

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# **Mathematica Balkanica**

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## The Best Onesided Algebraic Approximation in $L_p[-1, 1]$ ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ )

Milena Stojanova

Presented by Bl. Sendov

In this paper are proved direct Stečkin's type and converse Salem – Stečkin's type theorems for the best onesided algebraic approximation, using  $\tau$ -moduli of function.

### 1. Introduction

Denote by  $H_n(T_n)$ , respectively) the set of all algebraic (trigonometric) polynomials of degree at most  $n$ . Let  $f$  be a bounded on  $[-1, 1]$  function (or  $2\pi$ -periodic). The best onesided approximation of  $f$  by means of algebraic (trigonometric) polynomials of degree at most  $n$  in  $L_p$ -metric is given by

$$\tilde{E}_n(f)_p = \inf \{ \|P - Q\|_{L_p[-1, 1]} ; \quad P, Q \in H_n, \quad P(x) \geq f(x) \geq Q(x), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1 \};$$

$$(\tilde{E}_n^T(f))_p = \inf \{ \|P - Q\|_{L_p[0, 2\pi]} ; \quad P, Q \in T_n, \quad P(x) \geq f(x) \geq Q(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 2\pi \},$$

where, as usually,

$$\|h\|_{L_p[a, b]} = \left\{ \int_a^b |h(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty$$

$$\|h\|_{L_\infty[a, b]} = \sup \{ |h(x)| ; \quad a \leq x \leq b \}.$$

Finding estimates for the best onesided algebraic approximation due to G. Freud [6], who proved the first nontrivial result, namely:  $\tilde{E}_n(f)_1 = O(n^{-r-1} Vf^{(r)})$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots$

Later P. Nevai [1] obtains

$$\tilde{E}_n(f)_1 \leq c(r) \int_{-1}^1 (\Delta_n(x))^{r+1} |df^{(r)}(x)|, \quad 1 \leq r \leq n,$$

where  $\Delta_n(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}/n + 1/n^2$ ,  $f \in W_1'[-1, 1]$ .

In the trigonometric case, A. Andreev and V. Popov [4] proved the following Stečkin's-type theorem :

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{E}_n^T(f)_p \leq c(k)\tau_k(f; n^{-1})_p \quad (1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad k \leq n),$$

where  $c(k)$  is a constant depending only on  $k$ . They use the global modulus of smoothness

$$\tau_k(f; \delta)_p := \|\omega_k(f, \cdot; \delta)\|_{L_p[0, 2\pi]},$$

where  $\omega_k(f, x; \delta) = \sup \{ |\Delta_h^k f(t)| ; \quad t, \quad t + kh \in [x - k\delta/2, x + k\delta/2] \}$ ,

$$\Delta_h^k f(t) = \sum_{v=0}^k (-1)^{k+v} \binom{k}{v} f(t + vh).$$

V. Popov proves the following inverse theorem [2] :

$$(1.2) \quad \tau_k(f; n^{-1})_p \leq c(k)n^{-k} \sum_{v=0}^n (v+1)^{k-1} \tilde{E}_v^T(f)_p, \quad (1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad k \leq n).$$

The inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) characterize  $\tilde{E}_n^T(f)_p$ .

In comparison with the trigonometric case, additional difficulties caused by the special role of the end-points of the interval arise. Roughly speaking, the smoothness of the function influences weaker on the degree of the approximating polynomials in the end-points than in the middle of the interval. So the modulus of smoothness characterizing the best onesided algebraic approximation has to take into account this property of the approximating system. We shall use the global modulus of smoothness

$$\tau_k(f; \delta)_p = \|\omega_k(f, \cdot; \delta(\cdot))\|_{L_p[-1, 1]},$$

where the local modulus of smoothness  $\omega_k(f, x, \delta(x))$  is given by

$$\omega_k(f, x; \delta(x)) = \sup \{ |\Delta_h^k f(t)| ; \quad t, \quad t + kh \in [x - k\delta(x)/2, x + k\delta(x)/2] \cap [-1, 1] \}$$

$$\Delta_h^k f(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{v=0}^k (-1)^{v+k} \binom{k}{v} f(t + vh) & \text{if } t, t + kh \in [-1, 1] \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

We shall prove the following statements in this paper :

**Theorem 1.** There exists a constant  $c > 0$ , such that  $\tilde{E}_n(f)_p \leq c\tau_1(f; \Delta_n)_p$  for any bounded and measurable in  $[-1, 1]$  function  $f$ .

**Theorem 2.** For any integer number  $k$ ,  $k \geq 2$  there exists a constant  $c(k) > 0$ , such that if  $f(x)$  is bounded and measurable in  $[-1, 1]$ , then

$$(1.3) \quad \tilde{E}_n(f)_p \leq c(k)\tau_k(f; \Delta_n)_p, \quad n \geq k.$$

An application of Theorem 2 for onesided approximation of convex function is given in section 3 of this paper.

**Theorem 3.** For any integer number  $k$  there exists a constant  $c(k) > 0$ , such that

$$(1.4) \quad \tau_k(f; \Delta_n)_p \leq c(k)n^{-k} \sum_{v=0}^n (v+1)^{k-1} \tilde{E}_v(f)_p$$

for any bounded and measurable in  $[-1, 1]$  function  $f$ .

Everywhere further  $c$  is an absolute constant and  $c(A, B, \dots)$  is a positive number depending only on the marked parameters. These numbers may differ at each occurrence.

## 2. Jackson's type theorem for the best onesided algebraic approximation

We shall need some auxiliary results in the proof of Theorem 1. We begin with the properties of the  $\tau$ -moduli.

The global moduli of smoothness were introduced by B.I. Sendov [9]. K. Ivanov [8] generalizes them as follows:  $\tau_k(f, w; \delta)_{p',p} = \|w(\cdot)\omega_k(f, \cdot; \delta(\cdot))_{p'}\|_{L_p[-1, 1]}$ ,  $\omega_k(f, x; \delta(x))_{p'} = \{(2\delta(x))^{-1} \int_{-\delta(x)}^{\delta(x)} |\Delta_v^k f(x)|^p dv\}^{1/p}$ .

Only few of their properties are mentioned here.

**Lemma 1.**

$$(2.1) \quad \tau_k(f+g; \delta)_p \leq \tau_k(f; \delta)_p + \tau_k(g; \delta)_p;$$

$$(2.2) \quad \tau_k(f+g, 1; \delta)_{p',p} \leq \tau_k(f, 1; \delta)_{p',p} + \tau_k(g, 1; \delta)_{p',p};$$

$$(2.3) \quad \tau_k(f; \Delta_n)_p \leq c(k) \|f^{(k)}(\Delta_n)^k\|_{L_p[-1, 1]}, \text{ if } k \geq 1, f^{(k)} \in L_p[-1, 1];$$

$$(2.4) \quad \tau_k(f, 1; \Delta_n)_{p',p} \leq c(k) \|f^{(k)}(\Delta_n)^k\|_{L_p[-1, 1]} \text{ if } 1 \leq p' \leq p;$$

$$(2.5) \quad \tau_1(f; \lambda \Delta_n)_p \leq c(\lambda) \tau_1(f; \Delta_n)_p, \lambda = \text{const} \geq 1;$$

$$(2.6) \quad \tau_k(f; \delta_1)_p \leq \tau_k(f; \delta_2)_p \text{ if } \delta_1(x) \leq \delta_2(x), -1 \leq x \leq 1;$$

$$(2.7) \quad \tau_k(f, 1; \delta)_{p',p} \leq \tau_k(f, 1; \delta)_{p'_2,p} \text{ if } p'_1 \leq p'_2.$$

Inequalities (2.2), (2.4) and (2.7) were proved in [8]. The other ones can be proved by analogy with the corresponding inequalities for  $\tau_k(f, 1; \delta)_{\infty,p}$  ([8]) and  $\tau_k(f; \delta)_p$  when  $\delta = \text{const}$ . ([3]).

Because of the relations  $\omega_k(f, x; \delta(x))_{\infty} = \sup \{|\Delta_v^k f(x)|; |v| \leq \delta(x)\} \leq \sup \{|\Delta_v^k f(t)|; x - k\delta(x) \leq t, t + kv \leq x + k\delta(x)\} = \omega_k(f, x; 2\delta(x))$  we have

$$(2.8) \quad \tau_k(f, 1; \Delta_n)_{\infty,p} \leq \tau_k(f; 2\Delta_n)_p.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $T(x) = \cos n \arccos x$  be the Chbyshev polynomial of first kind with zeros  $x_k = \cos(2k-1)\pi/2n$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ). Set  $l_k(x) := T(x)/(x - x_k)T'(x_k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $x_0 = 1$ ,  $x_{n+1} = -1$ . Then

$$(2.9) \quad |l_k(x)| \leq 2 \quad \text{if} \quad x_{k+1} \leq x \leq x_{k-1} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n);$$

$$(2.10) \quad |l_k(x)| \leq v^{-1} \quad \text{if} \quad x_{k-v} \leq x \leq x_0, \text{ or } x_{n+1} \leq x \leq x_{k+v} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n, v \neq 0).$$

**Proof.** Evidently  $l_k(x_k) = 1$ . Let  $x \neq x_k$ . We set  $x = \cos t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ ,  $t_v = (2v-1)\pi/2n$ ,  $(1 \leq v \leq n)$ . Then

$$(2.11) \quad |l_k(x)| = |\cos nt| \sin t_k / (2n \sin |(t-t_k)/2| \sin((t+t_k)/2)).$$

Note that, for  $x_{k+1} \leq x \leq x_{k-1}$ ,

$$(2.12) \quad |(t-t_k)/2| \leq \pi/2n.$$

The inequalities  $\pi/4n \leq (t+t_k)/2 \leq \pi - \pi/4n$  give

$$(2.13) \quad \sin((t+t_k)/2) \geq \sin(\pi/4n) \geq 1/2n.$$

From (2.11)–(2.13) and the fact that  $\sin x$  is a concave function in the interval  $[0, \pi]$ , we obtain for  $x_{k+1} \leq x \leq x_{k-1}$   $|l_k(x)| = \{|\cos n(t-t_k)| \cos nt_k - \sin n(t-t_k) \sin nt_k|(\sin((t+t_k)/2) \cos((t_k-t)/2) + \cos((t+t_k)/2) \sin((t_k-t)/2))\} / (2n \sin |(t-t_k)/2| \sin |(t-t_k)/2|) \leq |\sin n(t-t_k)| |\cos((t_k-t)/2)| / (2n \sin |(t-t_k)/2|) + |\sin n(t-t_k)| |\cos((t+t_k)/2)| / (2n \sin |(t+t_k)/2|) \leq 2n \sin |(t-t_k)/2| / (2n \sin |(t-t_k)/2|) + 1/(2n(1/2n)) = 2$  and this proves (2.9).

Let  $x_{k-v} \leq x$  or  $x \leq x_{k+v}$ . Then  $\pi/2 \geq |(t-t_k)/2| \geq v\pi/2n$  and  $\sin |(t-t_k)/2| \geq v/n$ . From this inequality, (2.11) and concavity of  $\sin x$  in  $[0, \pi]$  we obtain

$$|l_k(x)| \leq \frac{\sin t_k}{2n \sin |(t-t_k)/2| \sin |(t+t_k)/2|} \leq \frac{(\sin t_k + \sin t)/2}{n(v/n) \sin |(t+t_k)/2|} \leq v^{-1}$$

if  $x \leq x_{k+v}$  or  $x \geq x_{k-v}$ , and (2.10) is proved.

Let us consider the functions:

$$g_k(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \leq x < x_k \\ 1 & \text{if } x_k \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n, x_k = \cos((2k-1)\pi/2n)).$$

We construct polynomials  $P_k, Q_k \in H_{4n-4}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), uniquely determined by the conditions

$$(2.14) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_n(x) \equiv 1; \\ P_k(x_v) = \begin{cases} 0 & k+1 \leq v \leq n \\ 1 & 1 \leq v \leq k \end{cases} \quad P_k^{(i)}(x_v) = 0, \quad 1 \leq v \leq n, v \neq k, i = 1, 2, 3 \\ \text{for} \quad 1 \leq k < n \\ Q_1(x) \equiv 0; \\ Q_k(x_v) = \begin{cases} 0 & k \leq v \leq n \\ 1 & 1 \leq v \leq k-1 \end{cases} \quad Q_k^{(i)}(x_v) = 0, \quad 1 \leq v \leq n, v \neq k, i = 1, 2, 3 \\ \text{for} \quad 1 < k \leq n. \end{array} \right.$$

Let us note that for the difference  $R_k(x) = P_k(x) - Q_k(x)$  we have  $R_k \in H_{4n-4}$ ,  $R_k(x_k) = 1$ ,  $R_k^{(i)}(x_v) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq v \leq n$ ,  $v \neq k$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , which gives

$$(2.15) \quad R_k(x) = \prod_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq k}}^n \left( \frac{x - x_v}{x_k - x_v} \right)^4 = (l_k(x))^4.$$

We shall prove that

$$(2.16) \quad P_k(x) \geq g_k(x) \geq Q_k(x) \quad \text{for } -1 \leq x \leq 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

**Lemma 3.**  $P_k(x) \geq g_k(x)$  for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ .

**Proof.**  $P_n(x) = 1 \geq g_n(x)$  for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ . Let  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ . We consider the polynomial  $P'_k(x)$ . Since  $P_k \in H_{4n-4}$ , we have  $P'_k \in H_{4n-5}$ . We see from (2.14) that  $P_k(x_{v+1}) = P_k(x_v)$ , if  $1 \leq v \leq n-1$ ,  $v \neq k$ . It follows by Rolle's theorem, that there exist points  $y_v \in (x_{v+1}, x_v)$ ,  $1 \leq v \leq n-1$ ,  $v \neq k$ , such that  $P'_k(y_v) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq v \leq n-1$ ,  $v \neq k$ . We see also that  $P'_k$  has triple zeros in the points  $x_v$ ,  $1 \leq v \leq n$ ,  $v \neq k$ . Hence we may write

$$P'_k(x) = C \prod_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq k}}^n (x - x_v)^3 \prod_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq k}}^{n-1} (x - y_v).$$

$P'_k$  changes his sign in each point  $x_v, y_\mu$ ,  $1 \leq v \leq n$ ,  $v \neq k$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq n-1$ ,  $\mu \neq k$  and only in these points, and therefore  $P'_k$  is alternatively monotonously increasing and monotonously decreasing in subintervals  $(x_{k+1}, y_{k-1})$ ,  $(x_{v+1}, y_v)$ ,  $(y_\mu, x_\mu)$   $0 \leq v \leq n-1$ ,  $v \neq k$ ,  $1 \leq \mu \leq n$ ,  $\mu \neq k$  ( $y_0 = 1$ ,  $y_n = -1$ ). Such that  $P'_k(x_{k+1}) = 0 < 1 = P'_k(x_k)$ ,  $P'_k(x)$  increases in  $(x_{k+1}, y_{k-1})$  and from (2.14) by induction we obtain  $P_k(x) \geq 1$ ,  $x_k \leq x \leq 1$  and  $P_k(x) \geq 0$ ,  $-1 \leq x \leq x_k$  which proves the lemma.

To prove the second inequality in (2.16) it is sufficient to see that  $Q_k(x) \equiv 1 - P_{n+1-k}(-x)$  and  $g_k(x) \equiv 1 - g_{n+1-k}(-x)$  and to apply Lemma 3 for  $g_{n+1-k}$ .

Consider the function  $S(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_k g_k(x)$ , where  $\delta_k$  are real numbers. Define the functions  $\varphi_k(S; x)$ ,  $-n+1 \leq k \leq n$  in the following way :

$$\varphi_k(S; x) = \varphi_k(x) = \begin{cases} |\delta_{k+m}| & \text{if } x'_m < x \leq x'_{m-1}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq n \\ 0 & \text{if } -3 \leq x \leq -1, \quad 1 \leq x \leq 3, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq n \end{cases}$$

where  $x'_m = \cos(m\pi/n)$ ,  $x'_{-m} = x'_{n-m} + 2$ ,  $x'_{n+m} = x'_m - 2$  ( $\delta_k = 0$  if  $k > n$  or  $k < 1$ ).

**Lemma 4.**  $\tilde{E}_{4n-4}(S)_p \leq 256 \|\varphi_0\|_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

**Proof.** Denote  $P(x) := \sum_{\delta_k > 0} \delta_k P_k(x) - \sum_{\delta_k < 0} \delta_k (1 - Q_k(x))$ ;  $Q(x) := \sum_{\delta_k > 0} \delta_k Q_k(x) - \sum_{\delta_k < 0} \delta_k (1 - P_k(x))(1 - P_k(x))$ . From (2.15) and (2.16) we obtain  $P(x) \geq S(x) \geq Q(x)$ ,  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ ,  $R(x) := P(x) - Q(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n |\delta_k| R_k(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n |\delta_k| (l_k(x))^4$ . Using Lemma 2 for  $x'_m < x < x'_{m-1}$  ( $1 \leq m \leq n$ ), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R(x) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} |\delta_k| (m-k-1)^{-4} + 16(|\delta_{m-1}| + |\delta_m| + |\delta_{m+1}|) \\
&+ \sum_{k=m+2}^n |\delta_k| (k-1-m)^{-4} \leq 16(|\delta_m| + \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq m}}^n |\delta_k| (m-k)^{-4}) \\
&\leq 16(\varphi_0(S; x) + \sum_{\substack{k=-n+1 \\ k \neq 0}}^n k^{-4} \varphi_k(S; x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2.17) \quad \tilde{E}_{4n-4}(S)_p \leq \|R\|_p \leq 16(\|\varphi_0\|_p + \sum_{\substack{k=-n+1 \\ k \neq 0}}^n k^{-4} \|\varphi_k\|_p).$$

But

$$\|\varphi_k\|_p = \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^n (x'_{v-1} - x'_v) |\delta_{k+v}|^p \right\}^{1/p},$$

and since  $|x'_{v-1} - x'_v| \leq 5|k| |x'_{v+k-1} - x'_{v+k}|$  if  $k \neq 0$  (see [10], Lemma 2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi_k\|_p &\leq \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^n 5|k| |x'_{v+k-1} - x'_{v+k}| |\delta_{k+v}|^p \right\}^{1/p} = (5|k|^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^n \int_{x'_{k+v}}^{x'_{k+v-1}} |\varphi_0(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p})^{1/p} \\
&= (5|k|)^{1/p} \left\{ \int_{x'_{k+n}}^{x'_k} |\varphi_0(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq 5|k| \|\varphi_0\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this inequality in (2.17) we obtain

$$\tilde{E}_{4n-4}(S)_p \leq 16(\|\varphi_0\|_p + 5\|\varphi_0\|_p \sum_{\substack{k=-n+1 \\ k \neq 0}}^n |k|^{-3}) \leq 256 \|\varphi_0\|_p$$

which was to be proved.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $f(x)$  be a bounded and measurable in  $[-1, 1]$  function. Set  $x_k = \cos((2k-1)\pi/2N)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N$ ,  $x_0 = 1$ ,  $x_{N+1} = -1$ ;  $x'_k = \cos(k\pi/N)$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq N$ ;  $N = 10n$ , and introduce the functions

$$S(x) := \sup \{f(t); x_{k+1} \leq t \leq x_k\} \quad \text{if } x_{k+1} \leq x < x_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$

$$J(x) := \inf \{f(t); x_{k+1} \leq t \leq x_k\} \quad \text{if } x_{k+1} \leq x < x_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Obviously  $S(x) \geq f(x) \geq J(x)$  for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ . Let  $P_1, P_2, Q_1, Q_2 \in H_{4N-4}$  be such that

$$P_1(x) \geq S(x) \geq Q_1(x); \quad \tilde{E}_{4N-4}(S)_p = \| P_1 - Q_1 \|_p$$

$$P_2(x) \geq J(x) \geq Q_2(x); \quad \tilde{E}_{4N-4}(J)_p = \| P_2 - Q_2 \|_p.$$

From Lemma 4,

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_{4N-4}(f)_p &\leq \| P_1 - Q_2 \|_p \leq \| P_1 - S \|_p + \| S - J \|_p + \| J - Q_2 \|_p \\ &\leq \tilde{E}_{4N-4}(S)_p + \| S - J \|_p + \tilde{E}_{4N-4}(J)_p \leq 256(\| \varphi_0(S; \cdot) \|_p + \| \varphi_0(J; \cdot) \|_p) + \| S - J \|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x'_k \leq x \leq x'_{k-1}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N$  and  $x = \cos t$ ,  $(k-1)\pi/N \leq t \leq k\pi/N$ . Clearly,  $x_{k-1} - x_{k+1} = \cos((2k-3)\pi/2N) - \cos((2k+1)\pi/2N) = 2\sin((2k-1)\pi/2N)\sin\pi/2N \leq \frac{\pi}{N}(\sin(\frac{2k-1}{2N}\pi - t + t)) = \frac{\pi}{N}(\sin(\frac{2k-1}{2N}\pi - t)\cos t + \cos(\frac{2k-1}{2N}\pi - t)\sin t) \leq \frac{\pi}{N}(\sin\frac{\pi}{2N} + \sin t) \leq \frac{\pi}{N}\sqrt{1-x^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{2N^2} < \frac{1}{2}\Delta_n(x)$  if  $1 < k < N$ .

If  $k = 1$  or  $k = N$  then  $x_{k-1} - x_{k+1} = 1 - \cos(3\pi/2N) = 2\sin^2(3\pi/4N) \leq 3\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}/2N + 9\pi/8N^2 \leq \Delta_n(x)/2$  and hence

$$x + \Delta_n(x)/2 \geq x'_k + \Delta_n(x)/2 \geq x_{k+1} + \Delta_n(x)/2 \geq x_{k-1}$$

$$-x - \Delta_n(x)/2 \leq x'_{k-1} - \Delta_n(x)/2 \leq x_{k-1} - \Delta_n(x)/2 \leq x_{k+1}.$$

For  $\varphi_0(S; x)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0(S; x) &= |S(x_{k+1}) - S(x_k)| \leq \sup \{ |f(t) - f(t')| ; x_{k+1} \leq t, t' \leq x_{k-1} \} \\ &\leq \sup \{ |f(t) - f(t')| ; x - \Delta_n(x)/2 \leq t, t' \leq x + \Delta_n(x)/2 \} = \omega_1(f; x; \Delta_n(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(2.19) \quad \| \varphi_0(S; \cdot) \|_p \leq \tau_1(f; \Delta_n)_p.$$

Similarly we get

$$(2.20) \quad \| \varphi_0(J; \cdot) \|_p \leq \tau_1(f; \Delta_n)_p.$$

Further, for  $x_{k+1} \leq x < x_k$ ,

$$S(x) - J(x) \leq \sup \{ |f(t) - f(t')| ; x_{k+1} \leq t, t' \leq x_{k-1} \} \leq \omega_1(f; x; \Delta_n(x)),$$

which implies

$$(2.21) \quad \| S - J \|_p \leq \tau_1(f; \Delta_n)_p.$$

We obtain from (2.18)–(2.21)  $\tilde{E}_{40n-4}(f)_p \leq 513\tau_1(f; \Delta_n)_p$ . Now this estimate and (2.5) give  $\tilde{E}_n(f)_p \leq c\tau_1(f; \Delta_n)_p$ . The theorem is proved.

### 3. Stečkin's type theorem for the best onesided algebraic approximation

In this section we are going to prove Theorem 2. The following result is used ([7]):

**Theorem A.** Let  $n \geq k$ . There exists a constant  $c(k) > 0$ , such that  $E_n(f; \Delta_n)_p := \inf \{ \| \Delta_n(f - Q) \|_p ; Q \in H_n \} \leq c(k) \| f^{(k)}(\Delta_n)^{k+1} \|_p$ .

From Theorem 1 and Theorem A we obtain

**Corollary 1.** There exists a constant  $c(k) > 0$ , such that

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{E}_{n+1}(f)_p \leq c(k) \| (\Delta_n)^k f^{(k)} \|_p$$

for each function  $f \in W_p^k [-1, 1]$ .

**P r o o f.** Let  $P \in H_n$  be the polynomial of best algebraic  $L_p$ -approximation with weight  $\Delta_n(x)$  for the function  $f'(x)$ , i.e.

$$(3.2) \quad E_n(f'; \Delta_n)_p = \| \Delta_n(f' - P) \|_p.$$

Consider the function  $F(x) = \int_{-1}^x (P(t) - f'(t)) dt$ . Let  $Q_1, Q_2 \in H_n$ ,  $Q_1(x) \geq F(x) \geq Q_2(x)$  be the polynomials of best onesided algebraic approximation of  $F(x)$ . From Theorem 1 we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \| Q_1 - Q_2 \|_p = \tilde{E}_n(F)_p \leq c\tau_1(F; \Delta_n)_p.$$

Define the polynomials  $R_1, R_2 \in H_{n+1}$  in the following manner:

$$R_1(x) := -Q_2(x) + \int_{-1}^x P(t) dt + f(-1);$$

$$R_2(x) := -Q_1(x) + \int_{-1}^x P(t) dt + f(-1).$$

We have

$$R_1(x) - f(x) = -Q_2(x) + \int_{-1}^x (P(t) - f'(t)) dt = -Q_2(x) + F(x) \geq 0$$

$$R_2(x) - f(x) = -Q_1(x) + \int_{-1}^x (P(t) - f'(t)) dt = -Q_1(x) + F(x) \leq 0.$$

From Theorem A, Theorem 1, (2.3), (3.3) and (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{E}_{n+1}(f)_p &\leq \|R_1 - R_2\|_p = \|Q_1 - Q_2\|_p \leq c\tau_1(F; \Delta_n)_p \leq c\|\Delta_n F'\|_p \\ &= c\|\Delta_n(f' - P)\|_p = cE_n(f'; \Delta_n)_p \leq c(k)\|(\Delta_n)^k f^{(k)}\|_p.\end{aligned}$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $f(x)$  be bounded and measurable in  $[-1, 1]$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Then (see [7], Theorem 3.1) there exists a function  $G_{k,N}$  with the following properties :

$$(3.4) \quad G_{k,N} \in W_p^k[-1, 1];$$

$$|G_{k,N}(x) - f(x)| \leq c(k)\omega_k(f, x; \Delta_N(x))_1 \leq c(k)\omega_k(f, x; \Delta_N(x))_\infty;$$

$$(3.5) \quad \|(\Delta_N)^k G_{k,N}^{(k)}\|_p \leq c(k)\tau_k(f, 1; \Delta_N)_{1,p}.$$

We have also (see [5], Lemma 8.3)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{E}_n(f)_p &\leq \tilde{E}_n(g)_p + 2\tilde{E}_n(\varphi)_p + 2\|\varphi\|_p \quad \text{if } |f(x) - g(x)| \leq \varphi(x) \text{ i.e.} \\ (3.6) \quad \tilde{E}_n(f)_p &\leq \tilde{E}_n(G_{k,N})_p + 2c(k)\tilde{E}_n(\omega_k(f, \cdot; \Delta_N(\cdot)))_\infty \\ &\quad + 2c(k)\|\omega_k(f, \cdot; \Delta_N(\cdot))_\infty\|_p.\end{aligned}$$

We set  $N = 10n$ , and obtain from (3.1), (3.4), (3.5), (2.6)–(2.8)

$$\begin{aligned}(3.7) \quad \tilde{E}_n(G_{k,N})_p &\leq c(k)\|(\Delta_n)^k G_{k,N}^{(k)}\|_p \leq c(k)\|(\Delta_N)^k G_{k,N}^{(k)}\|_p \\ &\leq c(k)\tau_k(f, 1; \Delta_N)_{1,p} \leq c(k)\tau_k(f, 2\Delta_N)_p \leq c(k)\tau_k(f, \Delta_n)_p.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.6), (2.8) :

$$(3.8) \quad \|\omega_k(f, \cdot; \Delta_N(\cdot))_\infty\|_p = \tau_k(f, 1; \Delta_N)_{\infty,p} \leq \tau_k(f, 2\Delta_N)_p \leq \tau_k(f, \Delta_n)_p.$$

To estimate the second term in the right-hand side of (3.8) we use the following property of the function  $\Delta_n(x)$  (see (2.5) in [7]).

$$(3.9) \quad (4\lambda - 2)^{-1}\Delta_n(x) \leq \Delta_n(y) \leq (2\lambda + \frac{3}{2})\Delta_n(x)$$

if  $|x - y| \leq \lambda\Delta_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 2\lambda$ .

We put  $g(x) = \omega_k(f, x; \Delta_N(x))_\infty \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1(g, x; \Delta_n(x)) &= \sup \{|g(t) - g(t')| ; x - \Delta_n(x)/2 \leq t, t' \leq x + \Delta_n(x)/2\} \\ &\leq \sup \{g(t) ; x - \Delta_n(x)/2 \leq t \leq x + \Delta_n(x)/2\}\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sup \{ \sup \{ |\Delta_h^k f(t)| ; |h| \leq \Delta_N(t) \} ; x - \Delta_n(x)/2 \leq t \leq x + \Delta_n(x)/2 \}.$$

Since  $|x - t| \leq \Delta_n(x)/2$ , we obtain from (3.9)  $\Delta_n(t) \leq (5/2) \Delta_n(x)$  and  $t + kh \leq x + \Delta_n(x)/2 + k\Delta_N(t) \leq x + \Delta_n(x)/2 + (k/10) \Delta_n(t) \leq x + \frac{\Delta_n(x)}{2}(1 + \frac{k}{2}) \leq x + (k/2)\Delta_n(x)$  and also  $t + kh \geq x - (k/2)\Delta_n(x)$ . Then  $\omega_1(g, x; \Delta_n(x)) \leq \sup \{ |\Delta_h^k f(t)| ; x - (k/2)\Delta_n(x) \leq t, t + kh \leq x + (k/2)\Delta_n(x) \} = \omega_k(f, x; \Delta_n(x))$ .

From this inequality and Theorem 1 it follows :

$$(3.10) \quad \tilde{E}_n(\omega_k(f, \cdot; \Delta_N(\cdot)))_p \leq c\tau_1(g; \Delta_n)_p \leq c\tau_k(f; \Delta_n)_p.$$

Using (3.7), (3.8) and (3.10) in (3.6) we obtain  $\tilde{E}_n(f)_p \leq c(k)\tau_k(f; \Delta_n)_p$ , which proves the theorem.

We are going to make an application of Theorem 2. Let us denote by  $K^M$  the set of all convex functions in  $[-1, 1]$  such that  $\max \{f(x) ; -1 \leq x \leq 1\} - \min \{f(x) ; -1 \leq x \leq 1\} \leq M$  and  $\tilde{E}_n(K^M) = \sup \{ \tilde{E}_n(f)_p ; f \in K^M \}$ .

Then

$$(3.11) \quad \tilde{E}_n(f)_p \leq cn^{-2/p}\omega(f; n^{-(p-1)/p}) \quad \text{if } f \text{ is convex}$$

$$(3.12) \quad \tilde{E}_n(K^M)_p \leq cMn^{-2/p}.$$

Inequalities (3.11) and (3.12) are obtained using Theorem 2 in special case  $k=2$  and their proofs do not essentially differ from the proofs of analogous inequalities for the best algebraic approximation given in [14]. The order of  $n$  is exact in the estimate (3.12).

#### 4. Salem – Stečkin's type converse theorem for the best onesided algebraic approximation

We use the inequality :

$$(4.1) \quad \|(n\Delta_n)^k Q^{(k)}\|_p \leq c(k)n_0^k \|Q\|_p$$

if  $Q \in H_{n_0}$ ,  $n_0 \leq n$ .

Inequality (4.1) is a corollary from Potapov's inequality

$$\|(\sqrt{1-x^2} + n^{-1})^{k+\mu} Q^{(k)}(x)\|_p \leq c(k, \mu, p) n^k \|(\sqrt{1-x^2} + n^{-1})^\mu Q(x)\|_p$$

which is proved in [11]. See also [12] and [10].

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Let  $P_v Q_v \in H_v$  be such, that  $P_v(x) \geq f(x) \geq Q_v(x)$ ,  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $\|P_v - Q_v\|_p = \tilde{E}_v(f)_p$  for any integer number  $v$ . Let  $x \in [-1, 1]$  and  $t, t + kh \in [x - (k/2)\Delta_n(x), x + (k/2)\Delta_n(x)] \cap [-1, 1]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Delta_h^k f(t)| &= \sigma \Delta_h^k f(t) = \sigma \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k+m} \binom{k}{m} f(t+mh) \leq \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} P_n(t+mh) \\
&- \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} Q_n(t+mh) = \sigma \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k+m} \binom{k}{m} P_n(t+mh) + \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k}{m} (P_n(t+mh) \\
&- Q_n(t+mh) - P_n(x) + Q_n(x)) + c(k, \sigma) (P_n(x) - Q_n(x)) \leq |\Delta_h^k P_n(t)| \\
&+ c(k) \omega_1(P_n - Q_n, x; k \Delta_n(x)) + c(k) (P_n(x) - Q_n(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

( $\sigma = \pm 1$ ), where  $\Sigma'$  is taken on these  $m$  for which  $\sigma(-1)^{m+k} = 1$ , and  $\Sigma''$  is taken on these  $m$  for which  $\sigma(-1)^{m+n} = -1$ .

We get from here  $\omega_k(f, x; \Delta_n(x)) \leq \omega_k(P_n, x; \Delta_n(x)) + c(k) \omega_1(P_n - Q_n, x; k \Delta_n(x)) + c(k) (P_n(x) - Q_n(x))$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad \tau_k(f, \Delta_n)_p &\leq \tau_k(P_n; \Delta_n)_p + c(k) \tau_1(P_n - Q_n; k \Delta_n)_p + c(k) \|P_n - Q_n\|_p \\
&\leq \tau_k(P_n; \Delta_n)_p + c(k) \tau_1(P_n - Q_n; \Delta_n)_p + c(k) \tilde{E}_n(f)_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.1) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad \tau_1(P_n - Q_n; \Delta_n)_p &\leq c \| (P_n - Q_n)' \Delta_n \|_p = cn^{-1} \| (n \Delta_n)(P_n - Q_n)' \|_p \\
&\leq c \| P_n - Q_n \|_p = c \tilde{E}_n(f)_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us first consider the case  $n = 2^{s_0}$ . From (2.1), (2.3) and (4.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad \tau_k(P_n; \Delta_n)_p &\leq \sum_{s=1}^{s_0} \tau_k(P_{2s} - P_{2s-1}; \Delta_n)_p + \tau_k(P_1 - P_0; \Delta_n)_p \\
&\leq c(k) \sum_{s=1}^{s_0} \| (P_{2s} - P_{2s-1})^{(k)} (\Delta_n)^k \|_p + c(k) \| (\Delta_n)^k (P_1 - P_0)^{(k)} \|_p \\
&= c(k) n^{-k} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{s_0} \| (n \Delta_n)^k (P_{2s} - P_{2s-1})^{(k)} \|_p + \| (n \Delta_n)^k (P_1 - P_0)^{(k)} \|_p \right) \\
&\leq c(k) n^{-k} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{s_0} (2^s)^k \| P_{2s} - P_{2s-1} \|_p + \| P_1 - P_0 \|_p \right) \\
&\leq c(k) n^{-k} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{s_0} 2^{sk} (\| P_{2s} - f \|_p + \| f - P_{2s-1} \|_p) + \| P_1 - f \|_p + \| f - P_0 \|_p \right) \\
&\leq c(k) n^{-k} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{s_0} 2^{sk} \tilde{E}_{2s-1}(f)_p + \tilde{E}_0(f)_p \right) = c(k) n^{-k} (\tilde{E}_0(f)_p + 2^k \tilde{E}_1(f)_p)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{S=2}^{s_0} 2^{2k} \sum_{i=2^{S-2}+1}^{2^S-1} (2^{S-2})^{k-1} \tilde{E}_{2^S-1}(f)_p \leq c(k) n^{-k} (\tilde{E}_0(f)_p + 2^k \tilde{E}_1(f)_p) \\
& + \sum_{S=2}^{s_0} 2^{2k} \sum_{i=2^{S-2}+1}^{2^S-1} (i+1)^{k-1} \tilde{E}_i(f)_p \leq c(k) n^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \tilde{E}_i(f)_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.3) and (4.4) in (4.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad \tau_k(f; \Delta_n)_p & \leq c(k) \tilde{E}_n(f)_p + c(k) n^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \tilde{E}_i(f)_p \\
& \leq c(k) n^{-k} \left( \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \tilde{E}_i(f)_p + \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \tilde{E}_i(f)_p \right) \\
& \leq c(k) n^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \tilde{E}_i(f)_p
\end{aligned}$$

if  $n = 2^{s_0}$ .

Now let  $2^{s_0} < n < 2^{s_0+1}$ . Using (4.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_k(f; \Delta_n)_p & \leq \tau_k(f; \Delta_{2^{s_0}})_p \leq c(k) (2^{s_0})^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s_0}} (i+1)^{k-1} \tilde{E}_i(f)_p \\
& \leq c(k) \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \tilde{E}_i(f)_p = c(k) n^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^n (i+1)^{k-1} \tilde{E}_i(f)_p
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem.

Now Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 give

**Corollary 2.** If  $k$  is an integer number, and  $0 < \alpha < k$ , then  
 $\tilde{E}_n(f)_p = O(n^{-\alpha}) \Leftrightarrow \tau_k(f; \Delta_n)_p = O(n^{-\alpha})$ .

## References

1. P. Nevai. Einseitige Approximation durch Polynome mit Anwendungen. *Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **23**, 1972, 495-506.
2. V. A. Popov. Converse theorem for one-sided trigonometrical approximation. *Comp. Rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **30**, 1977, 1529-1532.
3. A. С. Андреев, В. А. Попов, Бл. Сенцов. Теоремы типа Джексона для наилучших односторонних приближений тригонометрическими полиномами и сплайнами. *Мат. заметки*, **26**, 1979, 791-804.
4. V. A. Popov, A. S. Andreev. Steckins type theorems for one-sided trigonometrical and spline approximation. *Comp. Rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **31**, 1978, 151-154.
5. Бл. Сенцов, В. Попов. Усреднени модули на гладкост. С., 1983.
6. G. Freud. Über einseitige Approximation durch Polynome I. *Acta Sci. Math.*, **16**, 1955, 12-18.
7. K. G. Ivanov. A constructive characteristic of the best algebraic approximation in  $L_p$   $[-1, 1]$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). *Constructive function theory'81*. Sofia, 1983, 357-367.

8. K. G. Ivanov. On a new characteristic of functions I. *Serdica*, **8**, 1982, 262-279.
9. Бл. Сендов. Апроксимация относительно хаусдорфова расстояния. Диссертация. М., 1967.
10. K. G. Ivanov. On a new characteristic of functions II. Direct and converse theorem for the best algebraic approximation in  $C[-1, 1]$  and  $L_p[-1, 1]$ . *Pliska*, **5**, 1983, 151-163.
11. М. К. Потапов. О приближении многочленами Якоби. Вестник МГУ. 1960, № 5, 70-82.
12. В. И. Иванов. Некоторые экстремальные свойства полиномов и обратные неравенства теории приближения. *Труды Мат. инст. АН СССР*, **145**, 1980, 79-109.
13. K. G. Ivanov. Approximation of convex functions by means of polynomials and polygons in  $L_1$ -metric. Approximation and function spaces. *Proc. Conf. Gidansk*, 1979, 287-293.
14. M. P. Stojanova. Approximation of convex functions by algebraic polynomials in  $L_p[a, b]$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ). *Serdica*, **11**, 1985, 392-397.

Centre for Mathematics and Mechanics  
1090 Sofia P.O.Box 373

Received 04. 05. 1986