

<p>Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.</p>
--

# Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or  
institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic  
reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or  
posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## Principal Functions of the Non-Selfadjoint Operator Generated by System of Differential Equations <sup>1</sup>

*Elgiz Bairomov \* and Esra Kir \*\**

*Presented by Bl. Sendov*

In this article, we have defined an operator  $L$  by system of differential equations of the first order in the space  $L_2(0, \infty; \mathbb{C}_2)$ . Discussing the principal functions of  $L$ , we have proved that the principal functions of  $L$  corresponding to the eigenvalues are in  $L_2(0, \infty; \mathbb{C}_2)$  and the principal functions corresponding to the spectral singularities are in another Hilbert space that contains  $L_2(0, \infty; \mathbb{C}_2)$ .

AMS Subj. Classification: 47A10

Key Words: non-selfadjoint operator, system of differential equations, principal functions, spectral singularities

### 1. Introduction and preleminaries

The spectral analysis of the non-selfadjoint differential operators with continuous and point spectrum has been investigated by Naimark [9]. He has proved that some of the poles of the resolvent are not the eigenvalues of the operator and also shown that they are on the continuous spectrum. In [12] these points are called spectral singularities. So, in [9], it has been shown that spectral singularities have a special role in the spectral analysis of an operator. In [7], the properties of the principal functions corresponding to the spectral singularities are studied and the effect of the spectral singularities to the spectral expansion is investigated. Some problems of the spectral analysis of non-selfadjoint operators with spectral singularities are studied in [1] – [3], [5], [8].

Let us consider the non-selfadjoint operator  $L$  generated in  $L_2(0, \infty; \mathbb{C}_2)$  by the system of differential equations

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} i \frac{d}{dx} u_1(x, \lambda) + q_1(x) u_2(x, \lambda) &= \lambda u_1(x, \lambda) \\ -i \frac{d}{dx} u_2(x, \lambda) + q_2(x) u_1(x, \lambda) &= \lambda u_2(x, \lambda) \end{aligned} \quad x \in [0, \infty)$$

<sup>1</sup>The first author would like to thank Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey for the financial support

and the boundary condition

$$(2) \quad u_2(0, \lambda) - h u_1(0, \lambda) = 0,$$

where  $q_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are complex valued functions,  $h$  is a complex number and

$$L_2(0, \infty; \mathbb{C}_2) := \left\{ f : f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}, \int_0^\infty \{ |f_1(x)|^2 + |f_2(x)|^2 \} dx < \infty \right\}.$$

By applying the transformation of

$$y_1(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} [u_1(x, \lambda) + u_2(x, \lambda)], \quad y_2(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2i} [u_2(x, \lambda) - u_1(x, \lambda)]$$

to the system (1) we can see that it has become the following

$$(3) \quad B \frac{d}{dx} y(x, \lambda) + \Omega(x) y(x, \lambda) = \lambda y(x, \lambda),$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1(x, \lambda) \\ y_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega(x) = \begin{pmatrix} p(x) & q(x) \\ q(x) & -p(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{2} [q_1(x) + q_2(x)], \quad q(x) = \frac{i}{2} [q_1(x) - q_2(x)]$$

The equation (3) is called the canonical Dirac system.

Assume that,

$$(4) \quad |q_i(x)| \leq c \exp(-\epsilon \sqrt{x}), \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad i = 1, 2$$

where  $c > 0$  is constant.

This paper is a continuation of [1] and we use the notation therein.

Let us consider the following vector solutions of the equation (1)

$$(5) \quad e^{(1)}(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^{(1)}(x, \lambda) \\ e_2^{(1)}(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\lambda x} + e^{-i\lambda x} \int_0^\infty H_{11}(x, x+t) e^{-i\lambda t} dt \\ e^{-i\lambda x} \int_0^\infty H_{21}(x, x+t) e^{-i\lambda t} dt \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(6) \quad e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \\ e_2^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\lambda x} \int_0^\infty H_{12}(x, x+t) e^{i\lambda t} dt \\ e^{i\lambda x} + e^{i\lambda x} \int_0^\infty H_{22}(x, x+t) e^{i\lambda t} dt \end{pmatrix},$$

where the matrix function

$$H(x, t) = \begin{pmatrix} H_{11}(x, t) & , & H_{12}(x, t) \\ H_{21}(x, t) & , & H_{22}(x, t) \end{pmatrix}$$

has the role of a kernel of the operator transformation in the quantum scattering theory ([1], [11]).

The solution  $e^{(1)}(x, \lambda)$  is analytic with respect to  $\lambda$  in lower half-plane ( $\text{Im} \lambda < 0$ ) and continuous up to the real axis and  $e^{(2)}(x, \lambda)$  is analytic in upper half-plane and continuous up to the real axis ([11]).

It is obvious that under the condition (4), the following inequality hold ([1]):

$$(7) \quad |H_{ij}(x, t)| \leq c \exp \left\{ -\epsilon \sqrt{\frac{x+t}{2}} \right\}, \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2$$

where  $c > 0$  is a constant.

Let us define the following functions:

$$D_+(\lambda) = e_2^{(2)}(0, \lambda) - h e_1^{(2)}(0, \lambda)$$

$$D_-(\lambda) = e_2^{(1)}(0, \lambda) - h e_1^{(1)}(0, \lambda).$$

Also,  $\sigma_d(L)$  and  $\sigma_{ss}(L)$  will denote the eigenvalues and the spectral singularities of the operator  $L$ , respectively.

**Theorem 1.1.** ([1]).

$$a) \sigma_d(L) = \{\lambda : \text{Im} \lambda > 0, \quad D_+(\lambda) = 0\} \cup \{\lambda : \text{Im} \lambda < 0, \quad D_-(\lambda) = 0, \}$$

$$b) \sigma_{ss}(L) = \{\lambda : \text{Im} \lambda = 0, \quad D_+(\lambda) = 0\} \cup \{\lambda : \text{Im} \lambda = 0, \quad D_-(\lambda) = 0\}.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** ([1]). *The operator  $L$  has a finite number of eigenvalues and spectral singularities and each of them is of finite multiplicity, if condition (4) holds.*

Let

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(x, \lambda) \\ \varphi_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

be the solution of the equation (1) subject to the initial conditions

$$\varphi_1(0, \lambda) = 1, \quad \varphi_2(0, \lambda) = h.$$

The solution

$$\varphi(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(x, \lambda) \\ \varphi_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

exists, is unique and an entire function of  $\lambda$  ([10]).

## 2. Principal functions

Let us express the system (1) as

$$(8) \quad \left[ J \frac{d}{dx} + Q(x) - \lambda \right] u(x, \lambda) = 0,$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_1(x) \\ q_2(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1(x, \lambda) \\ u_2(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define

$$(9) \quad W[y^{(1)}(x, \lambda), y^{(2)}(x, \lambda)] = y_1^{(1)}(0, \lambda) y_2^{(2)}(0, \lambda) - y_2^{(1)}(0, \lambda) y_1^{(2)}(0, \lambda)$$

as the Wronskian of

$$y^{(1)}(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(1)}(x, \lambda) \\ y_2^{(1)}(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad y^{(2)}(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \\ y_2^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

which are the solutions of (8) (or of the system (1)). It is obvious from (9) that

$$(10) \quad W[\varphi(x, \lambda), e^{(2)}(x, \lambda)] = D_+(\lambda), \quad W[\varphi(x, \lambda), e^{(1)}(x, \lambda)] = D_-(\lambda).$$

In the following we use the notations

$$\mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty), \quad \mathbf{C}_+ = \{\lambda : \operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0\}, \quad \mathbf{C}_- = \{\lambda : \operatorname{Im} \lambda < 0\}.$$

Let  $\lambda_1^+, \dots, \lambda_j^+$  and  $\mu_1^-, \dots, \mu_\ell^-$  denote the zeros of  $D_+$  in  $\mathbf{C}_+$  and  $D_-$  in  $\mathbf{C}_-$  (i.e. the eigenvalues of  $L$ ) with multiplicity  $m_1^+, \dots, m_j^+$  and  $m_1^-, \dots, m_\ell^-$ , respectively. Similarly, let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\alpha$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\nu$  be the zeros of  $D_+$  and  $D_-$  on the real axis (i.e. the spectral singularities of  $L$ ) with multiplicities  $m_1, \dots, m_\alpha$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_\nu$ , respectively. It is trivial that from (10)

$$(11) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} W[\varphi(x, \lambda), e^{(2)}(x, \lambda)] \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} = \left\{ \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} D_+(\lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} = 0$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k^+ - 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$  and

$$(12) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \lambda^p} W [\varphi(x, \lambda), e^{(1)}(x, \lambda)] \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i^-} = \left\{ \frac{d^p}{d\lambda^p} D_-(\lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i^-} = 0$$

for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, m_i^- - 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ . If  $n = p = 0$  we get

$$(13) \quad \varphi(x, \lambda_k^+) = a_0(\lambda_k^+) e^{(2)}(x, \lambda_k^+), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, j$$

and

$$(14) \quad \varphi(x, \mu_i^-) = b_0(\mu_i^-) e^{(1)}(x, \mu_i^-), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell.$$

So  $a_0(\lambda_k^+) \neq 0$  and  $b_0(\mu_i^-) \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The formulas*

$$(15) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} a_{n-i} \left\{ \frac{\partial^i}{\partial \lambda^i} e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+},$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k^+ - 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, j$  and

$$(16) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \lambda^p} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i^-} = \sum_{j=0}^p \binom{p}{j} b_{p-j} \left\{ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \lambda^j} e^{(1)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i^-},$$

for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, m_i^- - 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$  hold, where the constants  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  and  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_p$  depend on  $\lambda_k^+$  and  $\mu_i^-$ , respectively.

**Proof.** Let us start with (15). We use mathematical induction. For  $n = 0$  the proof is trivial from (13). Now let us prove (15) for  $n = 1$ . It is obvious from (11) that

$$(17) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} W [\varphi(x, \lambda), e^{(2)}(x, \lambda)] \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} = \left\{ \frac{d}{d\lambda} D_+(\lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} = 0.$$

If  $u(x, \lambda)$  is a solution of (8), then

$$(18) \quad \left\{ J \frac{d}{dx} + Q(x) - \lambda \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} u(x, \lambda) = u(x, \lambda)$$

holds. Using (18), we have

$$(19) \quad \left\{ J \frac{d}{dx} + Q(x) - \lambda_k^+ \right\} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} = \varphi(x, \lambda_k^+)$$

$$(20) \quad \left\{ J \frac{d}{dx} + Q(x) - \lambda_k^+ \right\} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} = e^{(2)}(x, \lambda_k^+).$$

From (13), (19) and (20) we get

$$\left\{ J \frac{d}{dx} + Q(x) - \lambda_k^+ \right\} f_1(x, \lambda_k^+) = 0,$$

where

$$f_1(x, \lambda_k^+) = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} - a_0(\lambda_k^+) \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+}.$$

By (17) we obtain

$$W \left[ f_1(x, \lambda_k^+), e^{(2)}(x, \lambda_k^+) \right] = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} W \left[ \varphi(x, \lambda), e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right] \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} = 0.$$

Hence there exist a constant  $a_1(\lambda_k^+)$  such that

$$f_1(x, \lambda_k^+) = a_1(\lambda_k^+) e^{(2)}(x, \lambda_k^+),$$

or

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} = a_1(\lambda_k^+) e^{(2)}(x, \lambda_k^+) + a_0(\lambda_k^+) \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+}.$$

Thus (15) holds for  $n = 1$ .

Let us assume that for  $2 \leq n_0 \leq m_k^+ - 2$  the equality (15) holds; i.e.

$$(21) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^{n_0}}{\partial \lambda^{n_0}} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \binom{n_0}{i} a_{n_0-i}(\lambda_k^+) \left\{ \frac{\partial^i}{\partial \lambda^i} e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+}.$$

Now we prove that (15) holds for  $n_0 + 1$ , too. If  $u(x, \lambda)$  is a solution of (8), then  $\frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} u(x, \lambda)$  satisfies

$$(22) \quad \left\{ J \frac{d}{dx} + Q(x) - \lambda \right\} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} u(x, \lambda) = n \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{\partial \lambda^{n-1}} u(x, \lambda).$$

Writting (22) for  $\varphi(x, \lambda_k^+)$  and  $e^{(2)}(x, \lambda_k^+)$ , and then using (21), we find

$$\left\{ J \frac{d}{dx} + Q(x) - \lambda_k^+ \right\} f_{n_0+1}(x, \lambda_k^+) = 0,$$

where

$$f_{n_0+1}(x, \lambda_k^+) = \left\{ \frac{\partial^{n_0+1}}{\partial \lambda^{n_0+1}} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} - \sum_{i=0}^{n_0+1} \binom{n_0+1}{i} a_{n_0+1-i}(\lambda_k^+) \left\{ \frac{\partial^i}{\partial \lambda^i} e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+}.$$

From (11) we have

$$W \left[ f_{n_0+1}(x, \lambda_k^+), e^{(2)}(x, \lambda_k^+) \right] = \left\{ \frac{\partial^{n_0+1}}{\partial \lambda^{n_0+1}} W \left[ \varphi(x, \lambda), e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right] \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} = 0.$$

Hence there exists a constant  $a_{n_0+1}(\lambda_k^+)$  such that

$$f_{n_0+1}(x, \lambda_k^+) = a_{n_0+1}(\lambda_k^+) e^{(2)}(x, \lambda_k^+).$$

This shows that (15) holds for  $n = n_0 + 1$ . In a similar way we can prove that (16) also holds.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 2.2.**

$$(23) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} \varphi(\cdot, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} \in L_2(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k^+ - 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, j$$

$$(24) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \lambda^p} \varphi(\cdot, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i^-} \in L_2(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, m_i^- - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell.$$

**Proof.** From (6) we have

$$(25) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \int_0^\infty [i(x+t)]^n H_{12}(x, x+t) \exp[i\lambda_k^+(x+t)] dt \\ (ix)^n \exp(i\lambda_k^+ x) + \int_0^\infty [i(x+t)]^n H_{22}(x, x+t) \exp[i\lambda_k^+(x+t)] dt \end{array} \right)$$



for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k^+ - 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, j$ . Using (7) and (25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} e_1^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} \right| &= \left| \int_0^\infty [i(x+t)]^n H_{12}(x, x+t) \exp [i\lambda_k^+(x+t)] dt \right| \\
 &\leq \int_0^\infty (x+t)^n |H_{12}(x, x+t)| \exp \{-(x+t) \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k^+\} dt \\
 &\leq c \int_0^\infty (x+t)^n \exp \{-(x+t) \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k^+\} \exp \left\{ -\epsilon \sqrt{\frac{2x+t}{2}} \right\} dt \\
 &\leq c \exp(-\epsilon\sqrt{x}) \int_0^\infty (x+t)^n \exp \{-(x+t) \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k^+\} dt \\
 (26) \quad &\leq c \exp(-\epsilon\sqrt{x}) \int_0^\infty t^n \exp \{-t \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k^+\} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\operatorname{Im} \lambda_k^+ > 0$ , then we have

$$(27) \quad \int_0^\infty t^n \exp \{-t \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k^+\} dt < \infty.$$

From (26) and (27) we get

$$(28) \quad \left| \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} e_1^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} \right| < c_1 \exp(-\epsilon\sqrt{x})$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k^+ - 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, j$ , where  $c_1 > 0$  is a constant. In a similar way we can show that

$$(29) \quad \left| \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} e_2^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} \right| \leq x^n \exp(-x \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k^+) + c_2 \exp(-\epsilon\sqrt{x})$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k^+ - 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, j$ , where  $c_2 > 0$  is a constant.

By (25), (28) and (29) we obtain

$$\left\| \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} e^{(2)}(., \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} \right\|_{L_2(0, \infty; \mathbb{C}_2)}^2 = \int_0^\infty \left| \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} \right|^2 dx$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \left\{ \left| \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} e_1^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} \right|^2 + \left| \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} e_2^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} \right|^2 \right\} dx < \infty,$$

or

$$(30) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} e^{(2)}(\cdot, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+} \in L_2(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2)$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k^+ - 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, j$ . Using (15) and (30) we arrive to (23). (24) may be derived analogously. ■

$$\varphi(x, \lambda_k^+), \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+}, \dots, \left\{ \frac{\partial^{m_k^+-1}}{\partial \lambda^{m_k^+-1}} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+}$$

and

$$\varphi(x, \mu_i^-), \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i^-}, \dots, \left\{ \frac{\partial^{m_i^--1}}{\partial \lambda^{m_i^--1}} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i^-}$$

are called the principal functions corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\lambda = \lambda_k^+$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, j$  and  $\lambda = \mu_i^-$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$  of  $L$ , respectively. In the above,  $\varphi(x, \lambda_k^+)$  and  $\varphi(x, \mu_i^-)$  are eigenfunctions;

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+}, \dots, \left\{ \frac{\partial^{m_k^+-1}}{\partial \lambda^{m_k^+-1}} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k^+}$$

are the associated functions of  $\varphi(x, \lambda_k^+)$  and

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i^-}, \dots, \left\{ \frac{\partial^{m_i^--1}}{\partial \lambda^{m_i^--1}} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i^-}$$

are the associated functions of  $\varphi(x, \mu_i^-)$  ([6]).

If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\alpha$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\nu$  are spectral singularities of  $L$  (i.e. the real zeros of  $D_+$  and  $D_-$ ), then we obtain

$$(31) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} W \left[ \varphi(x, \lambda), e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right] \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k} = \left\{ \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} D_+(\lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k} = 0$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$ ,

$$(32) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \lambda^p} W \left[ \varphi(x, \lambda), e^{(1)}(x, \lambda) \right] \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i} = \left\{ \frac{d^p}{d\lambda^p} D_-(\lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i} = 0$$

for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, v$ . Using (31) and (32) similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have the following remark.

**Remark 2.3.** The formulas

$$(33) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k} = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} d_{n-i}(\lambda_k) \left\{ \frac{\partial^i}{\partial \lambda^i} e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k}$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$ , and

$$(34) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \lambda^p} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i} = \sum_{j=0}^p \binom{p}{j} g_{p-j}(\mu_i) \left\{ \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \lambda^j} e^{(1)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i}$$

for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, v$  hold.

**Lemma 2.4.**

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} \varphi(\cdot, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k} \notin L_2(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha,$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \lambda^p} \varphi(\cdot, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i} \notin L_2(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2), \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, v.$$

**Proof.** May be easily obtained from (33), (34) and

$$(35) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} e^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k} = \begin{pmatrix} \int_x^\infty (it)^n H_{12}(x, t) \exp(i\lambda_k t) dt \\ (ix)^n \exp(i\lambda_k x) + \int_x^\infty (it)^n H_{22}(x, t) \exp(i\lambda_k t) dt \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(36) \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \lambda^p} e^{(1)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i} = \begin{pmatrix} (-ix)^p \exp(-i\mu_i x) + \int_x^\infty (-it)^p H_{11}(x, t) \exp(-i\mu_i t) dt \\ \int_x^\infty (-it)^p H_{21}(x, t) \exp(-i\mu_i t) dt \end{pmatrix}$$

Now let us introduce the Hilbert spaces:

$$H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, m) = \left\{ f : f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}, \int_0^\infty (1+x)^{2m} \left\{ |f_1(x)|^2 + |f_2(x)|^2 \right\} dx < \infty \right\}$$

$$H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, -m) = \left\{ g : g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix}, \int_0^\infty (1+x)^{-2m} \left\{ |g_1(x)|^2 + |g_2(x)|^2 \right\} dx < \infty \right\}$$

with

$$\|f\|_{H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, m)}^2 = \int_0^\infty (1+x)^{2m} \left\{ |f_1(x)|^2 + |f_2(x)|^2 \right\} dx,$$

$$\|g\|_{H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, -m)}^2 = \int_0^\infty (1+x)^{-2m} \left\{ |g_1(x)|^2 + |g_2(x)|^2 \right\} dx,$$

respectively. It is evident that

$$H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, 0) = L_2(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2),$$

$$H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, m) \subsetneq L_2(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2) \subsetneq H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, -m), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $H'(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, m)$  denote the dual of  $H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, m)$ , which is isomorphic to  $H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, -m)$  ([4]). So for every functional  $F \in H'(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, m)$  there is a function  $f^*$  belonging to  $H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, -m)$  such that

$$F(f) = \int_0^\infty f(x) f^*(x) dx$$

for all  $f \in H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, m)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.**

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} \varphi(\cdot, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k} \in H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, -(n+1)), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha,$$

(37)

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \lambda^p} \varphi(\cdot, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i} \in H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, -(p+1)), \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, v.$$

(38)

**Proof.** From (35) we have

$$(39) \quad \left| \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} e_1^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k} \right| \leq \int_x^\infty t^n |H_{12}(x, t)| dt$$

$$(40) \quad \left| \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} e_2^{(2)}(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k} \right| \leq x^n + \int_x^\infty t^n |H_{22}(x, t)| dt$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$ .

By the definition of the space  $H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, -(n+1))$  and using (39), (40) we arrive to (37). In a similar way we can show that (38) also holds. ■

$$\varphi(x, \lambda_k), \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k}, \dots, \left\{ \frac{\partial^{m_k-1}}{\partial \lambda^{m_k-1}} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k}$$

and

$$\varphi(x, \mu_i), \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i}, \dots, \left\{ \frac{\partial^{n_i-1}}{\partial \lambda^{n_i-1}} \varphi(x, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i}$$

are called the principal functions corresponding to the spectral singularities  $\lambda = \lambda_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$  and  $\lambda = \mu_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, v$  of  $L$ , respectively.

Let us choose  $m_0$  so that

$$m_0 = \max \{m_1, \dots, m_\alpha, n_1, \dots, n_v\}.$$

If we take

$$H_{m_0} = H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, m_0 + 1), \quad H_{-m_0} = H(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2, -(m_0 + 1)),$$

then

$$H_{m_0} \subsetneq L_2(0, \infty; \mathbf{C}_2) \subsetneq_{neq} H_{-m_0},$$

From Theorem 2.5 we have the following remark.

**Remark 2.6.**

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} \varphi(\cdot, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\lambda_k} \in H_{-m_0}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha,$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^p}{\partial \lambda^p} \varphi(\cdot, \lambda) \right\}_{\lambda=\mu_i} \in H_{-m_0}, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, v.$$

Spectral expansion in term of the principal functions of  $L$  will be examined in a different work.

## References

- [1] Ö. A k i n, E. B a i r a m o v, On the structure of discrete spectrum of the non-selfadjoint system of differential equations in the first order, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **32**, No 3 (1995), 401-413.
- [2] E. B a i r a m o v, O. Ç e l e b i, Spectral analysis of non-selfadjoint Schrödinger operator with spectral parameter in boundary condition. *Preprint M.E.T.U.* **106/95**, Ankara (1995), 1-33.
- [3] E. B a i r a m o v, O. Ç e l e b i. Spectral properties of the Klein-Gordon  $s$ -wave equation with complex potential. Subm. to: *Indian J. of Pure and Appl. Math.* (1996).
- [4] Yu.M. B e r e z a n s k i, *Expansion in Eigenfunctions of Selfadjoint Operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence R.I. (1968).
- [5] M.G. G a s y m o v, F.G. M a k s u d o v, The principal part of the resolvent of non-selfadjoint operators in neighbourhood of spectral singularities, *Functional Anal. Appl.* **6** (1972), 185-192.
- [6] M.V. K e l d y s h, On the completeness of the eigenfunctions of some classes of non-selfadjoint linear operators, *Russian Math. Surveys* **26** (1971), 15-44.
- [7] V.E. L y a n c e, A differential operator with spectral singularities I, II, *AMS Translations (2)* **60** (1967), 185-225, 227-283.
- [8] F.G. M a k s u d o v, B.P. A l l a k h v e r d i e v, Spectral analysis of a new class of non-selfadjoint operators with continuous and point spectrum, —it Soviet Math. Dokl. **30** (1984), 566-569.
- [9] M.A. N a i m a r k, Investigation of the spectrum and the expansion in eigenfunctions of a non-selfadjoint operator of the second order on a semi-axis, *AMS Translations (2)* **16** (1960), 103-193.
- [10] M.A. N a i m a r k, *Linear Differential Operators II*, Ungar, N. York (1968).
- [11] L.P. N i z h n i k, F a m - L o y - V y, An inverse scattering problem on the semi-axis with a non-selfadjoint potential matrix, *Ukraynian Math. J.* **26**, 469-487 (1974).
- [12] J.T. S c h w a r t z, Some non-selfadjoint operators, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.* **13**, 609-639 (1960).

*\* Ankara University, Dept. of Mathematics  
06100 Beşevler Ankara - TURKEY*

*\*\* Gazi University, Dept. of Mathematics  
06500 Teknikokullar Ankara - TURKEY*