

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## Some Notes on Locally Convex Topologies on $L(X)$

Edvard Kramar

Presented by Ž. Mijajlović

Some results on locally convex topologies on algebras of bounded linear operators acting on Banach and Hilbert space are proved.

Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space. Its topology  $t$  is usually given by some family of seminorms  $P$ . Define for a given  $P$  the following set

$$X_P = \{x \in X : \sup_{p \in P} p(x) < \infty\}.$$

It is a normed subspace of  $X$  with respect to the norm

$$(1) \quad \|x\|_P = \sup_{p \in P} p(x), \quad x \in X_P.$$

The set  $X_P$  depends on the choice of the family  $P$ . For example if  $X$  is a normed space, then  $P = \{\|\cdot\|\}$  and  $P' = \{n\|\cdot\|, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  define the same topology, but  $X_P = X$  and  $X_{P'} = \{0\}$ . We will consider the situation where  $X_P = X$  for some  $P$ . In this case the norm (1) induces on  $X$  a topology  $t'$  finer than the original one. As a simple consequence of the open mapping theorem we obtain

**Theorem 1.** *If  $X$  is an infrabarrelled, normcomplete locally convex space and  $X_P = X$  for some family  $P$  of seminorms on  $X$  then  $X$  is normable.*

**Proof.** Since  $X$  is infrabarrelled and normcomplete it is actually barrelled ([5]). Let us prove that  $X$  is also complete with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_P$ . The normcompleteness of  $X$  means that for each closed, convex, balanced and bounded set  $B$  in  $X$  its linear span  $V(B)$  is complete with respect to the Minkowski functional  $p_B(x) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda B\}$  (see [6]). The unit sphere  $S_P = \{x \in X : \|x\|_P \leq 1\}$  is clearly convex, balanced, bounded and closed with respect to the original topology  $t$  and its Minkowski functional is equal to  $\|\cdot\|_P$ . Since  $V(S_P) = X$  the  $\|\cdot\|_P$  — completeness of  $X$  follows immediately. Since  $t \subset t'$ ,

the identity map from the Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|_p)$  onto the barrelled space  $(X, P)$  is continuous and by the open mapping theorem ([8]) it follows  $t=t'$ .

**Corollary 2.** *A Fréchet locally convex space  $X$  for which  $X_P=X$  for some family  $P$ , is normable.*

We will consider in the following the above situation on some operator algebras. Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $L(X)$  the algebra of bounded linear operators on  $X$ . We can introduce several locally convex topologies in  $L(X)$ . The most significant are the weak and the strong operator topology (wo- resp. so-topology). Let us define for  $A \in L(X) : q_{x,f}(A) = |f(Ax)|$  and  $p_x(A) = \|Ax\|$ , where  $x \in X$  and  $f \in X'$ . Then the wo- and the so-topology can be defined with the following families of seminorms respectively :

$$(2) \quad P^{wo} = \{q_{x,f} : x \in X, f \in X', \|x\| = \|f\| = 1\},$$

$$(3) \quad P^{so} = \{p_x : x \in X, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space, then  $L(X)$  is sequentially complete with respect to the so-topology and it is normcomplete with respect to the wo-topology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a so-Cauchy sequence, then  $\{A_n x\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  for each  $x \in X$ , and hence it is for each  $x \in X$  convergent to some  $y \in X$ . Defining  $y = Ax$ ,  $A$  is clearly linear. Since the sequence  $\{A_n x\}$  converges it is pointwise bounded and from the uniform boundedness theorem there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\|A_n\| \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The inequality  $\|Ax\| \leq \|(A - A_n)x\| + \|A_n x\|$ , implies  $\|A\| \leq M$ , thus  $A_n \xrightarrow{so} A$  in  $L(X)$ . Since the wo-topology is one of the weak topologies of the dual pair  $(L(X), L(X)')$  it is normcomplete (see [1]).

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space. If on  $L(X)$  there exists a norm which is so-continuous then  $X$  is finite-dimensional.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\|\cdot\|'$  is a so-continuous norm on  $L(X)$ . Then there exists  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$  and  $c > 0$  such that

$$(4) \quad \|A\|' \leq c \cdot \max \{ \|Ax_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}, \quad A \in L(X).$$

Let us denote by  $Y$  the linear span of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  and let  $m = \dim Y$ . By the Auerbach theorem (see [2]) there are linearly independent  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m \in Y$  and  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \in X'$  such that  $\|e_i\| = \|f_i\| = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $f_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ;  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Consequently, we have  $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j(x_i) e_j$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Denoting

$P = \sum_{j=1}^m e_j \otimes f_j$ , it is a projector on  $Y$  and let a subspace  $Z \subset X$  be such that  $X = Y \oplus Z$  is a decomposition of  $X$  made by  $P$ . For  $Q = I - P$  we have  $Qx_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and by (4) it follows  $\|Q\|' = 0$  and  $X = Y$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. If  $L(X)$  is infrabarrelled with respect to the wo- or the so-topology then  $\dim X < \infty$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $q_{x,f}(A) = |f(Ax)| \leq \|A\|$  for all  $q_{x,f} \in P^{wo}$ , it follows  $L(X)_{P^{wo}} = L(X)$ , and by lemma 3 it is wo-normcomplete. By assumption it is infrabarrelled with respect to this topology, hence by Theorem 1 it is normable and by lemma 4, which holds also for wo-continuous norms,  $X$  is finite-dimensional. Similarly, we have  $L(X)_{P^{so}} = L(X)$ , by lemma 3 it is so-sequentially complete and so-normcomplete too. We assumed infrabarrelledness, so this topology is by Theorem 1 normable, and by lemma 4  $\dim X < \infty$ .

Since infrabarrelled locally convex spaces contain barrelled and also bornological spaces, we have

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $X$  be an infinite-dimensional Banach space. Then  $L(X)$  is neither barrelled nor bornological with respect to the wo- and the so-topology.*

Let now  $X$  be again a locally convex space with the system of seminorms  $P$ . An operator  $T \in L(X)$  is called  $P$ -finite ([6]) if there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $p(Tx) \leq M \cdot p(x)$  for all  $p \in P$  and  $x \in X$ . The set of all such operators  $B_P(X)$  is normed algebra with respect to the norm  $\|T\|_P = \sup \{p(Tx) : x \in X, p \in P, p(x) \leq 1\}$ . Let us address the question under what condition an operator on  $L(X)$  is  $P^{so}$ - or  $P^{wo}$ -finite, where  $X$  is a normed space. Let  $\hat{A}$  denote a linear operator from  $L(X)$  into  $L(X)$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space, then for the family  $P^{so}$  from (2) the following two assertions hold*

(i) *each operator of the form  $\hat{A}S = AS$ , where  $A, S \in L(X)$ ,  $A$  fixed, is  $P^{so}$ -finite on  $L(X)$  and  $\|\hat{A}\|_{P^{so}} = \|A\|$ .*

(ii) *if  $\hat{A}$  is  $P^{so}$ -finite on  $L(X)$  and  $\hat{A}(ST) = (\hat{A}S)T$  for all  $S, T \in L(X)$ , then there is an  $A \in L(X)$  such that  $\hat{A}S = AS$ ,  $S \in L(X)$  and  $\|\hat{A}\|_{P^{so}} = \|A\|$ .*

**Proof.** (i): Clearly  $p_x(\hat{A}S) \leq \|A\| p_x(S)$ , for all  $p_x \in P^{so}$  and  $S \in L(X)$ . Thus  $A$  is  $P^{so}$ -finite and  $\|\hat{A}\|_{P^{so}} \leq \|A\|$ . On the other hand  $\|\hat{A}\|_{P^{so}} = \sup \{p_x(AS) : x \in X, S \in L(X), p_x(S) \leq 1\} \geq \sup \{p_x(A) : x \in X, p_x(I) \leq 1\} = \|A\|$ .

(ii): Choose arbitrary  $z \in X$ ,  $\|z\| = 1$  and  $f \in X'$  such that  $f(z) = 1$  and define  $A \in L(X)$  with the equation  $Ax = (\hat{A}(x \otimes f))z$ ,  $x \in X$ . For any  $S \in L(X)$  it follows:  $(AS)x = (\hat{A}(Sx \otimes f))z = (\hat{A}(S(x \otimes f)))z = (\hat{A}S)x$ . Clearly,  $A$  is linear and continuous because of  $\|Ax\| = \|(\hat{A}(x \otimes f))z\| = p_z(\hat{A}(x \otimes f)) \leq \|\hat{A}\|_{P^{so}} \cdot p_z(x \otimes f) = \|\hat{A}\|_{P^{so}} \cdot \|x\|$ . By (i) the equality of norms follows immediately.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space. Then an operator  $\hat{A}$  is  $P^{wo}$ -finite on  $L(X)$  if and only if it is of the form  $\hat{A} = \alpha \hat{I}$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\hat{I}$  is the identity  $: L(X) \rightarrow L(X)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\hat{A}$  be  $P^{wo}$ -finite, then there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$|f(\hat{A}Sx)| \leq M |f(Sx)|; \quad S \in L(X), \quad x \in X, \quad f \in X'.$$

We first prove that for each  $S \in L(X)$  there is a  $\lambda$  (depending on  $S$ ) such that  $\hat{A}S = \lambda S$ . If this were not be the case, one could find  $S_0 \in L(X)$ ,  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\hat{A}S_0 x_0 \neq \lambda_0 S_0 x_0$ . One may suppose that  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem there exists  $g \in X'$  such that  $g(S_0 x_0) = 0$  and  $g(\hat{A}S_0 x_0) \neq 0$ . Inserting  $g, S_0$

and  $x_0$  in the above inequality we obtain a contradiction. Now it is not difficult to see that there is a  $\alpha > 0$  independent of  $S \in L(X)$  such that  $\hat{A}S = \alpha S$ ,  $S \in L(X)$ . If  $\hat{A}$  is of this type it is clearly  $P^{wo}$ -finite.

A locally convex space  $X$  is said to be  $H$ -locally convex with respect to a family  $P$ , if  $P$  consists of Hilbertian seminorms. That is, each  $p \in P$  satisfies the "parallelogram equality", hence there is a semi-inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_p$  (it needs not be positive definite) such that  $p^2(x) = (x, x)_p$ ,  $x \in X$  (see e. g. [7] and [3]). There arises a question if  $L(X)$  is an  $H$ -locally convex space with respect to the families (2) and (3) for a normed space  $X$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space. Then  $L(X)$  is*  
 i) *with respect to the family  $P^{wo}$  an  $H$ -locally convex space,*  
 ii) *with respect to the family  $P^{so}$  an  $H$ -locally convex space if and only if  $X$  is a pre-Hilbert space.*

**Proof.** i): It is easy to see that  $q_{x,f} \in P^{wo}$  are Hilbertian seminorms.  
 ii): Let  $p_x \in P^{so}$  be a Hilbertian seminorm. This implies  $\|Ax + Bx\|^2 + \|Ax - Bx\|^2 = 2\|Ax\|^2 + 2\|Bx\|^2$  for all  $A, B \in L(X)$  and  $x \in X$ . Choose arbitrary  $x, y \in X$  and let  $f \in X'$  be such that  $f(x) = 1$ . Letting  $A = I$  and  $B = y \otimes f$  in the above equality we obtain that  $\|\cdot\|$  is a Hilbertian norm on  $X$ . The "only if" part is immediate.

If  $X$  is an  $H$ -locally convex space with respect to a family  $P$  then two elements  $x, y \in X$  is said to be  $P$ -orthogonal provided  $(x, y)_p = 0$  for all semi-inner products generated by the seminorms  $p \in P$  ([3]). Note that there may be some vectors which are in relation of orthogonality with no other nontrivial vector. Let us denote by  $V(A, B)$  the linear span of operators  $A$  and  $B$  in the vector space  $L(X)$ .

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space. Then for each pair  $A, B \in L(X)$  there is a  $P^{wo}$ -orthogonal element in  $V(A, B)$  to operator  $A$  if and only if  $X$  is finite-dimensional.*

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.5 of [4] an  $H$ -locally convex space is normable if and only if in arbitrary twodimensional subspace each vector has a nontrivial orthogonal vector in the above sense. Thus, by the assumption of theorem, wo-topology on  $L(X)$  is normable and by lemma 4  $X$  is finite-dimensional.

Let now  $X$  be a Hilbert space. Besides the wo- and the so-topogy we will consider also the following locally convex topologies in  $L(X)$ : the strong operator\* topology ( $so_*$ ), the ultrastrong ( $s$ ), the ultrastrong\* topology ( $s_*$ ) and the ultraweak topology ( $w$ ) which can be defined with the following families of seminorms successively

$$P^{so_*} = \{p'_x : x \in X, \|x\| = 1\}, \text{ where } p'_x(A) = (\|Ax\|^2 + \|A^*x\|^2)^{1/2},$$

$$P^s = \left\{ p_{\underline{x}} : \underline{x} = (x_j) \subset X, \sum_1^\infty \|x_j\|^2 = 1 \right\}, \text{ where } p_{\underline{x}}^2(A) = \sum_1^\infty \|Ax_j\|^2,$$

$$P^{s_*} = \left\{ p'_{\underline{x}} : \underline{x} = (x_j) \subset X, \sum_1^\infty \|x_j\|^2 = 1 \right\}, \text{ where } p'_{\underline{x}}(A)^2 = p_{\underline{x}}^2(A) + p_{\underline{x}}^2(A^*),$$

$$P^W = \left\{ q_{x,y} : \underline{x} = (x_j), \quad \underline{y} = (y_j) \in X, \quad \sum_1^\infty \|x_j\|^2 = \sum_1^\infty \|y_j\|^2 = 1 \right\}, \text{ where}$$

$$q_{x,y}(A) = \left| \sum_1^\infty (Ax_j, y_j) \right|.$$

It is well known that for the infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $X$  all the locally convex topologies in  $L(X)$  introduced above are nonmetrizable ([9]).

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space and let  $L(X)$  be infrabarrelled with respect to one of the following topologies:  $w_0$ ,  $so$ ,  $w$ ,  $s$ ,  $so_*$  or  $s_*$ . Then  $X$  is finite-dimensional.*

**Proof.** We have to consider only the last four cases. It is easily seen that also  $L(X)_P = L(X)$  for  $P = P^W, P^S, P^{SO}$  or  $P^{S*}$ . Let us suppose that  $L(X)$  is infrabarrelled with respect to the  $w$ -topology. Since this topology is one of the topologies of the dual pair  $(L(X), L(X)')$  it is normcomplete ([1]). By Theorem 1 the  $w$ -topology is normable and  $X$  is not infinite-dimensional. Let us prove that  $L(X)$  is sequentially complete with respect to the  $s$ -topology. If  $\{A_n\}$  is any Cauchy sequence with respect to the  $s$ -topology, then it is also a  $so$ -Cauchy sequence and by lemma 3 it is  $so$ -convergent to some  $A$  in  $L(X)$ . From the proof of this lemma it follows also  $\|A\| \leq M$  and  $\|A_n\| \leq M$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us prove that  $\{A_n\}$  is also  $s$ -convergent to  $A$ . Choose arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\underline{x} = (x_j) \in X$  such that  $\sum_1^\infty \|x_j\|^2 = 1$ . Then there exists some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{j=m+1}^\infty \|x_j\|^2 < \varepsilon$  and from the  $so$ -convergence  $A_n \rightarrow A$  there is some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|(A_n - A)x_j\| < \sqrt{\varepsilon/m}$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and all  $n \geq n_0$ . For  $n \geq n_0$  we can estimate

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|(A_n - A)x_j\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \|(A_n - A)x_j\|^2 + \sum_{j=m+1}^\infty \|(A_n - A)x_j\|^2 < \varepsilon + 4M^2\varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $L(X)$  is  $s$ -sequentially complete and hence  $s$ -normcomplete. If it is infrabarrelled with respect to the  $s$ -topology it is, by Theorem 1, normable and  $\dim X < \infty$ . Let us take the  $so_*$ -topology. To prove the  $so_*$ -sequentially completeness of  $L(X)$  let  $\{A_n\}$  be a  $so_*$ -Cauchy sequence. Then  $\{A_n\}$  and  $\{A_n^*\}$  are also  $so$ -Cauchy sequences and by lemma 3 they are  $so$ -convergent to  $A$  respectively to  $B$  in  $L(X)$ . For arbitrary  $x, y \in X$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Ax, y) - (x, By)| &= |((A - A_n)x, y) + (x, (A_n^* - B)y)| \\ &\leq \|(A - A_n)x\| \cdot \|y\| + \|x\| \cdot \|(A_n^* - B)y\| \end{aligned}$$

and by  $n \rightarrow \infty$  it follows  $B = A^*$ . If  $L(X)$  is infrabarrelled with respect to the  $so_*$ -topology, this topology is, by Theorem 1, normable. In the same manner we prove that  $L(X)$  is sequentially complete also in the  $s_*$ -topology and the conclusion follows immediately for this topology too.

**Corollary 12.** *Let  $X$  be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Then  $L(X)$  is neither barrelled nor bornological with respect to all the locally convex topologies considered above.*

As for the family  $P^{SO}$  in the normed space we have

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $X$  be a pre-Hilbert space, then for the family  $P$ , where  $P = P^{SO}$  or  $P^S$ , the following two statements hold*

- i) *each operator of the form  $\hat{A}S = AS$ ,  $A, S \in L(X)$ ,  $A$  fixed, is  $P$ -finite on  $L(X)$  and  $\|\hat{A}\|_P = \|A\|$ ,*
- ii) *if  $\hat{A}$  is  $P$ -finite on  $L(X)$  and  $\hat{A}(ST) = (\hat{A}S)T$  for all  $S, T \in L(X)$ , then there exists an  $A \in L(X)$  such that  $\hat{A}S = AS$ ,  $S \in L(X)$  and  $\|\hat{A}\|_P = \|A\|$ .*

The proof is the same as for Theorem 7. Analogously as Theorem 8 one can prove

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $X$  be a pre-Hilbert space, then an operator on  $L(X)$  is  $P$ -finite, where  $P = P^{WO}$  or  $P^W$ , if and only if it is proportional to the identity.*

As is easily seen all above defined families of seminorms in the Hilbert space are Hilbertian. By Theorem 2.5 of [4] the following result holds immediately

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $X$  be a pre-Hilbert space and  $P$  one of the families  $P^{SO}$ ,  $P^{WO}$ ,  $P^S$ ,  $P^W$ ,  $P^{SO*}$  or  $P^{S*}$ . Then for each pair  $A, B \in L(X)$  there is a  $P$ -orthogonal element in  $V(A, B)$  to the operator  $A$  if and if  $X$  is finite-dimensional.*

## References

1. P. P. Carreras, J. Bonet. Barrelled locally convex spaces. Amsterdam-New York-Oxford-Tokyo, 1987.
2. H. Junek. Locally convex spaces and operator ideals. Leibzig, 1983.
3. E. Kramar. Locally convex topological vector spaces with Hilbertian seminorms. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **26**, 1981, 55-62.
4. E. Kramar. On orthogonality and on adjoint operator in H-locally convex spaces (to appear).
5. R. T. Moore. Completeness, equicontinuity and hypocontinuity in operator algebras. *J. of Functional. Anal.*, **1**, 1967, 419-442.
6. R. T. Moore. Banach algebras of operators on locally convex spaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **75**, 1969, 68-73.
7. T. Precupanu. Sur les produits scalaires dans des espaces vectoriels topologique. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, **13**, 1968, 85-90.
8. A. P. Robertson, W. J. Robertson. Topological vector spaces. Cambridge, 1964.
9. M. Takesaki. Theory of operator algebras I. New York-Heidelberg-Berlin. 1979.

Department of Mathematics  
E. K. University of Ljubljana  
Jadranska 19, 61000 Ljubljana  
YUGOSLAVIA

Received 26. 08. 1988