Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal http://www.mathbalkanica.info

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office; Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273, E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

Mathematica Balkanica

New series Vol.7, 1993, Fasc.3-4

Alexander's Operator for Sequences

Gh. Toader

Presented by P. Kenderov

In this paper we define two weak types of starshaped sequences. One of them shows a close connection between starshaped and superadditive sequences, while the other one is used for the determination of linear operators which conserve some sequence classes. We obtain so a discrete operator of Alexander type.

1. Introduction

Sequences with some special properties can occur in many unexpected branches. For example, if the positive sequence $(a_n)_{n\geq 1}$ has the property:

$$(k+1) a_{k+1} \le k a_k, \quad \forall k \ge 1,$$

then the complex function f defined by $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$ $(a_1 = 1)$ is close-to-convex and a similar condition implies that f is a starlike function (see [6]). Also convex, quasiconvex and other sequences are used in the theory of Fourier series (see [3] for many references), giving conditions for summability.

In this paper we deal with more classes of sequences. The following sets are well known (see for example [4]): the set of convex sequences:

$$K = \{(x_n)_{n\geq 0}: x_{n+2} - 2x_{n+1} + x_n \geq 0, \forall n \geq 0\}$$

and also that of superadditive sequences:

$$S = \{(x_n)_{n>0}: x_{n+m} + x_0 \ge x_n + x_m, \forall n, m \ge 1\}.$$

298 Gh. Toader

In [7] we have considered the set of starshaped sequences:

$$S^* = \{(x_n)_{n \ge 0} : (x_n - x_0)/n \le (x_{n+1} - x_0)/(n+1), \ \forall n \ge 1\}$$

proving also that:

$$(1) K \subset S^* \subset S.$$

Then we have used in [9] a weaker form of superadditivity introducing the set:

$$W = \{(x_n)_{n>0}: x_{n+1} + x_0 \ge x_n + x_1, \forall n \ge 1\}.$$

Here we define also two weaker kinds of starshapedness and establish their relations with the previous notions.

In [10] there are characterized the weighted arithmetic means that preserve the convexity. We have obtained a simpler characterization in [8] and then we have proved that it is also valid for the preservation of the starshapedness or the superadditivity (see [9]). In what follows we want to determinate all the linear positive operators of another special type which conserve one of the above properties. Thus we get a discrete operator which resembles Alexander's integral operator used in the theory of complex functions (see for example [5]).

2. Weakly starshaped sequences

Define the following two sets of sequences:

$$J^* = \{(x_n)_{n>0}: x_{nm} - x_0 \ge n(x_m - x_0), \forall n, m \ge 1\}$$

and

$$V^* = \{(x_n)_{n \geq 0}: x_n - x_0 \geq n(x_1 - x_0), \forall n \geq 1\}.$$

The first of them can be considered as a Jensen starshapedness and the second as a very weak kind of starshapedness. We have obviously:

$$S^* \subset J^* \subset V^*$$

but we want to combine it with (1). Before doing this we add also the set of linear (or zero) sequences:

$$Z = \{(x_n)_{n>0}: \exists a, b \in R, x_n = an + b, \forall n \ge 0\}.$$

Lemma 1. The following inclusions:

$$Z \subset K \subset S^* \subset S \subset W$$

$$(2) \qquad \qquad \cap \qquad \cap \qquad J^* \subset V^*$$

hold.

Proof. The inclusions $S \subset J^*$ and $W \subset V^*$ can be proved by mathematical induction. The other relations are in (1) or are obvious.

Remark 1. The inclusions:

$$S^* \subset S \subset J^*$$

show a close connection between starshapedness and superadditivity. In fact a superadditive sequence verifies even a stronger inequality than that used in the definition of J^* , namely:

$$x_n-x_0\geq [n/m](x_m-x_0), \quad n\geq m,$$

where [x] denotes the integer part of x.

We have given in [7] a representation formula for sequences from K: a sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ belongs to k if and only if

$$x_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (n-k+1)y_k$$
, with $y_k \ge 0$ for $k \ge 2$.

Also we have used representation formulas for sequences from S^* and in [9] for those from W. We add here such formulas for sequences from S, J^* and V^* . Each of them is easy to verify.

Lemma 2. Every sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ can be represented by:

(3)
$$x_n = n \sum_{k=1}^n z_k - (n-1)z_0, \text{ for } n \ge 0.$$

It belongs to:

i) S^* if and only if

$$(4) z_k \geq 0 for k \geq 2;$$

Gh. Toader

ii) S if and only if

$$n\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} z_k + m\sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} z_k \ge 0, \text{ for } n, m \ge 1;$$

iii) J^* if and only if

(5)
$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{nm} z_k \ge 0, \text{ for } n \ge 1, m \ge 2;$$

iv) W if and only if

$$n z_{n+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} z_k \geq 0, \text{ for } n \geq 1;$$

v) V^* if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} z_k \geq 0, \quad for \ n \geq 1.$$

Remark 2. For sequences from W and V^* we have also simpler representations:

(6)
$$x_n = \sum_{k=2}^n w_k + nx_1 - (n-1)x_0, \text{ with } w_k \ge 0, \text{ for } k \ge 2,$$

respectively:

(7)
$$x_n = v_n + nx_1 - (n-1)x_0, \text{ with } v_n \ge 0, \text{ for } n \ge 2,$$
 both valid for $n \ge 2$.

3. Linear operators

Let $Q = (q_{nm})_{0 \le m \le n}$ be a strictly positive triangular matrix. For a sequence $x = (x_n)_{n \ge 0}$ consider the associated sequence $L^Q(x)$ defined by:

$$L_n^Q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n q_{nk} x_k, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$

We get so a linear operator L^Q defined on the space of all real sequences with values in the same space. It is also isotonic, that is $L^Q(x)$ is positive if x is positive. Given a set X of sequences, an usual problem is to characterize the matrices Q with the property that X is invariant under L^Q , that is $L^Q(X) \subset X$. We have such characterizations for the set K of convex sequences (see [1] and [2]). We have also the following general result:

Lemma 3. If one of the sets K, S^*, S, W, J^* or V^* is invariant under L^Q , then Z is also invariant with respect to it.

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary sequence from Z. If the set

$$X \in \{K, S^{\star}, S, W, J^{\star}, V^{\star}\}$$

is invariant under L^Q , as $x \in X$, we have $L^Q(x) \in X$. By (2) we get $L^Q(x) \in V^*$. But -x also belongs to Z, which gives $L^Q(x) \in \vec{Z}$.

In what follows we want to give explicitly the matrices Q with the property that Z is invariant under L^Q , supposing Q of some special types. We begin with the case of weighted arithmetic means studied in [8] and [10]. Let $p = (p_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a strictly positive sequence. For any sequence $x = (x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ we define the sequence $A^p(x)$ of weighted arithmetic means of x by:

(8)
$$A_n^p(x) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k x_k}{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k}, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$

We note that one can define a matrix Q using p by:

$$q_{nm} = \frac{p_m}{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k}, \quad 0 \le m \le n.$$

Lemma 4. The inclusion:

$$(9) A^p(Z) \subset Z$$

is valid if and only if there is an $u \ge 0$ such that:

$$(10) p_n = p_0 \binom{u+n-1}{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

where

$$\binom{v}{0}=1, \quad \binom{v}{n}=\frac{v(v-1)\ldots(v-n+1)}{n!}, \quad n\geq 1.$$

Proof. If (9) holds, we must have $a, b \in R$ such that:

(11)
$$\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} k p_k}{\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_k} = an + b, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$

For n = 0 we get b = 0 and n = 1 gives $a = p_1/(p_0 + p_1)$ so that (11) becomes:

(12)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k p_{k} = \frac{n p_{1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{k}}{p_{0} + p_{1}}, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Thus, by subtraction, we have:

$$(n+1) p_{n+1} = (p_1/p_0) \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_k.$$

Denoting $p_1/p_0 = u$, we obtain, again by subtraction:

$$p_{n+1} = \frac{p_n(u+n)}{n+1}$$

which gives (10).

Conversely, if p_n is given by (10) then (9) is valid, because (12) means $A^p(z) = (u/(u+1))z$, where $z = (n)_{n \ge 0}$.

The second case which we study is obtained by putting $q_{nk} = p_k$. Thus we have the linear operator B^p defined by:

$$B_n^p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k x_k, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$

We denote by:

$$Z_0 = \{(x_n)_{n>0} : \exists a, x_n = an, \forall n \geq 0\}.$$

Lemma 5. i) There is no sequence p with property:

$$(13) B^p(Z) \subset Z;$$

ii) The operator B^p satisfies the inclusion:

$$B^p(Z_0) \subset Z_0$$

if and only if

$$p_n = p_1/n, \forall n \geq 1.$$

Proof. To obtain (13) it is necessary and sufficient that for arbitrary a and b there exist constants c, d, e and f such that:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a k p_{k} = c n + d, \sum_{k=0}^{n} b p_{k} = e n + f, n \ge 0.$$

For n=0 we have d=0, $bp_0=f$ and for $n\geq 1$: $anp_n=c$, $bp_n=e$. Since $b\neq 0$ leads to a contradiction, we must have b=0, e=0, $c=ap_1$ and $p_n=p_1/n$.

Remark 3. Taking $p_1 = 1$, we get an operator which we denote simply by B, thus:

$$(14) B_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k/x, \ \forall n \geq 1.$$

As we pointed out in the introduction, this operator resembles Alexander's integral operator.

4. A hierarchy of starshapedness

In what follows we want to investigate the sufficiency of the previous conditions. First, we denote:

$$M^uT = \{x : A^p(x) \in T\},\$$

where T is an arbitrary set of sequences and A^p is given by (8) with p taken as in (10). We have proved in [8] and [9] that:

(15)
$$K \subset M^{u}K \subset S^{*} \subset S \subset W$$
$$\cap \cap$$
$$M^{u}S^{*} \subset M^{u}S \subset M^{u}W$$

that is, the condition is sufficient for the sets K, S^* and W. We try to extend this result by taking into account (2). But, as in the case of the set S, we are not able to prove the inclusion $J^* \subset M^u J^*$ because the representation given by (3) and (5) for the sequences of J^* is too complicated.

Lemma 6. For every $u \ge 0$ the inclusion:

$$V^* \subset M^uV^*$$

is valid.

Proof. Let $x = (x_n)_{n \ge 0}$ be an arbitrary sequence of V^* . It may be represented as in (7) by:

$$x_n = v_n + nx_1 - (n-1)x_0$$

Gh. Toader

with $v_0 = v_1 = 0$ and $v_n \ge 0$ for $n \ge 2$. So:

$$A_n^u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{u+k-1}{k} x_k}{\binom{u+n}{n}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{u+k-1}{k} v_k}{\binom{u+n}{n}} + (x_1 - x_0) n u / (u+1) + x_0$$

$$= w_n + n A_1^u(x) - (n-1) A_0^u(x),$$

where $w_0 = w_1 = 0$ and $w_n \ge 0$ for $n \ge 2$, that is $A^u(x) \in V^*$.

To use the operator B given by (14), taking into account Lemma 5, we must use only sequences which have the first item zero. So, for a given set T of sequences, we denote by:

$$T_0 = \{x = (x_n)_{n>0}, x \in T, x_0 = 0\}$$

its subset with desired property. Also we denote:

$$M^{0}T_{0} = \{x : B(x) \in T_{0}\}.$$

We get the following characterizations:

Lemma 7. The sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$ belongs to:

- i) M^0K_0 , iff $x_{n+1}/(n+1) \ge x_n/n$, for $n \ge 2$;
- ii) $M^0S_0^*$, iff $\sum_{k=1}^n (x_n/n x_k/k) \ge 0$ for $n \ge 2$;
- iii) M^0W_0 , iff $x_n/n \ge x_1$ for $n \ge 2$;
- iv) $M^0V_0^*$, iff $\sum_{k=2}^n (x_k/k x_1) \ge 0$ for $n \ge 2$.

Proof. We have only to compute:

- i) $B_{n+2}(x) 2B_{n+1}(x) + B_n(x) = x_{n+2}/(n+2) x_{n+1}/(n+1);$ ii) $B_{n+1}(x)/(n+1) B_n(x)/n = \frac{nx_{n+1}/(n+1) \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k/k}{n(n+1)};$ iii) $B_{n+1}(x) B_n(x) B_1(x) = x_{n+1}/(n+1) x_1;$
- iv) $B_n(x) nB_1(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k/k nx_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k/k x_1)$.

Lemma 8. The inclusions

$$(16) K_0 \subset S_0^* \subset S_0 \subset W_0 \subset V_0^*$$

$$(16) \cap \cap$$

$$M^0 K_0 \subset M^0 S_0^* \subset M^0 S_0 \subset M^0 W_0 \subset M^0 V_0^*$$

hold.

Proof. The inclusions from the first and the second lines follow from (2), while $S_0^* \subset M^0K_0$ and $V_0 \subset M^0W_0$ are proved in assertions i) respectively iii) of Lemma 7.

Remark 4. It is easy to see that a superadditive sequence satisfies the condition ii) of Lemma 7 for n = 2, 3, 4, 5, so we conjecture that:

$$S_0 \subset M^0 S_0^{\star}$$
.

To the contrary, $W_0 \not\subset M^0S_0$. For example, the sequence x given by $x_1 = 0$, $x_n = 1$ for $n \ge 2$, belongs to W_0 , but not to M^0S_0 .

Also we can combine the diagrams (15) and (16).

Lemma 9. For every u > 0 the inclusions:

hold.

Proof. i) If $x = (x_n)_{n \ge 0} \in M^u S_0^*$, then using (3) and (4)we can represent $A^u(x)$ by:

$$A_n^u(x) = n \sum_{k=1}^n z_k$$
, with $z_k \ge 0$ for $k \ge 2$.

But then, as in [9], we have:

(17)
$$x_n = (1 + \frac{n}{u}) A_n^u(x) - \frac{n}{u} A_{n-1}^u(x),$$

hence

$$x_n = n \left((n-1)z_n + (u+1) \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \right) / u.$$

We deduce that:

$$\frac{x_n+1}{n+1}-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{x_k}{k} = (1+\frac{n+1}{u})z_{n+1}+\sum_{k=2}^{n}\frac{k-1}{u}z_k \geq 0,$$

that is $x \in M^0S_0^*$.

ii) If $x \in M^u W_0$, we have by (6):

$$A_n^u(x) = \sum_{k=2}^n w_k + nw_1$$
, with $w_k \ge 0$ for $k \ge 2$

if $n \geq 2$ and $A_1^u(x) = w_1$. Then $x_1 = (1 + 1/u) w_1$ and for $n \geq 2$, from (17):

$$x_n = nw_1 + \sum_{k=2}^n w_k + \frac{n}{u}(w_1 + w_n) \ge n(1 + 1/u)w_1 = nx_1,$$

that is $x \in M^0W_0$.

iii) For $x \in M^u V_0^{\star}$ we have from (7) $A_1^u(x) = v_1$ and:

$$A_n^u(x) = v_n + nv_1$$
, with $v_n \ge 0$ for $n \ge 2$.

So $x_1 = (1 + 1/u)v_1$ and from (17):

$$x_n = (1 + \frac{n}{u}) v_n - \frac{n}{u} v_{n-1} + n (1 + \frac{1}{u}) v_1.$$

Thus:

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} (x_k/k - x_1) = v_n/u + \sum_{k=2}^{n} v_k/k \ge 0$$

and by Lemma 7, $x \in M^0V_0^{\star}$.

We summarize the above results in the following:

Theorem. For arbitrary $u \ge 0$ we have the inclusions:

References

- [1] B. Kotkowski, A.. Waszak. An application of Abel's transformation. Beograd, Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak., 602-633, 1978, 203-210.
- [2] A. Lupas. On convexity preserving matrix transformations. Univ. Beograd, Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak., 634-677, 1979, 208-213.
 [3] I. Z. Milovanović, M. A. Kovačević, Gh. Toader. Properties of bounded convex
- sequences. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., 20, 1991, 97-109.
 [4] D. S. Mitrinović, I. B. Lacković, M. S. Stankvić. On some convex sequences
- connected with N. Ozeki's results. Univ. Beograd, Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak., 634-677, 1979, 3-24.
- [5] P. T. Mocanu. Starlikenes conditions for Alexander integral. "Babes-Bolyai" Univ. preprint, 7, 1986, 173-178.
- [6] S. Ruscheweyh. Coefficient conditions for starlike functions. Glasgow Math. J., 29,
- 1987, 141-142.
 [7] Gh. Toader. A hierarchy of convexity for sequences. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx., **12**, 1983, 187–192.
- [8] Gh. Toader. On some properties of convex sequences. Matem. Vesnik, 38, 1986, 103-111.
- [9] Gh. Toader. A general hierarchy of convexity of sequences. "Babes-Bolyai" Univ.
- preprint, 4, 1986, 61-70.
 [10] P. M. Vasić, J. D. Kečkić, I. B. Lacković, Z. McMitrović. Some properties of arithmetic means of real sequences. Matem. Vesnik, 9 (24), 1972, 205-212.

Department of Mathematics Technical University 3400 Cluj-Napoca ROMANIA

Received 21.07.1992