

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

Asymptotic Laws for a Class of Diffusion Processes

Mahendra N. Mishra†, Josef Steinebach‡

Presented by Bl. Sendov

Let $X(t)$ be a time homogeneous solution of the one-dimensional Itô stochastic differential equation. We study upper and lower functions for the modulus of continuity of $X(t)$ as well as for large increments. Moreover, a Darling-Erdős type extreme value limiting law is derived.

1. Introduction

Let $X(t)$ be the solution of the one-dimensional Itô stochastic differential equation

$$(1) \quad dX(t) = b(X(t))dt + dW(t)$$

with initial condition

$$(2) \quad X(0) = X_0,$$

where $W(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a standard Wiener process and X_0 is independent of $\mathcal{F}\{W(t), t \geq 0\}$ with $EX_0^2 < \infty$.

We shall assume throughout that $b(x)$ is a real-valued function, well-defined and measurable for $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$, and satisfying the following conditions:

(A1) For some constants K and $0 < \delta < 1$,

$$(3) \quad |b(x)| \leq \frac{K}{(1 + |x|)^{1+\delta}}.$$

(A2) For $c > 0$ and $x, y \in (-\infty, \infty)$ there exists a constant L_c such that

$$(4) \quad |b(x) - b(y)| \leq L_c |x - y|,$$

where $|x| \leq c, |y| \leq c$.

Under conditions (A1) and (A2) and the initial condition $X(0) = X_0$, I. I. Gihman and A. V. Skorohod [6] have shown that there exists a unique solution $X(t)$ of (1) in an arbitrary time interval $[0, T]$, and that

$$(5) \quad X(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X(s)) ds + W(t).$$

S. K. Acharya and M. N. Mishra [1],[12] investigated the law of iterated logarithm as well as upper and lower functions for the solution $X(t)$. For earlier results in this direction we refer to A. Friedman [5].

In this paper our aim is to derive further asymptotic properties of $X(t)$ as given in (5). In section 2 we investigate the modulus of continuity for the solution $X(t)$ on $[0, T]$. Section 3 is devoted to the study of large increments of $X(t)$ on $[0, T]$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, in section 4 we prove a Darling-Erdős type extreme value limiting law. The main tool in the proofs is to derive an embedding of $X(t)$ to the standard Wiener process $W(t)$ with a suitable rate.

2. The modulus of continuity of $X(t)$

The modulus of continuity of the Wiener process has been studied by P. Lévy [10], [11]. It reads as follows (cf. e.g. M. Csörgö and P. Révész [3], Theorem 1.1.1):

Theorem 2A. *Let $W(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, be a standard Wiener process on $[0, 1]$. Then*

$$(6) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1-h} |W(t+h) - W(t)| / (2h \log(1/h))^{1/2} \stackrel{a.s.}{=} 1$$

and

$$(7) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1-h} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq h} |W(t+s) - W(t)| / (2h \log(1/h))^{1/2} \stackrel{a.s.}{=} 1.$$

From equation (5) we immediately have, for $0 \leq t < T - h, 0 \leq s \leq h$,

$$(8) \quad X(t+s) - X(t) = \int_t^{t+s} b(X(u)) du + W(t+s) - W(t).$$

By assumption (A1), and $0 \leq s \leq h$,

$$(9) \quad \left| \int_t^{t+s} b(X(u)) du \right| \leq Kh.$$

On the other hand, for any fixed $T > 0$,

$$(10) \quad \{T^{-1/2}W(sT), 0 \leq s \leq 1\} \stackrel{D}{=} \{W(s), 0 \leq s \leq 1\}.$$

On combining (8)–(10), we have proved the following result:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $X(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, be the solution of (1) and (2). Under assumptions (A1) and (A2), we have*

$$(11) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-h} |X(t+h) - X(t)| / (2h \log(1/h))^{1/2} \stackrel{a.s.}{=} 1$$

and

$$(12) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-h} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq h} |X(t+s) - X(t)| / (2h \log(1/h))^{1/2} \stackrel{a.s.}{=} 1.$$

Our next object is to obtain an integral test criterion corresponding to the asymptotics of (11) and (12). Let H_ϵ be the class of functions $h(\cdot)$ on $(0, \epsilon)$ to $[0, \infty)$ such that $h(t) \uparrow \infty$ as $t \downarrow 0$ and $t^{1/2}h(t) \downarrow 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$. We can divide the class of functions H_ϵ into upper and lower class functions as follows:

Definition 2.1. A function $h(\cdot) \in H_\epsilon$ belongs to the upper class, say U_ϵ , if, given $t > 0$, for almost every ω there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $u \geq 0, v \geq 0, 0 < u+v < \delta$, then $|X(t+v) - X(t-u)| < 2^{1/2}(u+v)^{1/2}h(u+v)$. V_ϵ is the complement of U_ϵ in H_ϵ .

In order to derive upper and lower functions for $X(t)$ we need the following result for the Wiener process $W(t)$:

Theorem 2B. *Let $h \in H_\epsilon$. Then*

$$(13) \quad h \in \tilde{U}_\epsilon \iff I(h) = \int_{0+}^\epsilon x^{-1}h^3(x)e^{-h^2(x)} dx < \infty,$$

where \tilde{U}_ϵ denotes the analogue of U_ϵ in case of $W(t)$.

The above result is due to N. C. Jain and S. J. Taylor [8], stated there for d -dimensional Brownian motion.

By the arguments leading to Theorem 2.1 we have seen that, given $t > 0$,

$$(14) \quad |X(t+v) - X(t-u) - (W(t+v) - W(t-u))| \leq K(v+u).$$

By the latter estimate, the following theorem is obtained:

Theorem 2.2 Let $X(t)$ be the solution of (1) and (2), and let $h \in H_\epsilon$. Then

$$h \in U_\epsilon \iff I(h) = \int_{0+}^\epsilon x^{-1} h^3(x) e^{-h^2(x)} dx < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $h \in H_\epsilon$ be such that $I(h) < \infty$. In view of relation (14), and the fact that $x^{1/2}h(x) \downarrow 0$ as $x \downarrow 0$, we estimate for given $t > 0$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |X(t+v) - X(t-u)| &\geq 2^{1/2}(u+v)^{1/2}h(u+v) \\ \implies |W(t+v) - W(t-u)| &\geq 2^{1/2}(u+v)^{1/2}h(u+v) - K(u+v) \\ &= 2^{1/2}(u+v)^{1/2} \left(h(u+v) - 2^{-1/2}K(u+v)^{1/2} \right) \\ &\geq 2^{1/2}(u+v)^{1/2} (h(u+v) - 1/h(u+v)), \end{aligned}$$

if $0 < u+v < \delta$ and $\delta \downarrow 0$. One easily checks that

$$I(h) < \infty \implies I\left(h - \frac{1}{h}\right) < \infty.$$

Hence, $h - \frac{1}{h}$ being an upper class function for the Wiener process $\{W(t)\}$ by Theorem 2B results in h being an upper class function for $\{X(t)\}$, i. e. $h \in U_\epsilon$.

Now, consider $h \in H_\epsilon$ with $I(h) = \infty$. By a similar argument as above, we have for $0 < u+v < \delta \downarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |W(t+v) - W(t-u)| &\geq 2^{1/2}(u+v)^{1/2}(h(u+v) + 1/h(u+v)) \\ \implies |W(t+v) - W(t-u)| &\geq 2^{1/2}(u+v)^{1/2}h(u+v) + K(u+v) \\ \implies |X(t+v) - X(t-u)| &\geq 2^{1/2}(u+v)^{1/2}h(u+v). \end{aligned}$$

Also, $h + \frac{1}{h} \in H_\epsilon$ and

$$I(h) = \infty \implies I\left(h + \frac{1}{h}\right) = \infty.$$

Hence follows that $h \in V_\epsilon$, i. e.

$$I(h) < \infty \iff h \in U_\epsilon.$$

Remark 2.1. The above result can be extended to higher-dimensional diffusions, since a corresponding version of Theorem 2B is available in N. C. Jain and S. J. Taylor [8].

3. Large increments of $X(t)$

M. Csörgö and P. Révész [2] have studied the problem of how large the increments of a Wiener process $\{W(t)\}$ over subintervals of length a_T of the interval $[0, T]$ can be when $T \rightarrow \infty$ and a_T is a non-decreasing function of T . Their main result is as follows (cf. e.g. Theorem 1.2.1 in M. Csörgö and P. Révész [3]):

Theorem 3A. *Let a_T ($T > 0$) be a monotonically non-decreasing function of T for which*

(i) $0 < a_T \leq T$,

(ii) T/a_T is monotonically non-decreasing.

Then,

$$(15) \quad \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \beta_T |W(t + a_T) - W(t)| \stackrel{a.s.}{=} 1,$$

$$(16) \quad \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \beta_T |W(t + s) - W(t)| \stackrel{a.s.}{=} 1,$$

where $\beta_T = (2a_T \{\log(T/a_T) + \log \log T\})^{-1/2}$.

If we also have

(iii) $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (\log(T/a_T) / \log \log T) = \infty$,

then $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty}$ in (15) and (16) can be replaced by $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty}$.

Here we want to derive a similar result for the diffusion process $\{X(t)\}$ of (5). Consider

$$(17) \quad X(t + s) - X(t) = \int_t^{t+s} b(X(u)) du + W(t + s) - W(t)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, $s \in [0, a_T]$. The following lemmas are needed:

Lemma 3.1. *Under condition (A1) and*

$$(A3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(x) dx = 0,$$

we have

$$(18) \quad E \left| \int_0^t b(x(s)) ds \right|^2 = O(t^{1-\delta}).$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

For the proof of this lemma we refer to A. Friedman [5], pp. 188–189.

From Lemma 3.1 we are able to derive the following estimate on the random drift coefficient of $X(t + s) - X(t)$:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\tau_t = \inf\{s \geq t : X(s) = 0\}$. Assume that*

$$(A4) \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\tau_t - t| \stackrel{a.s.}{=} O\left(a_T^{(1-\delta)p}\right) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

where a_T is as in Theorem 3A, $0 < \delta < 1$ as in assumption (A1), and $p > 1/2$. Then, under conditions (A1) and (A3),

$$(19) \quad \int_t^{t+s} b(X(u)) du \stackrel{a.s.}{=} O\left(a_T^{(1-\delta)p}\right)$$

uniformly in $t \in [0, T]$, $s \in [0, a_T]$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We have

$$\left| \int_t^{t+s} b(X(u)) du \right| \leq K|\tau_t - t| + \left| \int_{\tau_t}^{t+s} b(X(u)) du \right|.$$

In view of assumption (A4), we only have to estimate

$$(20) \quad \left| \int_{\tau_t}^{t+s} b(X(u)) du \right| = \left| \int_0^{t+s-\tau_t} b(\tilde{X}(u)) du \right|,$$

where $\{\tilde{X}(u)\}$ is the unique solution of (1) with $\{\tilde{X}(0)\} = 0$, and the strong Markov property of $\{X(u)\}$ has been used.

Now, for $t_m \leq t \leq t_{m+1}$, $t_m = m^\lambda$, λ suitably chosen below, we have

$$P\left(\left| \int_0^t b(X(u)) du \right| \geq t_m^{(1-\delta)p}\right) \leq \frac{E\left|\int_0^t b(X(u)) du\right|^2}{t_m^{2p(1-\delta)}} = O(t_m^{(1-\delta)(1-2p)}).$$

A choice of $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda(1-\delta)(2p-1) > 1$ gives

$$\sum_m P\left(\sup_{t_m \leq t \leq t_{m+1}} \left| \int_0^t b(X(u)) du \right| \geq t_m^{(1-\delta)p}\right) < \infty.$$

Since $t_{m+1}/t_m \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, the Borel-Cantelli lemma implies

$$(21) \quad \int_0^t b(X(u)) du \stackrel{a.s.}{=} O(t^{(1-\delta)p}).$$

A combination of (A4), (20) and (21) completes the proof of (19).

Making use of Lemma 3.2 we immediately obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. Let a_T satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3A. Assume conditions (i) and (ii) together with

$$(iv) \beta_T a_T^{(1-\delta)p} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty,$$

where β_T is as given in Theorem 3A, and where $0 < \delta < 1$ and $p > 1/2$ are as in Lemma 3.2. Under assumptions (A1)–(A4), we have

$$(22) \quad \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \beta_T |X(t + a_T) - X(t)| \stackrel{a.s.}{=} 1,$$

$$(22) \quad \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \beta_T |X(t + s) - X(t)| \stackrel{a.s.}{=} 1.$$

If we also assume condition (iii) of Theorem 3A, then $\overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty}$ in (22) and (23) can be replaced by $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty}$.

Proof. The proof is immediate from (17), (19), (iv) and the corresponding results for $\{W(t)\}$.

Next we are going to study upper and lower class functions of the (so-called) "large increments" processes

$$(24) \quad Y_1(T, a_T) = a_T^{-1/2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} |X(t + a_T) - X(t)|,$$

$$(25) \quad Y_2(T, a_T) = a_T^{-1/2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} |X(t + s) - X(t)|.$$

Definition 3.1. a) The function h belongs to the upper-upper class of the process X ($h \in UUC(X)$) if, with probability one, there exist a (random) t_0 such that $X(t) < h(t)$ for all $t > t_0$.

b) The function h belongs to the upper-lower class of X ($h \in ULC(X)$) if, with probability one, there exists a (random) sequence $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$ with $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $X(t_i) > h(t_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Throughout let H denote the class of functions h which are continuous, non-decreasing and satisfy $h(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\tilde{Y}_1(T, a_T), \tilde{Y}_2(T, a_T)$ be defined as in (24), (25) but with $\{W(t)\}$ replacing $\{X(t)\}$. J. Ortega and M. Wschebor [13] obtained the following partial description of the UUC and ULC of \tilde{Y}_1, \tilde{Y}_2 .

Theorem 3B. Let X be either \tilde{Y}_1 or \tilde{Y}_2 . Then,

$$(26) \quad I_1(h) = \int_1^\infty \frac{h(t)}{a_t} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}h^2(t)\right\} dt = \infty \implies h \in ULC(X),$$

$$I_3(h) = \int_1^\infty \frac{h^3(t)}{a_t} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}h^2(t)\right\} dt < \infty \implies h \in UUC(X).$$

Remark 3.1. J. Ortega and M. Wschebor [13] have also shown that, under additional regularity conditions on a_t , it is possible to replace $I_3(h)$ in (27) by $I_1(h)$, and hence to obtain a complete description of UUC .

In order to derive the corresponding analogue of Theorem 3B for $\{X(t)\}$, we need the following lemma (cf. N. C. Jain, K. Jogdeo and W. F. Stout [7], Lemma 2.3).

Lemma 3.3. *Let g be an eventually non-increasing function from $[1, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ and f be a measurable function from $[1, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$. For $h \in H$, define*

$$F(h) = \int_1^\infty g(h(t)) f(t) dt$$

which may be either finite or infinite. Assume that
(a₁) for every $h \in H$ and for every $A > 1$,

$$\int_1^A g(h(t)) f(t) dt < \infty.$$

(a₂) there exist h_1, h_2 , two members of H such that $h_1 \leq h_2$, $F(h_1) = \infty$
and

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} g(h_1(A)) \int_1^A f(t) dt = \infty.$$

Define

$$\hat{h} = \min[\max(h, h_1), h_2].$$

Then for $h \in H$

(b₁) $F(h) < \infty$ implies $\hat{h} \leq h$ near ∞ and $F(\hat{h}) < \infty$,

(b₂) $F(h) = \infty$ implies that $F(\hat{h}) = \infty$.

Now we are in a position to prove the following result:

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be either Y_1 or Y_2 of (24) or (25). Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, replacing (iv) by*

(v) $a_T^{(1-\delta)p-(1/2)} (\log(T/a_T) + \log \log T)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$,
we have

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1(h) = \infty &\implies h \in ULC(X), \\ I_3(h) < \infty &\implies h \in UUC(X). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We consider first the upper class functions. So let $h \in H$ be such that $I_3(h) < \infty$. Let us assume that

$$(30) \quad h_1(t) \leq h(t) \leq h_2(t) \text{ for all } t \text{ sufficiently large,}$$

where $h_1(t) = (\log(T/a_T) + \log \log T)^{1/2}$ and $h_2(t) = 2(\log(T/a_T) + \log \log T)^{1/2}$. One easily verifies that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(h_2) &\leq I_3(h_2) < \infty, \\ I_3(h_1) &\geq I_1(h_1) = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let us first establish (29) for h satisfying (30). We will then show that the theorem is true for an arbitrary function $h \in H$.

By Lemma 3.2 and (5), for some $c > 0$ and $i = 1, 2$,

$$(31) \quad |Y_i(T, a_T) - \tilde{Y}(T, a_T)| < ca_T^{(1-\delta)p-(1/2)}.$$

By assumption (v),

$$(32) \quad a_T^{(1-\delta)p-(1/2)} \leq 1/h(T) \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, by (31) and (32), as $T \rightarrow \infty$

$$Y_i(T, a_T) > h(T) \implies \tilde{Y}_i(T, a_T) > h(T) - \frac{1}{h(T)}.$$

It is obvious that $I_3(h) < \infty$ implies

$$I_3\left(h - \frac{1}{h}\right) < \infty.$$

Hence by Theorem 3B, $h - \frac{1}{h} \in UUC(\tilde{Y}_i)$, and this implies $h \in UUC(Y_i)$.

Now let us remove the restriction (30) and consider an arbitrary $h \in H$.

Define

$$\hat{h}(t) = \min[\max(h(t), h_1(t)), h_2(t)].$$

Then, by Lemma 3.3,

$$I_3(h) < \infty \implies I_3(\hat{h}) < \infty \text{ and } \hat{h} \leq h \text{ near infinity.}$$

Again, we have $h_1(t) \leq \hat{h}(t) \leq h_2(t)$. Therefore,

$$P(Y_i(T, a_T) > \hat{h}(T) \text{ i.o. as } T \rightarrow \infty) = 0,$$

when $I_3(h) < \infty$. But $\hat{h}(T) \leq h(T)$ near infinity. Hence

$$P(Y_i(T, a_T) > h(T) \text{ i.o. as } T \rightarrow \infty) = 0,$$

when $I_3(h) < \infty$.

Now let $h \in H$ satisfy (30) and $I_1(h) = \infty$. By a similar argument as above, for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Y}_i(T, a_T) &> h(T) + \frac{1}{h(T)} \\ \implies Y_i(T, a_T) &> h(T). \end{aligned}$$

Again, also $I_1(h + 1/h) = \infty$. Hence, by Theorem 3B, $h + 1/h \in ULC(\tilde{Y}_i)$, which results in $h \in ULC(Y_i)$.

Next again by Lemma 3.3, with \hat{h} as defined above,

$$I_1(h) = \infty \implies I_1(\hat{h}) = \infty.$$

So,

$$P(Y_i(T, a_T) > \hat{h}(T) \text{ i.o. as } T \rightarrow \infty) = 1.$$

This implies that there exists a sequence $\{T_n\} \uparrow \infty$ such that

$$(33) \quad Y_i(T_n, a_{T_n}) > \hat{h}(T_n) \text{ a.s. for every } n.$$

Since $I_1(h_2) < \infty$, we have

$$(34) \quad Y_i(T_n, a_{T_n}) \leq h_2(T_n) \text{ a.s. for every large } n.$$

Now from (33) and (34), we get

$$\hat{h}(T_n) \leq h_2(T_n) \text{ for large } n,$$

and hence, by definition of \hat{h} ,

$$\hat{h}(T_n) \leq h_2(T_n) \text{ for large } n,$$

i. e. $\hat{h}(T_n) \geq h(T_n)$ for large n .

Therefore by (33)

$$Y_i(T_n, a_{T_n}) > h(T_n) \text{ a.s. for large } n.$$

Hence for $I_1(h) = \infty$,

$$P(Y_i(T_n, a_{T_n}) > h(T) \text{ i.o. as } T \rightarrow \infty) = 1.$$

This completes the proof (in which we followed the technique adopted by N. C. Jain, K. Jogdeo and W. F. Stout [7]).

Remark 3.2. By Theorem 4 in J. Ortega and M. Wschebor [13], it is also possible to replace $I_3(h)$ in (29) by $I_1(h)$ under additional regularity conditions on a_t .

4. The Darling-Erdős theorem for $X(t)$

In Sections 2 and 3 we have demonstrated that a number of strong invariance principles are available for $X(t)$. The aim of this section is to show that also convergence in distribution results can be derived for $X(t)$ via their corresponding analogues for $W(t)$. As a particular example we provide the following Darling-Erdős type extreme value limiting behaviour. For a recent discussion of the latter theorem in a martingale setup we refer to U. Einmahl and D.M. Mason [4] (cf. also the references mentioned therein).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $X(t)$ be as in (5). Under conditions (A1), (A2) and (A3), we have, for fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and for all real x ,*

$$(35) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} P \left(a_T \sup_{\epsilon \leq t \leq T} (X(t)/t^{1/2}) - b_T \leq x \right) = \exp(-e^{-x}),$$

and

$$(36) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} P \left(a_T \sup_{\epsilon \leq t \leq T} (|X(t)|/t^{1/2}) - b_T \leq x \right) = \exp(-2e^{-x}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_T &= (2 \log \log T)^{1/2} \\ b_T &= 2 \log \log T + \frac{1}{2} \log \log \log T - \frac{1}{2} \log(4\pi). \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of Theorem 4.1, we follow the arguments in J. Steinbach [14] and provide three lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$*

$$(37) \quad a_T \sup_{\epsilon \leq t \leq r(T)} (|X(t)|/t^{1/2}) - b_T \rightarrow -\infty \text{ a.s.}$$

and

$$(38) \quad a_T \sup_{\epsilon \leq t \leq r(T)} (|W(t)|/t^{1/2}) - b_T \rightarrow -\infty \text{ a.s.,}$$

where $r(T) = \exp(\log T)^p$ with some $0 < p < 1$.

Proof. From (21) of Section 3, we know that

$$(39) \quad |X(t) - W(t)| \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} o(\{t/\log \log t\}^{1/2}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, the law of iterated logarithm also holds for $\{X(t)\}$. This implies

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} (2 \log \log r)^{-1/2} \sup_{\epsilon \leq t \leq r} (|X(t)|/t^{1/2}) \leq 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

Now, by our choice of $r(T)$, we have $\log \log r(T) = p \log \log T$, which, by $0 < p < 1$, completes the proof of (37), since $a_T(2 \log \log r(T))^{1/2} - b_T \rightarrow -\infty$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Assertion (38) is proved by the same argument.

Lemma 4.2. *With $r(T)$ as in Lemma 4.1, we have as $T \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$(40) \quad a_T \sup_{r(T) \leq t \leq T} (|X(t) - W(t)|/t^{1/2}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Proof. From (39), as $r \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sup_{r \leq t \leq \infty} (|X(t) - W(t)|/t^{1/2}) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} o(\{\log \log r\}^{-1/2}).$$

The latter relation with $r = r(T) = \exp(\log T)^p$ immediately implies (40).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a standard Wiener process. Then, for all real x , assertions (35) and (36) hold with $\epsilon = 1$ and $\{X(t)\}$ replaced by $\{W(t)\}$.*

Proof. By a simple transformation, the latter result is immediate from Theorem 1.9.1 of M. Csörgö and P. Révész [3] stated for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process (cf. also M.R. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren and H. Rootzén [9], Theorem 12.3.5).

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Combine Lemmas 4.1 – 4.3.

References

- [1] S.K. Acharya, M.N. Mishra. Upper and lower functions for diffusion processes. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **19**, 1988, 1035–1042.
- [2] M. Csörgö, P. Révész. How big are the increments of a Wiener process? *Ann. Probab.*, **7**, 1979, 731–737.
- [3] M. Csörgö, P. Révész. *Strong Approximations in Probability and Statistics*. Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [4] U. Einmahl, D.M. Mason. Darling-Erdős theorems for martingales. *J. Theor. Probab.*, **2**, 1989, 437–460.
- [5] A. Friedman. *Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [6] I.I. Gihman, A.V. Skorohod. *Stochastic Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [7] N.C. Jain, K. Jogdeo, W.F. Stout. Upper and lower functions for martingales and mixing processes. *Ann. Probab.*, **3**, 1975, 119–145.
- [8] N.C. Jain, S.J. Taylor. Local asymptotic laws for Brownian motion. *Ann. Probab.*, **1**, 1973, 527–549.
- [9] M.R. Leadbetter, G. Lindgren, H. Rootzén. *Extremes and Related Properties of Random Sequences and Processes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [10] P. Lévy. *Théorie de l'addition des variables aléatoires*. Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, 1937.
- [11] P. Lévy. *Processus stochastiques et mouvement Brownien*. Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, 1948.
- [12] M.N. Mishra, S.K. Acharya. On normalization in the law of the iterated logarithm for diffusion processes. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **14**, 1983, 1335–1342.
- [13] J. Ortega, M. Wschebor. On the increments of the Wiener process. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Geb.*, **65**, 1984, 329–339.
- [14] J. Steinebach. Invariance principles for renewal processes when only moments of low order exist. *J. Multiv. Analysis*, **26**, 1988, 169–183.

Sambalpur University,
Jyoti Vihar, Sambalpur,
Orissa 768 019,
INDIA

‡ Fachbereich Mathematik,
Philipps-Universität,
Hans-Meerwein-Strasse,
D-35032 Marburg,
GERMANY