

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

Optimal Solutions of Linear Tridiagonal Systems of Equations Involving Inexact Right-Hand Side

*N. S. Dimitrova**

Presented by Bl. Sendov

Considered is a method for determination of the optimal solution of a tridiagonal linear system of equations with uncertain (interval) right-hand side derived from the known sweep method by means of extended interval-arithmetic operations. An algorithm with result verification in computer interval arithmetic is formulated.

1. Introduction

We consider a system of linear equations of the form $Ax = b$ with a coefficient $n \times n$ -matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ and an n -dimensional right-hand side vector $b = (b_i)$. Suppose that inclusion intervals A_{ij} for the components a_{ij} resp. B_i for b_i are known, that is $a_{ij} \in A_{ij}$, $b_i \in B_i$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Denote by $[A] = (A_{ij})$ resp. $[b] = (B_i)$ the corresponding interval matrix resp. interval vector. Let $\{x\} = \{x : Ax = b, A \in [A], b \in [b]\}$ be the solution set of the linear system $Ax = b$ for $A \in [A]$, $b \in [b]$. It is known that $\{x\}$ can have a very complicated structure (see e.g. [11]). In the situation when $\{x\}$ is a bounded set (e.g. when every matrix $A \in [A]$ is nonsingular) the hull $[x]$ of $\{x\}$ [11] is defined as

$$[x] = [\inf\{x\}, \sup\{x\}].$$

* The study is partially supported by Ministry of Science and Education - National Fund Science Researches under contract No. MM 10/91.

We shall call $[x]$ optimal solution of the interval linear system $[A]x = [b]$. Every interval vector $[x']$ with $[x'] \supseteq \{x\}$ we shall call a solution of the interval system $[A]x = [b]$.

The computation of x is a difficult problem. Some classes of matrices are known (e.g. the class of M-matrices, of totally nonnegative matrices a.s.o., see [2], [6], [12]) for which the optimal solution can be computed using different methods.

A. Neumaier [10], [11] proposes a new approach for solving this problem. The computation of a solution of $[A]x = [b]$ is reduced to computations of solutions of two linear systems with uncertainty in the right-hand side only in the following manner.

Let w be a positive real vector. Denote $[w] = [-1, 1]w$. An interval norm $\|\cdot\|_w$ (see [10]) is defined by

$$\|[x]\|_w := \min\{a \geq 0 : x \subseteq a[w]\}, \text{ a real.}$$

Theorem 1. [10]. *Let be $[A] = [A] - [E]$ with a point matrix A and an interval matrix $[E]$. Denote by $[z]$ a solution of the interval system $A[z] = [E][w]$, i.e. $[z] \supseteq \{z : Az = b, b \in [E][w]\}$, so that $\|[z]\|_w = \alpha < 1$ holds. Denote further by $[y]$ a solution of $A[y] = [b]$, i.e. $[y] \supseteq \{y : Ay = b, b \in [b]\}$ and let be $\|[y]\|_w = \beta$. Then the following presentation for the solution $[x]$ of the interval system $[A]x = [b]$ holds:*

$$[x] = [y] + (\beta/(1 - \alpha))[z].$$

From this theorem it follows that the computation of a solution of linear interval systems with interval right-hand side vector is very important. The present paper considers the computation of the optimal solution of a linear interval system in the special case of tridiagonal point coefficient matrix A using extended interval arithmetic.

Let R be the set of reals and IR the set of all compact intervals over R . An interval $X \in IR$ is denoted by $X = [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$, $\underline{x} \leq \bar{x}$. The width of X is defined by $\omega(X) = \bar{x} - \underline{x}$. An interval with end-points $\alpha, \beta \in R$ (where $\alpha \leq \beta$ is not necessarily) will be denoted by $[\alpha \vee \beta]$, that is $[\alpha \vee \beta] = \{[\alpha, \beta], \text{ if } \alpha \leq \beta; [\beta, \alpha], \text{ if } \alpha \geq \beta\}$.

For $X = [\underline{x}, \bar{x}]$, $Y = [\underline{y}, \bar{y}] \in IR$ we define the following extended interval-arithmetic operations which we need further ([3]-[5]):

$$\begin{aligned}
 X + Y &= [\underline{x} + \underline{y}, \bar{x} + \bar{y}]; \\
 X +^- Y &= [(\underline{x} + \bar{y}) \vee (\bar{x} + \underline{y})]; \\
 X - Y &= [\underline{x} - \underline{y}, \bar{x} - \bar{y}]; \\
 X -^- Y &= [(\underline{x} - \bar{y}) \vee (\bar{x} - \underline{y})]; \\
 X \times Y &= [\min \{ \underline{x}\underline{y}, \underline{x}\bar{y}, \bar{x}\underline{y}, \bar{x}\bar{y} \}, \max \{ \underline{x}\underline{y}, \underline{x}\bar{y}, \bar{x}\underline{y}, \bar{x}\bar{y} \}]; \\
 1/Y &= [1/\bar{y}, 1/\underline{y}], 0 \notin Y; \\
 X/Y &= X \times (1/Y), 0 \notin Y.
 \end{aligned}$$

To compute the optimal solution of a tridiagonal linear system with interval right-hand side vector we shall use the theory for interval-arithmetic presentations of ranges of monotone functions of many variables [4] which we shortly introduce below.

Denote by Θ the set $\Theta = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n) : \theta_i \in \{\leq, \geq\}\}$, where \leq and \geq are the usual order relations in R^n . The set Θ consists of 2^n elements which will be called order relations in R^n . We say that the vectors $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ are ordered and write $x\theta y$ if there is an order relation $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n) \in \Theta$ such that $x_i \theta_i y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

A function $f: D \rightarrow R, D \subset R^n$, is called monotone in D , if there is an order relation $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n) \in \Theta$ such that for every $x, y \in D$ with $x\theta y$ it follows $f(x) \leq f(y)$. The set of all monotone functions on D will be denoted by $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(D)$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and $[x] = [\underline{x}, \bar{x}] = ([\underline{x}_i, \bar{x}_i])_{i=1}^n$ be an interval vector contained in D , i.e. $[x] \subset D$. The order relation $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n)$ indicates two real vectors $x^- = (x_1^-, x_2^-, \dots, x_n^-)$ and $x^+ = (x_1^+, x_2^+, \dots, x_n^+)$ with

$$x_i^- = \begin{cases} \underline{x}_i & \text{if } \theta_i = \leq, \\ \bar{x}_i & \text{if } \theta_i = \geq; \end{cases} \quad x_i^+ = \begin{cases} \bar{x}_i & \text{if } \theta_i = \leq, \\ \underline{x}_i & \text{if } \theta_i = \geq. \end{cases}$$

Then the range $f([x]) = [\inf_{x \in [x]} f(x), \sup_{x \in [x]} f(x)]$ of f on $[x]$ is obviously presented by the interval $[f(x^-), f(x^+)]$.

Assume that the functions $f, f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f = f_1 * f_2, * \in \{+, -\}$. We are interested in presenting the range $f([x])$ by means of f_1 and f_2 .

Denote $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}(f; [x]) = \text{co}\{x^-, x^+\} = \{tx^- + (1-t)x^+ : t \in [0, 1]\}$ and consider the intervals

$$f_i(\tilde{x}) = [f_i(x^-) \vee f_i(x^+)], \quad i = 1, 2;$$

define the values

$$\delta(f_i(\tilde{x})) = f_i(x^-) - f_i(x^+), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Obviously the following equalities hold true:

$$|\delta(f_i(\tilde{x}))| = \omega(f_i(\tilde{x}));$$

$$\delta(\alpha f_i(\tilde{x}) \pm \beta f_i(\tilde{x})) = \alpha \delta(f_i(\tilde{x})) \pm \beta \delta(f_i(\tilde{x})), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 2. [4]. *Let $f, f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f = f_1 * f_2$, $*$ $\in \{+, -\}$. Then the following interval - arithmetic presentation for the range of f on $[x]$ holds true:*

$$\begin{aligned} i) \quad f(x) &= \begin{cases} f_1(\tilde{x}) + f_2(\tilde{x}) & \text{if } \delta(f_1(\tilde{x}))\delta(f_2(\tilde{x})) \geq 0, \\ f_1(\tilde{x}) +^- f_2(\tilde{x}) & \text{if } \delta(f_1(\tilde{x}))\delta(f_2(\tilde{x})) < 0; \end{cases} \\ ii) \quad f(x) &= \begin{cases} f_1(\tilde{x}) -^- f_2(\tilde{x}) & \text{if } \delta(f_1(\tilde{x}))\delta(f_2(\tilde{x})) \geq 0, \\ f_1(\tilde{x}) - f_2(\tilde{x}) & \text{if } \delta(f_1(\tilde{x}))\delta(f_2(\tilde{x})) < 0; \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

2. Computation of the optimal solution of a tridiagonal linear system with uncertain right-hand side.

We consider the linear system

$$(1) \quad Ay = d$$

with a regular coefficient matrix A of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & 1 & a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & 1 & a_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & b_{n-1} & 1 & a_{n-1} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & b_n & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and a right-hand side vector $d = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n)^T$. Assume that $d \in [d] = (D_i)_{i=0}^n = ([\underline{d}_i, \bar{d}_i])_{i=0}^n$ and consider the interval tridiagonal system

$$(2) \quad A[y] = [d].$$

We shall compute the optimal solution $[y] = [\inf\{y\}, \sup\{y\}]$ of (2). It should be mentioned that the solution set $\{y\}$ of (2) is convex and bounded.

From the numerical algebra the sweep method for solving (1) is known: the solution $y = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is seaked in the form

$$(3) \quad y_i = p_i y_{i+1} + q_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where the coefficients p_i and q_i are determined by the following recursion formulae:

$$p_0 = -a_0,$$

$$(4) \quad p_i = -a_i / (1 + p_{i-1} b_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

$$q_0 = d_0,$$

$$(5) \quad q_i = (d_i - b_i q_{i-1}) / (1 + p_{i-1} b_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Using (3) for $i = n-1$ and the last equation of (1), i.e. from the system

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} b_n y_{n-1} + y_n = d_n \\ y_{n-1} - p_{n-1} y_n = q_{n-1} \end{cases}$$

we compute y_n using e.g. the Cramer's formulae. The components $y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}, \dots, y_0$ are then determined consecutively using (3).

The applying of this method in the interval computation leads to a method for determination of the optimal solution $[y] = (Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_0)$ of (2). The idea is based on the computation of the ranges of the functions $q_i = q_i(d_0, d_1, \dots, d_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, and $y_j = y_j(d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n)$, $j = n, n-1, \dots, 0$, considered as functions of the variables d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n over the interval vector $[d]$. The coefficients p_i do not depend on d_j , i.e. they are real numbers.

We next formulate two propositions.

Proposition 1. [1; Chpt.17]. Let $A^{-1} = (a_{ij}^{(-1)})_{i,j=0}^n$ be the inverse matrix of A . Then the following presentation holds true:

$$\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial d_j} = a_{ij}^{(-1)}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Proposition 2. Let the coefficients p_i be determined by (4). Denote

$$s_0 = -b_1,$$

$$s_i = -b_{i+1}/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Then the elements $a_{ij}^{(-1)}$ of the inverse matrix $A^{(-1)}$ are expressed by the following recursion formulae:

$$a_{nn}^{(-1)} = 1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n);$$

$$a_{ii}^{(-1)} = p_i s_i a_{i+1, i+1}^{(-1)} + 1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i), \quad i = n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0;$$

$$a_{ik}^{(-1)} = \begin{cases} p_i p_{i+1} \dots p_{k-1} a_{kk}^{(-1)} & \text{if } i < k, \\ s_k s_{k+1} \dots s_{i-1} a_{ii}^{(-1)} & \text{if } i > k, \end{cases}$$

where $p_{-1} = b_0 = 0$.

Similar formulae for $a_{ij}^{(-1)}$ can be found in [13].

Denote by Q_i resp. Y_j the ranges of the functions $q_i = q_i(d_0, d_1, \dots, d_i)$ resp. $y_j = y_j(d_0, d_1, \dots, d_n)$ on $[d]$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Obviously, the following interval-arithmetic presentation of Q_i is valid (see [9]):

$$Q_i = (D_i - b_i Q_{i-1}) / (1 + p_{i-1} b_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Using (6) we obtain for y_n

$$y_n = (d_n - b_n q_{n-1}) / (1 + p_{n-1} b_n)$$

and the range Y_n can be expressed by

$$Y_n = (D_n - b_n Q_{n-1}) / (1 + p_{n-1} b_n).$$

For the interval-arithmetic presentation of the range Y_k , $k = n-1, n-2, \dots, 0$, we shall use Theorem 2, *i*) with $f_1 = p_k y_{k+1}$, $f_2 = q_k$ and $f = f_1 + f_2 = y_k$. For this purpose we need firstly the real vectors

$$d^-(y_k) = (d_0^-(y_k), d_1^-(y_k), \dots, d_n^-(y_k)),$$

$$d^+(y_k) = (d_0^+(y_k), d_1^+(y_k), \dots, d_n^+(y_k)).$$

Using Proposition 1 we obtain

$$d_i^-(y_k) = \begin{cases} \underline{d}_i & \text{if } a_{ki}^{(-1)} \geq 0, \\ \bar{d}_i & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad d_i^+(y_k) = \begin{cases} \bar{d}_i & \text{if } a_{ki}^{(-1)} \geq 0, \\ \underline{d}_i & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Denote further

$$\tilde{d}_k = co\{d^-(y_k), d^+(y_k)\} = \{td^-(y_k) + (1-t)d^+(y_k) : t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Using Theorem 2, *i*) we thus obtain

$$Y_k = \begin{cases} p_k Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k) + Q_k(\tilde{d}_k) & \text{if } p_k \delta(Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \delta(Q_k(\tilde{d}_k)) \geq 0, \\ p_k Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k) +^- Q_k(\tilde{d}_k) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k)$ resp. $Q_k(\tilde{d}_k)$ mean the intervals

$$Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k) = [y_{k+1}(d^-(y_k)) \vee y_{k+1}(d^+(y_k))],$$

$$Q_k(\tilde{d}_k) = [q_k(d^-(y_k)) \vee q_k(d^+(y_k))].$$

The above expression for Y_k contains the intervals $Q_k(\tilde{d}_k)$ and $Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k)$. Since the functions q_i are computed recursively according (5) we need for the presentation of $Q_k(\tilde{d}_k)$ the intervals $Q_0(\tilde{d}_k), Q_1(\tilde{d}_k), \dots, Q_{k-1}(\tilde{d}_k)$. To compute $Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k)$ we need $Q_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k), \dots, Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)$ and also $Y_n(\tilde{d}_k), Y_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k), \dots, Y_{k+2}(\tilde{d}_k)$.

Proposition 3. For $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, and for some $k, 0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, $D_j(\tilde{d}_k) = D_j$ and $\delta(D_j) = -\text{sgn}(a_{kj}^{(-1)})\omega(D_j)$ holds true.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_j(\tilde{d}_k) &= [d_j(d_k^-(y_k)) \vee d_j(d_k^+(y_k))] \\ &= \begin{cases} \{d_j : d_j = (\underline{d}_j - \bar{d}_j)t + \bar{d}_j, t \in [0, 1]\} & \text{if } a_{kj}^{(-1)} \geq 0, \\ \{d_j : d_j = (\bar{d}_j - \underline{d}_j)t + \underline{d}_j, t \in [0, 1]\} & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} [\{d_j = (\underline{d}_j - \bar{d}_j)t + \bar{d}_j, t = 1\}, \{d_j = (\underline{d}_j - \bar{d}_j)t + \bar{d}_j, t = 0\}] & \text{if } a_{kj}^{(-1)} \geq 0, \\ [\{d_j = (\bar{d}_j - \underline{d}_j)t + \underline{d}_j, t = 0\}, \{d_j = (\bar{d}_j - \underline{d}_j)t + \underline{d}_j, t = 1\}] & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} [\underline{d}_j, \bar{d}_j] & \text{if } a_{kj}^{(-1)} \geq 0, \\ [\underline{d}_j, \bar{d}_j] & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ &= D_j. \end{aligned}$$

According to the above presentation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(D_j) &= d_j(d_k^-(y_k)) - d_j(d_k^+(y_k)) \\ &= \begin{cases} \{d_j = (\underline{d}_j - \bar{d}_j)t + \bar{d}_j, t = 1\} - \{d_j = (\underline{d}_j - \bar{d}_j)t + \bar{d}_j, t = 0\} & \text{if } a_{kj}^{(-1)} \geq 0, \\ [\{d_j = (\bar{d}_j - \underline{d}_j)t + \underline{d}_j, t = 1\} - \{d_j = (\bar{d}_j - \underline{d}_j)t + \underline{d}_j, t = 0\}] & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} -\omega(D_j) & \text{if } a_{kj}^{(-1)} \geq 0, \\ \omega(D_j) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ &= -\text{sgn}(a_{kj}^{(-1)})\omega(D_j). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4. For some k , $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, and for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$, the presentation $Q_i(\tilde{d}_k) = Q_i = (D_i - b_i Q_{i-1}) / (1 + p_{i-1} b_i)$ is valid.

Proof. We have for $i = 0$:

$$Q_0(\tilde{d}_k) = D_0(\tilde{d}_k) = D_0 = Q_0.$$

For $i = 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(\tilde{d}_k) &= [q_1(d^-(y_k)) \vee q_1(d^+(y_k))] \\ &= \begin{cases} (1/(1+p_0 b_1))[\underline{d}_1 - b_1 \underline{d}_0, \bar{d}_1 - b_1 \bar{d}_0] & \text{if } a_{k0}^{(-1)} \geq 0, a_{k1}^{(-1)} \geq 0; \\ (1/(1+p_0 b_1))[\bar{d}_1 - b_1 \underline{d}_0, \underline{d}_1 - b_1 \bar{d}_0] & \text{if } a_{k0}^{(-1)} \geq 0, a_{k1}^{(-1)} < 0; \\ (1/(1+p_0 b_1))[\underline{d}_1 - b_1 \bar{d}_0, \bar{d}_1 - b_1 \underline{d}_0] & \text{if } a_{k0}^{(-1)} < 0, a_{k1}^{(-1)} \geq 0; \\ (1/(1+p_0 b_1))[\bar{d}_1 - b_1 \bar{d}_0, \underline{d}_1 - b_1 \underline{d}_0] & \text{if } a_{k0}^{(-1)} < 0, a_{k1}^{(-1)} < 0; \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (1/(1+p_0 b_1))(D_1 - b_1 D_0) & \text{if } b_1 a_{k1}^{(-1)} a_{k0}^{(-1)} \geq 0, \\ (1/(1+p_0 b_1))(D_1 - b_1 D_0) & \text{if } b_1 a_{k1}^{(-1)} a_{k0}^{(-1)} < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 2 we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 a_{k1}^{(-1)} a_{k0}^{(-1)} &= b_1 s_1 \dots s_{k-1} a_{kk}^{(-1)} s_0 s_1 \dots s_{k-1} a_{kk}^{(-1)} \\ &= - (s_0 s_1 \dots s_{k-1} a_{kk}^{(-1)})^2 < 0, \end{aligned}$$

from what it follows

$$Q_1(\tilde{d}_k) = (1/(1+p_0 b_1))(D_1 - b_1 Q_0) = Q_1.$$

Further, using Proposition 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(Q_1) &= (1/(1+p_0 b_1))(\delta(D_1) - b_1 \delta(Q_0)) \\ &= (1/(1+p_0 b_1))(-\text{sgn}(a_{k1}^{(-1)})\omega(D_1) + b_1 \text{sgn}(a_{k0}^{(-1)})\omega(D_0)) \\ &= -\text{sgn}(a_{k1}^{(-1)})/(1+p_0 b_1)(\omega(D_1) - b_1 \text{sgn}(a_{k0}^{(-1)})\text{sgn}(a_{k1}^{(-1)})\omega(D_0)), \end{aligned}$$

hence $\text{sgn}(\delta(Q_1)) = -\text{sgn}(a_{k1}^{(-1)})/(1+p_0 b_1)$.

Suppose that for some i , $1 < i < k$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i(\tilde{d}_k) &= Q_i = (1/(1+p_{i-1} b_i))(D_i - b_i Q_{i-1}), \\ \text{sgn}(\delta(Q_i)) &= -\text{sgn}(a_{ki}^{(-1)})/(1+p_{i-1} b_i) \end{aligned}$$

hold. We shall prove that

$$Q_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k) = Q_{i+1} = (1/(1 + p_i b_{i+1}))(D_{i+1} - b_{i+1} Q_i),$$

$$\text{sgn}(\delta(Q_{i+1})) = -\text{sgn}(a_{ki+1}^{(-1)}/(1 + p_i b_{i+1})).$$

Indeed,

$$Q_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k) = \begin{cases} (1/(1 + p_i b_{i+1}))(D_{i+1} - b_{i+1} Q_i) & \text{if } b_{i+1} \delta(D_{i+1}) \delta(Q_i) \geq 0, \\ (1/(1 + p_i b_{i+1}))(D_{i+1} - b_{i+1} Q_i) & \text{if } b_{i+1} \delta(D_{i+1}) \delta(Q_i) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Using Proposition 2 and 3 we obtain

$$\text{sgn}(b_{i+1} \delta(D_{i+1}) \delta(Q_i)) = \text{sgn}(b_{i+1} a_{ki+1}^{(-1)} \omega(D_{i+1}) a_{ki}^{(-1)}) / (1 + p_{i-1} b_i)$$

$$= \text{sgn}(-s_i a_{ki+1}^{(-1)} a_{ki}^{(-1)}) = -\text{sgn}(a_{ki+1}^{(-1)})^2 < 0$$

and therefore

$$Q_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k) = Q_{i+1}.$$

Further we have

$$\delta(Q_{i+1}) = (1/(1 + p_i b_{i+1}))(\delta(D_{i+1}) - b_{i+1} \delta(Q_i))$$

$$= -\text{sgn}(a_{ki+1}^{(-1)}/(1 + p_i b_{i+1}))(\omega(D_{i+1}) - \text{sgn}(a_{ki+1}^{(-1)}) b_{i+1} \delta(Q_i)),$$

$$\text{sgn}(a_{ki+1}^{(-1)} b_{i+1} \delta(Q_i)) = \text{sgn}(a_{ki+1}^{(-1)}) \cdot \text{sgn}(b_{i+1}) \cdot \text{sgn}(\delta(Q_i))$$

$$= \text{sgn}(a_{ki+1}^{(-1)}) \cdot \text{sgn}(b_{i+1}) \cdot \text{sgn}(a_{ki}^{(-1)}) / (1 + p_{i-1} b_i)$$

$$= \text{sgn}(a_{ki+1}^{(-1)}) \cdot \text{sgn}(s_i).$$

$$\text{sgn}(a_{ki}^{(-1)})$$

$$= \text{sgn}(a_{ki+1}^{(-1)})^2 \geq 0,$$

hence

$$\text{sgn}(\delta(Q_{i+1})) = -\text{sgn}(a_{ki+1}^{(-1)}/(1 + p_i b_{i+1})),$$

which proves the Proposition. ■

Corollary. Under the assumptions of Proposition 4, the equality

$$\text{sgn}(\delta(Q_k)) = -\text{sgn}(a_{kk}^{(-1)}/(1 + p_{k-1} b_k))$$

holds true.

The algorithm for computing the optimal solution of the tridiagonal interval system (2), which can be considered as a sweep interval method for solving (2), is presented by the following

Theorem 3. *The optimal solution $[y] = (Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ of the tridiagonal interval system (2) can be computed by the following algorithm (A1) – (A2):*

(A1) For $j = 0$ to $n - 1$ compute p_j according (4); end;

$$Q_0 = D_0;$$

For $j = 1$ to $n - 1$ compute

$$Q_j = (1/(1 + p_{j-1}b_j))(D_j - b_jQ_{j-1});$$

$$\text{sgn}(\delta(Q_j)) = -\text{sgn}(a_{jj}^{(-1)}/(1 + p_{j-1}b_j));$$

end;

(A2) Compute $Y_n = (1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n))(D_n - b_nQ_{n-1});$

For $k = n - 1$ downto 0 do begin

For $j = 0$ to n compute

$$\delta(D_j) = -\text{sgn}(a_{kj}^{(-1)})\omega(D_j);$$

end;

For $j = k + 1$ to $n - 1$ compute

$$Q_j(\tilde{d}_k) = \begin{cases} (1/(1 + p_{j-1}b_j))(D_j - b_jQ_{j-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) & \text{if } b_j\delta(D_j)\delta(Q_{j-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \geq 0, \\ (1/(1 + p_{j-1}b_j))(D_j - b_jQ_{j-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta(Q_j(\tilde{d}_k)) = (1/(1 + p_{j-1}b_j))(\delta(D_j) - b_j\delta(Q_{j-1}(\tilde{d}_k)));$$

$$\{Q_k(\tilde{d}_k) = Q_k\};$$

end;

Compute

$$Y_n(\tilde{d}_k) = \begin{cases} (1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n))(D_n - b_nQ_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) & \text{if } b_n\delta(D_n)\delta(Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \geq 0, \\ (1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n))(D_n - b_nQ_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta(Y_n(\tilde{d}_k)) = (1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n))(\delta(D_n) - b_n\delta(Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)));$$

For $j = n - 1$ downto $k + 1$ compute

$$Y_j(\tilde{d}_k) = \begin{cases} p_jY_{j+1}(\tilde{d}_k) + Q_j(\tilde{d}_k) & \text{if } p_j\delta(Y_{j+1}(\tilde{d}_k))\delta(Q_j(\tilde{d}_k)) \geq 0, \\ p_jY_{j+1}(\tilde{d}_k) +^- Q_j(\tilde{d}_k) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta(Y_j(\tilde{d}_k)) = p_j\delta(Y_{j+1}(\tilde{d}_k)) + \delta(Q_j(\tilde{d}_k));$$

end;

$$Y_k = \begin{cases} p_kY_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k) + Q_k & \text{if } a_k a_{kk}^{(-1)}\delta(Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \geq 0, \\ p_kY_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k) +^- Q_k & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

end.

Proof. The optimality of $[y] = (Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ follows from Theorem 2 and Moore's theorem [9]. The right-hand side condition in the computational formula for Y_k follows from the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sgn}(p_k\delta(Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k))\delta(Q_k)) &= \text{sgn}(p_k a_{kk}^{(-1)}/(1 + p_{k-1}b_k)\delta(Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k))) \\ &= -\text{sgn}(a_k/(1 + p_{k-1}b_k)^2 a_{kk}^{(-1)}\delta(Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k))) \\ &= \text{sgn}(a_k a_{kk}^{(-1)}\delta(Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k))). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. In the algorithm (A1)—(A2) we need only the signs of the elements $a_{ij}^{(-1)}$ and not their values. For this purpose we can use any interval method (see e.g. [1], Chpt. 18) to compute enclosing intervals $A_{ij}^{(-1)} \ni a_{ij}$. In the situation when $0 \notin A_{ij}^{(-1)}$ we can determine the signs of the elements a_{ij} .

As a special case of Theorem 3 we obtain the following

Theorem 4. *Let the components of the matrix A and $a_{ij}^{(-1)}$ of the inverse matrix A^{-1} satisfy the conditions*

$$a_k b_{k+1} a_{kk}^{(-1)} a_{k+1k+1}^{(-1)} \geq 0,$$

$$a_{kk}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{k+1} b_k) \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

with $p_{-1} = b_0 = 0$. Then the optimal solution $[y] = (Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ is computed by the following algorithm (B1)—(B2):

- (B1) $p_0 = -a_0, \quad Q_0 = D_0;$
 For $i = 1$ to $n-1$ compute
 $p_i = -a_i / (1 + p_{i-1} b_i),$
 $Q_i = (1 / (1 + p_{i-1} b_i))(D_i - b_i Q_{i-1});$
 end;
 (B2) $Y_n = (1 / (1 + p_{n-1} b_n))(D_n - b_n Q_{n-1});$
 For $k = n-1$ downto 0 compute
 $Y_k = p_k Y_{k+1} + Q_k;$
 end.

Proof. We shall prove the following relations:

- 1) $Y_{n-1} = p_{n-1} Y_n + Q_{n-1}$ if $a_{n-1} b_n a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} a_{nn}^{(-1)} \geq 0,$
 $a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2} b_{n-1}) \geq 0;$
- 2) $Y_{n-2} = p_{n-2} Y_{n-1} + Q_{n-1}$ if $a_{n-1} b_n a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} a_{nn}^{(-1)} \geq 0,$
 $a_{n-2} b_{n-1} a_{n-2n-2}^{(-1)} a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} \geq 0,$
 $a_{n-2n-2}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-3} b_{n-2}) \geq 0,$
 $a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2} b_{n-1}) \geq 0;$
- ⋮
- n-k-1) $Y_{k+1} = p_{k+1} Y_{k+2} + Q_{k+1}$ if $a_{k+1} b_{k+2} a_{k+1k+1}^{(-1)} a_{k+2k+2}^{(-1)} \geq 0,$
 $a_{k+2} b_{k+3} a_{k+2k+2}^{(-1)} a_{k+3k+3}^{(-1)} \geq 0,$
 ...
 $a_{n-1} b_n a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} a_{nn}^{(-1)} \geq 0,$
 $a_{k+1k+1} / (1 + p_k b_{k+1}) \geq 0,$
 ...
 $a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2} b_{n-1}) \geq 0;$

$$\begin{aligned}
n-k) \quad Y_k = p_k Y_{k+1} + Q_k \text{ if } & a_k b_{k+1} a_{kk}^{(-1)} a_{k+1k+1}^{(-1)} \geq 0, \\
& a_{k+1} b_{k+2} a_{k+1k+1}^{(-1)} a_{k+2k+2}^{(-1)} \geq 0, \\
& \dots \\
& a_{n-1} b_n a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} a_{nn}^{(-1)} \geq 0, \\
& a_{kk} / (1 + p_{k-1} b_k) \geq 0, \\
& a_{k+1k+1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_k b_{k+1}) > 0, \\
& \dots \\
& a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2} b_{n-1}) \geq 0;
\end{aligned}$$

$$k = n - 4, n - 3, \dots, 1, 0.$$

We see that the right-hand side conditions on step $(n - k - 1)$ are included in the conditions on $(n - k)$ -th step, exclusively the two inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_k b_{k+1} a_{kk}^{(-1)} a_{k+1k+1}^{(-1)} \geq 0 \text{ and} \\
& a_{kk}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{k-1} b_k) \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which verification proves the theorem.

Without loss of generality we shall prove 1) and 2). Applying Theorem 3 with $n = k - 1$ to 1) we obtain

$$Y_n(\tilde{d}_{n-1}) = (1/(1 + p_{n-1} b_n))(D_n - b_n Q_{n-1}) \text{ if } b_n a_{n-1n}^{(-1)} \delta(Q_{n-1}) \geq 0.$$

Because of $\text{sgn}(\delta(Q_{n-1})) = -\text{sgn}(a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2} b_{n-1}))$ we have according Proposition 2 and (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{sgn}(b_n a_{n-1n}^{(-1)} \delta(Q_{n-1})) = -\text{sgn}(b_n p_{n-1} a_{nn}^{(-1)} a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2} b_{n-1})) \\
& = \text{sgn}(b_n (a_{n-1n} / (1 + p_{n-2} b_{n-1})) a_{nn}^{(-1)} a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2} b_{n-1})) \\
& = \text{sgn}(b_n a_{n-1} a_{nn}^{(-1)} a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality and the expression for $\delta(Y_n)$ imply obviously that

$$\text{sgn}(\delta(Y_n)) = -\text{sgn}(a_{n-1n}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-1} b_n)).$$

Further we have

$$Y_{n-1} = p_{n-1} Y_n + Q_{n-1} \text{ if } p_{n-1} \delta(Y_n) \delta(Q_{n-1}) \geq 0.$$

The above expression for Y_{n-1} contains Y_n so that we have to add to the right-hand side conditions the inequality $b_n a_{n-1} a_{nn}^{(-1)} a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} \geq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{sgn}(p_{n-1} \delta(Y_n) \delta(Q_{n-1})) \\
& = \text{sgn}(p_{n-1} (a_{n-1n}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-1} b_n)) (a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2} b_{n-1}))) \\
& = \text{sgn}(p_{n-1}^2 (a_{nn}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-1} b_n)) (a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2} b_{n-1}))) \\
& = \text{sgn}(a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2} b_{n-1})).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows then

$$(7) \quad Y_{n-1} = p_{n-1}Y_n + Q_{n-1} \text{ if } a_{n-1}b_n a_{nn}^{(-1)} a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} \geq 0;$$

$$a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2}b_{n-1}) \geq 0.$$

To prove 2) we apply Theorem 3 for $k = n - 2$. We obtain consecutively

$$Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_{n-2}) = (1/(1 + p_{n-2}b_{n-1}))(D_{n-1} - b_{n-1}Q_{n-2}) = Q_{n-1}$$

if $a_{n-2}b_{n-1}a_{n-2n-2}^{(-1)}a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} \geq 0;$

$$Y_n(\tilde{d}_{n-2}) = (1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n))(D_n - b_nQ_{n-1}) = Y_n$$

if $a_{n-1}b_n a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} a_{nn}^{(-1)} \geq 0$

and $a_{n-2}b_{n-1}a_{n-2n-2}^{(-1)}a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} \geq 0;$

$$Y_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_{n-2}) = (1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n))Y_n + Q_{n-1} = Y_{n-1}$$

if $a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2}b_{n-1}) \geq 0$

and the condition by $Y_n;$

$$Y_{n-2}(\tilde{d}_{n-2}) = p_{n-2}Y_{n-1} + Q_{n-2} = Y_{n-2}$$

if $(a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2}b_{n-1}))(a_{n-2n-2}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-3}b_{n-2}))$

and the conditions by $Y_n,$

that is

$$Y_{n-2} = p_{n-2}Y_{n-1} + Q_{n-2} \text{ if } a_{n-1}b_n a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} a_{nn}^{(-1)} \geq 0,$$

$$a_{n-2}b_{n-1}a_{n-2n-2}^{(-1)}a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} \geq 0,$$

$$a_{n-2n-2}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-3}b_{n-2}) \geq 0,$$

$$a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-2}b_{n-1}) \geq 0,$$

which proves 2).

Using (7) we obtain finally

$$Y_{n-2} = p_{n-2}Y_{n-1} + Q_{n-2} \text{ if } a_{n-2}b_{n-1}a_{n-2n-2}^{(-1)}a_{n-1n-1}^{(-1)} \geq 0,$$

$$a_{n-2n-2}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{n-3}b_{n-2}) \geq 0.$$

In the general case we have analogously

$$Y_k = p_k Y_{k+1} + Q_k \text{ if } a_k b_{k+1} a_{kk}^{(-1)} a_{k+1k+1}^{(-1)} \geq 0,$$

$$a_{kk}^{(-1)} / (1 + p_{k-1}b_k) \geq 0,$$

$$k = n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1, 0.$$

3. The algorithm with result verification.

Let S be a floating-point system [7], [8] and IS be the set of all intervals with end-points from S . For $a \in R$ denote $\nabla a = \max\{x \in S: x \leq a\}$ and $\Delta a = \min\{x \in S: x \geq a\}$. The roundings ∇ and Δ generate the computer arithmetic operations (see [7])

$$a \nabla b = \nabla(a * b), a \Delta b = \Delta(a * b), * \in \{+, -, \times, /\}.$$

Let be $A \in IR, A = [\underline{a}, \bar{a}]$. Two kinds of monotone roundings for real intervals are used (see [3], [5]):

$$\diamond A = [\nabla \underline{a}, \Delta \bar{a}], \quad \circ A = \begin{cases} [\Delta \underline{a}, \nabla \bar{a}] & \text{if } \Delta \underline{a} \leq \nabla \bar{a}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

They associate the following computer interval arithmetic:

$$A \diamond B = \diamond(A * B), A \circ B = \circ(A * B),$$

where $*$ can be any one of interval-arithmetic operations defined above and $A, B \in IS$.

Let $A, B \in IR$. The following inclusions hold true [3], [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \circ A (+) \circ B \subseteq A + B \subseteq \diamond A \langle + \rangle \diamond B; \\ & \circ A (-) \diamond B \subseteq A - B \subseteq \diamond A \langle - \rangle \diamond B \text{ if } \omega(A) \geq \omega(B), \\ & \diamond A (-) \circ B \subseteq A - B \subseteq \circ A \langle - \rangle \diamond B \text{ if } \omega(A) < \omega(B); \\ (8) \quad & \circ A (+) \diamond B \subseteq A + B \subseteq \diamond A \langle + \rangle \circ B \text{ if } \omega(A) \geq \omega(B), \\ & \diamond A (+) \circ B \subseteq A + B \subseteq \circ A \langle + \rangle \diamond B \text{ if } \omega(A) < \omega(B); \\ & \circ A (+) \circ B \subseteq A \times B \subseteq \diamond A \langle \times \rangle \diamond B; \\ & \circ A (/) \circ B \subseteq A / B \subseteq \diamond A \langle / \rangle \diamond B. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that the entries of the matrix A are machine numbers, i.e. $a_i, b_j \in S, i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$; assume also that the components of the right-hand side interval vector are computer intervals, i.e. $D_i \in IS$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Suppose further that inclusions for the elements $a_{ij}^{(-1)}$ of the inverse matrix are known and denote by ε_{ij} their signs, i.e. $\varepsilon_{ij} = \text{sgn} a_{ij}^{(-1)}$.

We formulate now the algorithm with result verification (CA1) – (CA2), related to (A1) – (A2).

(CA1).

$$\circ p_0 = -\diamond p_0 = [-a_0, a_0];$$

For $i = 1$ to $n - 1$ compute

$$\diamond(1 + p_{i-1}b_i) = 1 < + > \diamond(p_{i-1} < \times > b_i)$$

$$\circ(1 + p_{i-1}b_i) = 1(+)\nabla(p_{i-1}b_i) = 1(+)\circ p_{i-1}(\times)b_i;$$

$$\diamond p_i = -\diamond(a_i/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i)) = -a_i < / > \diamond(1 + p_{i-1}b_i);$$

$$\circ p_i = -\circ(a_i/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i)) = -a_i(/)\circ(1 + p_{i-1}b_i);$$

end;

$$\circ Q_0 \diamond Q_0 = D_0;$$

For $i = 1$ to $n - 1$ compute

$$\circ Q_i = \circ(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))(\times)(D_i(-)b_i(\times)\circ Q_{i-1});$$

$$\diamond Q_i = \diamond(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))\diamond\diamond(D_i < - > b_i \diamond\diamond Q_{i-1});$$

$$\text{sgn}(\delta(Q_i)) = -\text{sgn}(\varepsilon_{ii}/\diamond(1 + p_{i-1}b_i));$$

end;

(CA2).

$$\text{Compute } Y_n = \diamond(1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n))\diamond\diamond(D_n < - > b_n \diamond\diamond Q_{n-1});$$

For $k = n - 1$ downto 0 do begin

$$\text{For } i = 0 \text{ to } n \text{ determine } \delta(D_i) = -\varepsilon_{ki}\omega(D_i); \text{ end;}$$

For $i = k + 1$ to $n - 1$ compute

$$\circ Q_i(\vec{d}_k)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \circ(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))(\times)(D_i(-)b_i \diamond\diamond Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \\ \quad \text{if } \omega(D_i) \geq |b_i \delta(Q_i(\vec{d}_k))|, \\ \circ(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))(\times)(D_i(-)b_i(\times)\circ Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \\ \quad \text{if } \omega(D_i) < |b_i \delta(Q_i(\vec{d}_k))|, \end{cases} \left. \vphantom{\begin{cases} \circ(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))(\times)(D_i(-)b_i \diamond\diamond Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \\ \circ(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))(\times)(D_i(-)b_i(\times)\circ Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \end{cases}} \right\} b_i \varepsilon_{ki} \delta(Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \leq 0,$$

$$\circ(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))(\times)(D_i(-)b_i(\times)\circ Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \text{ if } b_i \varepsilon_{ki} \delta(Q_i(\vec{d}_k)) > 0;$$

$$\diamond Q_i(\vec{d}_k)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \diamond(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))\diamond\diamond(D_i < - > b_i(\times)\circ Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \\ \quad \text{if } \omega(D_i) \geq |b_i \delta(Q_i(\vec{d}_k))|, \\ \diamond(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))\diamond\diamond(D_i(-)b_i \diamond\diamond Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \\ \quad \text{if } \omega(D_i) < |b_i \delta(Q_i(\vec{d}_k))|, \end{cases} \left. \vphantom{\begin{cases} \diamond(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))\diamond\diamond(D_i < - > b_i(\times)\circ Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \\ \diamond(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))\diamond\diamond(D_i(-)b_i \diamond\diamond Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \end{cases}} \right\} b_i \varepsilon_{ki} \delta(Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \leq 0,$$

$$\diamond(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i))\diamond\diamond(D_i < - > b_i(\times)\circ Q_{i-1}(\vec{d}_k)) \text{ if } b_i \varepsilon_{ki} \delta(Q_i(\vec{d}_k)) > 0;$$

$$\delta(Q_i(\tilde{d}_k)) = \diamond(1/(1 + p_{i-1}b_i)) \diamond \diamond (\delta(D_i) < - > b_i \diamond \diamond \delta(Q_{i-1}(\tilde{d}_k)));$$

end;

$$\{Q_k(\tilde{d}_k) = Q_k\};$$

Compute

$$\circ Y_n(\tilde{d}_k)$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \circ(1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n))(\times)(D_n(-^-)b_n \diamond \diamond Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \\ \quad \text{if } \omega(D_n) \geq |b_n \delta(Q_n(\tilde{d}_k))|, \\ \circ(1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n))(\times)(D_n(-^-)b_n(\times) \circ Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \\ \quad \text{if } \omega(D_n) < |b_n \delta(Q_n(\tilde{d}_k))|, \end{array} \right\} b_n \varepsilon_{kn} \delta(Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \leq 0,$$

$$\circ(1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n))(\times)(D_n(-^-)b_n(\times) \circ Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \text{ if } b_n \varepsilon_{kn} \delta(Q_n(\tilde{d}_k)) > 0;$$

$$\diamond Y_n(\tilde{d}_k)$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \diamond(1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n)) \diamond \diamond (D_n < -^- > b_n(\times) \circ Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \\ \quad \text{if } \omega(D_n) \geq |b_n \delta(Q_n(\tilde{d}_k))|, \\ \diamond(1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n)) \diamond \diamond (D_n(-^-)b_n \diamond \diamond Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \\ \quad \text{if } \omega(D_n) < |b_n \delta(Q_n(\tilde{d}_k))|, \end{array} \right\} b_n \varepsilon_{kn} \delta(Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \leq 0,$$

$$\diamond(1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n)) \diamond \diamond (D_n < -^- > b_n(\times) \diamond Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \text{ if } b_n \varepsilon_{kn} \delta(Q_n(\tilde{d}_k)) > 0;$$

$$\delta(Q_n(\tilde{d}_k)) = \diamond(1/(1 + p_{n-1}b_n)) \diamond \diamond (\delta(D_n) < - > b_n \diamond \diamond \delta(Q_{n-1}(\tilde{d}_k)));$$

For $i = n - 1$ downto $k + 1$ compute

$$\circ Y_i(\tilde{d}_k)$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \circ p_i(\times) \circ Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k)(+) \circ Q_i(\tilde{d}_k) \text{ if } p_i \delta(Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \delta(Q_i(\tilde{d}_k)) \geq 0; \\ \circ p_i(\times) \circ Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k)(+^-) \diamond Q_i(\tilde{d}_k) \\ \quad \text{if } |p_i \delta(Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k))| \geq |\delta(Q_i(\tilde{d}_k))|, \\ \diamond p_i \diamond \diamond Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k)(+^-) \circ Q_i(\tilde{d}_k) \\ \quad \text{if } |p_i \delta(Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k))| < |\delta(Q_i(\tilde{d}_k))|, \end{array} \right\} p_i \delta(Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \delta(Q_i(\tilde{d}_k)) < 0;$$

$\diamond Y_i(\tilde{d}_k)$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \diamond p_i \diamond \diamond Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k) \langle + \rangle \diamond Q_i(\tilde{d}_k) \text{ if } p_i \delta(Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \delta(Q_i(\tilde{d}_k)) \geq 0; \\ \circ p_i \diamond \diamond Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k) \langle + \rangle \circ Q_i(\tilde{d}_k) \\ \text{if } |p_i \delta(Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k))| \geq |\delta(Q_i(\tilde{d}_k))|, \\ \diamond p_i(\times) \circ Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k) \diamond \diamond \diamond Q_i(\tilde{d}_k) \\ \text{if } |p_i \delta(Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k))| < |\delta(Q_i(\tilde{d}_k))|, \end{array} \right\} p_i \delta(Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \delta(Q_i(\tilde{d}_k)) < 0;$$

$$\delta(Y_i(\tilde{d}_k)) = p_i \delta(Y_{i+1}(\tilde{d}_k)) + \delta(Q_i(\tilde{d}_k));$$

end;

$$Y_k = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \diamond p_k \diamond \diamond Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k) \langle + \rangle \diamond Q_k \text{ if } a_k \varepsilon_{kk} \delta(Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k)) \geq 0; \\ \diamond p_k \diamond \diamond Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k) \langle + \rangle \circ Q_k \\ \text{if } |p_k \delta(Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k))| \geq |\delta(Q_k)|, \\ \circ p_k(\times) \circ Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k) \diamond \diamond \diamond Q_k \\ \text{if } |p_k \delta(Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k))| < |\delta(Q_k)|, \end{array} \right\} a_k \varepsilon_{kk} \delta(Y_{k+1}(\tilde{d}_k)) < 0;$$

end.

4. References

- [1] G. Alefeld, J. Herzberger. Introduction to Interval Computations. Academic Press, 1983.
- [2] W. Barth, E. Nuding. Optimale Lösung von Intervallgleichungssystemen. *Computing* **12**, 117-125, 1974.
- [3] N. Dimitrova, S.M. Markov. Interval Methods of Newton type for Nonlinear equations. *PLISKA Stud. math. bulg.* **5**, 10-117, 1983.
- [4] N. Dimitrova, S. Markov. On the Interval-arithmetic Presentation of the Range of a Class of Monotone Functions of Many Variables. In: Computer Arithmetic, Scientific Computation and Mathematical Modelling, E.Kaucher, S.M.Markov, G. Mayer (Ed.), IMACS, 1991.
- [5] N. Dimitrova, S. Markov. On a Validated Newton Type Method for Nonlinear equations. Submitted to *Interval Computations*.
- [6] J. Garloff. Totally Nonnegative Interval Matrices. *Interval Mathematics* (Ed. K. Nickel), Academic Press, 1980.
- [7] U. Kulisch. Grundlagen des Numerischen Rechnens. Mannheim, Bibliographisches Institut, 1976.
- [8] U. Kulisch, W. Miranker. Computer Arithmetic in Theory and Practice. Academic Press, 1980.
- [9] R.E. Moore. Interval Analysis. Englewood Cliffs, N. J. Prentice Hall, 1966.
- [10] A. Neumaier. Interval Norms. *Freiburger Intervall-Berichte* **81** 5, 1981.
- [11] A. Neumaier. Interval Methods for Systems of Equations. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [12] E. Nuding. Schrankentreue Algorithmen. *Freiburger Intervall- Berichte* **81** 3, 1981.
- [13] F. Stummel. Rounding Error in Gaussian Elimination of Tridiagonal Linear Systems. Survey of Results. *Interval Mathematics* (Ed. K. Nickel), Academic Press, 223-245, 1980.

Institute of Biophysics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Acad. G. Bonchev str. bl.23
1113 Sofia,
BULGARIA

Received 02.06.1992