

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# **Mathematica Balkanica**

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## Direct Estimation for Approximation by Bernstein Polynomials in $L_p[0, 1]$ . ( $0 < p < 1$ )

Gancho T. Tachev\*

Presented by V. Popov

The purpose of the present paper is to evaluate the error of the approximation of the function  $f(x)$ , defined in  $[0, 1]$  by Bernstein polynomials in  $L_p$ -metric ( $0 < p < 1$ ).

### 1. Main Result

In this paper we consider the approximation of a function  $f(x)$ , defined in  $[0, 1]$  by Bernstein polynomials:

$$B_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(k/n) p_{n,k}(x); \quad p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}.$$

Let  $M[0, 1]$  be the set of all measurable and bounded in  $[0, 1]$  functions. We set

$$\Delta_h^k f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k-m} \binom{k}{m} f(x + mh), & \text{if } x, x + k \cdot h \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\omega_k(f, x; \delta(x)) = \sup \left\{ |\Delta_h^k f(x)| : t, t + k \cdot h \in \left[x - \frac{k \cdot \delta(x)}{2}, x + \frac{k \cdot \delta(x)}{2}\right] \right\}$$

$$\tau_k(f; \delta)_p = \|\omega_k(f, \cdot; \delta)\|_p.$$

In this paper we use for  $\delta$  the following function:

$$\delta(x) = \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)/\sqrt{n} + 1/(2n)}.$$

Now let us state our Main Result.

**Theorem:** Let  $f(x) \in M[0, 1]$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$ .

Then  $\|f(x) - B_n(f, x)\|_p \leq c(p) \cdot \tau_2(f, \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x))_p$ ,

where  $c(p)$  is a constant, depending only on the parameter  $p$ .

---

\* Supported by contract No 50-88 with the Department of Culture, Sciences and Education, Bulgaria.

**Remark :** The case  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  is proved in [3]. So we shall consider only the case  $0 < p < 1$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Taking into consideration the improvement of the approximation near the end-points of the interval, we get as an immediate consequence of the Theorem, that*

$$\|B_n f - f\|_p \leq c(p) \cdot \tau_2(f, 1/\sqrt{n})_p.$$

This inequality is an analogue of Theorem 4.1 in [1] for the case  $0 < p < 1$ .

**Corollary 2.** *If  $g(x)$  is a piecewise linear function, defined on  $[0, 1]$ , then*

$$\|B_n g - g\|_p \leq c(p) \cdot (1/\sqrt{n})^{1+1/p} = o(1/n), \quad 0 < p < 1.$$

So we obtain that the order of approximation of the function  $g(x)$  by Bernstein operator in  $L_p$ -metric ( $0 < p < 1$ ) is better than the saturation order of the same operator for the case  $1 < p \leq \infty$  (see [3]).

In sections 2., 3., and 4. we shall evaluate by  $\tau_2(f, \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x))_p$ , respectively  $\|f - S\|_p$ ,  $\|S - B_n S\|_p$  and  $\|B_n S - B_n f\|_p$ . ( $S$  is an appropriate auxiliary function.) The proof of the Theorem follows from the above estimations.

## 2. Estimation for $\|f - S\|_p$

We denote:

$$\begin{cases} b_0 = 0, \\ b_1 = b_0 + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_0), \\ b_2 = b_1 + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_1), \\ \dots \\ b_{i+1} = b_i + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i), \\ \dots \\ b_N \leq 1, \quad b_{N+1} > 1, \end{cases}$$

and let  $S(x)$  be the piecewise linear function, which interpolates  $f(x)$  at the points  $b_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, N$ .

For  $x \in [b_i, b_{i+1}]$ , it follows (see Lemma 2.3 in [1])

$$(2.1) \quad |f(x) - S(x)| \leq \omega_2(f, [b_i, b_{i+1}]) \leq \omega_2(f, x; \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i)).$$

From (2.1) in [2], for  $\lambda = 1$  we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{6} \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i) \leq \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x) \leq \frac{7}{2} \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i), \quad \text{for } x : |x - b_i| \leq \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i).$$

Then for  $x \in [b_i, b_{i+1}]$ , the following inequality

$$|f(x) - S(x)| \leq \omega_2(f, x; 6 \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x))$$

holds true. Taking the  $p$ -powers of both sides and integrating we obtain

$$\|f - S\|_p^p \leq \tau_2(f; 6 \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x))^p.$$

We can take the const 6 out of the modulus as it is shown in [3] (see p. 426). So we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \|f - S\|_p \leq c(p) \cdot \tau_2(f, \Delta_{\sqrt{n}})_p.$$

### 3. Estimation for $\|S - B_n S\|_p$

Let us suppose that  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ . Then  $S(x)$  may be written as follows

$$S(x) = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i (x - b_i)_+.$$

Later we prove that

$$(3.1) \quad \|B_n(x - b_i)_+ - (x - b_i)_+\|_p^p \leq c(p) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{p+1}(b_i). \text{ Then}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \|B_n S - S\|_p^p \leq c(p) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N |a_i|^p \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{p+1}(b_i).$$

Let  $f_i = f(b_i) = S(b_i)$ ,

$f_0 = 0$ ,

$f_1 = S(b_1) = a_0 \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_0)$ , that is  $a_0 = f_1 / \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_0)$ ,

.....

$f_{i+1} = S(b_{i+1}) = a_0 \cdot (b_{i+1} - b_0) + a_1 \cdot (b_{i+1} - b_1) + \dots + a_i \cdot (b_{i+1} - b_i)$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad a_i \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i) = f_{i+1} - D_i, \text{ where we set}$$

$$(3.4) \quad D_i = a_0 [\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_0) + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_1) + \dots + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i)]$$

$$+ a_1 [\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_1) + \dots + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i)]$$

+ .....

$$+ a_{i-1} [\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i-1}) + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i)].$$

$$(3.5) \quad S(b_i) = a_0 [\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_0) + \dots + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i-1})]$$

$$+ a_1 [\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_1) + \dots + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i-1})]$$

+ .....

$$+ a_{i-1} \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i-1}).$$

Let us rewrite (3.3) in the form

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} a_i \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i) &= f(b_{i+1}) - 2 \cdot f((b_{i-1} + b_{i+1})/2) + f(b_{i-1}) \\ &\quad + 2 \cdot f((b_{i-1} + b_{i+1})/2) - 2 \cdot S((b_{i-1} + b_{i+1})/2) \\ &\quad + 2 \cdot S((b_{i-1} + b_{i+1})/2) - f(b_{i-1}) - D_i. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of  $S(x)$ , after elementary calculations we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad 2 \cdot S((b_{i-1} + b_{i+1})/2) - f(b_{i-1}) - D_i = 0, \text{ for } b_i > \frac{1}{2} \text{ and}$$

$$2 \cdot S((b_{i-1} + b_{i+1})/2) - f(b_{i-1}) - D_i = a_i \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i) - a_i \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i-1}), \text{ for } b_i \leq 1/2.$$

In both cases, from (3.7), (3.6), (2.1) and (2.2) it follows:

$$(3.8) \quad |a_i| \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i) \leq c_1 \cdot \omega_2(f, x; c_2 \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x)), \text{ for } x \in [b_i, b_{i+1}], i \geq 1,$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are absolute constants.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{b_i}^{b_{i+1}} |a_i|^p \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^p(b_i) dx &\leq c_1^p \cdot \int_{b_i}^{b_{i+1}} \omega_2^p(f, x; c_2 \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x)) dx, \\ \sum_{i=1}^N |a_i|^p \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{p+1}(b_i) &\leq c_1^p \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{b_{i-1}}^{b_i} \omega_2^p(f, x; c_2 \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x)) dx \\ &\leq c_1^p \cdot \tau_2^p(f, c_2 \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}})_p \leq c(p) \cdot \tau_2^p(f, \Delta_{\sqrt{n}})_p. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we use the property of  $\tau_2$ , that we can take the constant  $c_2$  out of the modulus (see [3]). To represent the coefficient  $a_0$ , we use that  $f(1)=0$ .

$$f(1) = a_0 + a_1 \cdot (1 - b_1) + \dots + a_N \cdot (1 - b_N) = 0.$$

$$|a_0| \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |a_i|,$$

$$|a_0|^p \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{p+1}(b_0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |a_i|^p \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{p+1}(b_i).$$

From (3.2) we have

$$(3.9) \quad \|B_n S - S\|_p^p \leq c(p) \cdot \tau_2^p(f, \Delta_{\sqrt{n}})_p.$$

We only need the verification of (3.1). It follows from the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For every  $a \in [0, 1]$  and  $g(x) = |x - a|$ , the following inequality*

$$(3.10) \quad \|B_n g - g\|_p^p \leq c(p) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{p+1}(a),$$

holds.

**Proof:** It is enough to consider  $a \leq 1/2$ .

I. Let  $a \in [1/n, 1/2]$ . We choose  $\alpha$ , so that  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ .

$$(3.11) \quad \int_0^1 |B_n|x-a|-|x-a|^p dx = \int_0^{a-n^\alpha/\sqrt{n}} + \int_{a-n^\alpha/\sqrt{n}}^{a-\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)} + \int_{a-\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)}^{a+\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)} + \int_{a+\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)}^{a+n^\alpha/\sqrt{n}} + \int_{a+n^\alpha/\sqrt{n}}^1 |B_n|x-a|-|x-a|^p dx = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5.$$

From Popoviciu's Theorem (see [4]), we have

$$|g(x) - B_n g(x)| \leq 3 \cdot \omega(g, \sqrt{x \cdot (1-x)/n}) \leq 3 \cdot \omega(g, \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x)) \leq c \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a),$$

for  $x \in [a - \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a), a + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)]$ . The last inequality follows from (2.2).

$$I_3 = \int_{a-\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)}^{a+\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)} |B_n g - g|^p dx \leq \int_{a-\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)}^{a+\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)} c^p \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^p(a) \cdot dx \leq c(p) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{p+1}(a).$$

Now we evaluate  $I_1$  and  $I_5$  integrals. Let  $n^\alpha/\sqrt{n} = \delta$ . At every point  $x \in [0, a - \delta]$ ,  $g(x)$  has finite derivative  $g''(x) = 0$ . From Taylor's formula we get

$$(3.12) \quad g(k/n) = g(x) + g'(x) \cdot (k/n - x) + [g''(x)/2 + \lambda(k/n)] \cdot (k/n - x)^2,$$

where  $|\lambda(t) \cdot (t - x)^2|$  is a bounded function.

$$(3.13) \quad B_n(g, x) = B_n g(x) = g(x) + \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda(k/n) \cdot (k/n - x)^2 \cdot p_{n,k}(x),$$

$$(3.14) \quad |B_n g - g| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n |\lambda(k/n)| (k/n - x)^2 \cdot p_{n,k}(x) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$$

$\Sigma_1 = 0$ , because in (3.12)  $g(k/n) = g(x) + g'(x) \cdot (k/n - x)$ , that is  $\lambda(k/n) = 0$ . Let  $M$  be an upper bound of bounded function  $|\lambda(t) \cdot (t - x)^2|$ . We use the following statement [see Lemma 3. in [4], p. 248]

$$\sum_{k: |k/n - x| \geq \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^\beta} p_{n,k}(x) \leq K(2m) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2m \cdot (1/2 - \beta)},$$

where  $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $m \in N$ ,  $K$  is a constant, depending only on  $m$ .

It follows that  $\Sigma_2 \leq M \cdot K(2m) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2m\alpha}$ , for  $\beta = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha$ . The parameter  $m$  is so chosen, that the following inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2m\alpha} \leq \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{1+1/p} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \leq \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{1+1/p}(a), \quad a \in [1/n, 1/2]$$

holds. Then from (3.14) we get

$$|g(x) - B_n g(x)| \leq c(p) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{p+1}(a), \quad \text{for } x \in [0, a - n^\alpha/\sqrt{n}] \text{ and}$$

$|I_1| \leq c(p) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{p+1}(a)$ . Analogous is the estimation for the  $I_5$  integral. Now let us consider the  $I_4$  integral.

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(g, x) - g(x) &= \rho_{n,a}(x) \\ \int_{a + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)}^{a + n^\alpha/\sqrt{n}} \rho_{n,a}^p(x) dx &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n^\gamma} \rho_{n,a}^p(a + i \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a), \quad \text{where} \\ a + ([n^\gamma] + 1) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a) &> a + n^\alpha/\sqrt{n} \quad \text{and} \quad a + [n^\gamma] \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a) \leq a + n^\alpha/\sqrt{n}. \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to prove that

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n^\gamma} \rho_{n,a}^p(a + i \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)) \leq c(p) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^p(a), \quad \text{for } a \in [1/n, 1/2],$$

$$\rho_{n,a}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[na]} (2a - 2 \cdot \frac{k}{n}) \cdot p_{n,k}(x). \quad \text{Let } k = [na],$$

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{p_{n,k}(a + 2 \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a))}{p_{n,k}(a + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a))} \leq \left(\frac{a + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)}{a}\right)^{[na]} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - a - \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a)}{1 - a}\right)^{n - [na]}$$

We set in (3.16)  $a = 1/2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_{n,[n/2]}(1/2 + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(1/2))}{p_{n,[n/2]}(1/2)} &\approx \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq \exp(-1/2) = q < 1, \\ (3.17) \quad \frac{p_{n,[n/2]}(1/2 + (i+1)\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(1/2))}{p_{n,[n/2]}(1/2 + i\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(1/2))} &< \frac{p_{n,[n/2]}(1/2 + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(1/2))}{p_{n,[n/2]}(1/2)} \leq q, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $i \in [0, n^\gamma]$ ;  $k \in [0, [na]]$ , because the degree of the first multiplicand in (3.16) decreases, but of the second increases. So

$$\frac{\rho_{n,1/2}(1/2 + (i+1)\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(1/2))}{\rho_{n,1/2}(1/2 + i\Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(1/2))} \leq q, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n^\gamma.$$

From (3.15) we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \rho_{n,1/2}^p (1/2 + i \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(1/2)) \leq \frac{1}{1-q^p} \cdot \rho_{n,1/2}^p (1/2 + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(1/2)).$$

The Popoviciu's theorem and (2.2) give

$$\rho_{n,1/2}^p (1/2 + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(1/2)) \leq c(p) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^p (1/2).$$

(3.15) is proved for  $a=1/2$ . Following the considerations from (3.16) downward, to prove (3.15) for  $a \in [1/n, 1/2]$  it is enough to prove that

$$(3.18) \quad \varphi(a) = \frac{p_{n,[na]}(a + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a))}{p_{n,[na]}(a)} \leq q < 1.$$

The last assertion follows from Lemma 4.1 in the section 4. The estimation for the  $I_2$  integral in (3.11) is similar to that for the  $I_4$ .

II. Let  $a < 1/n$ . We introduce the function  $h(x) = \begin{cases} \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a), & 0 \leq x \leq a, \\ 0, & a < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |B_n| |x-a| - |x-a|^p dx &= 2 \cdot \int_0^1 |B_n(a-x)_+ - (a-x)_+|^p dx \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \int_0^1 B_n^p (a-x)_+ dx \leq 2 \cdot \int_0^1 B_n^p h(x) dx \leq 2 \cdot c(p) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}^{p+1}(a). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from Lemma 4.2 in section 4. So we proved Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof of (3.1) and (3.9).

#### 4. Estimation for $\|B_n f - B_n S\|_p$

We start with the following Lemma:

**Lemma 4.1.** For  $a \in [0, 1/2]$ , the following inequality

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi(a) = \frac{p_{n,[na]}(a + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(a))}{p_{n,[na]}(a)} \leq q < 1$$

holds true.

**P r o o f :**

$$\varphi(a) = [1 + \sqrt{(1-a)/(na)} + 1/(2na)]^{[na]} \cdot [1 - \sqrt{a/(n \cdot (1-a))} - 1/(2n(1-a))]^{n-[na]}.$$

If  $a < 2/n$ , then  $\varphi(a) \leq \exp(-1/2) = q < 1$ .

Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na = c \geq 2$ ,  $c = \text{const}$ . We have

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi(a) \approx \psi(c) \cdot \exp(-1/2), \text{ where } \psi(c) \text{ denotes}$$

$$(4.3) \quad \psi(c) = [1 + \sqrt{(1 - c/n)/c} + 1/(2 \cdot c)]^c \cdot \exp(-\sqrt{c \cdot (1 - c/n)}).$$

We use that

$$(4.4) \quad \ln(1+x) \leq x - x^2/2 + x^3/3, \text{ for } x \in (0, 1).$$

From (4.4) and (4.3) we obtain

$$\ln \psi(c) \leq c/(2 \cdot n) + (1/\sqrt{c} + 3/(2 \cdot c))/3 \leq c/(2n) + (1/\sqrt{2} + 3/4)/3.$$

Then the following inequality

$$(4.5) \quad \psi(c) \cdot \exp(-1/2) < \exp(-d),$$

holds, where  $d$  is an absolute positive constant and  $d < 1/2 - (1/\sqrt{2} + 3/4)/3$ .

Now let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} na = \infty$ . A simple calculation and (4.4) give

$$\ln \varphi(a) = -\frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{na}}\right), \text{ that is } \varphi(a) \approx \exp(-1/2). \text{ So (4.1) is proved.}$$

**Lemma 4.2.** By denotations in section 2. we have

$$(4.6) \quad \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{b_i \leq k/n \leq b_{i+1}} p_{n,k}(x) \right)^p dx \leq c(p) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i), \quad i = 0, \dots, N.$$

**Proof:** We set  $R_{i,n}(x) = \sum_{b_i \leq k/n \leq b_{i+1}} p_{n,k}(x)$ ,

$$\int_0^1 R_{i,n}^p(x) dx = \int_0^{b_i} + \int_{b_i}^{b_{i+1}} + \int_{b_{i+1}}^1 R_{i,n}^p(x) dx = I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

The estimation for  $I_2$  is obvious. We consider  $I_3$ .

$$I_3 \leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq m_i} R_{i,n}^p(b_{i+1} + j \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i+1})) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i+1}), \text{ where}$$

$$b_{i+1} + ([m_i] + 1) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i+1}) > 1 \text{ and } b_{i+1} + [m_i] \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i+1}) \leq 1.$$

It is easy to notice that for  $k_0 = [n \cdot b_{i+1}]$  we have

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{P_{n,k_0}(b_{i+1} + \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i+1}))}{P_{n,k_0}(b_{i+1})} \geq \frac{P_{n,k_0}(b_{i+1} + j \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i+1}))}{P_{n,k_0}(b_{i+1} + (j-1) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i+1}))}, \quad j \geq 2.$$

Using (4.1), (4.7) and the considerations in 3. from (3.15) to (3.18) we get

$$I_3 \leq \frac{1}{1-q^p} \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_{i+1}) \leq c(p) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i).$$

The estimation for  $I_1$  is similar to the above with a slightly modification of the function  $\varphi(a)$ . The proof of Lemma 4.2 is completed. Let us formulate and prove the following basic inequality

**Lemma 4.3.** *For every sequence  $\{a_k\}$  with the property*

$$\begin{cases} a_k \geq 0, k=0, 1, \dots, n \\ a_k = 0, \text{ otherwise, we have} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.8) \quad \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \cdot p_{n,k}(x) \right|^p dx \leq c(p) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \max_{\left| \frac{j}{n} - \frac{k}{n} \right| \leq 6 \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)} |a_j|^p.$$

**Proof:** Using Lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$(4.9) \quad \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \cdot p_{n,k}(x) \right|^p dx \leq \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \int_{b_i \leq k/n \leq b_{i+1}} \sum_{a_k} |a_k p_{n,k}(x)|^p dx$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^N c(p) \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i) \cdot \max_{b_i \leq k/n \leq b_{i+1}} |a_k|^p. \text{ It is obviously, that}$$

$$(4.10) \quad \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i) \cdot \max_{nb_i \leq k \leq nb_{i+1}} |a_k|^p \leq \frac{2}{n} \cdot \sum_{nb_i \leq k \leq nb_{i+1}} \max_{\left| \frac{j}{n} - \frac{k}{n} \right| \leq \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i)} |a_j|^p.$$

Now (4.9), (4.10) and (2.2) give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^n a_k p_{n,k}(x) \right|^p dx &\leq c(p) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{nb_i \leq k \leq nb_{i+1}} \max_{\left| \frac{j}{n} - \frac{k}{n} \right| \leq \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(b_i)} |a_j|^p \\ &\leq c(p) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^n \max_{\left| \frac{j}{n} - \frac{k}{n} \right| \leq 6 \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)} |a_j|^p. \end{aligned}$$

We are ready to estimate  $\|B_n f - B_n S\|_p^p$ . Using (2.1) in [2] and Lemma 4.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_n f - B_n S\|_p^p &= \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \left( f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - S\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right) \cdot p_{n,k}(x) \right|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_2(f, \frac{k}{n}; 6 \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)) \cdot p_{n,k}(x) \right|^p dx \\ &\leq c(p) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^n \max_{\left| \frac{j}{n} - \frac{k}{n} \right| \leq 6 \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)} \omega_2^p(f, \frac{j}{n}; 6 \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{j}{n}\right)) \\ &\leq c(p) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_2^p(f, \frac{k}{n}; c \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)), \quad c = \text{const} \\ \frac{1}{n} \cdot \omega_2^p(f, \frac{k}{n}; c \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)) &\leq \int_{k/n}^{(k+1)/n} \omega_2^p(f, x; c' \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

After summing over  $k$  in the last inequality we get

$$(4.11) \|B_n f - B_n S\|_p^p \leq c_1(p) \cdot \tau_2^p(f; c' \cdot \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x))_p \leq c_2(p) \cdot \tau_2^p(f, \Delta_{\sqrt{n}}(x))_p.$$

Finally the proof of the Main Theorem follows immediately from (2.3), (3.9) and (4.11).

### References

1. Бл. Сендов, В. А. Попов. Усреднени модули на гладкост. С., 1983.
2. K. G. Ivanov. A Constructive Characteristic of the Best Algebraic Approximations in  $L_p[-1, 1]$ , ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). Конструктивная теория функций, 81, С., 1983, 357-367.
3. K. G. Ivanov. Approximation by Bernstein Polynomials in  $L_p$ -Metric. Constructive Theory of Functions'84. S., 1984, 421-428.
4. И. П. Натансон. Конструктивная теория функций. М., 1948.

*Institute of Mathematics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
1090 Sofia, P. O. Box 373  
BULGARIA*

*Received 29. 03. 88*