

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# **Mathematica Balkanica**

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## Two-Sided Methods for Solving the Polynomial Equation

*Andrey S. Andreev, Nikolai V. Kjurkchiev*

*Presented by Bl. Sendov*

On the base of the well-known methods of Weierstrass-Dochev and Ehrlich we propose two two-sided methods with raised rate of convergence for simultaneous determination of the roots of a polynomial in the case when all the roots are real and simple.

### 1. Introduction

Let the algebraic equation

$$(1) \quad f(x) \equiv x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$$

has only simple roots. In [3] we considered the following generalization of Weierstrass-Dochev's method [1, 5],

$$(2) \quad x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k + \Delta_i^{R+1,k}, \quad \Delta_i^{p,k} = -f(x_i^k) \prod_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n (x_i^k - x_s^k - \Delta_s^{p-1,k})^{-1}, \quad \Delta_i^{0,k} = 0,$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, R+1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

for simultaneous determination of the roots of the equation (1). The rate of convergence of (2) is  $R+2$ , i. e.  $|x_i - x_i^k| \leq cq^{(R+2)k}$ ,  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $C$ —absolute constant.

### 2. Two-sided methods

In the case when the equation (1) has only simple and real roots we propose two-sided variant of (2),

$$(3) \quad \bar{x}_i^{k+1} = \bar{x}_i^k + \bar{\Delta}_i^{R+1,k}, \quad x_i^{k+1} = x_i^k + \Delta_i^{R+1,k},$$

$$\bar{\Delta}_i^{p,k} = -f(\bar{x}_i^k) \left( \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l^k - \Delta_l^{p-1,k}) \right)_{-1} \prod_{l=i+1}^n (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l^k - \bar{\Delta}_l^{p-1,k})^{-1}, \quad \bar{\Delta}_i^{0,k} = 0,$$

$$\underline{\Delta}_l^{p,k} = -f(x_l^k) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} (\underline{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_i^k - \underline{\Delta}_i^{p-1,k}) \right) \left( \prod_{i=l+1}^n (\underline{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_i^k - \bar{\Delta}_i^{p,1,k}) \right)^{-1}, \quad \underline{\Delta}_l^{0,k} = 0,$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, R+1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let us note that some other two-sided methods with quadratic and cubic rate of convergence can be found in [4].

**Theorem 1.** Let the initial approximations  $\{\bar{x}_i^0\}_{i=1}^n$ ,  $\{\underline{x}_i^0\}_{i=1}^n$  of the roots  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of the equation (1) satisfy the conditions  $\underline{x}_1^0 \leq x_1 \leq \bar{x}_1^0 < \underline{x}_2^0 \leq x_2 \leq \bar{x}_2^0 < \dots < \underline{x}_n^0 \leq x_n \leq \bar{x}_n^0$ . Then the method (3) gives  $\underline{x}_1^k \leq x_1 \leq \bar{x}_1^k < \underline{x}_2^k \leq x_2 \leq \bar{x}_2^k < \dots < \underline{x}_n^k \leq x_n \leq \bar{x}_n^k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

**Proof.** We shall prove the theorem by induction. When  $k=0$  the assertion holds. Let us assume that the theorem is true for some  $k$  and from this assumption we shall get the theorem for  $k+1$ . First we prove

$$(4) \quad 0 \leq \underline{\Delta}_l^{p,k} \leq x_l - \underline{x}_l^k, \quad x_l - \bar{x}_l^k \leq \bar{\Delta}_l^{p,k} \leq 0, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, R+1, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Indeed  $\underline{\Delta}_l^{0,k} = \bar{\Delta}_l^{0,k} = 0$ , and if (4) is valid for some  $p$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Delta}_l^{p+1,k} &= -(x_l^k - x_l) \prod_{s=1}^{l-1} (x_l^k - x_s) (x_l^k - \underline{x}_s^k - \underline{\Delta}_s^{p,k})^{-1} \prod_{s=l+1}^n (x_l^k - x_s) (x_l^k \\ &\quad - \bar{x}_s^k - \bar{\Delta}_s^{p,k})^{-1} = (x_l - \underline{x}_l^k) \prod_{s=1}^{l-1} (x_l^k - x_s) (x_l^k - x_s + x_s \\ &\quad - x_s^k - \underline{\Delta}_s^{p,k})^{-1} \prod_{s=l+1}^n (x_l^k - x_s) (x_l^k - x_s + x_s - \bar{x}_s^k - \bar{\Delta}_s^{p,k})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The above equality gives  $0 \leq \underline{\Delta}_l^{p+1,k} \leq x_l - \underline{x}_l^k$ , as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \prod_{s=1}^{l-1} (x_l^k - x_s) (x_l^k - x_s + x_s - x_s^k - \underline{\Delta}_s^{p,k})^{-1} \leq 1, \\ 0 &\leq \prod_{s=l+1}^n (x_l^k - x_s) (x_l^k - x_s + x_s - \bar{x}_s^k - \bar{\Delta}_s^{p,k})^{-1} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously  $x_l - \bar{x}_l^k \leq \bar{\Delta}_l^{p+1,k} \leq 0$ . So the inequalities (4) are proved.

Now using (3) and (4), we obtain

$$\bar{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i = (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i) \left( 1 - \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l + x_l - \underline{x}_l^k) \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\underline{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=i+1}^n (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i + x_l - \bar{x}_i^k - \bar{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} \geq 0, \\
& \underline{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i = (\underline{x}_i^k - x_i) \left( 1 - \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} (\underline{x}_i^k - x_l) (\underline{x}_i^k - x_i + x_l - \underline{x}_i^k) \right) \\
& - \underline{\Delta}_i^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=i+1}^n (x_i^k - x_l) (x_i^k - x_i + x_l - \bar{x}_i^k - \bar{\Delta}_i^{R,k})^{-1} \leq 0 \\
& \bar{x}_{i+1}^{k+1} - \bar{x}_i^{k+1} = \underline{x}_{i+1}^k - \bar{x}_i^k - (\underline{x}_{i+1}^k - x_{i+1}) \prod_{l=1}^i (\underline{x}_{i+1}^k - x_l) (\underline{x}_{i+1}^k - x_i + x_l) \\
& - \underline{x}_i^k - \underline{\Delta}_i^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=i+2}^n (x_{i+1}^k - x_l) (x_{i+1}^k - x_i + x_l - \bar{x}_i^k - \bar{\Delta}_i^{R,k})^{-1} \\
& + (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i) \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i + x_l - \underline{x}_i^k - \underline{\Delta}_i^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=i+1}^n (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k \\
& - x_i + x_l - \bar{x}_i^k - \bar{\Delta}_i^{R,k})^{-1} \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

The theorem is proved.

The next theorem gives the order of convergence of the suggested method.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $d = \min_{i \neq j} |x_i - x_j|$  and the constant  $c$  satisfies  $0 < c \leq d/(2n^2 + 1)$ . If the initial approximations  $\{\underline{x}_i^0\}_{i=1}^n$ ,  $\{\bar{x}_i^0\}_{i=1}^n$  of the roots  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of the equation (1) satisfy  $0 \leq x_i - \underline{x}_i^0 \leq cq$ ,  $0 \leq \bar{x}_i^0 - x_i \leq cq$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $0 \leq \underline{x}_{i+1}^0 - \bar{x}_i^0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  then for every  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$0 \leq \bar{x}_i^k - x_i \leq cq^{(R+2)^k}, \quad 0 \leq x_i - \underline{x}_i^k \leq cq^{(R+2)^k}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

**Proof.** Again we shall prove the theorem by induction. Obviously the theorem is true for  $k = 0$ . Let us assume the theorem is true for some  $k$ . Then

$$(5) \quad \bar{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i = (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i) \left( 1 - \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_i^k \right.$$

$$\left. - \underline{\Delta}_i^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=i+1}^n (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_i^k - \bar{\Delta}_i^{R,k})^{-1} \right) = (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i) (1 - \bar{A}_{i,R}^k \bar{B}_{i,R}^k),$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_i - \underline{x}_i^{k+1} &= (x_i - \underline{x}_i^k) \left(1 - \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_i^k - \underline{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=i+1}^n (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_l^k - \bar{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1}\right) \\ &\quad = (x_i - \underline{x}_i^k) (1 - \underline{A}_{i,R}^k \underline{B}_{i,R}^k). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\bar{A}_{i,R}^k, \bar{B}_{i,R}^k, \underline{A}_{i,R}^k, \underline{B}_{i,R}^k$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \bar{A}_{i,R}^k &= (\bar{x}_i^k - x_{i-1}) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_{i-1}^k - \underline{\Delta}_{i-1}^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=1}^{i-1} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_l^k - \underline{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} \\ &\quad - \prod_{l=1}^{i-2} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_l^k - \underline{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} + \prod_{l=1}^{i-2} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_l^k - \underline{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{l=1}^{i-2} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_l^k - \underline{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} + (\underline{x}_{i-1}^k - x_{i-1} + \underline{\Delta}_{i-1}^{R,k}) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_{i-1}^k \\ &\quad - \underline{\Delta}_{i-1}^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=1}^{i-2} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_l^k - \underline{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} = \dots = 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} (\underline{x}_p^k - x_p - \underline{\Delta}_p^{R,k}) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_p^k \\ &\quad - \underline{\Delta}_p^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=1}^{p-1} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_l^k - \underline{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} = 1 + \bar{M}_{i,R}^k; \\ \bar{B}_{i,R}^k &= 1 + \sum_{p=i+1}^n (\bar{x}_p^k - x_p - \bar{\Delta}_p^{R,k}) (\bar{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_p^k - \bar{\Delta}_p^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=p+1}^n (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_l^k - \bar{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} \\ &\quad = 1 + \bar{N}_{i,R}^k, \\ \underline{A}_{i,R}^k &= 1 + \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} (\underline{x}_p^k - x_p - \underline{\Delta}_p^{R,k}) (\underline{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_p^k - \underline{\Delta}_p^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=1}^{p-1} (\underline{x}_i^k - x_l) (\underline{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_l^k - \underline{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} = 1 + \underline{M}_{i,R}^k. \\ \underline{B}_{i,R}^k &= 1 + \sum_{p=i+1}^n (\underline{x}_p^k - x_p - \bar{\Delta}_p^{R,k}) (\underline{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_p^k - \bar{\Delta}_p^{R,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=p+1}^n (\underline{x}_i^k - x_l) (\underline{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_l^k - \bar{\Delta}_l^{R,k})^{-1} = 1 + \underline{N}_{i,R}^k. \end{aligned}$$

In above notations we set  $\underline{A}_{1,p}^k = \bar{A}_{1,p}^k = \underline{B}_{n,p}^k = \bar{B}_{n,p}^k = 1$ ,  $\underline{M}_{1,p}^k = \bar{M}_{1,p}^k = \underline{N}_{n,p}^k = \bar{N}_{n,p}^k = 0$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, R$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

It follows from (5) that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i &= (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i) (-\bar{M}_{i,R}^k - \bar{N}_{i,R}^k - \bar{M}_{i,R}^k \bar{N}_{i,R}^k), \\ x_i - \underline{x}_i^{k+1} &= (x_i - \underline{x}_i^k) (-\underline{M}_{i,R}^k - \underline{N}_{i,R}^k - \underline{M}_{i,R}^k \underline{N}_{i,R}^k). \end{aligned}$$

From the definition we have

(8)  $-1 \leq M_{i,p}^k \leq 0, -1 \leq \bar{M}_{i,p}^k \leq 0, -1 \leq N_{i,p}^k \leq 0, -1 \leq \bar{N}_{i,p}^k \leq 0,$   
 $p=0, 1, 2, \dots, R, i=1, 2, \dots, n.$  For example

$$\bar{M}_{i,p}^k = \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} (\underline{x}_s^k - x_s + \underline{\Delta}_s^{p,k}) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_s^k - \underline{\Delta}_s^{p,k})^{-1} \prod_{l=1}^{s-1} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_l^k - \underline{\Delta}_l^{p,k})^{-1}$$

and in view of (4) sign  $((\underline{x}_s^k - x_s + \underline{\Delta}_s^{p,k}) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_s^k - \underline{\Delta}_s^{p,k})^{-1}) = -1, 0 < ((\bar{x}_i^k - x_l) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_l^k - \underline{\Delta}_l^{p,k})^{-1}) < 1, l < s < i.$  On the other side

$$|\bar{M}_{i,p}^k| \leq \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} |(\underline{x}_s^k - x_s + \underline{\Delta}_s^{p,k}) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_s^k - \underline{\Delta}_s^{p,k})^{-1}| \leq \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} (x_s - \underline{x}_s^k) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_s^k)^{-1} \\ = \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} (x_s - \underline{x}_s^k) (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i + x_i - x_s + x_s - \underline{x}_s^k)^{-1} \leq \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} d^{-1} c q^{(R+2)k} \leq d^{-1} n c q < 1. \text{ In similar way the other inequalities in (8) can be proved. Now}$$

$$(9) \quad \bar{M}_{i,R}^k = \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_s^k - \underline{\Delta}_s^{R,k})^{-1} [x_s^k - x_s - (\underline{x}_s^k - x_s) \prod_{t=1}^{s-1} (\underline{x}_t^k - x_t) \\ - x_t) (\underline{x}_s^k - \underline{x}_t^k - \underline{\Delta}_t^{R-1,k})^{-1} \prod_{t=s+1}^n (\underline{x}_s^k - x_t) (\underline{x}_s^k - \bar{x}_t^k - \bar{\Delta}_t^{R-1,k})^{-1}] \prod_{p=1}^{s-1} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_p) \\ - x_p) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_p^k - \underline{\Delta}_p^{R,k})^{-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} ((\underline{x}_s^k - x_s) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_s^k - \underline{\Delta}_s^{R,k})^{-1}) (1 \\ - A_{s,R-1}^k B_{s,R-1}^k) \prod_{p=1}^{s-1} (\bar{x}_i^k - x_p) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_p^k - \underline{\Delta}_p^{R,k})^{-1}, \\ \bar{N}_{i,R}^k = \sum_{s=i+1}^n ((\bar{x}_s^k - x_s) (\bar{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_s^k - \bar{\Delta}_s^{R,k})^{-1}) (1 \\ - \bar{A}_{s,R-1}^k \bar{B}_{s,R-1}^k) \prod_{p=s+1}^n (\bar{x}_i^k - x_p) (\bar{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_p^k - \bar{\Delta}_p^{R,k})^{-1}, \\ \bar{M}_{i,R}^k = \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} ((\underline{x}_s^k - x_s) (\underline{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_s^k - \underline{\Delta}_s^{R,k})^{-1}) (1 \\ - A_{s,R-1}^k B_{s,R-1}^k) \prod_{p=1}^{s-1} (\underline{x}_i^k - x_p) (\underline{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_p^k - \underline{\Delta}_p^{R,k})^{-1}, \\ \bar{N}_{i,R}^k = \sum_{s=i+1}^n ((\bar{x}_s^k - x_s) (\underline{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_s^k - \bar{\Delta}_s^{R,k})^{-1}) (1 - \bar{A}_{s,R-1}^k \bar{B}_{s,R-1}^k) \\ \prod_{p=s+1}^n (\underline{x}_i^k - x_p) (\underline{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_p^k - \bar{\Delta}_p^{R,k})^{-1},$$

and if we set

$$(10) \quad \bar{S}_{i,p}^k = \bar{A}_{i,p}^k \bar{B}_{i,p}^k, \quad S_{i,p}^k = A_{i,p}^k B_{i,p}^k,$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, R$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , then from (5) and (10) it follows

$$(11) \quad \bar{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i = (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i) (1 - \bar{S}_{i,R}^k), \quad x_i - \underline{x}_i^{k+1} = (x_i - \underline{x}_i^k) (1 - \underline{S}_{i,R}^k).$$

It is easy to find from (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\bar{M}_{i,t}^k| &\leq (d-c)^{-1} ncq^{(R+2)^k} \max_{1 \leq p \leq n} |1 - \underline{S}_{p,t-1}^k|, \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\bar{N}_{i,t}^k| &\leq (d-c)^{-1} ncq^{(R+2)^k} \max_{1 \leq p \leq n} |1 - \bar{S}_{p,t-1}^k|, \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |M_{i,t}^k| &\leq (d-c)^{-1} ncq^{(R+2)^k} \max_{1 \leq p \leq n_k} |1 - \underline{S}_{p,t-1}^k|, \\ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |N_{i,t}^k| &\leq (d-c)^{-1} ncq^{(R+2)^k} \max_{1 \leq p \leq n} |1 - \bar{S}_{p,t-1}^k| \end{aligned}$$

and from the last inequalities, (6) and (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad |1 - \bar{S}_{i,p}^k| &= |1 - \bar{A}_{i,p}^k \bar{B}_{i,p}^k| \leq |\bar{M}_{i,p}^k| + |\bar{N}_{i,p}^k| \\ &\leq (d-c)^{-1} ncq^{(R+2)^k} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} |1 - \underline{S}_{s,p-1}^k| + \sum_{s=i+1}^n |1 - \bar{S}_{s,p-1}^k| \right), \\ |1 - \underline{S}_{i,p}^k| &= |1 - A_{i,p}^k B_{i,p}^k| \leq (d-c)^{-1} ncq^{(R+2)^k} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{i-1} |1 - \underline{S}_{s,p-1}^k| + \sum_{s=i+1}^n |1 - \bar{S}_{s,p-1}^k| \right) \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\|1 - \bar{S}_p^k\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |1 - \bar{S}_{i,p}^k|$ ,  $\|1 - \underline{S}_p^k\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |1 - \underline{S}_{i,p}^k|$  for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, R$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , it follows from (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \|1 - \bar{S}_p^k\| &\leq (d-c)^{-1} n^2 c q^{(R+2)^k} (\|1 - \underline{S}_{p-1}^k\| + \|1 - \bar{S}_{p-1}^k\|), \\ \|1 - \underline{S}_p^k\| &\leq (d-c)^{-1} n^2 c q^{(R+2)^k} (\|1 - \underline{S}_{p-1}^k\| + \|1 - \bar{S}_{p-1}^k\|) \end{aligned}$$

and under the assumption that  $n^2 c / (d-c) \leq 1/2$  the above inequalities give

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad \|1 - \bar{S}_p^k\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} q^{(R+2)^k} (\|1 - \underline{S}_{p-1}^k\| + \|1 - \bar{S}_{p-1}^k\|), \\ \|1 - \underline{S}_p^k\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} q^{(R+2)^k} (\|1 - \underline{S}_{p-1}^k\| + \|1 - \bar{S}_{p-1}^k\|). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\|1 - \bar{S}_0^k\| \leq q^{(R+2)^k}$ ,  $\|1 - \underline{S}_0^k\| \leq q^{(R+2)^k}$ , applying recursively (13), we have

$$\|1 - \bar{S}_R^k\| \leq 2^{-1} q^{2(R+2)^k} (\|1 - \underline{S}_{R-2}^k\| + \|1 - \bar{S}_{R-2}^k\|)$$

$$\leq \dots \leq 2^{-1} q^{R(R+2)^k} (\|1 - \bar{S}_0^k\| + \|1 - \underline{S}_0^k\|) \leq q^{(R+1)(R+2)^k}$$

and analogously  $\|1 - \bar{S}_R^k\| \leq q^{(R+1)(R+2)^k}$ .

The last two inequalities and (11) give

$$0 \leq \bar{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i \leq cq^{(R+2)^k} q^{(R+1)(R+2)^k} = cq^{(R+2)^{k+1}},$$

$$0 \leq x_i - \underline{x}_i^{k+1} \leq cq^{(R+2)^k} \cdot q^{(R+1)(R+2)^k} = cq^{(R+2)^{k+1}}.$$

The theorem is proved.

The Ehrlich's method, [2], and its generalisation can be used for obtaining another two-sided method for simultaneous determination of the roots of the equation (1):

$$\bar{x}_i^{k+1} = \bar{x}_i^k + \bar{\Delta}_i^{R+1,k}, \quad \underline{x}_i^{k+1} = \underline{x}_i^k + \underline{\Delta}_i^{R+1,k},$$

$$(14) \quad \bar{\Delta}_i^{p,k} = -f(\bar{x}_i^k)/(f'(\bar{x}_i^k) - f(\bar{x}_i^k)) \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i}}^n (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_l^k - \underline{\Delta}_l^{p-1,k})^{-1},$$

$$\underline{\Delta}_i^{p,k} = -f(\underline{x}_i^k)/(f'(\underline{x}_i^k) - f(\underline{x}_i^k)) \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i}}^n (\underline{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_l^k - \bar{\Delta}_l^{p-1,k})^{-1},$$

$$\bar{\Delta}_i^{0,k} = \underline{\Delta}_i^{0,k} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad p = 1, \dots, R+1, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

**Theorem 3.** Under the conditions in Theorem 1 the method (14) gives

$$\underline{x}_1^k \leq x_1 \leq \bar{x}_1^k < \underline{x}_2^k \leq x_2 \leq \bar{x}_2^k < \dots < \underline{x}_n^k \leq x_n \leq \bar{x}_n^k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

**P r o o f.** When  $k=0$  the assertion holds true. Let it be true for some  $k$ . We shall prove it is true for  $k+1$ . It follows from (14)

$$(15) \quad \bar{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i = (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i) (1 - \bar{A}_i^{R,k}),$$

$$\underline{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i = (\underline{x}_i^k - x_i) (1 - \underline{A}_i^{R,k}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$(16) \quad \bar{\Delta}_i^{p+1,k} = (x_i - \bar{x}_i^k) \bar{A}_i^{p,k}, \quad \underline{\Delta}_i^{p+1,k} = (x_i - \underline{x}_i^k) \underline{A}_i^{p,k}, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, R,$$

where

$$\bar{A}_i^{p,k} = 1/(1 + (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i) \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n (x_s - \underline{x}_s^k - \underline{\Delta}_s^{p,k}) / (\bar{x}_i^k - x_s) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_s^k - \underline{\Delta}_s^{p,k})),$$

$$(17) \quad \underline{A}_i^{p,k} = 1/(1 + (\underline{x}_i^k - x_i) \sum_{\substack{s=1 \\ s \neq i}}^n (x_s - \bar{x}_s^k - \bar{\Delta}_s^{p,k}) / (\underline{x}_i^k - x_s) (\underline{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_s^k - \bar{\Delta}_s^{p,k})),$$

$$p = 1, \dots, R.$$

Since  $\bar{\Delta}_i^{0,k} = \underline{\Delta}_i^{0,k} = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , (16) and (17) give

$$(18) \quad 0 \leq A_i^{p,k} \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \bar{A}_i^{p,k} \leq 1,$$

$$(19) \quad 0 \leq \bar{\Delta}_i^{p,k} \leq x_i - \bar{x}_i^k, \quad 0 \leq \underline{\Delta}_i^{p,k} \leq x_i - \underline{x}_i^k,$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, R$ .

For  $k+1$  the assertion of the theorem follows from (15) and (18).

**Theorem 4.** Let  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $d = \min_{i \neq j} |x_i - x_j|$  and the constant  $c$  is chosen so that

$$(20) \quad 0 < c < d/(3 + \sqrt{n}).$$

If the initial approximations  $\{\underline{x}_i^0\}_{i=1}^n$ ,  $\{\bar{x}_i^0\}_{i=1}^n$  of the roots  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of the equation (1) satisfy  $0 \leq x_i - \underline{x}_i^0 \leq cq$ ,  $0 \leq \bar{x}_i^0 - x_i \leq cq$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\bar{x}_i^0 \leq \underline{x}_{i+1}^0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , and we apply the method (14), then for every  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , the following inequalities

$$(21) \quad 0 \leq \bar{x}_i^k - x_i \leq cq^{(2R+3)^k}, \quad 0 \leq x_i - \underline{x}_i^k \leq cq^{(2R+3)^k}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

hold true.

**P r o o f.** Again we shall prove the theorem by induction. Under the assumptions the theorem is valid for  $k=0$ . Let (21) be true for some . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i &= (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i) (1 - A_i^{R,k}) = (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i)^2 A_i^{R,k} \sum_{\substack{l_0=1 \\ l_0 \neq i}}^n (x_{l_0} - \underline{x}_{l_0}^k - \Delta_{l_0}^{R,k}) / (\bar{x}_i^k - x_{l_0}) \\ &\quad - x_{l_0}) (\bar{x}_i^k - \bar{x}_{l_0}^k - \Delta_{l_0}^{R,k}) \end{aligned}$$

From the last equality and (16) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i &= (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i)^2 A_i^{R,k} \sum_{\substack{l_0=1 \\ l_0 \neq i}}^n (x_{l_0} - \bar{x}_{l_0}^k) (1 - \bar{A}_{l_0}^{R-1,k}) / (\bar{x}_i^k - x_{l_0}) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_{l_0}^k - \Delta_{l_0}^{R,k}) \\ &= (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i)^2 A_i^{R,k} \sum_{\substack{l_0=1 \\ l_0 \neq i}}^n (x_{l_0} - \bar{x}_{l_0}^k)^2 \bar{A}_{l_0}^{R-1,k} / (x_{l_0} - \bar{x}_i^k) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_{l_0}^k - \Delta_{l_0}^{R,k}) \\ &\quad \sum_{\substack{l_1=1 \\ l_1 \neq i}}^n (x_{l_1} - \bar{x}_{l_1}^k - \bar{\Delta}_{l_1}^{R-1,k}) / (\underline{x}_{l_0}^k - x_{l_1}) (\underline{x}_{l_0}^k - \bar{x}_{l_1}^k - \bar{\Delta}_{l_1}^{R-1,k}). \end{aligned}$$

We can continue to lower the index  $R$  in the above expression and shall obtain: if  $R$  is even—

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i &= (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i)^2 \mathcal{A}_i^{R,k} \sum_{\substack{l_0=1 \\ l_0 \neq i}}^n (x_{l_0} - \bar{x}_{l_0}^k)^2 \bar{A}_{l_0}^{R-1,k} / (x_{l_0} - \bar{x}_i^k) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_{l_0}^k - \Delta_{l_0}^{R,k}) \dots \\
&\dots \sum_{\substack{l_{R-1}=1 \\ l_{R-1} \neq l_R}}^n (x_{l_{R-1}} - \bar{x}_{l_{R-1}}^k)^2 \mathcal{A}_{l_{R-1}}^{0,k} / (x_{l_{R-1}} - \underline{x}_{l_{R-2}}^k) (\underline{x}_{l_{R-2}}^k - \bar{x}_{l_{R-1}}^k - \Delta_{l_{R-1}}^{1,k}) \\
&\quad \sum_{\substack{l_R=1 \\ l_R \neq l_{R-1}}}^n (\bar{x}_{l_R} - \underline{x}_{l_R}^k) / (\bar{x}_{l_{R-1}}^k - x_{l_R}) (\bar{x}_{l_{R-1}}^k - \underline{x}_{l_R}^k);
\end{aligned}$$

if R is odd —

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i &= (\bar{x}_i^k - x_i)^2 \mathcal{A}_i^{R,k} \sum_{\substack{l_0=1 \\ l_0 \neq i}}^n (x_{l_0} - \bar{x}_{l_0}^k)^2 \bar{A}_{l_0}^{R-1,k} / (x_{l_0} - \bar{x}_i^k) (\bar{x}_i^k - \underline{x}_{l_0}^k - \Delta_{l_0}^{R,k}) \dots \\
&\dots \sum_{\substack{l_{R-1}=1 \\ l_{R-1} \neq l_R}}^n (x_{l_{R-1}} - \underline{x}_{l_{R-1}}^k)^2 \bar{A}_{l_{R-1}}^{0,k} / (x_{l_{R-1}} - \bar{x}_{l_{R-2}}^k) (\bar{x}_{l_{R-2}}^k - \underline{x}_{l_{R-1}}^k \\
&\quad - \Delta_{l_{R-1}}^{1,k}) \sum_{\substack{l_R=1 \\ l_R \neq l_{R-1}}}^n (x_{l_R} - \bar{x}_{l_R}^k) / (\underline{x}_{l_{R-1}}^k - x_{l_R}) (\underline{x}_{l_{R-1}}^k - \bar{x}_{l_R}^k).
\end{aligned}$$

We can get similar expressions for  $x_i - \underline{x}_i^{k+1}$  and from (18), (19), (20), above inequalities,  $\min \{ |x_\mu - \bar{x}_v^k|, |x_\mu - \underline{x}_v^k|, |\bar{x}_v^k - \underline{x}_\mu^k - \Delta_\mu^{p,k}|, |\underline{x}_v^k - \bar{x}_\mu^k - \Delta_\mu^{p,k}| \} > d - 3c$  and the inductive assumption it follows  
 $\bar{x}_i^{k+1} - x_i \leq (cq^{2R+1})^{2+2R+1} ((d-3c)^{-2} n)^{R+1} \leq cq^{(2R+3)k+1}$ , and analogously  
 $x_i - \underline{x}_i^{k+1} \leq cq^{(2R+3)k+1}$ . The theorem is proved.

### 3. Computer experiments.

It is well known that computer realization of usual Weierstrass – Dochev's method has a perfect numerical properties. The numerical experiments show two-sided method (3) preserves these nice properties.

For the algebraic equation  $x^4 - 26x^3 + 131x^2 - 226x + 120 = 0$  with roots  $x_1 = 1$ ,  $x_2 = 2$ ,  $x_3 = 3$ ,  $x_4 = 20$  we take the following initial approximations from below  $\underline{x}_1^0 = 0.85$ ,  $\underline{x}_2^0 = 1.95$ ,  $\underline{x}_3^0 = 2.75$ ,  $\underline{x}_4^0 = 19.05$  and from above  $\bar{x}_1^0 = 1.25$ ,  $\bar{x}_2^0 = 2.35$ ,  $\bar{x}_3^0 = 3.15$ ,  $\bar{x}_4^0 = 20.55$ . Using different R, we apply the method (3) and obtain the following results (all evaluations are made with double precision accuracy on a computer EC 1040).

$1.R=0$

$$\underline{x}_1^1 = .954498730964467 \quad \bar{x}_1^1 = 1.097476417433123$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{x}_2^1 = 1.986666819403708 & \bar{x}_2^1 = 2.101796875000002 \\
\underline{x}_3^1 = 2.959201517223533 & \bar{x}_3^1 = 3.019872485632187 \\
\underline{x}_4^1 = 19.975007233308580 & \bar{x}_4^1 = 20.013300348490290 \\
\\
\underline{x}_1^2 = .995535173061370 & \bar{x}_1^2 = 1.010846577210582 \\
\underline{x}_2^2 = 1.999157864998096 & \bar{x}_2^2 = 2.006224320591363 \\
\underline{x}_3^2 = 2.998496675695452 & \bar{x}_3^2 = 3.000703614301403 \\
\underline{x}_4^2 = 19.999861994103510 & \bar{x}_4^2 = 20.000073286359330 \\
\\
\underline{x}_1^3 = .999970929234721 & \bar{x}_1^3 = 1.000071679038885 \\
\underline{x}_2^3 = 1.999995661182392 & \bar{x}_2^3 = 2.000031906198809 \\
\underline{x}_3^3 = 2.999995378444715 & \bar{x}_3^3 = 3.000002160039772 \\
\underline{x}_4^3 = 19.99999948922760 & \bar{x}_4^3 = 20.000000027123570 \\
\\
\underline{x}_1^4 = .999999999041082 & \bar{x}_1^4 = 1.000000002364611 \\
\underline{x}_2^4 = 1.99999999864488 & \bar{x}_2^4 = 2.000000000996431 \\
\underline{x}_3^4 = 2.99999999912770 & \bar{x}_3^4 = 3.000000000040781 \\
\underline{x}_4^4 = 19.99999999999880 & \bar{x}_4^4 = 20.000000000000000 \\
\\
\underline{x}_1^5 = .999999999999999 & \bar{x}_1^5 = 1.000000000000000 \\
\underline{x}_2^5 = 1.999999999999988 & \bar{x}_2^5 = 2.000000000000000 \\
\underline{x}_3^5 = 2.999999999999992 & \bar{x}_3^5 = 3.000000000000003 \\
\underline{x}_4^5 = 19.99999999999930 & \bar{x}_4^5 = 20.000000000000000
\end{array}$$

2.R = 2

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{x}_1^1 = .996863689949065 & \bar{x}_1^1 = 1.007748553858683 \\
\underline{x}_2^1 = 1.999059323257024 & \bar{x}_2^1 = 2.006132889928764 \\
\underline{x}_3^1 = 2.996980358857402 & \bar{x}_3^1 = 3.001380135752327 \\
\underline{x}_4^1 = 19.998471841811670 & \bar{x}_4^1 = 20.000813263325410 \\
\\
\underline{x}_1^2 = .999999999492591 & \bar{x}_1^2 = 1.000000001266907 \\
\underline{x}_2^2 = 1.99999999893418 & \bar{x}_2^2 = 2.000000000691742 \\
\underline{x}_3^2 = 2.99999999788932 & \bar{x}_3^2 = 3.00000000096181 \\
\underline{x}_4^2 = 19.99999999986450 & \bar{x}_4^2 = 20.000000000007150 \\
\\
\underline{x}_1^3 = .999999999999999 & \bar{x}_1^3 = .999999999999999 \\
\underline{x}_2^3 = 1.999999999999995 & \bar{x}_2^3 = 1.999999999999988 \\
\underline{x}_3^3 = 2.999999999999998 & \bar{x}_3^3 = 3.000000000000003 \\
\underline{x}_4^3 = 19.99999999999930 & \bar{x}_4^3 = 20.000000000000000
\end{array}$$

3.R = 4

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \underline{x}_1^1 = .999769968713488 & \bar{x}_1^1 = 1.000573714993205 \\
 \underline{x}_2^1 = 1.999935655151968 & \bar{x}_2^1 = 2.000423999985037 \\
 \underline{x}_3^1 = 2.999791433154968 & \bar{x}_3^1 = 3.000094748332660 \\
 \underline{x}_4^1 = 19.999894150372310 & \bar{x}_4^1 = 20.000056317671060 \\
 \\ 
 \underline{x}_1^2 = .999999999999999 & \bar{x}_1^2 = 1.000000000000000 \\
 \underline{x}_2^2 = 1.999999999999993 & \bar{x}_2^2 = 1.999999999999988 \\
 \underline{x}_3^2 = 3.000000000000003 & \bar{x}_3^2 = 3.000000000000007 \\
 \underline{x}_4^2 = 19.999999999999930 & \bar{x}_4^2 = 20.000000000000000
 \end{array}$$

## References

1. K. D o c h e v. A modified Newton method for simultaneous approximate calculation of all roots of given algebraic equation. *Phys. -Math. J.*, **5**, 1962, 136-139 (in Bulgarian).
2. L. E h r l i c h. A modified Newton method for Polynomials. *Comm. ACM*, **10**, 1967, 107-108.
3. N. K j u r k c h i e v , A . A n d r e e v. A modification of Weierstrass—Dochev's method with rate of convergence  $R+2$  for simultaneous determination of the zeroes of a polynomial. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **38**, 1985, 1461-1463 (in Russian).
4. N. K j u r k c h i e v , S . M a r k o v. Two interval methods for algebraic equations with real roots. *Pliska*, **5**, 1983, 118-131.
5. K. W e i e r s t r a s s. Neuer Beweis des Satzes, daß jede rationale Funktion einer Veränderlichen dargestellt werden kann als ein Product aus linearen Functionen derselben Veränderlichen. - In: *Ges. Werke*, Bd. **3**, 1903, 251-269.

*Institute of Mathematics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
1090 Sofia, P. O. Box 373  
BULGARIA*

*Received 22.05.1986*