Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal http://www.mathbalkanica.info

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office; Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273, E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

Mathematica Balkanica

New Series Vol. 6, 1992, Fasc. 1

On First Order Partial Differential-Functional Inequalities

Barbara Zubik-Kowal

Presented by P. Kenderov

Suppose that $f: E \times \mathbb{R} \times C(E_0 \cup E, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ where $E_0, E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{1+n}$ are given sets. Differential-functional inequalities

$$D_x z(x, y) - f(x, y, z(x, y), z, D, z(x, y)) = P[z](x, y) \le 0,$$

 $(x, y) \in \text{Int } E$, are considered where $D_y z = (D_{y_1} z, \ldots, D_{y_n} z)$ and z denotes an element of the space of continuous functions from $E_0 \cup E$ to R. We prove the following result. Suppose that $P[u](x, y) \le 0$ and $P[v](x, y) \ge 0$ on Int E. If $u(x, y) \le v(x, y)$ on the initial set E_0 then under certain conditions concerning f and E we have $u(x, y) \le v(x, y)$ on E. Our result is generalization of some results on first order partial differential or differential-functional inequalities considered in [2]-[8]. It is essential fact in our considerations that f satisfies the Volterra condition and it is non-decreasing with respect to the functional argument.

1. Introduction -

We denote by C(X, Y) the set of continuous functions defined on X taking values in Y where X, Y are metric spaces. For $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define the norm $\|y\|_p = (|y_1| + \ldots + |y_n|)^{1/p}$ if $1 \le p < \infty$ and $\|y\|_{\infty} = \max\{|y_i| : 1 \le i \le n\}$. Let $p^* = \frac{1}{p-1}$ for $1 , <math>p^* = \infty$ for p = 1 and $p^* = 1$ for $p = \infty$.

$$E = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n} : x \in (0, a], \|y\|_{p} \le b - Mx\},$$

$$E_{0} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n} : x \in [-\tau_{0}, 0], \|y\|_{p} \le b\},$$

$$H_{x} = \{(\xi, \eta) = (\xi, \eta_{1}, \dots, \eta_{n}) \in E_{0} \cup E : \xi \le x\}, 0 \le x \le a,$$

where $a, b, M > 0, \tau_0 \ge 0$ and $Ma \le b$.

Assume that $f: E \times R \times C(E_0 \cup E, R) \times R^n \to R$. The paper deals with first order partial differential-functional inequalities

(1)
$$D_x z(x, y) \le f(x, y, z(x, y), z, D_y z(x, y))$$
 for $(x, y) \in \text{Int } E$.

The solution of (1) are supposed to be continuous on $E_0 \cup E$ and to have first order partial derivatives in Int E. We assume that the function f satisfies the following Volterra condition: if $z, \bar{z} \in C(E_0 \cup E, R), z = \bar{z}$ on H_x and $(x, y, s, q) \in E \times R \times R^n$ then $f(x, y, s, z, q) = f(x, y, s, \bar{z}, q)$.

First order partial differential or differential-functional inequalities have been studied by many authors under various assumptions. The classical theory of partial differential inequalities is described in [7], [11]. Solutions of differential inequalities are supposed to be of class D in the Haar pyramid, (i. e. if we denote by E the pyramid and by E_0 the initial set then $z: E_0 \cup E \rightarrow R$ is called to be a function of class D if it is continuous, possesses the derivatives $D_x z, D_y z$ in Int E and the total derivative on Fr $E \cap ((0, a) \times R)$, where (0, a] is a projection of E on time axis). Differential-functional inequalities of the Volterra type in the Haar pyramid are discussed in [4], [2], [3], [12]. There are considered solutions of class D in these papers. The following inequality

$$D_x z(x, y) \le f(x, y, z(x, y), z(x, \cdot), D_y z(x, y)),$$

where the functional argument depends only on space variable, is analysed in [2]. Another inequality

$$D_x z(x, y) \le f(x, y, A(x, y, z), D_y z(x, y)),$$

where A is an operator satisfying Volterra condition and is increasing with regard to functional argument, is considered in [3]. Differential inequalities in the following form

$$D_x z(x, y) \le \int_0^\infty f(x, y, z(x-s, y), D_y z(x, y)) dR_s(s, x, y) + g(x, y),$$

where R, g are given functions, are considered in [12]. Solutions of differential-functional inequalities cosidered in [2]-[4], [12] are supposed to be of class D in the Haar pyramid.

Now we will not need the assumption that the solutions are of class D. We will assume, however, that they possess derivatives in Int E. Such a class of solutions of differential inequalities (without functional argument) is considered in [10].

The result contained in this paper is a generalization of theorems on differential-functional inequalities given in [2]-[4], [12] and also of some results considered in the monographs [7] (Chapter IX), [11] (Chapter IX). Our result is also motivated by applications of partial differential-functional inequalities considered in [4].

Differential-integral inequalities and differential inequalities with a retarded argument are special cases of (1).

In the sequel we will use the following

Lemma 1. Suppose that:

1) $u \in C(E, R)$ and $u(0, y) \leq 0$ for $(0, y) \in E$,

2) there exists a positive number r such that for $(x, y) \in Int E$ satisfying 0 < u(x, y) < r function u possesses the derivatives $D_x u(x, y)$, $D_y u(x, y)$ and $D_x u(x, y) < M \| D_y u(x, y) \|_{p^*}$.

These assumptions imply the inequality $u(x, y) \le 0$ for $(x, y) \in E$.

The proof of the lemma you can find in [10].

2. Differential-functional inequalities

Let $S_x = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x, y) \in E_0 \cup E\}$ for $x \in [-\tau_0, a]$. Suppose that $z \in C(E_0 \cup E, \mathbb{R})$ and denote by Tz the function defined in the following way: $Tz(x) = \max\{z(x, y) : y \in S_x\}, x \in [-\tau_0, a]$. Since z is continuous, it follows that $Tz \in C([-\tau_0, a], \mathbb{R})$. (See [11], Theorem 34.1.) We thus get $T: C(E_0 \cup E, \mathbb{R}) \to C([-\tau_0, a], \mathbb{R})$.

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\alpha, \beta: X \to \mathbb{R}$ are given functions then we define max $[\alpha, \beta]: X \to \mathbb{R}$ by

 $\max [\alpha, \beta](\xi) = \max \{\alpha(\xi), \beta(\xi)\}, \xi \in X.$

The following assumption will be needed throughout the paper.

Assumption H. Suppose that the function $\sigma: [0, a] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times C([-\tau_0, a], \mathbb{R}_+) \to \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$, satisfies the following conditions:

1) σ is continuous and non-decreasing with respect to the functional argument,

2) if η , $\bar{\eta} \in C([-\tau_0, a], R_+)$, $x \in [0, a]$, $s \in R_+$ and $\eta(t) = \bar{\eta}(t)$ for $t \in [-\tau_0, x]$ then $\sigma(x, s, \eta) = \sigma(x, s, \bar{\eta})$ (Volterra condition).

We prove the following comparison lemma.

Lemma 2. Suppose that

1) assumption H is satisfied,

2) $\theta \in C([-\tau_0, 0], R_+)$ and the comparison differential-functional problem:

(2)
$$\eta'(x) = \sigma(x, \ \eta(x), \ \eta) \text{ for } x \in [0, \ a],$$
$$\eta'(x) = \overline{\theta}(x) \text{ for } x \in [-\tau_0, \ 0]$$

possesses the right-hand maximum solution θ defined on $[-\tau_0, a]$,

3) $\omega \in C(E_0 \cup E, R)$ and $\omega(x, y) \leq \overline{\theta}(x)$ for $(x, y) \in E_0$,

4) there exists a positive number r such that for $(x, y) \in \text{Int } E$ satisfying $\theta(x) < \omega(x, y) < \theta(x) + r$ function ω possesses the derivatives $D_x \omega(x, y)$, $D_y \omega(x, y)$ and satisfies

$$D_x\omega(x, y) \leq M \| D_y\omega(x, y) \|_{p^*} + \sigma(x, \omega(x, y), \max[T\omega, 0]).$$

Under these assumptions we have the estimation $\omega(x, y) \leq \theta(x)$ for $(x, y) \in E$.

Proof. From the theorem about the continuous dependence maximum solutions on right-hand sides and initial conditions we know that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ that for arbitrary $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (natural number) the initial problem:

$$\eta'(x) = \sigma(x, \eta(x), \eta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{m} \text{ for } x \in [0, a],$$

 $\eta(x) = \bar{\theta}(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{m} \text{ for } x \in [-\tau_0, 0]$

possesses the right-hand maximum solution θ_m defined on $[-\tau_0, a]$ and satisfying the condition $\lim \theta_m(x) = \theta(x)$ uniformly on [0, a]. Since

$$\theta(x) < \theta(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{m} = \theta_m(x) \text{ for } x \in [-\tau_0, 0],$$

$$\theta'(x) = \sigma(x, \theta(x), \theta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{m} \text{ for } x \in [0, a],$$

$$\theta'_m(x) = \sigma(x, \theta_m(x), \theta_m) + \frac{\varepsilon}{m} > \sigma(x, \theta_m(x), \theta_m) \text{ for } x \in [0, a],$$

it follows from the theorem on strong differential-functional inequalities that $\theta(x) < \theta_m(x)$ for $x \in [0, a]$. We choose ε such as to $\theta_m(x) < \theta(x) + \frac{r}{2}$ for $x \in [0, a]$.

Since $\theta_m'(x) > \sigma(x, \theta_m(x), \theta_m)$ for $x \in [0, a]$, it follows that there exists $0 < r^* < \frac{r}{2}$ such that $\theta_m'(x) > \sigma(x, t, g)$, $x \in [0, a]$, for an arbitrary function $g \in C([-\tau_0, a], R_+)$ satisfying $\theta_m(\tau) \le g(\tau) \le \theta_m(\tau) + r^*$, $\tau \in [-\tau_0, a]$ and for each $t \in [\theta_m(\tau), \theta_m(\tau) + r^*]$. Suppose the assertion of the lemma is false. Then there exist $x_0 \in [0, a]$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ satisfying the following two conditions:

- (i) $\omega(x, y) \le \theta(x)$ for $(x, y) \in H_{x_0}$,
- (ii) for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $(x^{(k)}, y^{(k)}) \in H_{x_0 + \delta_0}$ such that

(3)
$$\omega(x^{(k)}, y^{(k)}) > \theta(x^{(k)}) \text{ and } \lim_{k \to \infty} x^{(k)} = x_0.$$

Thus we have $T\omega(x) \le \theta(x)$ for $x \in [-\tau_0, x_0]$. Since $T\omega$ and θ are continuous functions, we conclude that there exist $0 < r^{**} < r^{*}$ and $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ such that $T\omega(x) \le \theta(x) + r^{**}$ for $x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta]$. Hence

(4)
$$T\omega(x) \leq \theta_{m}(x) + r^{*} \text{ for } x \in [x_{0}, x_{0} + \delta].$$

Let $u: H_{x_0+\delta} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined in this way $u(x,y) = \omega(x,y) - \theta_m(x)$ for $(x,y) \in H_{x_0+\delta}$. We can conclude from assumption 3) that $u \in C(H_{x_0+\delta}, \mathbb{R})$ and $u(0,y) = \omega(0,y) - \theta_m(0) < \omega(0,y) - \theta(0) \le 0$ for $\|y\|_p \le b$. We check the second condition of lemma 1. Let us assume that $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \operatorname{Int} H_{x_0+\delta}$ and $0 < u(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < r^{**}$. We see at once that $\theta(\bar{x}) < \theta_m(\bar{x}) < \omega(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < \theta_m(\bar{x}) + r^{**} < \theta(\bar{x}) + \frac{r}{2} + r^{**} < \theta(\bar{x}) + r$. From assumption 4) we derive the following estimations:

$$D_{x}\omega(\bar{x}, \ \bar{y}) = D_{x}u(\bar{x}, \ \bar{y}) + \theta'_{m}(\bar{x}) \leq M \parallel D_{y}\omega(\bar{x}, \ \bar{y}) \parallel_{p} + \sigma(\bar{x}, \ \omega(\bar{x}, \ \bar{y}), \ \max[T\omega, 0])$$

$$= M \parallel D_{y}u(\bar{x}, \ \bar{y}) \parallel_{p} + \sigma(\bar{x}, \ \omega(\bar{x}, \ \bar{y}), \ \max[T\omega, 0]).$$

Since $\theta_m(\bar{x}) < \omega(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < \theta_m(\bar{x}) + r^*$, it follows that

(5)
$$\theta'_{m}(\bar{x}) > \sigma(\bar{x}, \ \omega(\bar{x}, \ \bar{y}), \ \theta_{m}).$$

Let us denote by $g:[-\tau_0,a]\to \mathbb{R}_+$ a new continuous function such that $g=\max[\theta_m,T\omega]$. Since (4) and for $x\in[-\tau_0,x_0]$ we have the estimations $T\omega(x)\leq\theta(x)<\theta_m(x)<\theta_m(x)+r^*$, it follows that $\theta_m(x)\leq g(x)\leq\theta_m(x)+r^*$ for $x\in[-\tau_0,x_0+\delta]$. Hence from (5) we obtain $\theta_m'(\bar{x})>\sigma(\bar{x},\omega(\bar{x},\bar{y}),g)\geq\sigma(\bar{x},\omega(\bar{x},\bar{y}),g)$ max $[0,T\omega]$). The last inequality is true because δ is non-decreasing with respect to the functional argument and satisfies the Volterra condition. So $D_xu(\bar{x},\bar{y})\leq M\parallel D_yu(\bar{x},\bar{y})\parallel_p^*+\sigma(\bar{x},\omega(\bar{x},\bar{y}),g)-\theta_m'(\bar{x})< M\parallel D_yu(\bar{x},\bar{y})\parallel_p^*$. It is easily seen that function u satisfies the second condition of lemma 1. We can use the theses of this lemma and obtain the inequality $\omega(x,y)\leq\theta_m(x)$ for $(x,y)\in H_{x_0+\delta}$, which contradicts (3). Thus lemma 2 is proved.

Now we formulate the main result.

Theorem. Suppose that

1) assumption H is satisfied and the initial problem:

$$\eta'(x) = \sigma(x, \eta(x), \eta)$$
 for $x \in [0, a]$,
 $\eta(x) = 0$ for $x \in [-\tau_0, 0]$

possesses the only solution $\eta(x)=0$ for $x\in[-\tau_0, a]$,

2) $f: E \times R \times C(E_0 \cup E, R) \times R^n \rightarrow R$ satisfies the Volterra condition and is non-decreasing with respect to the functional argument,

3) the estimation

$$f(x, y, s, z, q) - f(x, y, \bar{s}, \bar{z}, \bar{q}) \le \sigma(x, s - \bar{s}, T(z - \bar{z})) + M \parallel q - \bar{q} \parallel_{p}$$

is true for $(x, y) \in \text{Int } E$, s, $\bar{s} \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \ge \bar{s}$, z, $\bar{z} \in C(E_0 \cup E, \mathbb{R})$, $z \ge \bar{z}$, q, $\bar{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

4) $u, v \in C(E_0 \cup E, R)$ and the derivatives $D_x u, D_x v, D_y u, D_y v$ exist on Int E,

5) the initial inequality

(6)
$$u(x, y) \leq v(x, y) \text{ for } (x, y) \in E_0$$

and the differential-functional inequalities

(7)
$$D_{x}u(x, y) \leq f(x, y, u(x, y), u, D_{y} u(x, y)),$$

$$D_{x}v(x, y) \geq f(x, y, v(x, y), v, D_{y}v(x, y)) \text{ for } (x, y) \in \text{Int } E$$

are satisfied.

Under these assumptions the estimation: $u(x, y) \le v(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in E_0 \cup E$ is true.

80 Barbara Zubik-Kowal

Proof. Let us define function $\omega: E_0 \cup E \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows $\omega(x, y) = u(x, y) - v(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in E_0 \cup E$. From assumption 4) and (6) we obtain $\omega \in C(E_0 \cup E, \mathbb{R})$ and $\omega(x, y) \le 0$ for $(x, y) \in E_0$. We use lemma 2 with $\theta(x) = 0$ for $x \in [-\tau_0, a]$. Suppose that $(x, y) \in \text{Int } E$ and $0 < \omega(x, y) < r, r > 0$. It follows immediately from assumptions 3) and 4) that

$$\begin{split} D_x \omega(x, y) &= D_x u(x, y) - D_x v(x, y) \\ &\leq f(x, y, u(x, y), u, D_y u(x, y)) - f(x, y, v(x, y), v, D_y v(x, y)) \\ &\leq f(x, y, u(x, y), \max[u, v], D_y u(x, y)) - f(x, y, v(x, y), v, D_y v(x, y)) \\ &\leq \sigma(x, \omega(x, y), \max[0, T\omega]) + M \| D_y \omega(x, y) \|_p^*. \end{split}$$

It follows from lemma 2 that $u(x, y) \le v(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in E$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 1. In Theorem we can assume instead of (7) that

$$D_{x}u(x, y) - f(x, y, u(x, y), u, D_{y}u(x, y))$$

$$\leq D_{x}v(x, y) - f(x, y, v(x, y), v, D_{y}v(x, y)) \text{ for } (x, y) \in \text{Int } E.$$

Remark 2. Let us consider the following Cauchy problem:

(8)
$$D_x z(x, y) = f(x, y, z(x, y), z, D_y z(x, y)) \text{ for } (x, y) \in \text{Int } E,$$

 $z(x, y) = z_0(x, y) \text{ for } (x, y) \in E_0,$

where $z_0: E_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is given initial function. If f satisfies condition 3) of Theorem with σ satisfying assumption 1) of the same Theorem then problem (8) will not be able to have different solutions.

The uniqueness results of the solutions of the problem (8) in more narrow class of functions are given in [1] and for differential problems in [11], [7]. Solutions of class D in the Haar pyramid are considered in [1], [7], [11].

Remark 3. It is important fact that the problem (2) is differentialfunctional. If we consider initial value problem as the comparison problem

(9)
$$\eta'(x) = \sqrt{\eta(x)}, \text{ where } \eta(x) \ge 0,$$
$$\eta(0) = 0$$

then we find out that $s \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ is a solution of (9). But the following function $s(x) = \frac{x^2}{4}$ for $x \ge 0$ and s(x) = 0 for $x \le 0$ is also a solution. Therefore the problem (9) does not satisfy condition 1) of Theorem. But we can consider differential-functional comparison problem in the following form:

(10)
$$\eta'(x) = \sqrt{\eta(x^2)}, \text{ for } x \in [0,1], \ \eta(x^2) \ge 0,$$
$$\eta(0) = 0.$$

It is shown in [1] that (10) has the unique solution $s \equiv 0$ and is a comparison problem of the Perron type.

3. Applications

We list below some problems which are solved by using differential-functional inequalities theorems.

1. Suppose that $\bar{z}: E_0 \cup E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of the differential-functional equation

(11)
$$D_x z(x, y) = f(x, y, z(x, y), z) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(x, y), D_{y_i}(x, y), (x, y) \in E$$

and consider the following approximate problem. We are interested in finding a sequence $\{u^{(m)}, v^{(m)}\}_{m=0}^{\infty}, u^{(m)}, v^{(m)}: E_0 \cup E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (Chaplygin sequence) satisfying the conditions:

- (i) for every m functions $u^{(m)}$, $v^{(m)}$ are solutions of linear equations associated with (11),
 - (ii) $u^{(m)} \le u^{(m+1)} \le \bar{z} \le v^{(m+1)} \le v^{(m)}$, m = 0, 1, ... on $E_0 \cup E$,
 - (iii) $\lim_{m\to\infty} u^{(m)} = \lim_{m\to\infty} v^{(m)} = \bar{z}$ on $E_0 \cup E$.

This problem is considered in [4] for functions $u^{(m)}$, $v^{(m)}$, \bar{z} of class D. The existence and properties of the Chaplygin sequence are proved in [4] by employing differential-functional inequalities theorem. The main Theorem of this paper enable us to obtain more general theorem on approximation. We can assume in [4] that functions $u^{(m)}$, $v^{(m)}$, \bar{z} are continuous on $E_0 \cup E$ and possess partial derivatives on E.

2. Let us consider an infinite system of first order differential-functional equations

(12)
$$D_{x}z_{j}(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x, y)D_{y_{i}}z_{j}(x, y) = f(x, y, z(x, y), z),$$
$$j = 1, 2, \dots, (x, y) \in [0, a) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

with the initial condition

(13)
$$z(0, y) = w(y), y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
.

The Chaplygin approximate method is used in [9] to prove the existence of the solution of the problem (12), (13). Differential-functional inequalities theorems are the basic tools in [9].

3. Let us consider the following nonlinear differential-functional equation

(14)
$$D_x z(x, y) = f(x, y, z(x, y), z, D_y z(x, y)).$$

Sufficient conditions for the stability and asymptotic stability of solutions of (14) by means of Lapunov functions and the theory of differential-functional

82 Barbara Zubik-Kowal

inequalities are given in [5]. There are studied solutions of class D. Using our Theorem on differential-functional inequalities we can give up the assumption of existence of the total derivative for solutions of (14).

- 4. Monotone iterative methods are used in theorems on existence of solutions for nonlinear differential equations. Initial and boundary value problems for ordinary or partial equations are considered ([8], [6]). The basic idea is consist of three steps:
 - (i) constructing a sequence of some kind of approximate solutions,
- (ii) showing the convergence of the constructed sequence of approximate solutions, and
- (iii) proving that the limit function is actually a solution of the given problem.

Monotone iterative methods are applied in the monograph [6] (see also [8]) for the initial value problem for the equation:

(15)
$$D_{x}z(x, y) = f(x, y, z(x, y)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(x, y)D_{y_{i}}z(x, y).$$

Differential inequalities are the basic tool in these works. Results from [8] can be easily generalized on the differential-functional case by using our Theorem on differential-functional inequalities.

References

- [1] A. Augustynowicz, Z. Kamont. On Kamke's functions in uniqueness theorems for first order partial differential-functional equations. Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, 14, 1990, 837-850.
- [2] S. Burys. On partial differential-functional inequalities of the first order. Zesz. Nauk. Uniw. Jagiell., 16, 1974, 107-112.
- [3] E. Dolinska. On weak partial differential inequalities of first order with Volterra's operator.

 Ann. Soc. Math. Polon., 24, 1984, 207-213.
- [4] Z. Kamont. On the Chaplygin method for partial differential-functional equations of the first order. Ann. Polon. Math., 38, 1980, 27-46.
- [5] Z. Kamont. On the stability of solutions of first order partial differential-functional equations. Serdica, Bulgaricae mathematicae publicationes. 9, 1983, 335-342.
- [6] G. S. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham, A. S. Vatsala. Monotone iterative techniques for nonlinear differential equations, 1985.
- [7] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela. Differential and Integral Inequalities. New York and London, 1969.
- [8] V. Laksh mikantham, M. N. Oguztoreli, A. S. Vatsala. Monotone iterative techniques for partial differential equations of first order. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 102, 1984, 393-398.
- [9] M. Nowotarska. Chaplygin method for an infinite system of first order partial differential-functional equations. Zesz. Nauk. Uniw. Jagiell., 22, 1981, 125-142.
- [10] A. Plis. Continuous Solutions of Partial Differential Inequalities. Bulletin de L'Academie Polonaise des Sciences., vol. 13, 1965, 353-356.
- [11] J. Szarski. Differential Inequalities. Warszawa, 1965.
- [12] K. Zima. On differential inequality with a lagging argument. Ann. Polon. Math., 18, 1966, 227-233.

Institute of Mathematics University of Gdańsk Wit Stwosz Str. 57 80-952 Gdańsk POLAND Received 04. 03. 1991 Revised version 14. 08. 1991