Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal http://www.mathbalkanica.info

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office; Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273, E-mail: balmat@bas.bg



New Series Vol. 13, 1999, Fasc. 1-2

Matrix Theorems for the de Branges Weight Functions

Pavel G. Todorov

Presented by Bl. Sendov

On the basis of Theorems 1, 2, 3 and Corollaries 1, 2 proved in this paper, the de Branges weight functions (4) or system (6)-(7) can be examined with the help of the matrix theory.

AMS Subj. Classification: 30C50, 30C75, 15A24

Key Words: univalent functions, Koebe function, Bieberbach conjecture, de Branges proof, de Branges weight functions and system of differential equations, matrix linear homogenous differential equation of the first order, inverse matrix equation

Let S denote the class of all functions

(1)
$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, a_1 = 1,$$

analytic and univalent in the unit disc |z| < 1. Bieberbach [1] conjectured that the inequalities

(2)
$$|a_n| \leq n, \quad n = 2, 3, \ldots,$$

hold, where the equalities hold only for the Koebe function

(3)
$$f_0(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nz^n \in S$$

and its rotations $e^{-i\alpha}f_0\left(ze^{i\alpha}\right)\in S$ where α is real.

De Branges [2,3] proved the Bieberbach conjecture (2) and (3) for the functions (1). Fitzgerald and Pommerenke [4,5], Weinstein [6], and the author

[7,8,9,10] simpler proved the same conjecture, respectively (see the Grinshpan reviews in [11]).

For any positive integer $n \ge 1$, de Branges [2,3] introduced and used the system of weight functions

(4)
$$\sigma_k(t) = k \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-k} (-1)^{\nu} \frac{(2k+\nu+1)_{\nu}(2k+2\nu+2)_{n-k-\nu}}{(k+\nu)\nu!(n-k-\nu)!} e^{-\nu t - kt}$$

for $0 \le t < +\infty$ and k = 1, 2, ..., n where $(a)_{\nu}$ for an arbitrary number a denotes

(5)
$$(a)_{\nu} = a(a+1)...(a+\nu-1), \quad \nu=1,2,...; \quad (a)_0=1.$$

The functions (4), having in mind (5), are the unique solution of the de Branges system of differential equations

(6)
$$\sigma_k(t) - \sigma_{k+1}(t) = -\frac{\sigma'_k(t)}{k} - \frac{\sigma'_{k+1}(t)}{k+1},$$

$$0 \le t < +\infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \sigma_{n+1}(t) = 0,$$

with initial conditions

(7)
$$\sigma_k(0) = n - k + 1, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

The author [7,9,10] introduced and used the full notations $\sigma_{nk}(t) \equiv \sigma_k(t), n \geq k, k = 1, 2, ..., n, 0 \leq t < +\infty$, of the de Branges function (4). Further, we shall use the notation $\sigma_{nk}(t)$ ($1 \leq k \leq n+1$) for the kth de Branges weight function $\sigma_k(t)$ which satisfies (6)-(7) for any fixed value of n(n = 1, 2, ...).

Now we shall prove the following

Theorem 1. For all fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let

and

Matrix Theorems for the de Branges Weight Functions

(9)
$$P_{n}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{n1}(t) \\ \sigma_{n2}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_{nk}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_{nn}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad P'_{n}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma'_{n1}(t) \\ \sigma'_{n2}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma'_{nk}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma'_{nn}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

be $n \times n$ matrix and column matrices, where $\sigma_{nk}(t) \equiv \sigma_k(t)$, $k = 1, 2, ..., n, 0 \le t < +\infty$, and $\sigma'_{nk}(t) \equiv \sigma'_k(t)$, $k = 1, 2, ..., n, 0 \le t < +\infty$, are the de Branges weight functions (4) and their derivatives with the full notations, respectively.

Then the column matrix $P_n(t)$ satisfies the matrix linear homogeneous differential equation of the first order:

$$(10) P_n'(t) = T_n P_n(t)$$

with the initial condition

(11)
$$P_n(0) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \\ \vdots \\ n-k+1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. For a fixed integer $n \geq 2$ with the help of the full notations $\sigma_{nk}(t) \equiv \sigma_k(t), k = n+1, n, n-1, \ldots, 2, 1, 0 \leq t < +\infty$, from (6) we successively obtain

$$(12) -\frac{\sigma'_{nn}(t)}{n} = \sigma_{nn}(t),$$

(13)
$$-\frac{\sigma'_{n,n-1}(t)}{n-1} = \sigma_{n,n-1}(t) - 2\sigma_{nn}(t),$$

(14)
$$-\frac{\sigma'_{n,n-2}(t)}{n-2} = \sigma_{n,n-2}(t) - 2\sigma_{n,n-1}(t) + 2\sigma_{nn}(t),$$

etc. If we assume that the formula

(15)
$$-\frac{\sigma'_{n,k}(t)}{k} = \sigma_{n,k}(t) + 2\sum_{\nu=k+1}^{n} (-1)^{\nu-k} \sigma_{n\nu}(t),$$

is true for any positive integer k with $2 \le k \le n-1$ $(n \ge 3)$, then from (6) and (15) we obtain that

$$-\frac{\sigma'_{n,k-1}(t)}{k-1} = \sigma_{n,k-1}(t) - \sigma_{nk}(t) + \frac{\sigma'_{nk}(t)}{k}$$

$$= \sigma_{n,k-1}(t) - 2\sigma_{n,k}(t) + 2\sum_{\nu=k+1}^{n} (-1)^{\nu-k+1} \sigma_{n,\nu}(t)$$

$$= \sigma_{n,k-1}(t) + 2\sum_{\nu=k}^{n} (-1)^{\nu-k+1} \sigma_{n,\nu}(t).$$

From the comparison of (15) and (16) it follows that the formula (15) is true for all k = n - 1, n - 2, ..., 2, 1 ($n \ge 2$). Therefore, the relations (8)-(10) follow from (12)-(15). The relation (11) follows from (7).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Further, we shall prove the following

Theorem 2. For a fixed integer $n \geq 2$, the inverse $n \times n$ matrix T_n^{-1} of the matrix (8) is

of the matrix (8) is
$$\begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{1}{1} & -\frac{2}{2} & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{2}{4} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -\frac{2}{n} \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{2}{4} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -\frac{2}{n} \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{4} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & -\frac{2}{n} \\
\cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{1}{k} & -\frac{2}{k+1} & -\frac{2}{k+2} & \cdots & -\frac{2}{n} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots &$$

Proof. For a fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let $T_n^{k\nu}$ denote the cofactor of the element on the kth row $(1 \leq k < n)$ and the ν th column $(1 \leq \nu \leq n)$ of the

determinant of the matrix T_n in (8). The values of this determinant and these cofactors are

$$\det T_n = (-1)^n n!$$

and

(19)
$$T_n^{k\nu} = (-1)^{n-1} 2 \frac{n!}{k}, \quad 1 \le \nu \le k - 1 \ (2 \le k \le n),$$

$$T_n^{k\nu} = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{n!}{k}, \quad \nu = k \ (1 \le k \le n),$$

$$T_n^{k\nu} = 0, \quad k \le \nu \le n \ (1 \le k \le n - 1).$$

Then the inverse $n \times n$ matrix T_n^{-1} of the matrix (8) is

(20)
$$T_n^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det T_n} \begin{bmatrix} T_n^{11} & T_n^{21} & \dots & T_n^{n1} \\ T_n^{12} & T_n^{22} & \dots & T_n^{n2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ T_n^{1n} & T_n^{2n} & \dots & T_n^{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus from (18)-(20) we obtain (17) which competes the proof of Theorem 2. Finally, we prove the following

Theorem 3. For a fixed integer $n \ge 2$, the inverse matrix equation of (10) is

(21)
$$P_n(t) = T_n^{-1} P_n'(t),$$

where $P_n(t)$, $P'_n(t)$ and T_n^{-1} are determined by (9) and (17).

Proof. For a fixed integer $n \geq 2$, if we multiply (10) from the left by T_n^{-1} , then we shall obtain (21), having in mind (9) and (17).

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Corollary 1. For a fixed integer $n \geq 2$, the matrix equations (10)-(11) and (21) are corresponding equivalent to the system of equations

$$\sigma'_{nk}(t) = -k\sigma_{nk}(t) + 2k\sum_{\nu=k+1}^{n} (-1)^{\nu-k+1}\sigma_{n\nu}(t), \quad 1 \le k \le n-1,$$
(22)
$$\sigma'_{nn}(t) = -n\sigma_{nn}(t)$$

and

(23)
$$\sigma_{nk}(t) = -\frac{1}{k}\sigma'_{nk}(t) - 2\Sigma^{n}_{\nu=k+1}\frac{1}{\nu}\sigma'_{n\nu}(t), \quad 1 \le k \le n-1,$$

$$\sigma_{nn}(t) = -\frac{1}{n}\sigma'_{nn}(t)$$

which are mutually inverse.

Corollary 2. For a fixed integer $n \ge 1$, every de Branges weight function (4) satisfies the linear homogeneous differential equation of order n-k+1:

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} + k\right) \left(\frac{d}{dt} + k + 1\right) \dots \left(\frac{d}{dt} + n\right) \sigma_{nk}(t)$$

$$(24) \equiv \sigma_{nk}^{n-k+1}(t) + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-k+1} C_{n-k+1}^{n} \left[k, k+1, \dots, n\right] \sigma_{nk}^{(n-k+1-\nu)}(t) = 0,$$

$$0 \le t < +\infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \sigma_{nk}^{(0)}(t) \equiv \sigma_{nk}(t),$$

where $C_{n-k+1}^{\nu}[k, k=1,...,n]$ denotes the sum of all products of the numbers k, k+1,...,n taken as the combinations without permutation of order ν .

Thus, on the basis of the equations (10)-(11) and (21) or their equivalent (22) and (23) as well as the equation (24), the de Branges weight functions (4) or system (6)-(7) can be examined with the help of the matrix theory.

The differential equation (24) was given, some years earlier, by Schmersau [12], directly deduced from the recursion (6) and discussed for arbitrary initial values.

See other methods in Henrici [13, pp. 592-611], Koepf and Schmersau [14,15] and Xie Ming-Qin [16].

References

- [1] L. B i e b e r b a c h, Über die Koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen, welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vermitteln, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., Phys.-Math. Kl., 138 (1916), 940-955.
- [2] L. d e B r a n g e s, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, Preprint E-5-84, Leningrad Branch of the V. A. Steklov Math. Inst., (1984), 1-21.
- [3] L. de Branges, A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, Acta Math., 154 (1985), 137-152.
- [4] C. H. Fitzgerald, Ch. Pommerenke, The de Branges theorem of univalent functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 290 (1985), 683-690.
- [5] C. H. Fitzgerald, Ch. Pommerenke, The de Branges theorem of univalent functions (in Russian), Serdica, 13 (1987), No. 1, 21-25.
- [6] L. Weinstein, The Bieberbach conjecture, Int. Math. Res. Not., 5 (1991), 61-64. (Also in: Duke Math. J., 64 (1991)).

Received: 24.06.1996

- [7] P. G. T o d o r o v, A simple proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, Bul. de la Classe des Sciences-Académie Royale de Belgique, 6^e serie, Tome III (1992), No.12, 335-346.
- [8] P. G. Todorov, A simple proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, Not. Amer. Math. Soc., 40 (1993), No.3, 256, Report 109.
- [9] P. G. Todoorov, A simple proof of the Bieberbach conjecture, Abstracts Amer. Math. Soc., 14 (1993), No.2, 284-285, Abstract 879-30-10.
- [10] P. G. T o d o r o v, A simple hand verification of the Bieberbach conjecture for $2 \le n \le 7$, Bul. de la Classe des Sciences-Académie Royale de Belgique, 6^e serie, Tome V (1994), No.1-6, 167-176
- [11] A. Z. Grinshpan, Mathematical Reviews Amer. Math. Soc., Jan. 1995, Issue 95a, Reviews 30018 and 30019.
- [12] D. S c h m e r s a u, Untersuchungen zur Rekursion von L. de Branges, Complex Variables, Theory Appl., 15 (1990), 115-124.
- [13] P. H e n r i c i, Applied and Computational Complex Analysis, Vol. 3: Discrete Fourier Analysis - Cauchy Integrals - Constructions of Conformal Maps - Univalent Functions, J. Wiley & Sons, N. York (1986).
- [14] W. Koep f, D. Schmersau, On the Branges theorem, Complex Variables, Theory Appl., 31 (1996), 213-230.
- [15] W. K o e p f, D. S c h m e r s a u, Weinsten's functions and the Askey-Gasper identity, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, 5 (1997), No 3-4, 227-246.
- [16] X i e M i n g Q i n, A generalization of the de Branges theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), No 12, 3605-3611.

Institute of Mathematics & Informatics Bulgarian Academy od Sciences Acad. G. Bontchev Str., Block 8 1113 Sofia, BULGARIA