Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal http://www.mathbalkanica.info

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office; Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273, E-mail: balmat@bas.bg



New Series Vol. 6, 1992, Fasc. 3

Some Fixed Point Theorems on an Arbitrary Metric Space

M. Telci, K. Tas

Presented by Bl. Sendov

In this note some fixed point theorems, in an arbitrary metric space, has been presented which extend the theorems of G. E. Hardy and T. D. Rogers, [2], and L. B. Ciric [5].

1. Introduction

Let S be a set and T be a mapping from S to S. An element $x \in S$ is called a fixed point of T if

$$Tx = x$$
.

The theory of fixed points began in 1912 by L. J. Brouwer, who proved that any continuous mapping of the closed unit ball in $|R^n|$ into itself has a fixed point and was followed in 1922 by S. Banach's contraction principle, which states that any mapping T of a complete metric space X into itself that satisfies for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, the inequality:

$$(1) d(Tx, Ty) \le \alpha d(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, has a unique fixed point [1].

A number of generalizations of this result have studied by various authors, [4], [5], [6], [7]. In 1971 S. Reich proved a fixed point theorem for mapping, T, from a complete metric space into itself which instead of the contraction property, satisfying the condition

(2)
$$d(Tx, Ty) \le a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, Tx) + a_3 d(y, Ty)$$

 $\forall x, y \in X, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1, (a_1 \ge 0, a_2 \ge 0, a_3 \ge 0)$, [3]. In 1973 G. E. Hardy and T. D. Rogers generalized these results to continuous mapping T of a complete metric space X into itself satisfying

(3)
$$d(Tx, Ty) \le a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, Tx) + a_3 d(y, Ty) + a_4 d(x, Ty) + a_5 d(y, Tx)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $a_i \ge 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i < 1$, [2].

To Prof. Bl. Sendov on his 60th birthday

2. Main results

In this section we prove two fixed point theorems for a mapping, T, from an arbitrary metric space into itself satisfying some special conditions.

Theorem 1. If T is a mapping from an arbitrary metric space X into itself such that

(a)
$$d(Tx, Ty) < a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, Tx) + a_3 d(y, Ty) + a_4 d(x, Ty) + a_5 d(y, Tx),$$

where $a_i \ge 0$, $0 < \Sigma$ $a_i \le 1$ holds $\forall x, y \in X, (x \ne y)$.

(b) There exists a point $u \in X$ such that

$$f(u) = \text{Inf } \{f(x) : f(x) = d(x, Tx), x \in X\},\$$

then there exists a unique fixed point of T in X.

Proof. Let $u \neq Tu$, otherwise u is a fixed point of T. Put x = u and y = Tu in (a). Then we have

 $d(Tu, T^2u) < a_1 d(u, Tu) + a_2 d(u, Tu) + a_3 d(Tu, T^2u) + a_4 d(u, T^2u) + a_5 d(Tu, Tu)$ $\Rightarrow d(Tu, T^2u) < a_1 d(u, Tu) + a_2 d(u, Tu) + a_3 d(Tu, T^2u) + a_4 [d(u, Tu) + d(Tu, T^2u)].$ So, we get

(4)
$$(1-a_3-a_4)d(Tu, T^2u) < (a_1+a_2+a_4)d(u, Tu).$$

Similarly, putting x = Tu and y = u in (a), we obtain

 $d(T^2u, Tu) < a_1 d(Tu, u) + a_2 d(Tu, T^2u) + a_3 d(u, Tu) + a_4 d(Tu, Tu) + a_5 d(u, T^2u)$ $\Rightarrow d(T^2u, Tu) < a_1 d(Tu, u) + a_2 d(Tu, T^2u) + a_3 d(u, Tu) + a_5 [d(u, Tu) + d(Tu, T^2u)].$ So, we get

(5)
$$(1-a_2-a_5)d(T^2u, Tu) < (a_1+a_3+a_5)d(u, Tu).$$

From (4) and (5), we have

$$(2-a_2-a_3-a_4-a_5)d(Tu, T^2u)<(2a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4+a_5)d(u, Tu).$$

But, since $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 \le 1$, we have

$$2a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 \le 2 - a_2 - a_3 - a_4 - a_5.$$

So, we have

$$d(Tu, T^2u) < \frac{(2a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5)}{(2 - a_2 - a_3 - a_4 - a_5)} d(u, Tu)$$
and $d(Tu, T^2u) < d(u, Tu)$.

Now, from (b), we assume that $f(u) = \text{Inf } \{f(x) : f(x) = d(x, Tx), x \in X\}$ for this $u \in X$, then we get

$$f(Tu) < f(u) = \text{Inf } \{f(x) : x \in X\}$$

which is a contradiction.

So, $u \neq Tu$ does not hold and therefore Tu = u. Thus u is a fixed point of T.

Uniqueness of the fixed point of T

Let v be another fixed point of T. Namely, assume that Tv = v. Then, we have $d(u, v) = d(Tu, Tv) < a_1 d(u, v) + a_2 d(u, Tu) + a_3 d(v, Tv) + a_4 d(u, Tv) + a_5 d(v, Tu)$ = $a_1 d(u, v) + a_4 d(u, v) + a_5 d(v, u) = (a_1 + a_4 + a_5)d(u, v)$.

But, since $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 < 1$, this is a contradiction.

Remark. 1) For a compact metric space X and continuity of T, condition (b) always holds but the converse is not always true.

2) If we take $a_4 = 0$ and $a_5 = 0$ on the theorem, we obtain the result of S. Reich [3] in an arbitrary metric space.

3) If we take $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$ on the theorem, we obtain non-complete metric space generalization of S. Banach's theorem with condition (b).

Now, let us give an example for our theorem which does not satisfy the conditions of G. E. Hardy, T. D. Rogers [2].

Example. Let $X = (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ with the metric d(x, y) = |x - y|. Define the mapping $T: X \to X$

$$T(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{2}}.$$

Then, $\forall x, y \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) = |Tx - Ty| = |\sqrt{\frac{1-x}{2}} - \sqrt{\frac{1-y}{2}}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\sqrt{1-x} - \sqrt{1-y}|$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{1-x} + \sqrt{1-y}}| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|x-y|, \text{ (because } 0 < x \le \frac{1}{2}, 0 < y \le \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } x \ne y).$$
So, we can find the numbers $a_i \ge 0$, with $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i \le 1$, such that
$$d(Tx, Ty) < a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, Tx) + a_3 d(y, Ty) + a_4 d(x, Ty) + a_5 d(y, Tx).$$
On the other hand, we have

$$d(x, Tx) = |x - Tx| = |x - \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{2}}|.$$

This attains its minimum at d(x, Tx) = 0.

So,
$$|x - \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{2}}| = 0 \leftrightarrow x - \sqrt{\frac{1-x}{2}} = 0$$

$$\leftrightarrow x^2 = \frac{1-x}{2} \cdot$$

$$\leftrightarrow x_{1,2} = -1, \frac{1}{2}.$$

But, since $-1 \neq (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, function attains its infimum at $x = \frac{1}{2}$ and this point is also the fixed point of T.

On the other hand, since $(0, \frac{1}{2}]$ is **not** complete, then the theorem of G. E. Hardy and T. D. Rogers [2] can not be used in this space.

L. B. Ciric (See [5]) defines a mapping T on a metric space X into itself to be a quasi-contraction if and only if there exists a number c, with $0 \le c < 1$, such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le c$$
. Max $\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$

for all x, y in X. He defines X to be T-orbitally complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence which is contained in the sequence $\{x, Tx, ..., T^nx, ...\}$ for some x in X converges in X.

He then proves the following theorem:

"Let T be a quasi-contraction on a metric space X into itself and let X be T-orbitally complete. Then T has a unique fixed point in X".

Now, we prove a similar theorem without any condition on X.

Theorem 2. If T is a mapping from an arbitrary metric space X into itself such that

a) There exists a number k, with $0 < k < \frac{1}{2}$, such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) < k \cdot Max \{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$$

for all x, y in X, $x \neq y$.

b) There exists a point $u \in X$ such that

$$f(u) = \text{Inf } \{ f(x) : f(x) = d(x, Tx), x \in X \},$$

then there exists a unique fixed point of T in X.

Proof. Let $u \neq Tu$, otherwise u is a fixed point of T. Put x = u and y = Tu in (a), then we have

$$d(Tu, T^2u) < k$$
. Max $\{d(u, Tu), d(u, Tu), d(Tu, T^2u), d(u, Tu), d(Tu, Tu)\}$
= k . Max $\{d(u, Tu), d(u, T^2u), d(Tu, T^2u)\}$

But, we may omit the term $d(Tu, T^2u)$ in the right hand part of the previous inequality (otherwise it gives a contradiction). So we get

$$d(Tu, T^2u) < k \cdot Max \{d(u, Tu), d(u, T^2u)\}$$

$$\leq k \cdot \text{Max} \{d(u, Tu), d(u, Tu) + d(Tu, T^2u)\} = k [d(u, Tu) + d(Tu, T^2u)].$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(1-k)d(Tu, T^2u) < kd(u, Tu)$$
 or
$$d(Tu, T^2u) \le \frac{k}{1-k}d(u, Tu).$$

But since
$$k < \frac{1}{2}$$
 or $\frac{k}{1-k} < 1$, we have

$$d(Tu, T^2u) < d(u, Tu).$$

On the other hand, we know from (b) that

$$f(u) = \text{Inf } \{f(x) : f(x) = d(x, Tx), x \in X\}$$

for this u. Then, we obtain

$$f(Tu) < f(u)$$
.

But this contradicts to the definition of f(u). Uniqueness can be seen easily from (a).

References

- [1] S. Banach. Sur les opérations duns les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations integrales. Fund. Math., 3, 1922, 133.
- [2] G.E. Hardy, T. D. Rogers. A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich. Cand. Math. Bull., 16, 1973, 201-206.
 [3] S. Reich. Some remarks concerning contraction mappings. Canad. Math. Bull., 14, 1971, 121-124.
 [4] M. Edelstein. An extension of Banach's contraction principle. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 12, 1961, 7-10.
- [5] L. B. Ciric. A generalization of Banach's contraction principle. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 45, 1974, 267-273.
- [6] B. E. Rhoades. A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings. Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc., 226, 1977, 257-290.
- [7] R. A. Rashwan. On existence of fixed points for some discontinuous operators. Math. Japonica, 35, No. 1, 1990, 97-104.

Hacettepe University Faculty of Science Mathematics Department 06532, Beytepe, Ankara TURKIYE

Received 16.01.1992