

<p>Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.</p>
--

# Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or  
institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic  
reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or  
posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## Fixed Points of Multi-Valued Mappings in Uniform Spaces

*Vasil G. Angelov*

*Presented by Bl. Sendov*

The paper contains fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractive mappings in uniform spaces.

AMS Subj. Classification: 47H10; 54E40, 54H25, 55M20

Key Words: multi-valued mappings, fixed points of contractive mappings, uniform metric spaces

### 1. Introduction

The Banach contraction mapping theorem was extended for multi-valued mappings in metric spaces by Sam J. Nadler Jr. [1]. Since then, much work has been done on this subject. It can be seen (cf. [2]-[12]) that the development of the multi-valued fixed point theory follows a natural way outlined by the single-valued theory. That is why our starting point for the study of fixed points of multi-valued mappings in uniform spaces are the results from [13].

The main purpose of the present paper is to introduce generalized contractions in uniform spaces in view of some applications to the existence theory of functional differential inclusions. Two fixed point theorems are proved: for multi-valued mappings with compact values and for mappings with closed and bounded values.

Throughout this paper, by  $X$  we mean a sequentially complete  $T_2$ -separated uniform space whose uniformity is generated by a saturated family of pseudometrics  $\mathcal{A} = \{\rho_a(x, y) : a \in A\}$ ,  $A$  being an index set (cf. [14]). Denote by  $CB(X)$  the set of all non-empty bounded closed subsets of  $X$  (cf. [15], [16]). For every  $M \in CB(X)$  we define as usually  $N_\varepsilon(M, a) = \{x \in X : \rho_a(x, y) < \varepsilon \text{ for some } y \in M\}$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrary fixed positive real. The set  $CB(X)$  will be regarded as a uniform space endowed with a family of Hausdorff pseudodistances  $H_A = \{H_a(M, L) : a \in A\}$  for  $M, L \in CB(X)$ , where

$H_a(M, L) = \max\{E_a(M, L), E_a(L, M)\}$  and  $E_a(M, L)$  is the excess of  $M$  over  $L$ , i.e.  $E_a(M, L) = \sup_{x \in M} \rho_a(x, L)$  and  $\rho_a(x, L) = \inf_{y \in L} \rho_a(x, y)$ .

We point out that the family  $H_A$  really depends on the family  $\mathcal{A}$  because even in the metric case  $X$  may possess two equivalent metrics which may not generate equivalent Hausdorff metrics for  $CB(X)$  (cf. [1], [17]).

The above definition of  $H_a(M, L)$  is equivalent to the following one (cf. [15]):  $H_a(M, L) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : M \subset N_\varepsilon(L, a) \text{ and } L \subset N_\varepsilon(M, a)\}$ . If the set  $L$  is compact and  $m_0 \in M$  is arbitrary chosen, then there is  $l_0 \in L$  such that  $\rho_a(m_0, l_0) \leq H_a(M, L)$ . Indeed, we have  $\rho_a(m_0, L) \leq \sup_{m \in M} \rho_a(m, L) = H_a(M, L)$ . On the other hand,  $\rho_a(m_0, l_0) = \inf_{l \in L} \rho_a(m_0, l)$ , for some  $l_0 \in L$  because  $\rho_a(m_0, \cdot)$  is continuous on the compact set  $L$ . Therefore  $\rho_a(m_0, l_0) \leq H_a(M, L)$ . The element  $l_0$  can be depending on  $a \in A$ . That is why we introduce assumption (N) (see below).

The following example shows that there is no such a point  $l_0$  if  $L$  is not a compact set.

Consider the linear space  $l_{loc}^\infty$  consisting of all real infinite sequences. A countable family of seminorms on  $l_{loc}^\infty$  which defines a locally convex topology is  $\mathcal{A} = \{\|x\|_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $\|x\|_n = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $x \in l_{loc}^\infty$ , where  $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$ .

Consider the sets  $M = \{m, l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{n-1}, \dots\}$  and  $L = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n, \dots\}$ :  
 $m = \underbrace{\{2, 2, \dots, 2, 2, 2, \dots\}}_{n_0}$ ,  $l_1 = \underbrace{\{-1, -1, \dots, -1, 1, 1, \dots\}}_{n_0}$ ,  $l_2 = \underbrace{\{-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2}\}}_{n_0}$ ,  
 $2, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $\dots, l_k = \underbrace{\{-\frac{1}{k}, -\frac{1}{k}, \dots, -\frac{1}{k}, k, k, \dots\}}_{n_0}$ ,  $\dots$ , where  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  is an arbitrary

fixed natural number. The set  $L$  is not compact because it is unbounded with respect to the seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_{n_0+1}$ . Then

$$\|m - l_k\|_{n_0} = \max\{|2 + \frac{1}{k}|, |2 + \frac{1}{k}|, \dots, |2 + \frac{1}{k}|\} = 2 + \frac{1}{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $H_{n_0}(M, L) = \inf\{\|m - l_k\|_{n_0} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = 2$ , there is no  $l_k \in L$  such that  $\|m - l_k\|_{n_0} \leq H_{n_0}(M, L)$ .

We denote by  $COM(X)$  the totality of all compact subsets of  $X$ . We shall use the following assertions

**Lemma 1.** *If  $X$  is sequentially complete with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$ , so are  $CB(X)$  and  $COM(X)$  with respect to  $H_A$  (cf. [15], [16]).*

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$  in  $(X, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n = M_0$  in  $(CB(X), H_A)$  or in  $(COM(X), H_A)$  and  $x_n \in M_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_0 \in M_0$  (cf. [3]).*

## 2. Fixed point theorems

In this section we prove fixed point theorems for mappings with compact images.

Introduce a family of functions  $(\Phi) = \{\Phi_a(t) : a \in A\}$  with the properties:  
 ( $\Phi 1$ )  $\Phi_a(t)$  is continuous from the right, increasing and  $0 < \Phi_a(t) < t$  for  $t > 0$  and subadditive

(i. e.  $\Phi_a(t_1 + t_2) \leq \Phi_a(t_1) + \Phi_a(t_2)$  for  $t_1, t_2 > 0$ );

( $\Phi 2$ ) for each  $a \in A$  there is a function  $\bar{\Phi}_a \in (\Phi)$  such that

$$\sup\{\Phi_{j^n(a)}(t) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \leq \bar{\Phi}_a(t), \text{ where } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n\bar{\Phi}_a^n(t) < \infty.$$

Here  $\bar{\Phi}_a^n(t)$  stands for  $\bar{\Phi}_a(\bar{\Phi}_a(\dots\bar{\Phi}_a(t)\dots))$ , while  $j : A \rightarrow A$  is a mapping of the index set into itself whose iterates are defined as follows:  $j^0(a) = a$ ,  $j^k(a) = j(j^{k-1}(a))$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a \in A$ .

A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow COM(X)$  is called  $\Phi$ -contractive if for every  $x, y \in X$  is satisfied

$$H_a(Tx, Ty) \leq \Phi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x, y)) \forall a \in A.$$

We assume: ( $N$ ) (resp. ( $N1$ )) For every  $M, L \in range T$  and  $m \in M$  there is  $l \in L$  such that  $\rho_a(m, l) \leq H_a(M, L)$  (resp.  $\rho_a(m, l) \leq H_a(M, L) + \eta_a$ ,  $\eta_a > 0$ ) and for every  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists l_1 \in L$  such that  $\rho_{j(a)}(m, l_1) \leq H_{j(a)}(M, L)$  and  $\rho_{j(a)}(l, l_1) < \varepsilon \forall a \in A$ .

Now we are going to formulate the following

**Theorem 1.** Let  $T : X \rightarrow COM(X)$  be  $\Phi$ -contractive mapping which satisfies ( $N$ ). If there exist  $x_0 \in X$  and a constant  $Q > 0$  such that  $\rho_{j^n(a)}(x_0, x_1) \leq Q < \infty$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) for every  $x_1 \in Tx_0$ , then  $T$  has at least one fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Choose  $x_1 \in Tx_0$ . Since  $Tx_0, Tx_1 \in COM(X)$  there is  $x_2 \in Tx_1$  such that  $\rho_a(x_1, x_2) \leq H_a(Tx_0, Tx_1)$  for arbitrary fixed  $a \in A$ .

Let  $x_{n-1}$  and  $x_n$  be already chosen. Since  $Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n \in COM(X)$  and  $x_n \in Tx_{n-1}$  we can find  $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$  for which  $\rho_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq H_a(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$ . So we obtained a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  of points of  $X$  such that  $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$  and  $\rho_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq H_a(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$ . Consequently,  $\rho_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq H_a(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq \Phi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n))$ .

We have to show that  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence. In view of ( $N$ ) we can choose  $x_n^{(1)} \in Tx_{n-1}$  such that  $\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n^{(1)}) \leq H_{j(a)}(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1})$  and  $\rho_{j(a)}(x_n, x_n^{(1)}) \leq \Phi_{j(a)}(\Phi_{j^2(a)}(\dots\Phi_{j^{n-1}(a)}(Q)\dots))$ . Then we have

$$\rho_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \Phi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \leq \Phi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n^{(1)}) + \rho_{j(a)}(x_n^{(1)}, x_n))$$

$$\leq \Phi_a(\Phi_{j(a)}(\rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}))) + \Phi_a(\Phi_{j(a)}(\dots\Phi_{j^{n-1}(a)}(Q)\dots)).$$

Let us choose  $x_{n-1}^{(1)} \in Tx_{n-2}$  such that  $\rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}^{(1)}) \leq H_{j^2(a)}(Tx_{n-3}, Tx_{n-2})$  and  $\rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}^{(1)}) \leq \Phi_{j^2(a)}(\Phi_{j^3(a)}(\dots\Phi_{j^{n-1}(a)}(Q)\dots))$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \Phi_a(\Phi_{j(a)}(\rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}))) + \overline{\Phi}_a^n(Q) \\ &\leq \Phi_a(\Phi_{j(a)}(\rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}^{(1)}) + \rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-1}^{(1)}, x_{n-1}))) + \overline{\Phi}_a^n(Q) \\ &\leq \Phi_a(\Phi_{j(a)}(\Phi_{j^2(a)}(\rho_{j^3(a)}(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2})))) + \Phi_a(\Phi_{j(a)}(\Phi_{j^2(a)}(\dots\Phi_{j^{n-1}(a)}(Q)\dots))) \\ &\quad + \overline{\Phi}_a^n(Q) \leq \Phi_a(\Phi_{j(a)}(\Phi_{j^2(a)}(\rho_{j^3(a)}(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2})))) + 2\overline{\Phi}_a^n(Q). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding in this manner we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \Phi_a(\Phi_{j(a)}(\dots\Phi_{j^{n-1}(a)}(\rho_{j^n(a)}(x_0, x_1))\dots)) + (n-1)\overline{\Phi}_a^n(Q) \\ &\leq \overline{\Phi}_a^n(Q) + (n-1)\overline{\Phi}_a^n(Q) = n\overline{\Phi}_a^n(Q), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence. Consequently,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi \in X$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = T\xi$  (cf. Lemma 2). Since  $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$ , it follows  $\xi \in T\xi$ . The element  $\xi \in X$  is the desired fixed point of  $T$ . Theorem 1 is thus proved. ■

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain a fixed point theorem for  $\Phi$ -contractive mappings in a metric space  $(X, \rho)$ . In a metric space  $(X, \rho)$  the map  $j$  turns into the identity map. Then condition (N) can be formulated in the following way: (N') every point of the set  $Tx$  is a limit point and  $Tx$  has no isolated points. The other condition of Theorem 1 is also trivially satisfied. The family  $(\Phi)$  reduces to one function  $\Phi(t)$ , which satisfies condition  $(\Phi 1)$ . Then

**Theorem 2.** *If  $T : X \rightarrow COM(X)$  is  $\Phi$ -contractive, satisfies (N') and  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty n\Phi^n(t) < \infty$ , then  $T$  has at least one fixed point in  $X$ .*

### 3. Fixed points of mappings with closed and bounded images

Here we replace condition  $(\Phi 2)$  by the following one:

$(\Phi 2 - I)$  there is a family  $(\Psi)$  of functions  $\Psi_a(t) : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  with the properties from  $(\Phi 1)$  and besides  $\Phi_a(t) < \Psi_a(t)$  for  $t > 0$  (for instance  $\Psi_a(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t + \Phi_a(t))$ ) and  $\sup\{\Psi_{j^n(a)}(t) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \leq \overline{\Psi}_a(t)$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty n\overline{\Psi}_a^n(t) < \infty$ .

A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  is said to be  $\Phi$ -contractive if  $H_a(Tx, Ty) \leq \Phi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x, y))$ , assuming the functions  $\Phi_a(t)$  possess the properties  $(\Phi 1), (\Phi 2 - I)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  is  $\Phi$ -contractive, satisfies (N1) and there exist  $x_0 \in X$  and  $Q > 0$  such that  $\rho_{j^n(a)}(x_0, x_1) \leq Q < \infty (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$  for every  $x_1 \in Tx_0$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Choose  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  and consider  $\rho_{j(a)}(x_0, x_1)$ . If there is  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  such that  $\rho_{j(a)}(x_0, x_1) > 0$  for every  $a \in A$ , we put  $\eta_a^{(1)} = \Psi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_0, x_1)) - \Phi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_0, x_1)) > 0$ . Then we find  $x_2 \in Tx_1$  such that  $\rho_a(x_1, x_2) \leq H_a(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \Psi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_0, x_1)) - \Phi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_0, x_1)) \leq \Psi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_0, x_1))$ .

If for every  $x_1 \in Tx_0$  and  $a \in A$  follows  $\rho_{j(a)}(x_0, x_1) = 0$ , then we can choose  $x_2 = x_1$ . So in both cases we have  $\rho_a(x_1, x_2) \leq \Psi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_0, x_1))$ .

Let  $x_{n-1}$  and  $x_n$  be already defined.

If  $\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n) > 0, \rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) > 0, \dots, \rho_{j^n(a)}(x_0, x_1) > 0$  for every  $a \in A$ , we put

$$\eta_a^{(n)} = \Psi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \Phi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n)) > 0.$$

Then there exists  $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$  such that

$$\rho_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq H_a(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \eta_a^{(n)} \leq \Psi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n)).$$

Further, on in view of (N), we choose  $x_n^{(1)} \in Tx_{n-1}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n^{(1)}) &\leq H_{j(a)}(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) + \Psi_{j(a)}(\rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) \\ &\quad - \Phi_{j(a)}(\rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) \leq \Psi_{j(a)}(\rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n^{(1)}) \leq \Psi_{j(a)}(\Psi_{j^2(a)}(\dots \Psi_{j^{n-1}(a)}(Q) \dots)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \Psi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \leq \Psi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n^{(1)})) + \Psi_a(\rho_{j(a)}(x_n^{(1)}, x_n)) \\ &\leq \Psi_a(\Psi_{j(a)}(\rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}))) + \Psi_a(\Psi_{j(a)}(\dots \Psi_{j^{n-1}(a)}(Q) \dots)) \\ &\leq \Psi_a(\Psi_{j(a)}(\rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}))) + \overline{\Psi}_a^n(Q). \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way we conclude that  $\rho_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq n \overline{\Psi}_a^n(Q)$ .

If at least one of the numbers

$$\rho_{j(a)}(x_{n-1}, x_n), \rho_{j^2(a)}(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}), \dots, \rho_{j^n(a)}(x_0, x_1)$$

is zero then we put  $x_{n+1} = x_n$  and then moreover  $\rho_a(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq n\overline{\Psi}_a^n(Q)$  is satisfied.

The proof can be completed recalling the usual reasonings. ■

The above theorems can be applied to the initial value problems for functional differential inclusions of neutral type:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x(\Delta_1(t)), \dots, x(\Delta_m(t)), \dot{x}(\tau_1(t)), \dots, \dot{x}(\tau_n(t))), & t > 0 \\ x(t) = \varphi(t), & t \leq 0 \\ \dot{x}(t) = \dot{\varphi}(t), & t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where the deviations are unbounded and mixed. But this is a subject of a future article.

### References

- [1] S a m B. N a d l e r Jr. Multi-valued contraction mappings. *Pacific J. Math.*, **30**, No. 2, 1969, 475-488.
- [2] C. J. H i m m e l b e r g, J. R. P r o t e r, F. S. v a n V l e c k. Fixed point theorems for condensing multifunctions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **23**, 1969, 635-641.
- [3] A. A. I v a n o v. Fixed points of mappings in metric spaces. *Investigations on topology II*, "V. A. Steklov", Nauka, Leningrad, 1967, 5-102 (in Russian).
- [4] G. F. A n d r u s, T. N i s h i r a. Fixed points of random set-valued maps. *J. Nonlinear Analysis, TMA*, **3**, No. 1, 1979, 65-72.
- [5] O. H a d z i c. Some theorems on the fixed points for multivalued mappings in locally convex spaces. *Bulletin de l'Acad. Polonaise des Sci., Ser. des sci. math.*, **XXVII**, No. 3-4, 1979, 277-285.
- [6] M. K i s i e l e w i c z. Generalized functional differential equations of neutral type. *Ann. Pol. Mathematici*, **XLII**, 1983, 139-148.
- [7] Y. G. B o r i s o v i c h, B. D. G e l ' m a n, A. D. M y s h k i s, V. V. O b u k h o v s k i i. Topological methods in the fixed point theory of multivalued mappings. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **35**, No. 1 (211), 1980, 59-126 (in Russian).
- [8] M. K i s i e l e w i c z. Existence theorem for generalized functional differential equations of neutral type. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **78**, 1980, 173-182.

- [9] B. R z e p e c k i. Some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings. *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, **24**, No. 4, 1983, 741-745.
- [10] B. R z e p e c k i. Addendum to paper "Some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings". *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, **25**, No. 2, 1984, 283-286.
- [11] B. R z e p e c k i. A fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii type for multivalued mappings. *Demonstratio Mathematicae*, **XVII**, No. 3, 1984, 767-776.
- [12] Y. G. B o r i s o v i c h, B. D. G e l ' m a n, A. D. M y s h k i s, V. V. O b u k h o v s k i i. *Introduction in a Theory of Multivalued Mappings*. Izdatelstvo Voronezhskogo Universiteta, 1986, (in Russian).
- [13] V. G. A n g e l o v. Fixed point theorems in uniform spaces and applications. *Czechoslovak Math. Journal*, **37**, 1987, 19-33.
- [14] A. W e i l. *Sur les espaces a structure uniforme et sur la topologie generale*. Hermann & Cie, Editeurs, Paris, 1937.
- [15] K. K u r a t o w s k i. *Topology, I*. Academic Press, New York, London, & Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 1966.
- [16] C. C a s t a i n g, M. V a l a d i e r. *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*. Springer Verlag. Lect. Notes in Math., **580**, 1977.
- [17] J. L. K e l l e y. *General Topology*. D. van Nostrand Co., Inc. Princeton, New Jersey, 1953.

University of Mining and Geology "St. I.Rilski"  
Department of Mathematics  
1100 Sofia, BULGARIA

Received: 12.09.1995