

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

On a Theorem of Ju. Brudnyi

Blagovest H. Sendov

In 1970 Ju. A. Brudnyi proved the following theorem [1], which is widely used in approximation theory.

Theorem 1 (Ju. Brudnyi). *Let f be continuous in $[0, 1]$ and let n be a prescribed natural number. Then there exists a family of functions $\{f_h: 0 < h \leq 1/n\}$ such that*

$$(1) \quad \|f - f_h\| \leq A_n \omega_n(f; h),$$

$$(2) \quad \|f_h^{(n)}\| \leq B_n h^{-n} \omega_n(f; h),$$

where A_n and B_n depend only on n .

Here ω denotes the modulus of continuity:

$$(3) \quad \omega_n(f; \delta) := \sup \{ |\Delta_h^n f(t)| : t, t + nh \in [0, 1]; |h| \leq \delta \}$$

with Δ_h^n the n -th difference with step size h :

$$(4) \quad \Delta_h^n f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} f(x + ih).$$

The norm in (1) and (2) is the uniform norm. In fact Theorem 1 holds for integral norms [1] as well, but we will confine ourselves to the uniform norm case.

The purpose of the present paper is to find the estimates of the constants A_n and B_n .

1. An Estimate of B_n when $A_n = 1$

We will use the modified Steklov's function [2]:

$$S_{n,h}(f; x) = h^{-n} \int_0^h \dots \int_0^h f(x - nhx + t_1 + \dots + t_n) dt_1, \dots, dt_n.$$

It is directly seen, that if f is given on the segment $[0, 1]$, then $S_{n,h}(f)$ is defined on $[0, 1]$, when $h \in (0, 1/n]$, as well. It can be easily checked, that following equalities hold

$$(5) \quad S_{n,\theta h}(f; x) = h^{-n} \int_0^h \dots \int_0^h f(x + \theta(-nhx + t_1 + \dots + t_n)) dt_1 \dots dt_n$$

for $\theta \in [0, 1]$, $S_{n,0}(f; x) = f(x)$ and

$$(6) \quad S_{n,h}^{(n)} = h^{-n} (1 - nh)^n \Delta_h^n f(x - nhx).$$

Theorem 2. *Let the function f be bounded and integrable on the segment $[0, 1]$. Then for every natural n and for every $h \in (0, 1/n]$, there exists a function f_h , defined on the segment $[0, 1]$ with bounded n -th derivative, for which the following estimates hold*

$$(7) \quad \|f - f_h\| \leq \omega_n(f; h),$$

$$(8) \quad \|f_h^{(n)}\| \leq (n+1)n^n h^{-n} \omega_n(f; h).$$

Proof. Denote

$$(9) \quad f_h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \binom{n}{i} S_{n,ih/n}(f; x).$$

Using (5) and (9), taking into account, that $S_{n,0}(f; x) = f(x)$, we obtain

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x) - f_h(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} S_{n,ih/n}(f; x) \\ &= h^{-n} \int_0^h \dots \int_0^h \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} f(x + \frac{i}{n}(-nhx + t_1 + \dots + t_n)) dt_1 \dots dt_n \\ &= h^{-n} \int_0^h \dots \int_0^h (-1)^n \Delta_\xi^n f(x) dt_1 \dots dt_n, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \xi = \frac{1}{n}(-nhx + t_1 + \dots + t_n); \quad -h \leq \xi \leq h.$$

From (10) it follows that

$$|f(x) - f_h(x)| \leq \sup \{ |\Delta_\xi^n f(x)| : |\xi| \leq h \} = \omega_n(f; h),$$

thus (7) is proved.

From (9) and (6) we compute

$$-f_h^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} \binom{n}{i} \frac{n^n}{i^n h^n} (1 - ih)^n \Delta_{ih/n}^n f(x - ihx)$$

and therefore

$$\|f_h^{(n)}\| \leq h^{-n} \omega_n(f; h) n^n \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} i^{-n} \leq (n+1)n^n h^{-n} \omega_n(f; h),$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} i^{-n} \leq n+1$. \square

Corollary 1. *In Theorem 1 one can take $A_n=1$, $B_n=(n+1)n^n$.*

2. Estimates of A_n when $B_n=1$

Let us show first, that B_n in Theorem 1 can not be less than 1. Suppose the converse, that for every continuous function f and for every natural number n , for every choice of $h \in (0, 1/n]$ there exists a function f_h , for which

$$\|f-f_h\| \leq A_n \omega_n(f; h) \text{ and } \|f_h^{(n)}\| \leq \theta h^{-1} \omega_n(f; h),$$

where $0 \leq \theta < 1$.

Consider $f(x) = x^n/n!$. Then for every $h \in (0, 1/n]$ a function f_h exists, for which

$$(11) \quad \left| \frac{x^n}{n!} - f_h(x) \right| \leq A_n h^n$$

and

$$(12) \quad |f_h^{(n)}(x)| \leq \theta < 1.$$

From (11) we obtain

$$(13) \quad \left| \frac{\Delta_\tau^n}{\tau^n} \left(\frac{x^n}{n!} - f_h(x) \right) \right| = |1 - f_h^{(n)}(\xi_\tau)| \leq 2^n A_n \left(\frac{h}{\tau} \right)^n.$$

We fix τ and choose h so small that

$$(14) \quad 2^n A_n \left(\frac{h}{\tau} \right)^n < 1 - \theta.$$

Then, from (13) and (14), it follows that

$$1 - f_h^{(n)}(\xi_\tau) < 1 - \theta$$

and $f_h^{(n)}(\xi_\tau) > \theta$, that contradicts (12). \square

Denote A_n^* the smallest number, for which Theorem 1 holds for $B_n = 1$ and $A_n = A_n^*$.

Till now the question for the existence of such A_n^* for every natural n is open.

We will show, that A_n^* exists for $n=1$ and $n=2$.

Theorem 3. $A_1^* \leq 1$, $A_2^* \leq 9/8$.

Proof. To prove, that $A_1^* \leq 1$, it is sufficient to take for f_h the linear interpolation spline $S_1(f)$ on equidistant knots with a stepsize h : $S_1(f; ih)$

$=f(ih); i=0, 1, 2, \dots, m=[1/h]; S_1(f; 1)=f(1)$. $S_1(f)$ is linear on every interval $[ih, (i+1)h]; i=0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ and on the interval $[mh, 1]$.

It can be checked directly, that

$$\|f - S_1(f)\| \leq \omega_1(f; h), \quad \|S_1'(f)\| \leq h^{-1} \omega_1(f; h).$$

To prove the existence of A_2^* , one cannot use the quadratic interpolation spline. For this purpose we construct the quadratic spline $S_2(f; x)$, satisfying the following conditions:

$$S_2(f; ih + \frac{h}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(f(ih) + f(ih + h))$$

and

$$S_2(f; x) = S_1(f; x) \text{ for } x \in [0, \frac{h}{2}] \cup [mh + \frac{h}{2}, 1].$$

$$S_2(f; x) = \frac{(x-ih)^2}{2h^2} \Delta_h^2 f(ih-h) + \frac{x-ih}{2h} (f(ih+h) - f(ih-h)) + f(ih) + \frac{1}{8} \Delta_h^2 f(ih-h);$$

$$\text{for } x \in [ih - \frac{h}{2}, ih + \frac{h}{2}].$$

One can check immediately that $\|S_2''(f)\| \leq h^{-2} \omega_2(f; h)$ and

$$|f(x) - S_2(f; x)| \leq |f(x) - S_1(f; x)| + |S_1(f; x) - S_2(f; x)| \leq \omega_2(f; h)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} \omega_2(f; h) = \frac{9}{8} \omega_2(f; h).$$

Thus it is proved, that A_2^* exists and does not exceed $9/8$.

Up to now we could not prove the existence of A_n^* for $n \geq 3$.

3. Close value estimates of A_n and B_n

To find other estimates of A_n and B_n we will use the interpolation splines of n -th order, introduced by S. B. Stechkin and Ju. N. Subbotin [3].

Let a uniform net $x_i = ih; i=0, 1, 2, \dots, m; h=1/m$ is defined on the segment $[0, 1]$. Suppose $m > n$.

For every function f , defined on the segment $[0, 1]$, we define two polynomials:

$$Q_n(f; x) = f(0) + \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\Delta_h^s f(0)}{h^s s!} x(x-h) \dots (x-sh+h),$$

$$R_n(f; x) = f(1-nh) + \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\Delta_h^s f(1-nh)}{h^s s!} (x-1-nh+h) \dots (x-1-nh+sh).$$

Using these polynomials, we define the function

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & Q_n(f; x); \quad x \leq 0, \\ F(x) = & f(x) \quad ; \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ & R_n(f; x); \quad x \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

In [3] it is proved, that there exists a unique interpolation spline of n -th order $S_n(F; x)$, which has a bounded n -th derivative, $S_n(F; ih) = F(ih) \quad i=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. For the n -th derivative of $S_n(F)$, the following estimate is proved [3]:

$$(16) \quad |S_n^{(n)}(F)| \leq |u_n|^{-1} h^{-n} \omega_n(f; h),$$

where

$$(17) \quad u_{2s} = \frac{1}{(2s)!} \sum_{k=0}^{2s} \binom{2s+1}{k} \sum_{p=0}^{2s-k} (-1)^p \left(p + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2s},$$

$$(18) \quad u_{2s+1} = \frac{1}{(2s+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{2s} \binom{2s+1}{k} \sum_{p=0}^{2s-k} (-1)^p (p+1)^{2s+1},$$

for $s=1, 2, 3, \dots$

We need the following more precised theorem of Whitney, proved in [4]:

Theorem 4. *If $P_{n-1}(x)$ is an interpolation polynomial of f at the points $(i+1)h, (i+2)h, \dots, (i+n)h$, then*

$$(19) \quad |f(x) - P_{n-1}(x)| \leq 6\omega_n(f; h) \quad \text{for } x \in [ih, (i+n+1)h].$$

From the definition of the spline $S_n(F)$ for the function f and the obtained in [3] representation, it is obvious, that

$$|S_n(F; x) - P_{n-1}(x)| \leq |u_n|^{-1} \omega_n(f; h)$$

for $x \in [ih, (i+n+1)h]$, where P_{n-1} is the interpolation polynomial in Theorem 4. Hence

$$(20) \quad \|f - S_n(F)\| \leq (6 + |u_n|^{-1}) \omega_n(f; h).$$

The inequalities (16) and (20) show, that the following assertion holds:

Corollary 2. *In Theorem 1 for values of the constants A_n and B_n one can take*

$$A_n^{**} = |u_n|^{-1} + 6, \quad B_n^{**} = |u_n|^{-1}.$$

We will give several consecutive values of $|u_n|^{-1}$ to compare B_n^{**} with $B_n = (n+1)n^n$ from 1.

n	3	4	5	6	7	8
$ u_n ^{-1}$	3	4.8	7.5	$\frac{6!}{61} = 11.803 \dots$	$\frac{315}{17} = 18.529 \dots$	$\frac{8!}{1387} = 29.069 \dots$
$(n+1)n^n$	108	1280	18750	326592	6588344	150994944

References

1. Ю. А. Брудный. Приближение функций n переменных квазимногочленами. *Известия АМ СССР, Сер. мат.*, **34**, 1970, 564–583.
2. Bl. Sendov. Модифицированная функция Стеклова. *C. R. Acad. Bul. Sci.* **36**, 1983, 315-317.
3. С. Б. Стечкин, Ю. Н. Субботин. Сплайны в вычислительной математике. М., 1976.
4. Bl. Sendov. On the Theorem and Constants of H. Whitney. *Constr. Approx.*, **3**, 1987, 1-11.

*Institute of Mathematics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
1090 Sofia, P.O. Box 373
BULGARIA*

Received 16.01.1987