

# On the Lvov-Kaplansky conjecture

Pedro Fagundes

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING  
STATE UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS

International Conference *Trends in Combinatorial Ring Theory*  
Dedicated to the 70th anniversary of Vesselin Drensky

September 20-24, 2021 – Sofia, Bulgaria

# Introduction

Otherwise stated, all algebras considered in this talk are associative.

# Introduction

Otherwise stated, all algebras considered in this talk are associative.

Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  be a set a noncommuting variables and let  $F\langle X \rangle$  be the free associative algebra generated by  $X$ .

# Introduction

Otherwise stated, all algebras considered in this talk are associative.

Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  be a set a noncommuting variables and let  $F\langle X \rangle$  be the free associative algebra generated by  $X$ .

## Definition

Given a polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in F\langle X \rangle$  and an  $F$ -algebra  $A$ , we define the image of  $f$  on  $A$  as

$$Im(f) = \{f(a_1, \dots, a_m); a_1, \dots, a_m \in A\}$$

## Some examples

1.  $f$  is a polynomial identity for  $A$  iff  $Im(f) = \{0\}$ ;

## Some examples

1.  $f$  is a polynomial identity for  $A$  iff  $Im(f) = \{0\}$ ;
2. a polynomial  $f$  with zero constant term is a central polynomial for  $A$  iff  $\{0\} \neq Im(f) \subset Z(A)$ ;

## Some examples

1.  $f$  is a polynomial identity for  $A$  iff  $Im(f) = \{0\}$ ;
2. a polynomial  $f$  with zero constant term is a central polynomial for  $A$  iff  $\{0\} \neq Im(f) \subset Z(A)$ ;
3. the image of  $f(x, y) = xy - yx$  on  $M_n(F)$  is equal to  $sl_n(F)$  [**K. Shoda**(1936)/**A. Albert**, **B. Muckenhoupt**(1957)];

## Some examples

1.  $f$  is a polynomial identity for  $A$  iff  $Im(f) = \{0\}$ ;
2. a polynomial  $f$  with zero constant term is a central polynomial for  $A$  iff  $\{0\} \neq Im(f) \subset Z(A)$ ;
3. the image of  $f(x, y) = xy - yx$  on  $M_n(F)$  is equal to  $sl_n(F)$  [**K. Shoda**(1936)/**A. Albert, B. Muckenhoupt**(1957)];
4. the image of  $f(x, y, z) = xyz - zyx$  on  $M_n(F)$  is equal to  $M_n(F)$  [**D. Khurana, T. Lam** (2012)]



## Basic properties for images of multilinear polynomials

1.  $Im(f)$  is closed under scalar product;

## Basic properties for images of multilinear polynomials

1.  $Im(f)$  is closed under scalar product;
2.  $Im(f)$  is closed under conjugation by invertible elements of  $A$ ;

## Basic properties for images of multilinear polynomials

1.  $Im(f)$  is closed under scalar product;
2.  $Im(f)$  is closed under conjugation by invertible elements of  $A$ ;
3. the linear span of  $Im(f)$  is a Lie ideal of  $A$  (an ideal of the Lie algebra  $A^{(-)}$ ).

Taking  $A = M_n(F)$  where  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$  or  $n \neq 2$ , we have the following

### I. Herstein (1955)

The Lie ideals of  $M_n(F)$  are  $\{0\}$ ,  $F$ ,  $sl_n(F)$  and  $M_n(F)$ .

Taking  $A = M_n(F)$  where  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$  or  $n \neq 2$ , we have the following

### I. Herstein (1955)

The Lie ideals of  $M_n(F)$  are  $\{0\}$ ,  $F$ ,  $sl_n(F)$  and  $M_n(F)$ .

### Corolary

If  $f$  is multilinear, then  $\text{span}(\text{Im}(f))$  on  $M_n(F)$  is  $\{0\}$ ,  $F$ ,  $sl_n(F)$  or  $M_n(F)$ .

M. Bresar, I. Klep, *Values of noncommutative polynomials, Lie skew-ideals and tracial Nullstellensätze*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** (2009), 605-626

What to say about  $\text{Im}(f)$  on  $M_n(F)$  in case  $f$  is a multilinear polynomial over  $F$ ?

What to say about  $Im(f)$  on  $M_n(F)$  in case  $f$  is a multilinear polynomial over  $F$ ?

### Lvov-Kaplansky conjecture

The image of a multilinear polynomial over  $F$  on  $M_n(F)$  is a vector space.

What to say about  $Im(f)$  on  $M_n(F)$  in case  $f$  is a multilinear polynomial over  $F$ ?

### Lvov-Kaplansky conjecture

The image of a multilinear polynomial over  $F$  on  $M_n(F)$  is a vector space.

Equivalently,

### Lvov-Kaplansky conjecture

The image of a multilinear polynomial over  $F$  on  $M_n(F)$  is  $\{0\}$ ,  $F$ ,  $sl_n(F)$  or  $M_n(F)$ .



## Some positive solutions

### Theorem

The image of a multilinear polynomial of degree 2 on  $M_n(F)$  is  $\{0\}$ ,  $sl_n(F)$  or  $M_n(F)$ .

## Some positive solutions

### Theorem

The image of a multilinear polynomial of degree 2 on  $M_n(F)$  is  $\{0\}$ ,  $sl_n(F)$  or  $M_n(F)$ .

Let  $f(x, y) = \alpha xy + \beta yx$ .

If  $\lambda = \alpha + \beta \neq 0$ , then  $A = f(\lambda^{-1}A, I_n)$ . Hence  $Im(f) = M_n(F)$ .

If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $f(x, y) = \alpha[x, y]$ . Hence  $Im(f) \in \{\{0\}, sl_n(F)\}$ .

K. Dykema, I. Klep (2016)

If  $n$  is even or  $n < 17$ , then the image of a degree three multilinear polynomial on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is  $\{0\}$ ,  $sl_n(\mathbb{C})$  or  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

### K. Dykema, I. Klep (2016)

If  $n$  is even or  $n < 17$ , then the image of a degree three multilinear polynomial on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is  $\{0\}$ ,  $sl_n(\mathbb{C})$  or  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

### A. Kanel-Belov, S. Malev, L. Rowen (2012)

Let  $F$  be a quadratically closed field and let  $f \in F\langle X \rangle$  be a multilinear polynomial. Then  $Im(f)$  on  $M_2(F)$  is  $\{0\}$ ,  $F$ ,  $sl_2(F)$  or  $M_2(F)$ .

## The strictly upper triangular matrices case

Denote by  $J = J(UT_n)$  the algebra of  $n \times n$  strictly upper triangular matrices.

Denote by  $J^m$  the  $m$ -th power of  $J$ .

## The strictly upper triangular matrices case

Denote by  $J = J(UT_n)$  the algebra of  $n \times n$  strictly upper triangular matrices.

Denote by  $J^m$  the  $m$ -th power of  $J$ .

Given a multilinear polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in F\langle X \rangle$  we want to study  $Im(f)$  on  $J$ .

## The strictly upper triangular matrices case

Denote by  $J = J(UT_n)$  the algebra of  $n \times n$  strictly upper triangular matrices.

Denote by  $J^m$  the  $m$ -th power of  $J$ .

Given a multilinear polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in F\langle X \rangle$  we want to study  $Im(f)$  on  $J$ .

Note that  $Im(f) \subset J^m$ .

Moreover  $J$  satisfies the identity  $x_1 \cdots x_n = 0$  and therefore we may assume  $m < n$  modulo  $Id(J)$ .

Our main goal is to prove that modulo  $Id(J)$  we also have  $Im(f) \supset J^m$ .



Moreover  $J$  satisfies the identity  $x_1 \cdots x_n = 0$  and therefore we may assume  $m < n$  modulo  $Id(J)$ .

Our main goal is to prove that modulo  $Id(J)$  we also have  $Im(f) \supset J^m$ . That is, we want to prove the following theorem

P. Fagundes (2019)

Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in F\langle X \rangle$  be a multilinear polynomial where  $F$  is any field. Then  $Im(f)$  on  $J$  is equal to  $J^m$  iff  $f \notin Id(J)$ .

## Sketch of the proof:

Given a matrix  $A \in J^m$ , write  $A = \sum_{i=m+1}^n A_i$ , where  $A_i$  is the  $i$ -th diagonal of  $A$ . We will show that there exist  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \in J$  such that  $A_i = f(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m)$ .

## Sketch of the proof:

Given a matrix  $A \in J^m$ , write  $A = \sum_{i=m+1}^n A_i$ , where  $A_i$  is the  $i$ -th diagonal of  $A$ . We will show that there exist  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m \in J$  such that  $A_i = f(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m)$ .

It will follow that

$$A = \sum_{i=m+1}^n A_i = \sum_{i=m+1}^n f(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m) = f\left(\sum_{i=m+1}^n B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m\right)$$

Rewrite  $f$  as  $\sum_{j=1}^m f_j$  where  $f_j$  is the sum of all monomials of  $f$  which  $j$ -th variable is equal to  $x_1$ .

Taking  $x_1^{(m+1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y_k^{(m+1)} \mathbf{e}_{k,k+1}$ ,  $x_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y_k^{(j)} \mathbf{e}_{k,k+1}$  where the  $y$ 's are commutative variables, we compute  $f(x_1^{(m+1)}, x_2, \dots, x_m)$

$$f(x_1^{(m+1)}, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-m} \left( y_k^{(m+1)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m^{(1)}} \alpha_\sigma y_{k+1}^{(\sigma(2))} \cdots y_{k+m-1}^{(\sigma(m))} \right. \\ \left. + y_{k+1}^{(m+1)} \delta_2^{(m+1)}(x_2, \dots, x_m) + \cdots + y_{k+m-1}^{(m+1)} \delta_m^{(m+1)}(x_2, \dots, x_m) \right) \mathbf{e}_{k, k+m},$$

where  $y_{k+j-1}^{(m+1)} \delta_j^{(m+1)}(x_2, \dots, x_m)$  stands for the  $(k, k+m)$  entry of the matrix  $f_j(x_1^{(m+1)}, x_2, \dots, x_m)$  and  $S_m^{(1)} = \{\sigma \in S_m; \sigma(1) = 1\}$ .

In order to find  $B_{m+1}, B_2, \dots, B_m$ , we are looking for a solution of the following system

$$y_k^{(m+1)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m^{(1)}} \alpha_\sigma y_{k+1}^{(\sigma(2))} \cdots y_{k+m-1}^{(\sigma(m))} + y_{k+1}^{(m+1)} \delta_2^{(m+1)}(x_2, \dots, x_m)$$

$$+ \cdots + y_{k+m-1}^{(m+1)} \delta_m^{(m+1)}(x_2, \dots, x_m) = a_k^{(m+1)}, \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n - m,$$

$$\text{where } A_{m+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-m} a_k^{(m+1)} \mathbf{e}_{k, k+m}$$

**Claim:** there exist evaluations of the variables  $y^{(2)}, \dots, y^{(m)}$  by elements of  $F$  such that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_m^{(1)}} \alpha_{\sigma} y_{k+1}^{(\sigma(2))} \cdots y_{k+m-1}^{(\sigma(m))}$$

is nonzero for all  $k$ .

Then one can find a solution of the previous system recursively.

**Claim:** there exist evaluations of the variables  $y^{(2)}, \dots, y^{(m)}$  by elements of  $F$  such that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_m^{(1)}} \alpha_{\sigma} y_{k+1}^{(\sigma(2))} \cdots y_{k+m-1}^{(\sigma(m))}$$

is nonzero for all  $k$ .

Then one can find a solution of the previous system recursively. In others words, we are able to realize the first nonzero diagonal of  $J^m$  as an evaluation of  $f$  on some matrices in  $J$ .



In order to define  $B_i$  we take  $x_2, \dots, x_m$  as before and

$$x_1^{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-i+m} y_k^{(i)} \mathbf{e}_{k, k+i-m}, i = m+2, \dots, n$$

In order to define  $B_i$  we take  $x_2, \dots, x_m$  as before and

$$x_1^{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-i+m} y_k^{(i)} \mathbf{e}_{k, k+i-m}, i = m+2, \dots, n$$

and then

$$f(x_1^{(i)}, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-i+1} \left( y_k^{(i)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m^{(1)}} \alpha_\sigma y_{k+i-m}^{(\sigma(2))} \cdots y_{k+i-2}^{(\sigma(m))} \right. \\ \left. + y_{k+1}^{(i)} \delta_2^{(i)}(x_2, \dots, x_m) + \cdots + y_{k+m-1}^{(i)} \delta_m^{(i)}(x_2, \dots, x_m) \right) \mathbf{e}_{k, k+i-1}.$$

In order to define  $B_i$  we take  $x_2, \dots, x_m$  as before and

$$x_1^{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-i+m} y_k^{(i)} \mathbf{e}_{k, k+i-m}, i = m+2, \dots, n$$

and then

$$f(x_1^{(i)}, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-i+1} \left( y_k^{(i)} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m^{(1)}} \alpha_\sigma y_{k+i-m}^{(\sigma(2))} \cdots y_{k+i-2}^{(\sigma(m))} \right. \\ \left. + y_{k+1}^{(i)} \delta_2^{(i)}(x_2, \dots, x_m) + \cdots + y_{k+m-1}^{(i)} \delta_m^{(i)}(x_2, \dots, x_m) \right) \mathbf{e}_{k, k+i-1}.$$

Therefore each  $A_i = f(B_i, B_2, \dots, B_m)$  as we would like to prove.

We conclude  $f(J) = J^m$ .

Therefore each  $A_i = f(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m)$  as we would like to prove.

We conclude  $f(J) = J^m$ .

P. Fagundes (2019)

Let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in F\langle X \rangle$  where  $F$  is any field. Then  $\text{Im}(f)$  on  $J^k$  is equal to  $J^{mk}$  iff  $f \notin \text{Id}(J^k)$ .

## References

- ▶ A. Albert, B. Muckenhoupt, *On matrices of trace zero*, Michigan Math. J. **4** (1957), 1–3.
- ▶ K. Dykema, I. Klep, *Instances of the Kaplansky-Lvov multilinear conjecture for polynomials of degree three*, Linear Algebra Appl. **508** (2016), 272–288.
- ▶ P. Fagundes, *The images of multilinear polynomials on strictly upper triangular matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **563** (2019), 287–301.
- ▶ I. Herstein, *On Lie and Jordan rings of a simple associative ring*, Amer. J. of Math. **77** (1955), 279–285.

- ▶ A. Kanel-Belov, S. Malev, L. Rowen, *The images non-commutative polynomials evaluated on  $2 \times 2$  matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012), 465–478.
- ▶ D. Khurana, T. Lam, *Generalized commutators in matrix rings*, Linear Multilin. Alg. **60** (2012), 797–827.
- ▶ K. Shoda, *Einige sätze über matrizen*, Jap. J. Math. **13** (1936), 361–365.

# Thank you for your attention

FAPESP grant # 2019/16994-1