

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

Acyclicity in 3-Manifolds

Dušan Repovš*

Presented by P. Kenderov

Let K be a continuum in a 3-manifold M . How nice neighborhoods can K have? For example, if K is cellular in M , then K is the intersection of properly nested 3-cells, while if it is cell-like then K is the intersection of properly nested homotopy 3-cells with 1-handles [3; Theorem 3]. We describe below neighborhoods of almost 1-acyclic (over \mathbb{Z}_2) continua K .

Theorem 1. *Let K be a continuum in the interior of a 3-manifold M with (possibly empty) boundary. Suppose that K does not separate its connected neighborhoods and that for every neighborhood $U \subset M$ of K there exists a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of K such that the inclusion-induced homomorphism $H_1(V - K; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_1(U; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is trivial. Then $K = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$, where each $N_i \subset \text{int } M$ is a compact 3-manifold with boundary satisfying the following properties:*

- (i) for each i , $N_{i+1} \subset \text{int } N_i$;
- (ii) N_i is obtained from a compact 3-manifold Q_i with a 2-sphere boundary by adding to ∂Q_i a finite number of orientable (solid) 1-handles;
- (iii) for each i , the inclusion-induced homomorphism

$$H_1(\partial N_{i+1}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H_1(N_i; \mathbb{Z}_2) \text{ is trivial.}$$

Remark. Theorem 1 was proved for orientable 3-manifolds by D. R. McMillan, Jr. [5; Theorem 2]. A. H. Wright observed [9; Theorem 2] that McMillan's theorem generalizes to nonorientable 3-manifolds, but did not obtain orientable 1-handles. Neither of the papers [5] and [9] gave details.

We have decided to present the details in order to explain the specific situation for non-orientable 3-manifolds. Our proof is modelled after the proof of [5; Theorem 2] as outlined in the lecture notes of D. McMillan [4] from which we also quote the following folklore lemmas we shall need at several points.

*Supported in part by the Research Council of Slovenia

Lemma 2. *Let K be a compact set in the interior of a 3-manifold M , $K \neq M$, and let $N \subset M$ be a neighborhood of K . Then there exists a compact polyhedron $U \subset \text{int } N$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *each component of U is a 3-manifold with boundary;*
- (ii) *each closed surface in $U - K$ separates $U - K$;*
- (iii) *$K \subset \text{int } U$.*

Let M be a compact 3-manifold with boundary and let $F_1, \dots, F_m \subset \partial M$ be its boundary components. Then we define the total genus of ∂M to be the sum of the genera of $F_i (1 \leq i \leq m)$: $g(\partial M) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i$, $g_i =$ genus of F_i .

Lemma 3. *Let M be a compact orientable 3-manifold with boundary and let $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ or the rationals (p a prime). Let $i_* : H_1(\partial M; R) \rightarrow H_1(M; R)$ be the inclusion-induced homomorphism. Then, $\text{rank}_R(\text{im } i_*) = g(\partial M)$.*

Proof of Theorem 1. First, we shall prove that $K = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$, where N_i satisfy (i) and (ii). It will follow by hypotheses that we can find a subsequence of $\{N_i\}$ satisfying (iii). We shall suppress the \mathbb{Z}_2 coefficients from the notation.

To prove (i)–(iii) it therefore suffices to show that given a neighborhood $U \subset M$ of K there is a compact 3-manifold neighborhood $N \subset U$ of K such that N is obtained from a compact 3-manifold Q with ∂Q a 2-sphere, by attaching a finite number of orientable (solid) 1-handles to ∂Q . So let $U \subset M$ be a neighborhood of K . We may assume the following about U :

- (1) U is a nonorientable connected compact 3-manifold with boundary;
- (2) $K \subset \text{int } U$;
- (3) $U - K$ is orientable and connected;
- (4) each closed surface in $U - K$ separates $U - K$.

The condition (3) follows by [2; Lemma 4.1] since, for sufficiently small U 's, the inclusion induces trivial homomorphisms $H_1(U - K) \rightarrow H_1(M)$. The condition (4) is provided by Lemma 2.

Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be Haken's number of U [1; p. 48]. Using the hypothesis, we can construct an ordered $(n_0 + 2)$ -tuple $Y = \{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_{n_0+1}\}$ of compact 3-manifolds with boundary such that:

- (5) $V_0 = U$;
- (6) $V_{i+1} \subset \text{int } V_i$;
- (7) ∂V_i is an orientable (possibly disconnected) two-sided closed 2-manifold;
- (8) $H_1(\partial V_{i+1}) \rightarrow H_1(V_i)$ is trivial;
- (9) $K \subset \text{int } V_{n_0+1}$.

(Note that (7) follows by (3) and (4).)

Define the complexity of Y to be the integer $c(Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_0+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 g_i(n)$, where $g_i(n)$ is the number of components of ∂V_i with genus n . We shall show that in a finite number of steps we can improve Y , so that it will still satisfy (5)–(8) (but not necessarily also (9)) and that for some $i \geq 1$, ∂V_i will be a collection of 2-spheres. We shall achieve this by compressing $\partial Y = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n_0+1} \partial V_i$ in

a careful manner to reduce the complexity $c(Y)$, and then we shall apply Haken's Finiteness theorem [1].

The sequence of compressions that accomplish our goal is a sequence of modifications on Y (D. McMillan [3] calls them "simple moves") of two types: if a compression of ∂V_i takes place along a disk contained in V_i , we say that we remove a 1-handle, while if the compressing disk lies outside V_i , we say that we added a 2-handle. So suppose first that there is a disk $D \subset \text{int } V_0$, such that $D \cap \partial Y = \partial D \subset \partial V_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n_0 + 1\}$, and such that ∂D bounds no disk in ∂V_i . So D either lies outside V_i (in $\text{int } V_{i-1}$) or inside V_i (in $V_i - V_{i+1}$). In the first case we add a 2-handle to V_i while in the second case we remove a 1-handle from V_i . Denote the new V_i and Y by V'_i and Y' , respectively. Note that in both cases we did not change any V_j , $i \neq j$. By [3; Lemma 4], $1 \leq c(Y') < c(Y)$ so by a finite number of compressions we get $Y^* = \{V_0^*, \dots, V_{n_0+1}^*\}$ which cannot be compressed in such a manner anymore. A routine "trading disks" argument now implies that each component of ∂Y^* which is not a 2-sphere is incompressible.

We want to verify that Y^* satisfies the conditions (5)–(8). We first note that, if F is a boundary of a 3-manifold Z , it still bounds after the compression: if we add a 2-handle, then the new F will bound the manifold Z plus the "half-open" 3-cell attached via the 2-handle, while if we removed a 1-handle from Z , then the new F will bound the manifold Z minus the "half-open" 3-cell removed via the 1-handle. Therefore, Y^* is well-defined.

Next, Y^* satisfies (5) and (6) by our construction. To prove (7) we show that a compression of an orientable boundary of a 3-manifold Z always yields an orientable boundary: suppose first that $Z' = Z + (2\text{-handle})$ had nonorientable boundary. Then we could find a simple closed curve $J \subset \partial Z'$ such that J would reverse the orientation in $\partial Z'$. We could isotope J off the cocore of the 2-handle and hence off the entire handle and into ∂Z , thus showing ∂Z to be nonorientable. Since removing a 1-handle from Z has the same effect on ∂Z as adding a 2-handle to the complementary 3-manifold component bounded by ∂Z , the preceding argument also proves that for $Z' = Z - (1\text{-handle})$, $\partial Z'$ stays orientable. Finally, the condition (8) follows by [3; Lemma B] because we made the simplifications $V_i \rightarrow V'_i$ without disturbing V_j , $i \neq j$.

We now prove that for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n_0 + 1\}$, ∂V_k^* is a collection of 2-spheres. If not, then by Haken's Finiteness theorem [1] for some $1 \leq p < q \leq n_0 + 1$ there exist components $S_1 \subset \partial V_p^*$ and $S_2 \subset \partial V_q^*$ that are topologically parallel and different from S^2 . So there is an embedding $f: S_1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ such that $f(S_s \times \{s\}) = S_s$ where $s = 0, 1$. Let $X = f(S_1 \times [0, 1])$. We may assume that no surface in $(\text{int } X) \cap \partial Y^*$ is parallel to S_1 in X . By [8; Corollary (3.2)] each incompressible surface in $\text{int } X$ is parallel to S_1 in X . Therefore, $(\text{int } X) \cap \partial Y^*$ consists entirely of 2-spheres. Also, X must be irreducible, for if there were a 2-sphere in X which would not bound a 3-cell in X , then it would be incompressible, hence parallel to $S_1 \neq S^2$. Therefore, X minus the interiors of a finite disjoint collection of 3-cells lies

in V_p^* . Hence, every 1-cycle in S_1 is homologous to a 1-cycle in S_2 thus it bounds in V_p^* by (8). Since by Lemma 3, the image of the inclusion-induced homomorphism $H_1(\partial V_p^*) \rightarrow H_1(V_p^*)$ has rank (as a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_2) equal to $g(\partial V_p^*)$ it follows by (7) that S_1 is a 2-sphere, a contradiction.

Let V be a 3-manifold among V_i^* all of whose boundary components are 2-spheres. Clearly, (9) may no longer be true, so we now take care of that. During the compressions, when we attached a 2-handle, it may have happened that it passed through the space in U that was previously occupied by a 1-handle, which was removed at an earlier stage. In such cases, we require that the boundary of the 2-handle be in general position with respect to the boundary of the 1-handle. In addition, we shall assume that the annulus removed from ∂V_i^* (recall ∂V_i^* is orientable so it contains no Möbius bands) in the k -th compression be disjoint from all 1-handles or 2-handles involved in the preceding $k-1$ compressions. So if we now add to ∂V all 1-handles that were removed from V during the compressions, we get several 1-handles attached to ∂V . Note that adding of an old 1-handle H to ∂V may result in many new smaller 1-handles as H may run through several 2-handles that now occupy portions of its original place. (See Figure 1.)

Every resulting 1-handle is orientable. For suppose, in reattaching the 1-handles sequentially, we have added a nonorientable 1-handle. Then for every subsequent reattachment of the remaining 1-handles we have only one isotopy class of attaching maps [7; Theorem (3.34)] so we end up with a nonorientable surface. But this is impossible by (3) and (4). We may also assume that for every resulting 1-handle H both ends of H are attached to the same boundary component, for otherwise we add H to V thus reducing the number of boundary components of V by one.

The 3-manifold N which we get from V by reattaching all 1-handles may be disconnected so we keep only the component which contains K . Thus N is obtained from a compact 3-manifold Q with ∂Q a collection of 2-spheres by attaching a finite number of orientable 1-handles to ∂Q , so that every 1-handle has both ends on the same component of ∂Q . Let $p_i \in \Sigma_i (i = 1; 2)$ be arbitrary points on two distinct 2-sphere components Σ_1 and Σ_2 of ∂Q . Since K doesn't separate N , there is a polygonal arc A in $N - K$ joining p_1 and p_2 . Suppose that A passes through a 1-handle H . We may assume that $A \cap H$ is just one arc meeting ∂Q in only two points on Σ_2 . Then, $A \cap H$ can be replaced by another polygonal arc $B \subset N - \text{int } H$ attached to Σ_2 . So we may assume that A doesn't pass through any of the 1-handles. Therefore, by drilling tunnels, we can effectively join the components of ∂Q thus obtaining the desired neighborhood N . (See Figure 2.)

We can describe the structure of the neighborhoods N of K as follows: $N = Q + (1\text{-handles})$, where Q captures the "nonorientability" of K , while the handles capture the "pathology" of K . (See Figure 3.)




Figure 1




Figure 2

Let K be a compact set in the interior of a 3-manifold M . We say that K can be engulfed in M if the interior of some punctured 3-ball in M contains K . A sequence $\{K_i\}$ of compact 3-manifolds with boundary is a W -sequence if for every i the following conditions hold:

- (i) $K_i \subset \text{int } K_{i+1}$;
- (ii) the inclusion-induced homomorphism is trivial:

$$\Pi_1(K_i) \rightarrow \Pi_1(K_{i+1}).$$




Figure 3

An open 3-manifold M is called a Whitehead manifold if it can be expressed as $M = \cup_{i=0}^{\infty} K_i$ for some W -sequence of handlebodies [6; p. 313].

An examination of the proofs in a recent paper of D. R. McMillan, Jr. and T. L. Thickstun [6] shows that the orientability hypothesis can be removed from all results in [6] if one uses Theorem 1 in the place of [5; Theorem 2]:

Theorem 4. *Let M be a compact 3-manifold (possibly with boundary) and $K \subset \text{int } M$ a compact subset. Then K can be engulfed in M if and only if there is an*

open, connected neighborhood $U \subset M$ of K , such that U embeds in S^3 and $H_1(U; \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes.

Theorem 5. *Let M be a compact 3-manifold (possibly with boundary). Then M contains no fake 3-cells if and only if each Whitehead manifold that embeds in $\text{int } M$ also embeds in S^3 .*

References

1. W. Haken. Some results on surfaces in 3-manifolds. *Studies in Modern Topol.*, (Math. Assoc. of Amer.), Englewood Cliffs, 1968, 39-98.
2. R. C. Lacher, D. R. McMillan, Jr.. Partially acyclic mapping between manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, **94**, 1972, 246-266.
3. D. R. McMillan, Jr.. Compact acyclic subsets of three-manifolds. *Michigan Math. J.*, **16**, 1969, 129-136.
4. D. R. McMillan, Jr.. UV properties and related topics. (Lect. notes by B. J. Smith). FSU, Tallahassee, 1970.
5. D. R. McMillan, Jr.. Acyclicity in three-manifolds. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **76**, 1970, 942-964.
6. D. R. McMillan, Jr., T. L. Thickstun. Open three-manifolds and Poincaré conjecture. *Topology*, **19**, 1980, 313-320.
7. C. P. Rourke, B. J. Sanderson. Introduction to piecewise-linear topology. (*Ergebn. der Math.*, **69**). Berlin 1972.
8. F. Waldhausen. On irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large. *Ann. of Math.*, (2) **87**, 1968, 56-88.
9. A. H. Wright. Mapping from 3-manifolds onto 3 manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **167**, 1972, 479-495.

*Institute of Mathematics,
Physics and Mechanics,
University of Ljubljana
Jadranska cesta, 19
61000 Ljubljana,
Yugoslavia*

Received 15. 01. 1987

and

*Department of Mathematics
University of Texas
Austin, Texas 78712
U.S.A.*