

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## On Some Fixed Point Theorems for Compatible Mappings

*Valeriu Popa*

*Presented by P. Kenderov*

In this paper, first we present a general common fixed point theorem for four compatible mappings, which extend the results of Jungck and Rhoades [2] and Telci, Tas and Fisher [5]–[7]. Secondly, we extend our result for sequences of mappings.

*AMS Subj. Classification:* 54H25

*Key words:* weakly commuting mappings, compatible mappings, fixed point

\*

Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two self mappings of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Sessa [3] defines  $S$  and  $T$  to be weakly commuting if  $d(STx, TSx) \leq d(Tx, Sx)$  for all  $x$  in  $X$ . Jungck [1] defines  $S$  and  $T$  to be compatible if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = x$  for some  $x \in X$ . Clearly, commuting mappings are weakly commuting and weakly commuting are compatible, but neither implications is reversible. (Ex.1, [4] and Ex.2.2, [1]).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be the self mappings of the set  $X = \{x, x'\}$  with any metric  $d$ . If the range of  $g$  contains the range of  $f$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1)  $f$  and  $g$  commute,
- 2)  $f$  and  $g$  weakly commute,
- 3)  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible.

By Lemma 1 we suppose that  $X$  contains at least three points.

**Lemma 2.** ([1]) *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be compatible self mappings on a metric space  $(X, d)$ . If  $f(t) = g(t)$ , then  $fg(t) = gf(t)$ .*

The following theorem is proved in [2].

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\{S, I\}$  and  $\{T, J\}$  be two pairs of compatible self mappings of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  such that

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq g(d(Ix, Ty), d(Ix, Sx), d(Jy, Ty)) \quad (1)$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ , where  $g : R_+^3 \rightarrow R_+$  is continuous and satisfies:

- (i)  $g(1, 1, 1) = h < 1$  and
- (ii) whenever  $u, v \geq 0$  and either  $u \leq g(u, v, v)$ ,  $u \leq g(v, u, v)$  or  $u \leq g(v, v, u)$ , then  $u \leq h.v$ .

If  $T(X) \subset I(X)$ ,  $S(X) \subset J(X)$  and if one of  $I, J, S$  or  $T$  is continuous, then  $I, J, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point  $z$ . Further,  $z$  is unique common fixed point of  $I$  and  $S$  and of  $J$  and  $T$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the set of real upper semi-continuous functions  $g(t_1, \dots, t_5) : R_+^5 \rightarrow R_+$  satisfying the following conditions:

$H_1$ :  $g$  is non-decreasing in variables  $t_4$  and  $t_5$ ,

$H_2$ :  $g(u, 0, 0, u, u) < u, \forall u > 0$ ,

$H_3$ : there exists  $0 \leq h < 1$  such that for every  $u, v \geq 0$  with

$H_a$ :  $u \leq g(v, v, u, u + v, 0)$  or

$H_b$ :  $u \leq g(v, u, v, 0, u + v)$ ,

we have  $u \leq h.v$ .

**Example 1.**  $g(t_1, \dots, t_5) = a \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, \frac{1}{2}(t_4 + t_5), b\sqrt{t_4 \cdot t_5}\}$ , where  $0 \leq a < 1, b \geq 0$  and  $a.b < 1$ .

$H_1$ : Obviously.

$H_2$ :  $g(u, 0, 0, u, u) = a \cdot \max\{u, bu\} = ab.u < u$  for  $u > 0$ .

$H_3$ :  $g$  satisfies  $(H_3)$  with  $h = a$ .

**Example 2.**  $g(t_1, \dots, t_5) = [c_1 \cdot \max\{t_1^2, t_2^2, t_3^2\} + c_2 \cdot \max\{t_2 t_4, t_3 t_5\} + c_3 \cdot t_4 t_5]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , where  $c_1 > 0, c_2, c_3 \geq 0, c_1 + 2c_2 < 1$  and  $c_1 + c_3 < 1$ .

$H_1$ : Obviously.

$H_2$ :  $g(u, 0, 0, u, u) = \sqrt{c_1 + c_3} \cdot u < u$  for  $u > 0$ .

$H_3$ : If  $u \leq g(v, v, u, u + v, 0)$  then  $u^2 \leq c_1 \cdot \max\{u^2, v^2\} + c_2 \cdot v(u + v)$ . If  $u \geq v$  then  $u^2 \leq (c_1 + 2c_2)u^2 < u^2$  for  $u > 0$ , a contradiction. Then  $u < v$ . Thus there exists  $h_1 \in [0, 1)$  such that  $u \leq h_1 v$ . Similarly, if  $u \leq g(v, u, v, 0, u + v)$  there exists  $h_2 \in [0, 1)$  such that  $u \leq h_2 v$ . Therefore,  $u \leq h.v$ , where  $h = \max\{h_1, h_2\} < 1$ .

**Example 3.**

$$g(t_1, \dots, t_5) = \frac{p \cdot \max\{t_2 \cdot t_3, t_4 \cdot t_5\} + f(\max\{t_1, t_2, t_3, 1/2 \cdot (t_4 + t_5)\})}{1 + pt_1},$$

where  $p \geq 0$  and  $f : R_+^5 \rightarrow R_+$ , non-decreasing and upper-continuous with  $f(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ .

$H_1$ : Obviously.

$$H_2: g(u, 0, 0, u, u) = \frac{pu^2+f(u)}{1+pu} < \frac{pu^2+u}{1+pu} = u \text{ for } u > 0.$$

$H_3$ : If  $u \leq g(v, v, u, u + v, 0)$ , then  $u \leq \frac{puv+f(\max\{u,v,\frac{1}{2}(u+v)\})}{1+pv}$ . If  $u \geq v$ , then  $u \leq \frac{puv+f(u)}{1+pv} < \frac{puv+u}{1+v} = u$  a contradiction. Then  $u < v$ . Thus there exists  $h_1 \in [0, 1)$  such that  $u \leq h_1v$ . Similarly if  $u \leq g(v, u, v, 0, u + v)$  there exists  $h_2 \in [0, 1)$  such that  $u \leq h_2v$ . Therefore,  $u \leq h.v$ , where  $h = \max\{h_1, h_2\} < 1$ .

Remark . There exists function  $g \in \mathcal{H}$  which is decreasing in variables  $t_2$  or  $t_3$ .

Example 4.

$$g(t_1, \dots, t_5) = [at_1^2 + \frac{bt_4t_5}{t_2^2 + t_3^2 + 1}]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $a > 0, b \geq 0$  and  $a + b < 1$ .

$H_1$ : Obviously.  $g$  is decreasing in variables  $t_2$  and  $t_3$ .

$$H_2: g(u, 0, 0, u, u) = \sqrt{(a+b)u} < u \text{ for } u > 0.$$

$H_3$ : If  $u \leq g(v, v, u, u + v, 0)$ , then  $u^2 \leq a.v^2$  and  $u \leq a^{\frac{1}{2}}.v = h.v$ , where  $h = a^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1$ . If  $u \leq g(v, u, v, 0, u + v)$ , then  $u \leq h.v$ , where  $h = a^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1$ .

The following theorems are recently proved.

**Theorem 2.** ([6]) *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be self mappings of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying the inequality*

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq a \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Sx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx)), b \sqrt{d(x, Ty).d(y, Sx)} \right\}$$

for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ , where  $0 \leq a < 1$  and  $b \geq 0$ . Then  $S$  and  $T$  have a common fixed point. Further, if  $a.b < 1$ , then the fixed point is unique.

**Theorem 3.** ([7]) *Let  $S, T, I$  and  $J$  self mappings a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying the conditions:*

- 1<sup>o</sup>  $T(X) \subset I(X)$  and  $S(X) \subset J(X)$ ,
- 2<sup>o</sup> One of  $S, T, I$  and  $J$  is continuous,
- 3<sup>o</sup>  $S$  and  $T$  weakly commute with  $I$  and  $J$ , respectively,
- 4<sup>o</sup> The inequality

$$[I + p.d(Ix, Jy)]d(Sx, Ty)$$

$$\leq p. \max\{d(Ix, Sx).d(Jy, Ty), d(Ix, Ty).d(Jy, Sx)\} \\ + g(\max\{d(Ix, Jy), d(Ix, Sx), d(Jy, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(Ix, Ty) + d(Jy, Sx))\})$$

holds for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ , where  $p \geq 0$  and  $g : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ , nondecreasing and upper semi-continuous with  $g(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ , then  $S, T, I$  and  $J$  have a common fixed point  $z$ . Further,  $z$  is the unique common fixed point for  $S$  and  $I$  and  $T$  and  $J$ .

**Theorem 4.** ([5]) Let  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  be self mappings of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  such that

- a)  $A(X) \subset T(X)$  and  $B(X) \subset S(X)$ ,
- b) One of  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  is continuous,
- c)  $A$  and  $B$  are compatible with  $S$  and  $T$ , respectively,
- d) The inequality

$$[d(Ax, By)]^2 \leq c_1. \max\{d^2(Sx, Ty), d^2(Sx, Ax), d^2(Ty, By)\}$$

$$+ c_2. \max\{d(Sx, Ax)d(Sx, By), d(Ax, Ty)d(By, Ty)\} + c_3d(Sx, By)d(Ty, Ax)$$

holds for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ , where  $c_1 > 0, c_2, c_3 \geq 0, c_1 + 2c_2 < 1$  and  $c_1 + c_3 < 1$ , then  $A, B, S$  and  $T$  have common fixed point  $z$ . Further,  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A$  and  $S$  and of  $B$  and  $T$ .

The purpose of this paper is to prove some theorems which generalize Theorems 1-4 for compatible mappings.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $S, T, I$  and  $J$  be mappings from a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself satisfying the conditions:

- a)  $S(X) \subset J(X)$  and  $T(X) \subset I(X)$ ,
- b) One of  $S, T, I$  and  $J$  is continuous,
- c)  $S$  and  $I$  as well  $T$  and  $J$  are compatible,
- d) The inequality

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq g(d(Ix, Jy), d(Ix, Sx), d(Jy, Ty), d(Ix, Ty), d(Jy, Sx)) \quad (2)$$

holds for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ , where  $g \in \mathcal{H}$ , then  $S, T, I$  and  $J$  have a common fixed point  $z$ . Further,  $z$  is unique common fixed point of  $S$  and  $I$  and of  $T$  and  $J$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $x_0$  an arbitrary point in  $X$ . Then since (a) holds, we can define a sequence

$$\{Sx_0, Tx_1, Sx_2, Tx_3, \dots, Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, \dots\} \quad (3)$$

inductively, such that  $Sx_{2n} = Jx_{2n+1}$ ,  $Tx_{2n+1} = Ix_{2n+2}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Using inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) &\leq g(d(Ix_{2n}, Jx_{2n+1}), \\ &d(Ix_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(Jx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(Ix_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(Jx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})) \\ &\leq g(d(Tx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}), d(Tx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}), d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), \\ &d(Tx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}) + d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), 0). \end{aligned}$$

By  $(H_a)$  we have

$$d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \leq h.d(Tx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}).$$

Similarly, by  $(H_b)$  we have

$$d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) \leq h.d(Sx_{2n-2}, Sx_{2n})$$

and so

$$d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \leq (h)^{2n}.d(Sx_0, Tx_1) \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By a routine calculation it follows that the sequence (3) is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete, the sequence (3) converge to a point  $z$  in  $X$ . Hence  $z$  is also the limit of the sequence  $\{Sx_{2n}\} = \{Jx_{2n+1}\}$  and  $\{Tx_{2n-1}\} = \{Ix_{2n}\}$  of (3).

Let us now suppose that  $I$  is continuous, so that the sequence  $\{IS_{2n}\}$  converge to  $Iz$ . We have

$$d(SIx_{2n}, Iz) \leq d(SIx_{2n}, ISx_{2n}) + d(ISx_{2n}, Iz).$$

Since  $I$  is continuous and  $S$  and  $I$  are compatible, letting  $n$  tend to infinity it follows that the sequence  $\{SIx_{2n}\}$  also converge to  $Iz$ . Using (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(SIx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) &\leq g(d(I^2x_{2n}, Jx_{2n+1}), \\ &d(I^2x_{2n}, SIx_{2n}), d(Jx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(I^2x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(Jx_{2n+1}, SI(x_{2n})). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity and since  $g$  is upper semi-continuous, we have

$$d(Iz, z) \leq g(d(Iz, z), 0, 0, d(Iz, z), d(Iz, z)).$$

By  $(H_2)$  we have  $d(Iz, z) = 0$  and so  $Iz = z$ .

Further, by (2) we have

$$d(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}) \leq g(d(Iz, Jx_{2n+1}),$$

$$d(Iz, Sz), d(Jx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(Iz, Tx_{2n+1}), d(Jx_{2n+1}, Sz))$$

and letting  $n$  tend to infinity we have

$$d(Sz, z) \leq g(0, d(z, Sz), 0, 0, d(z, Sz))$$

which implies by  $(H_b)$  that  $z = Sz$ . This means that  $z$  is in the range of  $S$  and since  $S(X) \subset J(X)$ , there exists a point  $u$  in  $X$  such that  $Ju = z$ . Thus  $d(z, Tu) = d(Sz, Tu) \leq g(d(Iz, Ju), d(Iz, Sz), d(Ju, Tu), d(Iz, Tu), d(Ju, Sz)) = g(0, 0, d(z, Tu), d(z, Tu), 0)$  which implies by  $(H_a)$  that  $z = Tu$ . Since  $Ju = Tu = z$  by Lemma 2 it follows that  $TJu = JT u$  and so  $Tz = TJ u = JT u = Jz$ . Thus from (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, Tz) &= d(Sz, Tz) \\ &\leq g(d(Iz, Jz), d(Iz, Sz), d(Jz, Tz), d(Iz, Tz), d(Jz, Sz)) \\ &= g(d(z, Tz), 0, 0, d(z, Tz), d(z, Tz)) \end{aligned}$$

and by  $(H_2)$   $z = Tz = Jz$ . We have therefore proved that  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $S, T, I$  and  $J$ . The same result holds if we assume that  $J$  is continuous instead of  $I$ .

Now suppose that  $S$  is continuous. Then the sequence  $\{SIx_{2n}\}$  converges to  $Sz$ . We have

$$d(ISx_{2n}, Sz) \leq d(ISx_{2n}, SIx_{2n}) + d(SIx_{2n}, Sz).$$

Since  $S$  is continuous and  $S$  and  $T$  are compatible, letting  $n$  tend to infinity, it follows that  $\{ISx_{2n}\}$  converge to  $Sz$ . Using the inequality (2) we have

$$d(S^2x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \leq g(d(ISx_{2n}, Jx_{2n+1}),$$

$$d(ISx_{2n}, S^2x_{2n}), d(Jx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(ISx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), d(Jx_{2n+1}, S^2x_{2n})).$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity and since  $g$  is upper semi-continuous, we have

$$d(Sz, z) \leq g(d(Sz, z), 0, 0, d(Sz, z), d(Sz, z))$$

and by  $(H_2)$  we have  $d(Sz, z) = 0$  and so  $Sz = z$ . This means that  $z$  is in the range of  $S$  and since  $S(X) \subset J(X)$ , there exists a point  $u$  in  $X$  such that  $Ju = z$ . Thus

$$d(S^2x_{2n}, Tu)$$

$$\leq g(d(ISx_{2n}, Ju), d(ISx_{2n}, S^2x_{2n}), d(Ju, Tu), d(ISx_{2n}, Tu), d(Ju, S^2x_{2n})).$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity, it follows that

$$d(z, Tu) \leq g(0, 0, d(z, Tu), d(z, Tu), 0)$$

and by  $(H_a)$  it follows that  $z = Tu$ . Since  $Ju = Tu = z$  by Lemma 2 it follows that  $Tz = TJu = JT u = Jz$ .

Thus from (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(Sx_{2n}, Tz) \\ & \leq g(d(Ix_{2n}, Jz), d(Ix_{2n}, Sx_{2n}), d(Jz, Tz), d(Ix_{2n}, Tz), d(Jz, Sx_{2n})). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity, it follows that

$$d(z, Tz) \leq g(d(z, Tz), 0, 0, d(z, Tz), d(z, Tz))$$

and by  $(H_2)$  it follows that  $z = Tz = Jz$ . This means that  $z$  is in the range of  $T$  and since  $T(X) \subset I(x)$ , there exists  $u' \in X$  such that  $Iu' = z$ . Thus from (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(Su', z) = d(Su', Tz) \\ & \leq g(d(Iu', Jz), d(Iu', Su'), d(Jz, Tz), d(Iu', Tz), d(Jz, Su')). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$d(Su', z) \leq g(0, d(z, Su'), 0, 0, d(z, Su'))$$

and by  $(H_b)$  we have  $z = Su' = Iu'$ . Since  $Su' = Iu' = z$  by Lemma 2 it follows that  $z = Sz = SIu' = ISu' = Iz$ , and thus  $z = Iz$ . We have therefore proved that  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $S, T, I$  and  $J$ . The same result holds if we assume that  $T$  is continuous instead of  $S$ .

Now let  $w$  be a second common fixed point of  $S$  and  $I$ . Using inequality (2) we have

$$d(w, z) = d(Sw, Tz) \leq g(d(Iw, Jz), d(Iw, Sw), d(Jz, Tz), d(Iw, Tz), d(Jz, Sw))$$

and thus

$$d(w, z) \leq g(d(w, z), 0, 0, d(w, z), d(w, z))$$

and by  $(H_2)$  it follows that  $w = z$ . Then  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of  $S$  and  $I$ . Similarly, it is proved that  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of  $T$  and  $J$ . ■

For  $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  we denote  $F_f = \{x \in X : x = f(x)\}$ .



**Theorem 6.** Let  $I, J, S, T$  be mappings from a metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself. If the inequality (2) holds for all  $x, y$  in  $X$ , then

$$(F_I \cap F_J) \cap F_S = (F_I \cap F_J) \cap F_T.$$

**Proof.** Let  $x \in (F_I \cap F_J) \cap F_S$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, Tx) &= d(Sx, Tx) \\ &\leq g(d(Ix, Jx), d(Ix, Sx), d(Jx, Tx), d(Ix, Tx), d(Jx, Sx)) \\ &= g(0, 0, d(x, Tx), d(x, Tx), 0) \end{aligned}$$

which implies by  $(H_a)$  that  $x = Tx$ . Thus  $(F_I \cap F_J) \cap F_S \subset (F_I \cap F_J) \cap F_T$ . Similarly, we have by  $(H_b)$  that  $(F_I \cap F_J) \cap F_T \subset (F_I \cap F_J) \cap F_S$ . ■

**Theorem 7.** Let  $I, J$  and  $\{T_i\}_{i \in N^*}$  be mappings from a complete metric space into itself such that

- a)  $T_2(X) \subset I(X)$  and  $T_1(X) \subset J(X)$ ,
- b) One of  $I, J, T_1$  and  $T_2$  is continuous,
- c) The pairs  $(T_1, I)$  and  $(T_2, J)$  are compatible,
- d) The inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &d(T_i x, T_{i+1} y) \\ &\leq g(d(Ix, Jy), d(Ix, T_i x), d(Jy, T_{i+1} y), d(Ix, T_{i+1} y), d(Jy, T_i x)) \end{aligned}$$

holds for each  $x, y$  in  $X$ ,  $\forall i \in N^*$  and  $g \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Then  $I, J$  and  $\{T_i\}_{i \in N^*}$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof.** Follows from Theorems 5 and 6. ■

## References

- [1] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **9** (1986), 771-779.
- [2] G. Jungck and B. E. Rhoades, Some fixed point theorems for compatible maps, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, **16** (1993), 417-428.
- [3] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition in a fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, **32(46)** (1982), 149-153.
- [4] S. Sessa and B. Fisher, Common fixed point of weakly commuting mappings, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.*, **36** (1987), 341-349.

- [5] K. T a s, M. T e l c i and B. F i s h e r, Common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.*, **19** (1996), 451-456.
- [6] M. T e l c i, K. T a s and B. F i s h e r, A generalization of the fixed point theorem of Bholā and Sharma, *Math. Balkanica*, **9** (1995), 113-116.
- [7] M. T e l c i, K. T a s and B. F i s h e r, Common fixed point theorems for weakly commuting mappings (Submitted).

*Department of Mathematics-Physics*  
*University of Bacău*  
*5500 Bacău, ROMANIA*  
*e-mail: vpopa@vb.ro*

*Received: 07.06.1998*