

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

On a Relation between the Two-Dimensional H-Transforms in Terms of Erdélyi-Kober Operators

R. K. Saxena⁺, V. S. Kiryakova⁺⁺

Presented by P. Kenderov

A relationship between the two-dimensional integral H-transforms is established in terms of the Weyl-type two-dimensional Erdélyi-Kober operators of fractional differintegration. Special cases of this result yield relations concerning the two-dimensional Laplace, Whittaker and G-transforms.

1. Introduction

This paper is intended to go on further with a long-established trend on the borderline of Integral Transforms and Fractional Calculus. It is well-known that the Riemann-Liouville and Weyl operators of fractional integration (differentiation) carry out interesting relationships between the classical integral transforms and some of their generalizations as well as between integral transforms of one and the same kind but with different indices. More general operators of the Fractional Calculus like the Erdélyi-Kober operators or compositions of them have been recently used in finding new results relating integral transforms of rather a general nature. The two-dimensional case is considered here.

As far as we are dealing with a generalized form of the so-called Weyl fractional integral, we follow the tradition of considering a sufficient class of "good functions" descending from M. J. Lightill [10, p. 15]. Modifying Miller's definition [13, p. 82] for the two-dimensional case, let us denote by \mathcal{A} the class of the functions $f(x, y)$ which are differentiable any number of times and have their partial derivatives behaving as $O(|x|^{-\varepsilon_1}, |y|^{-\varepsilon_2})$ with arbitrary $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ when $x \rightarrow \infty, y \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. [15]). Examples of "good functions" in this sense are provided by the functions $\mathcal{F}(x, y) \exp(-\gamma x - \delta y)$, where $\gamma > 0, \delta > 0$ and $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ is a polynomial of x, y .

The two-dimensional Erdélyi-Kober operator of fractional integration of orders $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ is usually defined in the class \mathcal{A} as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad K_x^{\eta, \alpha} K_y^{\delta, \beta} f(x, y) = \frac{x^\eta y^\delta}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty u^{-\eta-\alpha} v^{-\delta-\beta} (u-x)^{\alpha-1} (v-y)^{\beta-1} f(u, v) du dv,$$

where η, δ are real numbers. More generally, an Erdélyi-Kober operator of Weyl-type in two variables is defined by the differintegral expression

$$(1.2) \quad K_x^{\eta, \alpha} K_y^{\delta, \beta} f(x, y) = \frac{(-1)^{m+n} x^\eta y^\delta}{\Gamma(m+\alpha) \Gamma(n+\beta)} \times D_{x,y}^{m+n} \left\{ \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty u^{-\eta-\alpha} v^{-\delta-\beta} (u-x)^{m+\alpha-1} (v-y)^{n+\beta-1} f(u, v) du dv \right\}$$

for arbitrary real (complex) α and β , where $m, n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $D_{x,y}^{m+n}$ stands for the partial differentiation $\partial^{m+n} / \partial x^m \partial y^n$. For $f(x, y) \in \mathcal{A}$ this differintegral exists and also belongs to \mathcal{A} (the arguments are the same as in [13, p.82]). In particular, if $\alpha < 0, \beta < 0$ and m, n are positive numbers such that $m+\alpha > 0, n+\beta > 0$, then (1.2) yields the partial fractional derivatives of $f(x, y)$. For $\eta = -\alpha, \delta = -\beta$ we receive the two-dimensional Weyl operators (R. K. Raina and V. S. Kiryakova [15]), namely:

$$(1.3) \quad (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} W_x^\alpha W_y^\beta f(x, y) = \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{\Gamma(m+\alpha) \Gamma(n+\beta)} \times D_{x,y}^{m+n} \left\{ \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty (u-x)^{m+\alpha-1} (v-y)^{n+\beta-1} f(u, v) du dv \right\}.$$

Further generalizations of the Fractional Calculus' operators $W_x^\alpha, K_x^{\eta, \alpha}$, their compositions and their Riemann-Liouville counterparts $R_x^\alpha, I_x^{\eta, \alpha}$ have been investigated by V. S. Kiryakova [8], [9] by introducing the Meijer's G-functions and the more general Fox's H-functions as kernel-functions. Further details and development of this Generalized Fractional Calculus can be found in S. L. Kalita and V. S. Kiryakova [6], [7].

Here we use the Fox's H-function as kernel-function of a generalized integral transform in two dimensions whose relationship with the Erdélyi-Kober operators $K_x^{\eta, \alpha} K_y^{\delta, \beta}$ is to be established. This special function of hypergeometric type and quite a general nature, depending on the "orders" M, N, P, Q and $2(P+Q)$ -parameters $(a_p), (A_p), (b_q), (B_q)$, can be defined in terms of a Mellin-Barnes type contour integral ([5], [12]):

$$(1.4) \quad H_{P,Q}^{M,N}(x) = H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_p, A_p) \\ (b_q, B_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] = H_{P,Q}^{M,N} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, A_1), \dots, (a_p, A_p) \\ (b_1, B_1), \dots, (b_q, B_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{X}(s) x^s ds,$$

with

$$\mathcal{X}(s) = \frac{\prod_{h=1}^M \Gamma(b_h - B_h s) \prod_{j=1}^N \Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s)}{\prod_{h=M+1}^Q \Gamma(1 - b_h + B_h s) \prod_{j=N+1}^P \Gamma(a_j - A_j s)}$$

Here $0 \leq N \leq P, 1 \leq M \leq Q$ are nonnegative integers; A_j and B_h are positive; a_j and b_h are real (complex) numbers; $j=1, 2, \dots, P, h=1, 2, \dots, Q$ such that

$$A_j(b_h + v) \neq B_h(a_j - \lambda - 1); \quad v, \lambda = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \\ h=1, \dots, M; j=1, \dots, N;$$

\mathcal{L} is a suitable contour separating the simple poles of the integrand $\mathcal{X}(s)$ in (1.4). More details about the H-functions and their excellent properties can be found e.g. in A. M. Mathai and R. K. Saxena [12].

Definition. Under two-dimensional H-transform $\mathcal{H}(p, q)$ of a function $F(x, y)$ we mean the following repeated integral involving two different H-functions:

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{H}(p, q) = \mathcal{H}_{P, Q, P_1, Q_1}^{M, N, M_1, N_1} [F(x, y); \rho, \sigma; p, q] \\ = \int_b^\infty \int_d^\infty (px)^{\rho-1} H_{P, Q}^{M, N} [(px)^k | \begin{matrix} (a_P, A_P) \\ (b_Q, B_Q) \end{matrix}] (qy)^{\sigma-1} H_{P_1, Q_1}^{M_1, N_1} [(qy)^l | \begin{matrix} (\gamma_{P_1}, C_{P_1}) \\ (\delta_{Q_1}, D_{Q_1}) \end{matrix}] F(x, y) dx dy.$$

We assume that $\mathcal{H}(p, q)$ exists and belongs to \mathcal{A} ; $b > 0, d > 0$,

$$(1.6) \quad |\arg p^k| < \frac{1}{2} \pi \Phi_1, |\arg q^l| < \frac{1}{2} \pi \Phi_2,$$

where

$$\Phi_1 = \sum_{j=1}^N A_j - \sum_{j=N+1}^P A_j + \sum_{h=1}^M B_h - \sum_{h=M+1}^Q B_h > 0, \\ \Phi_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} C_j - \sum_{j=N_1+1}^{P_1} C_j + \sum_{h=1}^{M_1} D_h - \sum_{h=M_1+1}^{Q_1} D_h > 0$$

and

$$\sum_{h=1}^Q B_h - \sum_{j=1}^P A_j \geq 0, \sum_{h=1}^{Q_1} D_h - \sum_{j=1}^{P_1} C_j \geq 0.$$

Due to the great power of generality of the kernel H-functions, integral transforms (1.5) turn out to generalize a number of integral transforms like the two-dimensional Laplace, Whittaker, G-transforms. That is why the result we are proposing here provides extensions of the results of R.K.Saxena, O.P.Gupta and R.K.Kumbhat [18], A.K.Arora, R.K.Raina and C.L.Koul [1], R.K.Saxena and J.Ram [19], K.Nishimoto

and R. K. Saxena [14], R. K. Raina and V. S. Kiryakova [15] and some other authors.

2. Relationship between the H-transforms via Erdélyi-Kober operators

Together with H-transforms (1.5) we consider the H-transform with the M -, P - and Q -orders increased by 1, namely:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad H_1(p, q) &= \mathcal{H}_{P+1, Q+1, P_1+1, Q_1+1}^{M+1, N, M_1+1, N_1} [F(x, y); \rho, \sigma; p, q] \\
 &= \int_b^\infty \int_d^\infty (px)^{\rho-1} (qy)^{\sigma-1} H_{P+1, Q+1}^{M+1, N} [(px)^k |_{(\eta-\rho+1, k), (b_Q, B_Q)}^{(a_P, A_P), (\alpha+\eta-\rho+1, k)}] \\
 &\quad \times H_{P_1+1, Q_1+1}^{M_1+1, N_1} [(qy)^l |_{(\delta-\sigma+1, l), (\delta_{Q_1}, D_{Q_1})}^{(\gamma_{P_1}, C_{P_1}), (\beta+\delta-\sigma+1, l)}] F(x, y) dx dy,
 \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\mathcal{H}_1(p, q)$ exists and belongs also to \mathcal{A} and the other conditions on the parameters (including additional parameters $\alpha, \beta, \eta, \delta$) correspond to these in (1.6).

Theorem. Let $\mathcal{H}(p, q) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{H}_1(p, q)$ be the two-dimensional H-transforms defined by (1.5), (2.1) under conditions of the form (1.6) and let $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$. Then the following relationship between them, carried out by the two-dimensional Erdélyi-Kober operator (1.1), holds:

$$(2.2) \quad K_p^{\eta, \alpha} K_q^{\delta, \beta} \{ \mathcal{H}(p, q) \} = \mathcal{H}_1(p, q),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_1(p, q)$ also belongs to \mathcal{A} .

Proof. In view of (1.1) and (1.5) the left-hand side of (2.2) has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_p^{\eta, \alpha} K_q^{\delta, \beta} \{ \mathcal{H}(p, q) \} &= \frac{p^\eta q^\delta}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_p^\infty \int_q^\infty u^{-\eta-\alpha} v^{-\delta-\beta} (u-p)^{\alpha-1} (v-q)^{\beta-1} \\
 &\quad \times \mathcal{H}(u, v) du dv = \frac{p^\eta q^\delta}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_p^\infty \int_q^\infty u^{-\eta-\alpha} v^{-\delta-\beta} (u-p)^{\alpha-1} (v-q)^{\beta-1} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \int_b^\infty \int_d^\infty (ux)^{\rho-1} (vy)^{\sigma-1} H_{P, Q}^{M, N} \left[(ux)^k \middle|_{(b_Q, B_Q)}^{(a_P, A_P)} \right] H_{P_1, Q_1}^{M_1, N_1} \left[(vy)^l \middle|_{(\delta_{Q_1}, D_{Q_1})}^{(\gamma_{P_1}, C_{P_1})} \right] dx dy \right\} du dv.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, under conditions (1.6), a change in the order of the u, v - and x, y -integrals is permissible which is leading to the possibility to evaluate the inner u - and v -integrals. To this end we need the following result for the Weyl-type fractional integrals of order μ of Fox's H-function, viz.

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_p^\infty x^{-\lambda} (x-p)^{\mu-1} H_{P,Q}^{M,N}[(\omega x)^k | \begin{matrix} (a_P, A_P) \\ (b_Q, B_Q) \end{matrix}] dx$$

$$= p^{\mu-\lambda} H_{P+1,Q+1}^{M+1,N}[(\omega x)^k | \begin{matrix} (a_P, A_P), (\lambda, k) \\ (\lambda-\mu, k), (b_Q, B_Q) \end{matrix}],$$

provided $\mu > 0, (\lambda - \mu + \max(1 - a_j/A_j)) > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, N; |\arg \omega| < \frac{1}{2} \pi C$, where

$$C = \sum_{j=1}^N A_j - \sum_{j=N+1}^P A_j + \sum_{h=1}^M B_h - \sum_{h=M+1}^Q B_h > 0; \sum_{h=1}^Q B_h - \sum_{j=1}^P A_j \geq 0.$$

Integral (2.3), on its turn, can be established by means of the formula (see [4, p. 201, eq. 6]):

$$(2.4) \quad \int_p^\infty x^{-\lambda} (x-p)^{\mu-1} dx = p^{\mu-\lambda} \frac{\Gamma(\mu) \Gamma(\lambda-\mu)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}, \text{ where } 0 < \mu < \lambda.$$

After this procedure we reach to the right-hand side of (2.2) and the theorem is proved. As far as the two-dimensional Weyl-type Erdélyi-Kober operator $K_x^{\gamma, \alpha} K_y^{\delta, \beta}$ preserves the class \mathcal{A} , it follows that $\mathcal{H}_1(p, q)$ also belongs to \mathcal{A} .

Let us note that the statement of the theorem can be easily extended for arbitrary real α, β by using definition (1.2) for the Erdélyi-Kober operators and differentiating under the signs of the integrals.

3. Special cases

First, let us note that in the one-dimensional case the problem of connecting the H-transforms by means of Weyl-type fractional integrals was stated and solved by R. K. Raina and C. L. Kouf [17]. Their result leads to many particular cases concerning the Laplace, Stieltjes and Whittaker one-dimensional transforms.

The two-dimensional case considered here is also rich in interesting special cases.

Thus, using the identity

$$(3.1) \quad H_{1;2}^{2;0} \left[x \middle| \begin{matrix} (1-\lambda, 1) \\ (\frac{1}{2}+\mu, 1), (\frac{1}{2}-\mu, 1) \end{matrix} \right] = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x) W_{\lambda, \mu}(x),$$

where $W_{\lambda, \mu}(x)$ is the Whittaker confluent hypergeometric function ([20, p. 340], see also [3, § 6.9]) with $(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda + \mu) > 0, x > 0$:

$$(3.2) \quad W_{\lambda, \mu}(x) = \frac{\exp(-\frac{1}{2}x)x^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\lambda+\mu)} \int_0^\infty t^{-\lambda-\frac{1}{2}+\mu} (1+t)^{\lambda+\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-tx) dt,$$

we obtain from H-transform (1.5) the so-called Whittaker transform of two variables (R. K. Saxena and J. Ram [19]):

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{H}_2(p, q) = \mathcal{W}_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}^{\lambda, \mu} [F(x, y); \rho, \sigma; p, q] \\ = \int_b^\infty \int_d^\infty (px)^{\rho-1} (qy)^{\sigma-1} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}px - \frac{1}{2}qy) W_{\lambda, \mu}(px) W_{\lambda_1, \mu_1}(qy) F(x, y) dx dy,$$

where $\text{Re}(p) > 0, \text{Re}(q) > 0$. So, for $M = M_1 = 2, N = N_1 = 0, P = P_1 = 1, Q = Q_1 = 2,$
 $a_1 = 1 - \lambda, A_1 = 1, b_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \mu, B_{1,2} = 1, \gamma_1 = 1 - \lambda_1, C_1 = 1, \delta_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \mu_1, D_{1,2} = 1,$
 $\mathcal{H}(p, q) = \mathcal{H}_2(p, q) \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \text{Re}(p^k) > 0, \text{Re}(q^l) > 0$ our theorem, i.e. (2.2), gives:

$$(3.4) \quad K_p^{\eta, \alpha} K_q^{\delta, \beta} \{ \mathcal{H}_2(p, q) \} \\ = \mathcal{H}_{2,3;2,3}^{3,0;3,0} [F(x, y); \rho, \sigma; p, q] = \mathcal{H}_3(p, q) \\ = \int_b^\infty \int_d^\infty (px)^{\rho-1} (qy)^{\sigma-1} H_{2,3}^{3,0} \left[(px)^k \middle| \begin{matrix} (1-\lambda, 1), (\alpha+\eta-\rho+1, k) \\ (\eta-\rho+1, k), (\frac{1}{2}+\mu, 1), (\frac{1}{2}-\mu, 1) \end{matrix} \right] \\ \times H_{2,3}^{3,0} \left[(qy)^l \middle| \begin{matrix} (1-\lambda_1, 1), (\alpha+\eta-\rho+1, l) \\ (\delta-\sigma+1, l), (\frac{1}{2}+\mu_1, 1), (\frac{1}{2}-\mu_1, 1) \end{matrix} \right] F(x, y) dx dy,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_3(p, q)$ exists and belongs to \mathcal{A} .

If we take now $k=l=1$, then (3.4) reduces to a result recently proved by Saxena and Ram [19, p. 28]. The cases $\rho = \mu + \frac{1}{2}, \sigma = \mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ or $\rho = \eta + \lambda, \sigma = \delta + \lambda_1$ provide Corollaries 1, 2 ([19, p. 29]).

Next, taking $\rho = \sigma = 1$ and using the identity

$$W_{m+\frac{1}{2}\pm m}(x) = x^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x),$$

we obtain from the Whittaker transform (3.3) the two-dimensional Laplace transform ([2]):

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{L}(p, q) = \mathcal{L}[F(x, y); p, q] \\ = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \exp(-px - qy) F(x, y) dx dy, \operatorname{Re}(p) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(q) > 0$$

of the function

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} f(a\sqrt{x^2 - b^2}, c\sqrt{y^2 - d^2}), & x > b > 0, y > d > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Results concerning $\mathcal{L}(p, q)$ and $K_p^{\eta, \alpha} K_q^{\delta, \beta}$ have been obtained by R. K. Saxena, O. P. Gupta and R. K. Kumbhat [18], which for $\eta = -\alpha, \delta = -\beta$ are reduced to the relation, due to A. K. Arora, R. K. Raina and C. L. Koul [1]. If moreover, $a = c = 1, b = d = 0$, then we obtain the relation between the two-dimensional Laplace transforms of functions $f(x, y)$ and $x^\alpha y^\beta f(x, y)$, expressed by means of the two-dimensional Weyl operators (1.3), found in R. K. Raina and V. S. Kiryakova [15, p. 1274], namely:

$$(3.6) \quad (-1)^{\alpha + \beta} W_p^\alpha W_q^\beta \{ \mathcal{L}[f(x, y); p, q] \} \\ = \mathcal{L}[x^\alpha y^\beta f(x, y); p, q], \operatorname{Re}(p) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(q) > 0.$$

Let us note also that in the case when all the parameters A_j, C_j, B_h, D_h of the H-functions in (1.5) are equal to 1, the kernel H-functions turn into Meijer's G-functions (see [3], [11]) because of the identity ([12, p. 10]):

$$(3.7) \quad H_{P, Q}^{M, N} [X | \begin{matrix} (a_p, 1) \\ (b_q, 1) \end{matrix}] = G_{P, Q}^{M, N} [x | \begin{matrix} (a_p) \\ (b_q) \end{matrix}].$$

Then the theorem we have just proved turns into a result recently established by K. Nishimoto and R. K. Saxena [14, p. 25].

Other interesting specializations can be discussed too.

References

1. A. K. Arora, R. K. Raina, C. L. Koul. On the two-dimensional Weyl fractional calculus associated with the Laplace transforms. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, 38, No 2, 1985, 179-182.
2. V. A. Ditkin, A. P. Prudnikov. *Operational Calculus in Two Variables and Its Applications*. New York, 1962.
3. A. Erdélyi (ed.). *Higher Transcendental Functions*, v. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
4. A. Erdélyi (ed.). *Tables of Integral Transforms*, v. 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954.
5. C. Fox. The G- and H-functions as symmetrical Fourier kernels. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 98, 1961, 395-429.
6. S. L. Kalla, V. S. Kiryakova. A generalized fractional calculus dealing with H-functions. — In: *Fractional Calculus and Appl-s* (Proc. Int. Conf. Held in Tokio, 1989). Koriyama Press, 1990, 62-69.
7. S. L. Kalla, V. S. Kiryakova. An H-function generalized fractional calculus based upon compositions of Erdélyi-Kober operators in L_p . *Math. Japonica*, 35, No 6, 1990, 1151-1171.
8. V. S. Kiryakova. On operators of fractional integration involving Meijer's G-function. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, 39, No 10, 1986, 25-28.

9. V. S. Kiryakova. Fractional integration operators involving Fox's $H_{m,m}^{m,0}$ -function. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, 41, No 11, 1988, 11-14.
10. M. J. Lighthill. Introduction to Fourier Analysis and Generalized Functions. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1959.
11. A. M. Mathai, R. K. Saxena. Generalized Hypergeometric Functions with Applications in Statistics and Physical Sciences (L. N. M., 348). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
12. A. M. Mathai, R. K. Saxena. The H-Function with Applications in Statistics and Other Disciplines. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1978.
13. K. S. Miller. The Weyl fractional calculus. — In: Fractional Calculus and Applications (L. N. M., 457). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975, 80-89.
14. K. Nishimoto, R. K. Saxena. On the two-dimensional Erdélyi-Kober operators of Weyl type. *J. Coll. Engn. Nihon Univ.* B-31, 1990, 23-27.
15. R. K. Raina, V. S. Kiryakova. On the Weyl fractional operator of two dimensions. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, 36, No 10, 1983, 1273-1276.
16. R. K. Raina, C. L. Koul. On Weyl fractional calculus. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73, 1979, 188-192.
17. R. K. Raina, C. L. Koul. On Weyl fractional calculus and H-function transform. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 21, No 2, 1981, 275-279.
18. R. K. Saxena, O. P. Gupta, R. K. Kumbhat. On the two-dimensional Weyl fractional calculus. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, 42, No 7, 1989, 11-14.
19. R. K. Saxena, J. Ram. On the two-dimensional Whittaker transform. *Serdica (Bulg. Math. Publ.)*, 16, 1990, 27-30.
20. E. T. Whittaker, G. N. Watson. A Course of Modern Analysis, 4th ed., Cambridge, Univ. Press, 1964.

+ *Depart. of Mathematics and Statistics*
University of Jodhpur
Jodhpur-342001,
INDIA

Received 30.05.1991

** *Institute of Mathematics*
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
1090-Sofia,
BULGARIA