Mathematica
Balkanica

Univalent Harmonic Mappings

Metin Öztürk, Mümin Yamankaradeniz

Presented by V. Kiryakova

A family of univalent harmonic functions is studied from the point of geometric function theory. This class consists of mappings of the open unit disk onto the entire complex plane except for two infinite slits along the real axis with a normalization at the origin. Extreme points are determined, and sharp estimates for the Fourier coefficients and distortion theorems are given.

AMS Subj. Classification: 30C55, 31A05

Key Words: harmonic mappings, extremal problems

1. Introduction

Clunie and Sheil-Small [1] studied the class S_H of all harmonic, complex-valued, sense preserving univalent mappings f defined on the open unit disk U which are normalized by $f(0) = 0$, $f_z(0) = 1$. Such functions admit the representation $f = h + \bar{g}$ where $h(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + ...$ and $g(z) = b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + ...$ are analytic in U. f is locally one-to-one and sense preserving if and only if $|g'(z)| < |h'(z)|$ for z in U. This implies that $|b_1| < 1$. Therefore $f_0 = (f - \overline{b_1 f})/(1 - |b_1|^2)$ is also in S_H and one may restrict attention to the subclass $S_H^0 = \{f \in S_H : f_{\bar{z}}(0) = 0\}$.

If $f = u + iv$ is harmonic in U with $f(0) = 0$, we let F and G be analytic in U and satisfy $F(0) = G(0) = 0$, $u = \operatorname{Re} F$ and $v = \operatorname{Re} G$. If we let $h = (F + iG)/2$ and $g = (F - iG)/2$, then h and g analytic in U and $f = h + \bar{g}$.

In contrast to conformal mappings, harmonic mappings are not essentially determined by their image domains. Therefore, it is natural to study the class $S_H(U, D_\phi)$ of harmonic, sense preserving and univalent mappings of U onto another domain $D_\phi = \mathbb{C} - (-\infty, \partial_\phi] \cup [_\phi, +\infty)$ normalized by $f(0) = 0$, $f_{\bar{z}}(0) = 0$ and the constants a_ϕ, b_ϕ $(a_\phi < 0 < b_\phi)$ are determined as in Theorem 1. If $\phi \to 0$, our results will give those of Livingston [2].

2. The Class $S_H(U, D_\phi)$

Let P be a class of $p(z)$, which are analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$ and $Re\, p(z) > 0$ for z in U.

Lemma 1. *If $p(z) \in P$, then for $0 < \phi < \pi$,*

(1)

$$-\frac{1}{2(1+\cos\phi)}(1 + \frac{\phi}{\sin\phi}) \leq Re \int_0^{-1} \frac{(1-\zeta^2)p(\zeta)d\zeta}{(1 - 2\cos\phi\,\zeta + \zeta^2)^2} \leq \frac{1}{2(1-\cos\phi)}(1 - \frac{\phi}{\sin\phi}),$$

(2)

$$\frac{1}{2(1+\cos\phi)}(\frac{\pi-\phi}{\sin\phi} - 1) \leq Re \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\zeta^2)p(\zeta)d\zeta}{(1 - 2\cos\phi\,\zeta + \zeta^2)^2} \leq \frac{1}{2(1-\cos\phi)}(1 + \frac{\pi-\phi}{\sin\phi}),$$

(3)

$$\frac{(2\phi - \pi)\cos\phi - 2\sin\phi + \pi}{2\sin^3\phi} \leq Re \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1-\zeta^2)p(\zeta)d\zeta}{(1 - 2\cos\phi\,\zeta + \zeta^2)^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{(\pi - 2\phi)\cos\phi + 2\sin\phi + \pi}{2\sin^3\phi}.$$

P r o o f. We set $w = e^{i\phi}$, $0 < \phi < \pi$. We estimate the integral

(4)

$$I = Re \int_0^{-1} \frac{(1-\zeta^2)p(\zeta)d\zeta}{(1 - 2\cos\phi\,\zeta + \zeta^2)^2} = -\int_0^1 \frac{1-t^2}{(1+wt)^2(1+\bar{w}t)^2} Re\, p(-t)dt.$$

It is well known that for $-1 < t < 1$,

(5) $$(1 - |t|)/(1 + |t|) \leq Re\, p(t) \leq (1 + |t|)/(1 - |t|).$$

Substituting (5) into (4), we obtain

$$-\int_0^1 \frac{1-t^2}{(1+wt)^2(1+\bar{w}t)^2} \cdot \frac{1+t}{1-t}dt \leq I \leq -\int_0^1 \frac{1-t^2}{(1+wt)^2(1+\bar{w}t)^2} \cdot \frac{1-t}{1+t}dt.$$

Since

$$\log\left(\frac{1 - e^{i\phi}}{1 - e^{-i\phi}}\right) = i(\phi - \pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \log\left(\frac{1 + e^{i\phi}}{1 + e^{-i\phi}}\right) = i\phi,$$

inequality (1) is readily obtained.

(2) can be proved in the same way. From (1) and (2), we have (3).

Remark 1. The expression on the left hand side of (1) tends to $-1/2$ as $\phi \to 0^+$, while the expression on the right hand side of (1) tens to $-1/6$ as $\phi \to 0^+$. These bounds have been given by Livingston [2, Lemma 1]. Moreover, the upper and lower bounds in (3) have a minimum for $\phi = \pi/2$.

We now let $\mathcal{F}_\phi$ be the class of functions f which have the form

$$(6) \qquad f(z) = Re \int_0^z \frac{(1 - \zeta^2)p(\zeta)d\zeta}{(1 - 2\cos\phi\,\zeta + \zeta^2)^2} + i\,Im\,\frac{z}{1 - \cos\phi\,z + z^2},$$

where $p \in P$ and ϕ is a fixed parameter in the interval $(0, \pi)$.

Theorem 2. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi$, then is harmonic, sense preserving and univalent in U and $f(U)$ is convex in the direction of the real axis with $f(U) \subset D_\phi$.*

P r o o f. Let $f = h + \bar{g} = Re\,F + Re\,G$. Then, we have from (6) that

(7)
$$F(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1 - \zeta^2}{(1 - 2\cos\phi\,\zeta + \zeta^2)^2}\,p(\zeta)d\zeta \quad \text{and} \quad G(z) = \frac{-i\,z}{1 - 2\cos\phi\,z + z^2}$$

for z in U. Since $F'(z)/i\,G'(z) = p(z)$ and

$$\frac{g'(z)}{h'(z)} = \frac{F'(z) - iG'(z)}{F'(z) + iG'(z)} = \frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1},$$

it follows that $|g'(z)| < |h'(z)|$. Thus, f is locally one-to-one and sense preserving. Also,

$$h(z) - g(z) = iG(z) = \frac{z}{1 - \cos\phi\,z + z^2}$$

is convex in the direction of the real axis. By a theorem of Clunie and Sheil-Small [1, Theorem 5.3], f is univalent and $f(U)$ is convex in the direction of the real axis.

Moreover, $f(z)$ is real if and only if z is real. Since $Re\,p(z) > 0$, it follows that $f(r) = Re\,F(r)$ is increasing on $(-1, 1)$ and $f(r)$ is bounded on $(-1, 1)$ by Lemma 1 for a fixed ϕ, $0 < \phi < \pi$. Thus, $\lim_{r \to -1^+} f(r)$ and $\lim_{r \to 1^-} f(r)$ exists and equals a_ϕ and b_ϕ, respectively. Thus, $f(U)$ does not contain the interval $(-\infty, a_\phi] \cup [b_\phi, +\infty)$. Therefore, $f(U) \subset D_\phi$.

Theorem 3. $S_H(U, D_\phi) \subset \mathcal{F}_\phi$.

P r o o f. Let $f \in S_H(U, D_\phi)$. Since $f(U) = D_\phi$ is convex in the direction of real axis for a fixed ϕ, by the theorem, given by Clunie and Sheil-Small [1, Theorem 5.3], $h - g = iG$ is univalent and convex in the direction of real axis.

Let $h(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \ldots$ and $g(z) = b_2 z^2 + \ldots$. Then, $iG(z) = h(z) - g(z) = z + \ldots$. Since $f(U) = D_\phi$, $Re\, G(z) = Im\, f(z)$ is 0 on boundary of U. Since G is convex in the direction of the imaginary axis, it follows that $G(U)$ is $\mathbb{C}$ slit along two infinite rays on real axis for $\phi \in (0, \pi)$. Also, since $iG(0) = iG'(0) - 1 = 0$, it follows that $iG(z)$ is a member of the class S of functions f which are analytic and univalent in U and normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Thus, there is a fixed ϕ, $0 < \phi < \pi$, such that

$$iG(z) \prec k_\phi(z) = \frac{z}{1 - \cos\phi\, z + z^2},$$

where $\prec$ denotes subordination. Since $k_\phi \in S$, it follows that $iG = k_\phi$. Hence, $Im\, f(r) = Re\, G(r) = 0$ for $-1 < r < 1$.

Now, if $f = h + \bar{g}$, then $h' - g' = iG'p$ and

$$\frac{h' + g'}{h' - g'} = \frac{1 + g'/h'}{1 - g'/h'}.$$

Since $|g'(z)| < |h'(z)|$, z in U, it follows that $(h' + g')/(h' - g') = p$, where $p \in P$. Thus, $h' + g' = (h' - g')\,p = iG'p$ and

$$F(z) = h(z) + g(z) = \int_0^z iG'(\zeta)p(\zeta)d\zeta = \int_0^z \frac{1 - \zeta^2}{(1 - 2\cos\phi\,\zeta + \zeta^2)^2}\, p(\zeta)d\zeta.$$

Thus $f(z) = Re\, F(z) + i\, Re\, G(z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_\phi$.

Theorem 4. $\overline{S_H(U, D_\phi)} = \mathcal{F}_\phi$.

P r o o f. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_\phi$ have form (4), and let r_n be a sequence with $0 < r_n < 1$, $\lim r_n = 1$. Let $p_n(z) = p(r_n z)$, and denote by $f_n(z)$ the function obtained from (4) by replacing $p(z)$ with $p_n(z)$. We claim that f_n is in $S_H(U, D_\phi)$. To see this, let

$$F_n(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1 - \zeta^2}{(1 - 2\cos\phi\,\zeta + \zeta^2)^2}\, p_n(\zeta)d\zeta.$$

There exists $\delta_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, so that we may write for $|z - w_i| < \delta_i$

$$p_n(z) = p_n(w_i) + p_n'(w_i)(z - w_i) + [p_n''(w_i)/2!](z - w_i)^2 + \ldots,$$

where $w_1 = e^{i\theta}$ and $w_2 = e^{-i\theta}$. Then, for $|z - w_1| < \delta_1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_n'(z) &= \left[\frac{1}{w_1^2 - 1} \frac{1}{(z - w_2)^2} + \frac{1}{w_2^2 - 1} \frac{1}{(z - w_1)^2} \right] p_n(z) \\
&= \left[\frac{p_n(w_1)}{(w_2^2 - 1)(z - w_1)^2} + \frac{p_n'(w_1)}{(w_2^2 - 1)(z - w_1)} + q_1(z) \right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q_1(z)$ is analytic in $|z - w_1| < \delta_1$. Let $D_i = \{z : |z - w_i| < \delta_i\} \cap U$, $i = 1, 2$. If $1 - \delta_i < c_i < 1$, then, for z in D_i,

$$(8) \qquad F_n(z) - F_n(c_i) = \int_{c_i}^z F_n'(\zeta) d\zeta,$$

where the path of integration is in D_i. Equation (8) gives for $z \in D_1$,

$$F_n(z) = \frac{p_n(w_1)}{(1 - w_2^2)(z - w_1)} - \frac{p_n'(w_1)}{(1 - w_2^2)} + \log(z - w_1) + q(z),$$

where

$$q(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j (z - w_1)^j + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_j (z - w_2)^j + \frac{b}{z - w_2} + a \log(z - w_2)$$

is analytic in D_1 and $\arg(z - w_1) \in (0, \pi)$. Thus, for $z \in D_1$, f_n has the form

$$F_n(z) = \frac{k}{z - w_1} + m \log(z - w_1) + q(z)$$

and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Re\, f_n(z) &= Re\, F_n(z) \\
&= Re\left(\frac{k}{z - w_1}\right) + Re(m) \log|z - w_1| - Im(m) \arg(z - w_1) + Re\, q(z).
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we wish to prove that f_n cannot have a nonreal finite cluster point at $z = w_1$. To see this, suppose that $z_j = w_1 + \rho_j e^{i\alpha_j}$ is in U with $\rho_j > 0$ and $\lim \rho_j = 0$. We claim that $|Re\, f_n(z_j)| \to \infty$. Indeed,

$$|Re\, f_n(z_j)| = \left| \frac{Re(ke^{-i\alpha_j}) + \rho_j Re(m) \ln(\rho_j)}{\rho_j} - Im(m) \arg(z_j - w_1) + Re\, q(z_j) \right|$$

approaches $+\infty$. Similarly, we have the same argument for D_2. Thus, f_n has no finite nonreal cluster points at $z = w_1$ and $z = w_2$. At all other points of $|z| = 1$, the finite cluster points of f_n are real. Since $f_n(U) \subset D_\phi$, and

$$\lim_{r \to -1^+} f_n(r) = a_\phi, \qquad \lim_{r \to 1^-} f_n(r) = b_\phi$$

it follows that $f_n(U) = D_\phi$ for a fixed ϕ. Thus, f_n is in $S_H(U, D_\phi)$ and hence, f is in $\overline{S_H(U, D_\phi)}$. Since $\mathcal{F}_\phi$ is closed under uniform limits on compact subsets of U, it follows that $\mathcal{F}_\phi = \overline{S_H(U, D_\phi)}$.

3. Extreme Points of $\mathcal{F}_\phi$

If $p \in P$, then it is known that

$$(9) \qquad p(z) = \int_{|\eta|=1} \frac{1 + \eta z}{1 - \eta z}\, d\mu(\eta),$$

where μ is a probability measure on $X = \{\eta : |\eta| = 1\}$. Thus, if f is in $\mathcal{F}_\phi$, there is a probability measure μ on X such that

$$f(z) = Re \int_{|\eta|=1} k_\phi(z, \eta)\, d\mu(\eta) + i\, Im\, k_\phi(z)$$

and

$$(10) \qquad k_\phi(z, \eta) = \int_0^z \frac{(1 - \zeta^2)(1 + \eta\zeta)}{(1 - 2\cos\phi\,\zeta + \zeta^2)^2(1 - \eta\zeta)}\, d\zeta$$

$$= \begin{cases} \begin{aligned} & A(w, \eta)\log(1 - \bar{w}z) + A(\bar{w}, \eta)\log(1 - wz) + B(w, \eta)\frac{\bar{w}^2 z}{1 - \bar{w}z} \\ & \quad + B(w, \eta)\frac{w^2 z}{1 - wz} + C(w, \eta)\log(1 - z); \qquad \text{if } \eta \neq w, \bar{w} \end{aligned} \\[2ex] i4\sin^3\phi\log\left(\frac{1 - wz}{1 - \bar{w}z}\right) - \frac{\cos\phi\,\bar{w}\,z}{2\sin^2\phi(1 - \bar{w}z)} - \frac{i\,w\,z}{2\sin\phi(1 - wz)^2}; \qquad \text{if } \eta \neq w \\[2ex] \frac{i}{4\sin^3\phi}\log\left(\frac{1 - wz}{1 - \bar{w}z}\right) - \frac{\cos\phi\,w\,z}{2\sin^2\phi(1 - wz)} + \frac{i\,\bar{w}\,z}{2\sin\phi(1 - \bar{w}z)^2}; \qquad \text{if } \eta = \bar{w} \end{cases}$$

for $\phi \in (0, \pi)$, $w = e^{i\theta}$

$$A(w, \eta) = \frac{2\eta w^2}{(1 - \eta w)^2(1 - w^2)}, \quad B(w, \eta) = \frac{(1 + \eta w)\bar{w}^2}{(1 - \eta w)(1 - w^2)}$$

$$\text{and} \quad C(w, \eta) = \frac{2\eta(1 - \eta^2)}{(1 - \eta w)^2(1 - \eta\bar{w})^2}.$$

The extreme points of $\mathcal{F}_\phi$ are readily obtained by making use of the consequence observed by Szapiel [3].

Lemma 5 [3] *Suppose X is a convex linear Hausdorff space, $\Phi : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is homogeneous, $c \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ and A is a compact convex subset of $\Phi^{-1}(c)$. Let $\psi : A \to \mathbb{R}$ be affine continuous with $0 \notin \psi(a)$ and let $B = \{a/\psi(a) : a \in A\}$. Then:*

1) B is compact convex,

2) The map $a \to a/\psi(a)$ is homeomorphism of A onto B,

3) $E_B = \{a/\psi(a) : a \in E_A\}$, *where E_P stands for the set of all extreme points of P.*

Theorem 6.   *The extreme points of $\mathcal{F}_\phi$ are*

$$f_\eta(z) = Re\, k_\phi(z, \eta) + i\, Im\, k_\phi(z), \quad |\eta| = 1.$$

P r o o f. We apply Lemma 5 with

$$Q_p(z) = Re \int_0^z \frac{(1 - \zeta^2)\, p(\zeta)\, d\zeta}{(1 - 2\cos\phi\,\zeta + \zeta^2)^2} + i\, Im \frac{z}{1 - \cos\phi\, z + z^2},$$

$$A = \{Q_p : p \in P\}, \quad \Phi(f) = f_z(0) = 1, \quad c = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(Q_p) = 1.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_\phi = B$ is convex. The map $Q_p \to p$ is a linear homeomorphism between A and P. $E_P = \{(1 + \eta z)/(1 - \eta z) : |\eta| = 1\}$. Thus, the proof of theorem is completed.

4. Applications

In this section, we use our knowledge of extreme points to solve some extremal problems on $\overline{S_H(U, D_\phi)}$.

Theorem 7.   *Let $f = h + \bar{g} \in \overline{S_H(U, D_\phi)}$. If $h(z) = z + \sum\limits_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum\limits_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, than, for $0 < \phi < \pi$,*

$$(11) \qquad |a_n| \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \frac{|\sin(n\phi)|}{\sin\phi} < \frac{(n+1)(2n+1)}{6},$$

$$(12) \qquad |b_n| \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \frac{|\sin(n\phi)|}{\sin\phi} < \frac{(n-1)(2n-1)}{6}$$

and

$$(13) \qquad ||a_n| - |b_n|| \le \frac{|\sin(n\phi)|}{\sin\phi} < n$$

equality in (11), (12) and (13) occurs for the function

$$f(z) = Re\, k_\phi(z, e^{\pm i\phi}) + i\, Im\, k_\phi(z).$$

Proof. In order to prove validity of (11), (12) and (13), we use the extreme points of $\overline{S_H(U, D_\phi)}$. Let $f_\eta(z) = Re\, k_\phi(z, \eta) + i\, Im\, k_\phi(z)$. Also, $F(z) = k_\phi(z, \eta)$ and $G(z) = -i\, z/(1 - \cos\phi\, z + z^2)$. Thus,

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{2}[F(z) + iG(z)] = \tfrac{1}{2}[k_\phi(z, \eta) + k_\phi(z)] = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

and

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2}[F(z) - iG(z)] = \tfrac{1}{2}[k_\phi(z, \eta) - k_\phi(z)] = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

if $\eta \neq e^{\pm i\phi}$, for $w = e^{i\phi}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(z) = \frac{1}{2}\Bigg[&\frac{-2\eta w^2}{(1 - \eta w)^2(1 - w^2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^{-n}}{n} z^n - \frac{2\eta w^{-2}}{(1 - \eta w^{-1})^2(1 - w^{-2})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^n}{n} z^n \\
&+ \frac{(1 + \eta w)w}{(1 - \eta w)(1 - w^2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w^{-n} z^n + \frac{(1 + \eta w^{-1})w^{-1}}{(1 - \eta w^{-1})(1 - w^{-2})} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} w^n z^n \\
&- \frac{2\eta(1 - \eta^2)}{(1 - \eta w)^2(1 - \eta w^{-1})^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^n}{n} z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w^n - w^{-n}}{w - w^{-1}} z^n \Bigg].
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_n &= \frac{1}{2}\Bigg[\frac{-2\eta w^{2-n}}{n(1 - \eta w)^2(1 - w^2)} - \frac{2\eta w^{n-2}}{n(1 - \eta w^{-1})^2(1 - w^{-2})} + \frac{(1 + \eta w)w^{1-n}}{(1 - \eta w)(1 - w^2)} \\
&\qquad + \frac{(1 + \eta w^{-1})w^{n-1}}{(1 - \eta w^{-1})(1 - w^{-2})} - \frac{2\eta(1 - \eta^2)\eta^n}{n(1 - \eta w)^2(1 - \eta w^{-1})^2} + \frac{w^n - w^{-n}}{w - w^{-1}} \Bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\Bigg\{ \frac{-2\eta[w^{n-1} - w^{1-n} - 2\eta(w^n - w^{-n}) + \eta^2(w^{n+1} - w^{-n-1})]}{n(w - w^{-1})(1 - \eta w)^2(1 - \eta w^{-1})^2} \\
&\qquad - \frac{2\eta(1 - \eta^2)\eta^n(w - w^{-1})}{n(w - w^{-1})(1 - \eta w)^2(1 - \eta w^{-1})^2} + \frac{2(w^n - w^{-n}) - 2\eta(w^{n+1} - w^{-n-1})}{(w - w^{-1})(1 - \eta w)(1 - \eta w^{-1})} \Bigg\} \\
&= \frac{\eta}{n}\Bigg[\frac{-\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n-1} w^{n-2k} + 2\eta \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} w^{n-2k+1} - \eta^2 \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n} w^{n-2k} - \eta^n + \eta^{n+2}}{(1 - \eta w)^2(1 - \eta w^{-1})^2} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{n\left(\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} w^{n-2k+1} - \eta \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n} w^{n-2k} \right)}{\eta(1 - \eta w)(1 - \eta w^{-1})} \Bigg]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \eta^{k+1}(w^{n-k} + w^{k-n}) - \eta \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} w^{n-2k} + n \sum_{k=1}^{n} w^{n-2k+1} - \eta n \sum_{k=0}^{n} w^{n-2k}}{(1 - \eta w)(1 - \eta w^{-1})} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}[\eta^{n-1} + 2\eta^{n-2}(w + w^{-1}) + 3\eta^{n-3}(w^2 + w^{-2} + 1) + \dots$$
$$+ n(w^{n-1} + w^{1-n} + w^{n-3} + w^{3-n} + \dots + \lambda],$$

where $\lambda = w + w^{-1}$ if n is even, $\lambda = 1$ if n is odd. And so for $n = 2, 3, \dots$

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n}(w - w^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (n-k)\eta^k(w^{n-k} - w^{k-n}) = \frac{1}{n \sin \phi} \sum_{m=1}^{n} m\eta^{n-m} \sin(m\phi).$$

Thus,

$$|a_n| \le \frac{1}{n \sin \phi} \sum_{m=1}^{n} m|\sin(m\phi)| < \frac{(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

with equality for $\eta = e^{\pm i\phi}$

Similarly, for $n = 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n}(w - w^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)\eta^k(w^{n-k} - w^{k-n}) = \frac{1}{n \sin \phi} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m\eta^{n-m} \sin(m\phi)$$

for which

$$|b_n| \le \frac{1}{n \sin \phi} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m|\sin(m\phi)| < \frac{(n-1)(2n-1)}{6}.$$

Remark 2. If $\phi \to 0$, our results in Theorem 7 give those of Livingston [2, Theorem 5].

Theorem 8.   *If $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H(U, D_\phi)$, then*

(14) $$|f_z(z)| \le \frac{1 + |z|^2}{(1 - |z|)^5} \quad and \quad |f_{\bar{z}}(z)| \le \frac{|z|(1 + |z|^2)}{(1 - |z|)^5}.$$

Equality in (14) occurs for the functions

$$f(z) = Re \, k_\phi(z, e^{\pm i\phi}) + i \, Im \, k_\phi(z)$$

P r o o f. We need only to consider extreme points $f_\eta(z)$. In this case, for $\eta \neq e^{\pm i\phi}$, $w = e^{i\phi}$, it is concluded that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(z) &= \tfrac{1}{2}[k_\phi(z, \eta) + k_\phi(z)] \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\Big[A(w, \eta)\log(1 - w^{-1}z) + A(w^{-1}, \eta)\log(1 - wz) + B(w, \eta)\tfrac{w^{-2}z}{1-w^{-1}z} \\
&\qquad + B(w^{-1}, \eta)\tfrac{w^2 z}{1 - wz} + C(w, \eta)\log(1 - \eta z) + \frac{z}{1 - (w - w^{-1})z + z^2} \Big]
\end{aligned}
$$

After straightforward computations, we have

$$
h'(z) = \frac{1 - z^2}{(1 - w^{-1}z)^2(1 - wz)^2(1 - \eta z)}
$$

and

$$
|h'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{1 - |z|} \left| \frac{1 - z^2}{(1 - w^{-1}z)^2(1 - wz)^2} \right| \leq \frac{1 + |z|^2}{(1 - |z|)^5}.
$$

Similarly, for $\eta \neq e^{\pm i\phi}$, $w = e^{i\phi}$, we obtain

$$
g(z) = \tfrac{1}{2}[k_\phi(z, \eta) - k_\phi(z)], \qquad g'(z) = \tfrac{z(1-z^2)\eta}{(1-w^{-1}z)^2(1-wz)^2(1-\eta z)}
$$

and

$$
|g'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{1 - |z|} \left| \frac{z(1 - z^2)}{(1 - w^{-1}z)^2(1 - wz)^2} \right| \leq \frac{|z|(1 + |z|^2)}{(1 - |z|)^5}.
$$

References

[1] J. C l u n i e, T. S h e i l - S m a l l. Harmonic univalent functions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI Math.*, **9**, 1984, 3-25.

[2] A.E. L i v i n g s t o n. Univalent harmonic mappings. *Annales Polinici Math.*, **LVII**, No 1, 1992, 57-70.

[3] W. S z a p i e l. *Extremal Problems for Convex Sets. Applications to Holomorphic Functions*, Dissertation XXXVII, UMCS Press Lublin, 1986 (in Polish).

Uludag University
Faculty of Sciences and Art
Department of Mathematics
Görükle / Bursa, TURKEY