

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

Direct Sums of Nil-Rings and of Rings with Clifford's Multiplicative Semigroups ¹

Miroslav Ćirić†, Stojan Bogdanović††

Presented by Z. Mijajlović

In this paper we consider rings whose multiplicative semigroups are nil-extensions of a union of groups, and we prove that such a ring is a complete direct sum of a nil-ring and a Clifford's ring (i.e. a ring with Clifford's multiplicative semigroup). Some interesting corollaries whenever ring is periodic are also obtained.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper \mathbf{Z}^+ will denote the set of all positive integers. A semigroup S is π -regular if for every $a \in S$ there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $a^n \in a^n S a^n$. A semigroup S is Archimedean if for all $a, b \in S$ there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $a^n \in S b S$. A semigroup S is completely Archimedean if S is Archimedean and has a primitive idempotent.

By $E(S)$ we denote the set of all idempotents of a semigroup (ring) S . If e is an idempotent of a semigroup S , then G_e will denote the maximal subgroup of S with e as its identity and T_e will denote the set $T_e = \{x \in S \mid (\exists n \in \mathbf{Z}^+) x^n \in G_e\}$. The same notation we will use in rings (i.e. in multiplicative semigroups of rings).

An element a of a semigroup (ring) S with the zero 0 is nilpotent if there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $a^n = 0$. A semigroup (ring) S is a nil-semigroup (nil-ring) if all of its elements are nilpotents. If $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, then a semigroup (ring) S is n -nilpotent if $S^n = \{0\}$. An ideal extension S of a semigroup K is a nil-extension (n -nilpotent extension) of K if S/K is a nil-semigroup (n -nilpotent semigroup). A subsemigroup K of a semigroup S is a retract of S if there exists a homomorphism φ of S onto K such that $a\varphi = a$, for all $a \in K$. Such

¹Supported by Grant 04M03 of RFNS through Math.Inst. SANU

a homomorphism will be called a *retraction*. An ideal extension S of K is a *retract extension* (or *retractive extension*) of K if K is a retract of S .

By $\mathcal{UG} \circ \mathcal{N}$ we denote the class of all semigroups that are nil-extensions of a union of groups. A semigroup identity $u = v$ is a $\mathcal{UG} \circ \mathcal{N}$ -identity if every semigroup that satisfies $u = v$ is in $\mathcal{UG} \circ \mathcal{N}$, i.e. if the semigroup variety $[u = v]$ is a subclass of $\mathcal{UG} \circ \mathcal{N}$. All of $\mathcal{UG} \circ \mathcal{N}$ -identities were described by Theorem 1 [6].

If R is a ring, \mathcal{MR} will denote the multiplicative semigroup of R . A semigroup S is a *Clifford's semigroup* if it is regular and idempotents of S are central (or, equivalently, if S is a semilattice of groups). A ring R is a Clifford's ring if \mathcal{MR} is a Clifford's semigroup. A ring R is a *J-ring* if it satisfies the Jacobson's property, i.e. if for every $a \in R$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n \geq 2$, such that $a^n = a$.

It is known [8] that a ring R is a *p-ring*, where p is a prime, if R is isomorphic to a subdirect product of fields of order p . A. Abian and W. A. Mc Worter [1] proved that a commutative ring R whose characteristic is p and $xy^p = x^p y$ holds for all $x, y \in R$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of a p -ring and a nil-ring. M. Petrich [9] described rings in which the identities $axy = axay$ and $xya = xay$ hold. These rings are direct sums of a Boolean ring and a 3-nilpotent ring. Here we describe rings in which \mathcal{MR} is a nil-extension of a union of groups and rings that satisfies $\mathcal{UG} \circ \mathcal{N}$ -identities, which generalize results of [1], [9] and [5].

For undefined notions and notations we refer to [2], [7] and [5].

In the next considerations the following results will be used.

Lemma 1. [3] *Let ρ be a congruence on a π -regular semigroup S . Then every ρ -class of S that is a regular element in S/ρ contains a regular element from S and every ρ -class of S that is an idempotent in S/ρ contains an idempotent from S .*

Lemma 2. [4] *Let S be a nil-extension of a union of groups K . Then every retraction φ of S onto K has the following representation:*

$$x\varphi = xe \quad \text{if } x \in T_e, e \in E(S).$$

Veronesi's theorem. [10] *A semigroup S is a semilattice of completely Archimedean semigroups if and only if S is π -regular and every regular element of S is completely regular.*

Proposition 1. [5] *If R is a ring such that $\mathcal{M}R$ is a semilattice of completely Archimedean semigroups, then R is an extension of a nil-ring by a Clifford's ring.*

2. The main results.

Lemma 3. *If R is a ring such that $\mathcal{M}R$ is a nil-extension of a Clifford's semigroup K , then K is a subring of R .*

Proof. Clearly, K is closed under multiplication. Assume that $x, y \in K$. Then $x \in G_e, y \in G_f$, for some $e, f \in E(R)$. Assume that $x - y \in T_g$, for some $g \in E(R)$. Since K is an ideal of $\mathcal{M}R$, then

$$u(x - y) = u[(x - y)\varphi],$$

for $u \in \{e, f, ef\}$, and $(x - y)\varphi = (x - y)g$, by Lemma 2. Thus

$$u(x - y) = u(x - y)g,$$

for $u \in \{e, f, ef\}$, so

$$x - ey = xg - eyg, \quad fx - y = fxg - yg, \quad fx - ey = fxg - eyg,$$

since $E(R)$ is a semilattice. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x - y &= xg - eyg + ey + fxg - yg - fx \\ &= xg - yg + ey - fx + fx - ey \\ &= xg - yg = (x - y)g \in K. \end{aligned}$$

therefore, K is a subring of R . ■

Theorem 1. *The following conditions on a ring R are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{M}R$ is a nil-extension of a union of groups;
- (ii) $\mathcal{M}R$ is a nil-extension of a Clifford's semigroup;
- (iii) R is a direct sum of a nil-ring and a Clifford's ring;
- (iv) $\mathcal{M}R$ is a direct product of a nil-semigroup and a Clifford's semigroup.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). This follows by Theorem 1 [5].

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\mathcal{M}R$ be a nil-extension of a Clifford's semigroup K . By Theorem 2.3. [4] we obtain that there exists a retraction φ of (R, \cdot) onto (K, \cdot) . By Veronesi's theorem and by Proposition 1 it follows that the set N

of all nilpotents of R is a ring ideal of R and that the multiplicative semigroup of the factor ring $B = R/N$ is a Clifford's semigroup. Let ν be the natural homomorphism of R onto B . Since $\mathcal{M}R$ is a π -regular, then by Lemma 1 it follows that for every coset $a \in B$ we can choose a representative, in notation a' , such that $a' \in K$ (i.e. we can choose $a' \in K$ such that $(a')\nu = a$). By Everett's theorem (see [5]) we obtain that R is isomorphic to the Everett's sum $E(N; B; \theta; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$ where the triplet $(\theta; [,]; \langle, \rangle)$ is determined by

$$(1) \quad \alpha\theta^a = \alpha \cdot a', \quad \theta^a = a' \cdot \alpha, \quad \alpha \in N, a \in B,$$

$$(2) \quad [a, b] = a' + b' - (a + b)', \quad a, b \in B,$$

$$(3) \quad \langle a, b \rangle = a' \cdot b' - (a \cdot b)', \quad a, b \in B,$$

and the addition and the multiplication on $N \times B$ are defined by

$$(\alpha, a) + (\beta, b) = (\alpha + \beta + [a, b], a + b),$$

$$(\alpha, a) \cdot (\beta, b) = (\alpha \cdot \beta + \langle a, b \rangle + \theta^a \beta + \alpha \theta^b, a \cdot b).$$

By Proposition 1 and Lemma 3 it follows that N and K are ideals of R , so for all $a, b \in B, \alpha \in N$, we have that

$$\alpha\theta^a = \alpha \cdot a' \in N \cap K = \{0\}, \quad \theta^a \alpha = a' \cdot \alpha \in N \cap K = \{0\},$$

$$[a, b] = a' + b' - (a + b)' \in N \cap K = \{0\}, \quad \langle a, b \rangle = a' \cdot b' - (a \cdot b)' \in N \cap K = \{0\},$$

so $\theta, [,]$ and \langle, \rangle are zero functions. Thus, R is a direct sum of rings N and B .

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i). This follows immediately. ■

Corollary 1. *The following conditions on a ring R are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{M}R$ is a nil-extension of a union of periodic groups;
- (ii) $\mathcal{M}R$ is a nil-extension of a semilattice of periodic groups;
- (iii) R is a direct sum of a nil-ring and a J -ring;
- (iv) $\mathcal{M}R$ is a direct product of a nil-semigroup and a semilattice of periodic groups.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). This follows immediately.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let (ii) hold. Then by Theorem 1 we obtain that R is a direct sum of a nil-ring N and a Clifford's ring B . Clearly, $\mathcal{M}B$ is a union of periodic groups, so B is a J -ring.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Let R be a direct sum of a nil-ring N and a J -ring B . Then by the Jacobson's "aⁿ = a theorem" it follows that B is commutative and it is clear that $\mathcal{M}B$ is a union of periodic groups, so $\mathcal{M}B$ is a semilattice of periodic groups.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). This follows immediately. ■

Corollary 2. [5] *The following conditions on a ring R are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{M}R$ is a nil-extension of a band;
- (ii) $\mathcal{M}R$ is a nil-extension of a semilattice;
- (iii) R is a direct sum of a nil-ring and a Boolean ring;
- (iv) $\mathcal{M}R$ is a direct product of a nil-semigroup and a semilattice. ■

Corollary 3. *Let R be a ring. Then $\mathcal{M}R$ is an n -nilpotent extension of a union of groups if and only if R is a direct sum of an n -nilpotent ring and a Clifford's ring.* ■

Let

$$(4) \quad u = v$$

be a semigroup identity that contain letters x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by $|x_i|_u$ ($|x_i|_v$) we denote the number of appearances of the letter x_i in the word u (v), and by p_i we denote the number $p_i = ||x_i|_u - |x_i|_v|$. The identity (4) is *periodic* if some numbers p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n is greater than 0 [6]. In this case the number

$$p = g.c.d.(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$$

is the *period* of an identity (4). Every semigroup that satisfies a periodic identity is periodic. By Theorem 1 [6] it follows that every $\mathcal{UG} \circ \mathcal{N}$ -identity is periodic.

Lemma 4. (i) *Every group that satisfies the identity of the period p satisfies the identity $x = x^{p+1}$.*

(ii) *Every commutative group that satisfies the identity $x = x^{p+1}$ satisfies every identity of the period p .*

Proof. (i). This follows immediately.

(ii). Let S be a commutative semigroup that satisfies the identity $x = x^{p+1}$, let $u = v$ be an identity as in (4) of the period p . Then it is clear that S is a union of groups, so S satisfies all of identities $x^{l_i} = x^{r_i}$, where $l_i = |x_i|_u$ and $r_i = |x_i|_v$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, whence S satisfies the identity

$$x_1^{l_1} x_2^{l_2} \dots x_n^{l_n} = x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} \dots x_n^{r_n},$$

so by the commutativity in S it follows that S satisfies $u = v$. ■

Theorem 2. *A ring R satisfies the $\mathcal{UG} \circ \mathcal{N}$ -identity (4) of the period p if and only if R is a direct sum of a nil-ring that satisfies (4) and a nil-ring that satisfies the identity $x = x^{p+1}$.*

Proof. Let R satisfies (4). Then \mathcal{MR} is a nil-extension of a union of groups, and by Theorem 1 [6] it follows that subgroups of \mathcal{MR} are periodic. Thus, by Corollary 1 we obtain that R is a direct sum of a nil-ring N and a J -ring B . Clearly N and B satisfy (4). Since \mathcal{MB} is a union of groups and since (4) implies the identity $x = x^{p+1}$ in subgroups of \mathcal{MB} , we then have that B satisfies the identity $x = x^{p+1}$.

Conversely, let R be a direct sum of a nil-ring N that satisfies (4) and of a ring B that satisfies the identity $x = x^{p+1}$. By the Jacobson's "aⁿ = a theorem" it follows that B is commutative, so by Lemma 4. B satisfies (4). Therefore, R satisfies (4). ■

By A_2^+ we denote the free semigroup over an alphabet $A_2 = \{x, y\}$. By the next result we describe one class of identities that implies commutativity in rings.

Corollary 3. *Every ring that satisfies the identity*

$$xy = w$$

, where $w \in A_2^+$ is a word such that $w \notin \{xy^m \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}^+\} \cup \{x^m y \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$, is commutative.

Proof. This follows since every nil-ring that satisfies the identity $xy = w$ is a null ring and since this identity is either the identity $xy = yx$ or it is a $\mathcal{UG} \circ \mathcal{N}$ -identity (by Theorem 1 [6]). ■

Example. Identities of the form $xy = x^m y$ or $xy = xy^m$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, does not imply commutativity in rings. For example, the ring

$$R = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \mid a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_2 \right\}$$

is not commutative and it satisfies all of identities $xy = x^m y$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$.

References

- [1] A. Abian, W. A. Mc. Worter. On the structure of pre p -rings. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **71**, 1964, 155-157.

- [2] S. Bogdanović. Semigroups with a system of subsemigroups. *Inst. of Math. Novi Sad*, 1985
- [3] S. Bogdanović. Right π -inverse semigroups. *Zbornik radova PMF Novi Sad Ser. Mat.*, **14**, 1984, 187-195
- [4] S. Bogdanović, M. Ćirić. A nil-extension of a regular semigroup. *Glasnik matematički*, Vol. **25** (2), 1991, 3-23.
- [5] M. Ćirić, S. Bogdanović. Rings whose multiplicative semigroups are nil-extensions of union of groups. *P.U.M.A. Ser. A*, Vol. **1**, No. 3-4, 1980, 217-234.
- [6] M. Ćirić, S. Bogdanović. Nil-extensions of unions of groups induced by identities. *Semigroup Forum.*, **48** (1994), 303-311
- [7] N. H. McCoy. Theory of rings. *Mc Millan, New York*, 1970 (7-th printing)
- [8] N. H. McCoy, D. Montgomery. A representation of generalized Boolean rings. *Duke Math. J.*, **3**, 1937, 455-459.
- [9] M. Petrich. Structure des demi-groupes et anneaux distributifs. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A*, **268**, 1969, 849-852.
- [10] M. L. Veronesi. Sui semigrupperi quasi fortemente regolari. *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma*, (4) **10**, 1984, 319-329.

† *Mathematical Institute SANU*
Knez Mihailova 35
11 000 Beograd
YUGOSLAVIA

Received 18.01.1994

†† *Faculty of Economics*
18 000 Niš
YUGOSLAVIA