Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

## Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal http://www.mathbalkanica.info

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office; Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273, E-mail: balmat@bas.bg



New Series Vol. 12, 1998, Fasc. 1-2

## Holomorphic Fixed Point Theorem on Riemann Surfaces

M. Mateljević

Presented by Z. Mijajlovic

AMS Subj. Classification: 30F45; 32H15, 32H20, 58C30

Key Words: hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, Poincaré metric, holomorhic mappings,
Carathéodory pseudodistance

It is said that a Riemann surface S is hyperbolic, if its universal covering surface S is conformally isomorphic to the upper half plane H. The Riemann surface S can be realized as the orbit space  $H/\Gamma$  and the canonical mapping  $p: H \mapsto H/\Gamma$  is a complex analytical projection. Here  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group of conformal automorphisms of H, such that every non-identity element of  $\Gamma$  acts without fixed points of H. The elements of  $\Gamma$  are called deck transformation and they can be characterized as holomorphic maps  $A: H \mapsto H$  satisfying the identity  $p \circ A = p$ .

The metric ds = |dw|/v,  $w = u + iv \in H$ , is called the Poincaré metric on the upper half-plane H. The Poincaré distance  $\rho(z_1, z_2) = \rho_H(z_1, z_2)$  between two points of H is the minimum, over all paths  $\gamma$  joining  $z_1$  to  $z_2$ , of the integral  $\int_{\gamma} ds$ . This is the unique Riemannian metric on the half-plane H, up to a multiplication by a constant, which is invariant under every conformal automorphism of H.

Every hyperbolic surface S has a unique Poincaré metric which is complete, with Gaussian curvature equal to -1. Since the Poincaré metric on H is invariant under action of  $\Gamma$ , there is only one metric (scalar product) on S so that projection  $p: H \mapsto S$  ( $S \cong H/\Gamma$ ) is a local isometry. Here, just as the above, there is an associated Poincaré distance function  $\rho = \rho_S$ .

**Theorem A.** Let  $f: S \mapsto W$  be a holomorphic map between hyperbolic surfaces. Then,

(1) 
$$\rho_W(f(z), f(z_1)) \leq \rho_S(z, z_1), \qquad z, z_1 \in S$$

Furthermore, if an equality holds for some  $z \neq z_1$ , then  $f: S \mapsto W$  is onto.

Proof. Let  $p: H \mapsto S$  and  $p_1: H \mapsto W$  be canonical projections. The mapping f can be lifted to analytic function F of H into H which satisfies  $f \circ p = p_1 \circ F$ . Now by the classical Schwarz-Pick result we have

(2) 
$$\rho(F(w), F(w_1)) \le \rho(w, w_1), \quad w, w_1 \in H,$$

where  $\rho$  is Poincaré (hyperbolic) distance on H. Combining the above facts, we derive (1).

If an equality holds in (1) for some  $z \neq z_1$ , then we can show that equality holds in (2) for the corresponding  $w \neq w_1$ . Hence, by the classical Shwarz-Pick result on H, we conclude that F is a conformal automorphism on H. Thus  $F: H \mapsto H$  is onto and therefore,  $f: S \mapsto W$  is onto.

**Theorem 1.** Let S be a hyperbolic Riemann surface, let K be compact subset of S and let  $f: S \mapsto S$  be a holomorphic mapping.

If f is not onto and  $f(K) \subseteq K$ , then there is a unique fixed point  $z_0 = f(z_0) \in K$ .

Proof. Let  $\rho$  denote Poincaré distance  $\rho = \rho_S$  on S and let  $h(z) = \rho(z, f(z)), z \in S$ . Using Theorem A and the triangle inequality, we can derive

$$|h(z_1)-h(z_2)|\leq 2\rho(z_1,z_2),$$

if  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  belong to S. Thus h is a continuous function on S. Hence, there is at least one  $z_0 \in K$  such that

$$h(z_0) = \min_{z \in K} h(z).$$

From this fact and Theorem A, we have

$$\rho(f(z_0), f^{02}(z_0)) \le \rho(z_0), f(z_0)) \le \rho(f(z_0), f^{02}(z_0)),$$

where  $f^{02}(z_0) = f(f(z_0))$ . Thus,

$$\rho(z_0, f(z_0)) = \rho(f(z_0), f^{02}(z_0)).$$

Now, if  $z_0 \neq f(z_0)$ , another application of Theorem A shows that f is a conformal isomorphism. Hence, since f is not onto, we conclude that  $z_0 = f(z_0)$ .

If we suppose that there is  $z_1 \in S$  such that  $z_1 \neq z_0$  and  $z_1 = f(z_1)$  is another fixed point, then we have

$$\rho(f(z_1), f(z_0)) = \rho(z_1, z_0).$$

Hence, we conclude as above, that f is a conformal isomorphism and we have a contradiction. Thus  $z_0 = f(z_0)$  is a unique fixed point.

The example f(z) = -z on  $D\{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$  shows that a mapping which maps the compact ring  $K = \{z : \varepsilon \le |z| \le r\}$   $(0 < \varepsilon \le r < 1)$  into itself, does not need to have any fixed point.

The example  $w \mapsto w + i$  on the upper half-plane shows that a map which is not onto, does not need to have any fixed point.

Now, let us consider the Riemann sphere  $\bar{C} = C \cup \{\infty\}$  with the usual conformal structure. The continuous mapping  $f: \bar{C} \mapsto \bar{C}$  defined by  $f(z) = -(1/r)e^{i\omega}$  for  $z = re^{i\omega} \in C \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f(0) = \infty$  and  $f(\infty) = 0$ , has no fixed point. However, the next result shows that if f is a holomorphic mapping from  $\bar{C}$  into  $\bar{C}$ , then f has a fixed point.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $f: \bar{C} \mapsto \bar{C}$  be a holomorphic function. Then f has at least one fixed point on  $\bar{C}$ .

Proof. If f did not have fixed point, then the function

$$h(z) = (f(z) - z)^{-1}$$

would be holomorphic function from  $\bar{C}$  into C. Since  $\bar{C}$  is compact set, the maximum modulus theorem shows that  $h(z) \equiv A \neq 0, z \in C$ . Hence,  $f(z) = z + A^{-1}, z \in C$ . Thus,  $f(\infty) = \infty$ , which is contradiction with our assumption that there not fixed points.

The next example shows that a holomorphic map on a torus does not need to not have any fixed points. Let T = C/G be a torus, where the covering group G of C over a torus consists of transformation  $z \mapsto z + nw_1 + nw$  and where  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are complex numbers,  $\text{Im}(w_1/w_2) \neq 0$ , and m and n run through all integers Z. Let [z] denote the orbit of point z. Thus  $[z] = \{A(z) : A \in G\}$ . The holomorphic function  $f: T \mapsto T$  defined by  $f([z]) = [z + w_1/2]$  has no fixed point.

In the case of several variables, we have the following result concerning fixed points. The proof is given in a next paper.

**Theorem B** Let  $D \subset C^n$  be a domain for which Carathéodory <u>pseudodistance</u> is a distance and let  $f: D \mapsto D$  be a holomorphic mapping. If  $\overline{f(D)}$  is a compact subset of D, then f has a fixed point in D.

## References

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors. Conformal Invariants: Topics in Geometric Function Theory. Mc-Graw-Hill, New York, 1973.
- [2] S. Kobayashi. Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings. Deker, New York, 1970.
- [3] M. Mateljecić. Shwartz lemma and distance. Communicated on Geometric Conference, Kragujevac, 1992.

Matematički fakultet Studentski trg 16 11000 Beograd, YUGOSLAVIA Received 03.02.1993