisation for Accessing Learning Object Repositories, In Proceedings of IV04 8th International Conference on Information Visualisation, London, England, 465-470.
- [3] Chapelle, C.A. (2003). English language learning and technology: Lectures on teaching and research in the age of information and communication. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing
- [4] Ducate L. and N. Arnold (eds). Calling on CALL: From Theory and Research to New Directions in Foreign Language Teaching. San Marcos: CALICO, 2006.
- [5] Jay Prakash. What are the advantages and disadvantages of demonstration method of teaching science?, Preserve Articles, 2011.
- [6] Jerin Issac. Methods and strategies of teaching. M.Ed. Ponticherry university, 2011.