

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## The Splitting Problem and the Direct Factor Problem in Modular Abelian Group Algebras

*Peter V. Danchev*

*Presented by P. Kenderov*

Let  $K$  be an algebraic closed field of prime characteristic  $p$  and  $G$  be a torsion abelian group. In this paper we demonstrate that the normalized unit group  $V(KG)$  of a group ring  $KG$  is a direct sum of countable groups, respectively is divisible or is algebraically compact if and only if the  $p$ -component  $G_p$  of  $G$  is identity. Besides,  $V(KG)$  is a splitting group and the quotient  $V(KG)/tV(KG)$  is being described, where  $tV(KG)$  is the torsion part in  $V(KG)$ . A necessary condition is also obtained, the complement  $V(KG)/tV(KG)$  to be divisible, i.e.  $V(KG)$  to be divisible modulo torsion.

Moreover, it is shown that if  $G$  is a direct sum of countables such that  $G/G_p$  is divisible, then  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(KG)$  with a direct sum of countables complementary factor. But if  $G/G_p$  is not divisible, then  $G$  is not a direct factor of  $V(KG)$ .

Finally, suppose that the arbitrary group  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}$ , any class of abelian groups such that  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(KG)$ . Then, each coproduct  $A = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} G_i$  so that  $G_i \in \mathcal{K}$  for all  $i \in I$ , is a direct factor of  $V(KA)$ . Thus in particular, it is proved that if  $G$  is a coproduct of torsion-complete  $p$ -groups or if  $G$  is a coproduct of  $p$ -mixed algebraically compact groups,  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(KG)$ .

*AMS Subj. Classification:* Primary 20C07; Secondary 20K10, 20K21

*Key Words:* splitting groups, direct factors, torsion-complete groups, algebraically compact groups

### 1. Introduction

Throughout the rest in this paper, let  $G$  be an abelian group with torsion subgroup  $tG$  and  $p$ -primary component  $G_p$ ,  $R$  be an unitary commutative ring of char  $R = p$ ,  $F$  be a field of char  $F = p > 0$  and  $K$  be an algebraic closed field with the same char  $K = p$ . Thus  $RG$ ,  $FG$  and  $KG$  are abelian group rings with 1, with normed unit groups  $V(RG)$ ,  $V(FG)$  and  $V(KG)$ , and  $p$ -components  $S(RG)$ ,  $S(FG)$  and  $S(KG)$ , respectively. All other notations from the abelian

group theory are in agreement with [6, 11], and these from the group algebra theory with [9, 10].

### 1.1. Direct Factor

In the theory of the group algebras, there exist *some open problems* (see [10], p.178 and p.184) for the direct factor, namely:

- (1) If  $G$  is  $p$ -torsion, then whether  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(FG)$ ?
- (2) If  $G$  is  $p$ -mixed (i.e.  $tG = G_p$ ), then whether  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(FG)$ ?
- (3) If  $G$  is torsion, then  $G$  is not however a direct factor of  $V(FG)$ . But of some interest is the question when the last is true, for some restrictions on  $G$  and  $F$ ?

In this paper, we study these phenomena.

### 1.2. Splitting

(1) If  $G$  is torsion-free, then by a classical result of Higman [10],  $V(FG) = G$ .

(2) If  $tG$  has only  $p$ -torsion, i.e. in other words  $G$  is  $p$ -mixed, then probably  $V(FG)$  splits if and only if  $G$  splits.

(\*)  $G$  splitting yields  $V(FG)$  splitting (see May [14], p.307), which is however trivial (see Proposition 1 below).

(\*\*) As we see, there exists a conjecture that  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(FG)$ . So, if this assertion is true and  $V(FG)$  splits, then  $G$  splits too.

(3) If  $G$  is torsion, then when  $V(FG)$  splits? In this article, we investigate this question.

## 2. Preliminary and main results

We start with the following necessary proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $G = G_p \times M$ . Then  $V(FG) \cong V(FM) \times S(FG)$ .*

*Proof.* Using the proposition from [1], we deduce  $V(FG) \cong V(FM) \times V((FM)G_p)$  and so,  $S(FG) \cong S(FM) \times S((FM)G_p)$ . But  $M_p = 1$  and hence  $FM$  is semisimple by the well-known classical Passman criterion for semisimplicity, [9]. Therefore  $FM$  has a trivial nilradical, immediately we detect  $S(FM) = 1$ , and  $S(FG) \cong S((FM)G_p) = V((FM)G_p)$  since  $\text{char } FM = p$ . Finally  $V(FG) \cong V(FM) \times S(FG)$ , which proves the formula. ■

Let  $\varepsilon_n$  be a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity in the algebraic closure  $\overline{F}$  of  $F$  and let  $U(F)$  be the multiplicative group of  $F$ . The following proposition holds.

**Proposition 2.** *Suppose that  $G$  is torsion and  $U(F(\varepsilon_n))$  is divisible modulo torsion, for each  $n$  which is an order of any element in  $G$ . Then  $V(FG)$  is divisible modulo torsion.*

**Proof.** By the above proposition,  $V(FG)/tV(FG) \cong V(FM)/tV(FM)$ . Now we need only to apply [17], and we are done. ■

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $G$  be torsion. Then  $V(KG)$  is divisible modulo torsion.*

**Proof.** The field  $K$  is algebraically closed. Thus,  $U(K(\varepsilon_n)) = U(K)$  is divisible hence divisible modulo torsion [6], and from the above statement,  $V(KG)$  is divisible modulo torsion. ■

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $A$  is abelian so that  $A = B \times E$ . Then  $A$  splits if and only if  $B$  and  $E$  both split.*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  split. Furthermore  $A = tA \times C$ . Moreover  $tA = tB \times tE$ , consequently  $A = tB \times T$  and  $tB$  is a direct factor of  $B \subseteq A$ . Similarly for  $E$ .

Conversely, if  $tB$  is a direct factor of  $B$  and  $tE$  is a direct factor of  $E$ , then  $tB \times tE = tA$  is a direct factor of  $A$ . The lemma is proved. ■

The next statement was announced in [2].

**Theorem 1.** (Splitting) *Let  $G$  be torsion. Then  $V(KG)$  is a splitting group, i.e.  $V(KG) = tV(KG) \times D$ , where  $D = 1$  if  $K$  is an algebraic cover of a simply field or  $D$  is divisible torsion-free of rank  $\max(|K|, |G/G_p|)$ , otherwise.*

*Moreover, if  $G/G_p$  is infinite, then  $tV(KG)/S(KG) \cong \prod_{q \neq p} \prod_{|G/G_p|} Z(q^\infty)$  or if  $G/G_p$  is finite, then  $tV(KG)/S(KG) \cong \prod_{q \neq p} \prod_{|G/G_p|-1} Z(q^\infty)$ .*

**Proof.** Write down,  $G = G_p \times M$ . Therefore Proposition 1 does imply  $V(KG) \cong V(KM) \times S(KG)$ . By virtue of [16] (see also [2]),  $V(KM)$  is divisible, whence it is splitting. That is why, Lemma 1 yields,  $V(KG)$  splits. Besides, by virtue of Corollary 1, we obtain that  $V(KG)/tV(KG)$  is divisible. Further, the proof is based on [15]. ■

Now, we give a characterization of  $V(KG)$  for some important classes of abelian groups. More specially, the following statement, announced in [2], holds.

**Theorem 2.** (Structure) *Suppose  $G$  is torsion. Then:*

(o)  *$V(KG)$  is divisible if and only if  $G_p$  is divisible.*

(oo)  *$V(KG)$  is algebraically compact if and only if  $G_p$  is algebraically compact.*

( $\circ\circ\circ$ )  $V(KG)$  is a direct sum of countables if and only if  $G_p$  is a direct sum of countables.

**Proof.** By application of Proposition 1,  $V(KG) = V(KM) \times S(KG)$ , since  $G = G_p \times M$ , where  $M$  is  $p$ -divisible. Following [16] (see also [2]),  $V(KM)$  is divisible.

( $\circ$ ) By the above observations,  $V(KG)$  is divisible if and only if  $S(KG)$  is divisible. This is equivalent to  $S^p(KG) = S(KG^p) = S(KG)$ , i.e. to  $G^p = G$ , i.e. to  $G_p$  is divisible.

( $\circ\circ$ )  $V(KG)$  is algebraic compact if and only if  $S(KG)$  is algebraic compact, because the divisible groups are algebraically compact (see [6]). As we have seen in the proof of Proposition 1, it is valid that  $S(KG) \cong S((KM)G_p)$ . The field  $K$  is closed, hence perfect. So,  $KM$  is a perfect ring with no nilpotents and therefore by [1],  $S(KG)$  is algebraically compact if and only if  $G_p$  is the same.

( $\circ\circ\circ$ )  $V(KG)$  is a direct sum of countables if and only if  $S(KG)$  is one also, which fact follows directly or by a result of Kaplansky-Walker ([6], p.63, Prop. 9.10). But it is well-known that  $S(KG) \cong S((KM)G_p)$ , where  $KM$  is perfect without nilpotents. By application of [12],  $S(KG)$  is a direct sum of countables if and only if  $G_p$  is. This completes the proof. ■

Now, we can state the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** (Direct Factor) *Let  $G$  be a torsion abelian group so that  $G_p$  is a direct sum of countables (in particular, let  $G$  be a torsion direct sum of countable groups). Then:*

( $\diamond$ ) *If  $G/G_p$  is divisible,  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(KG)$  with complement, which is a direct sum of countables.*

( $\diamond\circ$ ) *If  $G/G_p$  is not divisible,  $G$  is not a direct factor of  $V(KG)$ .*

**Proof.** Write  $G = G_p \times M$ . Further, Proposition 1 implies  $V(KG) \cong V(KM) \times S(KG)$ . Obviously,  $M$  is a direct factor of  $V(KM)$ , since it is divisible. From [12],  $G_p$  is a direct factor of  $S(KG_p)$ , hence of  $S(KG)$ , because clearly  $S(KG_p)$  is a direct factor of  $S(KG)$ . Finally,  $G_p \times M = G$  is a direct factor of  $V(KG)$ . Moreover Theorem 2 implies that  $V(KG)/G$  is a direct sum of countables.

Assuming  $G/G_p$  no divisible,  $G$  is not a direct factor of  $V(KG)$ , since  $M \cong G/G_p$  is not a direct factor of the divisible  $V(KM)$ . The assertion is fulfilled. ■

We generalize now the above theorem to the following one.

**Theorem 4.** (Direct Factor) *Let  $G$  be torsion whose  $G_p$  is a direct sum of groups of cardinality  $\aleph_1$  or is simply presented. Then  $G$  is a direct factor*

of  $V(KG)$  provided  $G/G_p$  is divisible. Otherwise  $G$  is not a direct factor of  $V(KG)$ . The complementary factor is a direct product of a divisible group and of a simply presented  $p$ -group.

**Proof.** It follows by the same scheme as the proof of the above theorem, but according to the main results in [7] and [13], respectively. ■

We continue with considering the action of some special conditions on  $R$  and  $G$  that guarantee that  $G$  itself is a direct factor of  $V(RG)$ . But first and foremost, we summarize some known results; the best results for the direct factor problem of  $p$ -groups, by this moment, are the following stated as below:

**Theorem.** *In each of the following cases, the  $p$ -torsion group  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(FG)$ , namely:*

(a) ([12, 13])  $G$  is totally projective (simply presented) and in particular,  $G$  is a direct sum of countables. The complementary factor belongs to the same group class as  $G$ , provided  $F$  is perfect.

(a') ([18])  $G$  is an  $A$ -group (which generalizes (a)). The complement is still not fully known in general.

(b) ([7, 14])  $G$  is a direct sum (= coproduct) of  $p$ -groups with the cardinality of each factor not exceeding  $\aleph_1$ . The complementary factor is totally projective (simply presented) assuming  $F$  is perfect.

(c) ([4])  $G$  is summable with countable length. The complement is totally projective presuming  $F$  is perfect.

(d) ([5])  $G$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -group with  $\text{length}G = \lambda < \Omega$ . The complement is totally projective provided  $F$  is perfect.

We need some preliminary results before stating and proving the central theorems. So we start with the following major lemma.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $M \leq G$  and  $C \leq G$ , where  $C$  is  $p$ -torsion,  $1 \in P \leq R$ . Then:*

$$(*) \quad V(PM) \cap V(RG; C) = V(PM; M \cap C).$$

$$(**) \quad V(RG) \cap RM = V(RM).$$

**Proof.** (\*) Take  $x$  in the left hand-side. Therefore  $x = \sum_{m \in M} \alpha_m m$ ,  $\alpha_m \in P$  and  $\sum_{m \in \bar{m}C} \alpha_m = \begin{cases} 1, & \bar{m} \in C \\ 0, & \bar{m} \notin C \end{cases}$  for any  $\bar{m} \in M$ . But since  $\bar{m}C \cap M = \bar{m}(C \cap M)$ , we conclude that  $\sum_{m \in \bar{m}(M \cap C)} \alpha_m = \begin{cases} 1, & \bar{m} \in M \cap C \\ 0, & \bar{m} \notin M \cap C \end{cases}$ . Furthermore,

it is a simple matter to see that  $x \in V(PM; M \cap C)$ , whence the left relation " $\subseteq$ " is fulfilled. On the other hand, because  $M \cap C$  is  $p$ -primary, we derive,

$V(PM; M \cap C) \subseteq V(PM)$  as a  $p$ -group. Thus and the right relation " $\supseteq$ " is valid, to finish the proof.

(\*\*) Given  $x \in V(RG) \cap RM$ . Hence  $x = \sum_i r_i m_i$  ( $r_i \in R, m_i \in M$ ) and there exists an element  $y \in RG$ , say  $y = \sum_i \alpha_i g_i$  ( $\alpha_i \in R, g_i \in G$ ), such that  $\sum_i r_i m_i \cdot \sum_i \alpha_i g_i = \sum_{i,j} r_i \alpha_j m_i g_j = 1$ . We will show that  $y \in RM$ . In fact, without loss of generality we may assume that  $m_1 g_1 = m_2 g_2 = \dots = m_k g_k = 1$  for any fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\alpha_1 r_1 + \alpha_2 r_2 + \dots + \alpha_k r_k = 1$ . Let now  $l > k$  ( $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ); then if  $g_l \in g_j M = M$  for some  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , we have  $g_l \in M$ . Otherwise, i.e. if  $g_l \notin g_j M = M$ , it is not difficult to verify that  $\alpha_l = 0$ . Finally,  $y \in RM$ , as claimed. Thus the right hand-side contains the left hand-side. The converse is trivial. The lemma is true. ■

**Remark 6.** The point (\*\*) was proved also in [9], but when  $R$  is a field. Moreover the technique used there is different to that given as above.

We begin with the formulation of the following key matter of a technical character.

**Proposition 7.** *Suppose  $M, C \leq G$ , where  $C$  is  $p$ -torsion. Then  $V(RG) = V(RM) \times V(RG; C)$  if and only if  $G = M \times C$ , where  $R$  is a field if the necessity is fulfilled.*

**Proof.** "Sufficiency". Because  $G = M \times C$ , we deduce that  $RG = (RM)C$ . Therefore for each  $x \in V(RG)$  we establish  $x = \sum_{c \in C} x_c c$ , where  $x_c \in RM$ . Choose  $\bar{x} = \sum_{c \in C} x_c \in RM$ . Evidently,  $x = \bar{x} + \sum_{c \in C \setminus \{1\}} x_c (c - 1)$ . But  $C$  is  $p$ -primary and thus obviously,  $x^{p^k} = \bar{x}^{p^k}$  for some natural  $k$ . Thus it is a routine matter to see that  $\bar{x} \in V(RG)$  and consequently Lemma 5 yields  $\bar{x} \in V(RG) \cap RM = V(RM)$ . Moreover, select  $v = 1 + \bar{x}^{-1} \sum_{c \in C \setminus \{1\}} x_c (c - 1)$ . Apparently  $v \in V(RG; C)$ , and on the other hand,  $x = \bar{x}v$ . So  $V(RG) \subseteq V(RM).V(RG; C)$ . In this light, Lemma 5 leads us to  $V(RM) \cap V(RG; C) = V(RM; M \cap C) = 1$ , since  $M \cap C = 1$  by hypothesis. As a final,  $V(RG) = V(RM) \times V(RG; C)$  as desired. The first part is completely proved.

"Necessity". Indeed,  $M \cap C \subseteq V(RM) \cap V(RG; C) = 1$  and so  $M \cap C = 1$ . Now, for given  $x \in G \subseteq V(RG)$  we can write  $x = (\sum_i r_i m_i)(1 + \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{i,j} g_{i,j}(1 -$

$c_i)) = \sum_k r_k m_k + \sum_{i,j,k} r_k \alpha_{i,j} m_k g_{i,j} (1 - c_i)$ , where  $r_k, \alpha_{i,j} \in R$ ;  $m_k \in M$ ;  $g_{i,j} \in G$ ,  $c_i \in C$ . Hence  $x = mgc$  or eventually  $x = m'g'$  for some fixed  $m \in M$ ,  $m' \in M$ ;  $g \in G$ ,  $g' \in G$ ;  $c \in C$ . Moreover, observing that  $r_k \alpha_{i,j} \neq 0$  for all  $k, i, j$ , we have that  $g \in MC$  or eventually  $g' \in MC$ . Finally, we derive  $x \in MC = M \times C$  and this finishes the proof. The proposition is verified. ■

The next statement is important.

**Proposition 8.** *Assume that  $A = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} G_i$  is a  $p$ -group. Then  $V(RA) =$*

$$\bigsqcup_{i \in I} V(R(\bigsqcup_{j \in J \cup \{i\}} G_j); G_i), \text{ where } J \subseteq I \text{ is a subset.}$$

**Proof.** Put  $I = \lambda$  for some fixed ordinal  $\lambda$ . Hence by our assumption  $A = \bigsqcup_{\mu < \lambda} G_\mu$ . Choose arbitrary  $\alpha < \lambda$ , and in this direction take  $B_\alpha = \bigsqcup_{\mu < \alpha} G_\mu$ . It is clear that  $B_{\alpha+1} = B_\alpha \times G_\alpha$  and so owing to Proposition 7 we derive  $V(RB_{\alpha+1}) = V(RB_\alpha) \times V(RB_{\alpha+1}; G_\alpha)$ . In this light, it is easily seen that  $V(RA) = \bigsqcup_{\alpha < \lambda} V(RB_{\alpha+1}; G_\alpha)$ . In fact,  $A = \bigsqcup_{\alpha < \lambda} B_\alpha$  and so, again Proposition 7 is transfinite inductively applicable to obtain the claim. The proposition is shown. ■

Here we consider the direct factor problem for coproducts of abelian  $p$ -groups. Now we are in position to state the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian  $p$ -group belonging to any class  $\mathcal{K}$  of abelian  $p$ -groups such that  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(RG)$ . Then,  $A = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} G_i$  with  $G_i \in \mathcal{K}$  for all  $i \in I$ , is a direct factor of  $V(RA)$ .*

**Proof.** According to Proposition 8, we can write  $A = \bigsqcup_{\mu < \lambda} G_\mu$  and  $V(RA) = \bigsqcup_{\alpha < \lambda} V(R(\bigsqcup_{\mu < \alpha} G_\mu \times G_\alpha); G_\alpha) = \bigsqcup_{\alpha < \lambda} V(R \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \alpha} G_\mu; G_\alpha)$ , where  $\lambda = I$ . By the hypothesis,  $G_\alpha$  is a direct factor of  $V(RG_\alpha)$ . But on the other hand,  $G_\alpha$  is a direct factor of  $\bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \alpha} G_\mu$  and so, Proposition 8 guarantees that  $V(RG_\alpha)$  is a direct factor of  $V(R \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \alpha} G_\mu) = V(R \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \alpha} G_\mu; \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \alpha} G_\mu) \supseteq V(R \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \alpha} G_\mu; G_\alpha) \supseteq G_\alpha$ . Thus  $G_\alpha$  is a direct factor of  $V(R \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \alpha} G_\mu)$ , whence and of  $V(R \bigsqcup_{\mu \leq \alpha} G_\mu; G_\alpha)$ .



Consequently,  $V(RA) = (\bigsqcup_{\alpha < \lambda} G_\alpha) \times M = A \times M$  for some group  $M$ , since  $A = \bigsqcup_{\mu < \lambda} G_\mu = \bigsqcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \bigsqcup_{\mu < \alpha} G_\mu = \bigsqcup_{\alpha < \lambda} G_\alpha$ . Finally  $A$  is a direct factor of  $V(RA)$ , as stated. The proof is finished. ■

The next assertion is only announced in [2].

**Corollary 10.** *Suppose  $G$  is a direct sum of torsion-complete  $p$ -groups. Then  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(RG)$ .*

**Proof.** Indeed, we can write  $G = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} G_i$ , where  $G_i$  are torsion-complete for all  $i \in I$ . But every  $G_i$  as a pure subgroup is a direct factor of  $V(RG_i)$  owing to the well-known Kulikov-Papp theorem [6], and thus, Theorem 9 is applicable to obtain that  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(RG)$ , as claimed. ■

**Remark 11.** The structure of the complement is unknown yet. Probably it is a direct sum of cycles.

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $G$  be a torsion abelian group whose  $G_p$  is a direct sum of torsion-complete groups. Then:*

- (♣) *If  $G/G_p$  is divisible,  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(KG)$ .*
- (♣♣) *If  $G/G_p$  is not divisible,  $G$  is not a direct factor of  $V(KG)$ .*

**Proof.** It follows by the same method as in Theorem 3 owing to Corollary 10. ■

In the sequel we examine the direct factor problem for  $p$ -mixed groups. The significant known facts on this theme may be found in [3, 8, 14]. Foremost, we shall obtain a generalization of Theorem 9 for arbitrary commutative groups and rings by means of another technique. So, we can formulate the next theorem.

**Theorem 13.** *Assume that  $A$  is an abelian group and  $L$  is an abelian ring. Then  $A = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} A_i$  is a direct factor of  $V(LA)$  if and only if  $A_i$  is a direct factor of  $V(LA_i)$  for each  $i \in I$ .*

**Proof.** The necessity is trivial. For the sufficiency observe that the projection maps  $A \rightarrow A_i$  induce projections  $V(LA) \rightarrow V(LA_i)$ . Since the elements of group algebras have finite supports, these projections induce a homomorphism  $V(LA) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{i \in I} V(LA_i)$ , which is clearly the identity map on the inner coproduct  $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} V(LA_i)$  in  $V(LA)$ . Thus,  $\bigsqcup_{i \in I} V(LA_i)$  is a direct factor of  $V(LA)$ . That is

why, if we presume that  $A_i$  is a direct factor of  $V(LA_i)$  for every  $i \in I$ , then  $A$  is a direct factor of  $V(LA)$ , proving the more general theorem. ■

Next, we close the study with an extension, for  $p$ -mixed algebraically compact groups, of a similar fact for algebraically compact  $p$ -groups that we have given in [1].

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $G$  be a coproduct of  $p$ -mixed algebraically compact abelian groups. Then  $G$  is a direct factor of  $V(FG)$ .*

**Proof.** Because  $G$  is  $p$ -mixed and hence  $V(FG) = GS(FG)$  [13, 14, 3, 4], it is a routine matter to be seen that  $G$  is pure in  $V(FG)$ . Consequently the result follows in view of the definition for an algebraically compact group [6] and the latter theorem. The proof is over. ■

### References

- [1] P. V. D a n c h e v. Normed unit groups and direct factor problem for commutative modular group algebras. *Math. Balkanica*, **10**, No 2-3, 1996, 161-173.
- [2] P. V. D a n c h e v. Units in abelian group rings of prime characteristic. *Compt. Rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **48**, No 8, 1995, 5-8.
- [3] P. V. D a n c h e v. Topologically pure and basis subgroups in commutative group rings. *Compt. Rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **48**, No 9-10, 1995, 7-10.
- [4] P. V. D a n c h e v. Commutative group algebras of summable abelian  $p$ -groups. *Commun. Algebra*, To appear.
- [5] P. V. D a n c h e v.  $C_\lambda$ -groups and  $\lambda$ -basic subgroups in modular group rings. *Hokkaido Math J.*, To appear.
- [6] L. F u c h s. *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Vol-sI, II. Mir, Moscow, 1974 and 1977.
- [7] P. H i l l and W. U l l e r y. A note on a theorem of May concerning commutative group algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **110**, No 1, 1990, 59-63.
- [8] P. H i l l and W. U l l e r y. On commutative group algebras of mixed groups. *Commun. Algebra*, **25**, No 12, 1997, 4029-4038.
- [9] G. K a r p i l o v s k y. *Commutative Group Algebras*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983.
- [10] G. K a r p i l o v s k y. *Unit Groups of Group Rings*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [11] A. G. K u r o s h. *Theory of the Groups*. Nauka, Moscow, 1967.
- [12] W. M a y. Modular group algebras of totally projective  $p$ -primary groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **76**, No 1, 1979, 31-34.

- [13] W. M a y. Modular group algebras of simply presented abelian groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **104**, No 2, 1988, 403-409.
- [14] W. M a y. The direct factor problem for modular abelian group algebras. *Contemp. Math.*, **93**, 1989, 303-308.
- [15] T. Zh. M o l l o v. On the unit groups of semisimple group algebras of torsion abelian groups. *Proc. of Plovdiv University*, **20**, No 1, 1982, 53-67 (In Russian).
- [16] T. Zh. M o l l o v. On the invariant pairs of semisimple group algebras of torsion abelian groups. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **31**, 1984, 145-151 (In Russian).
- [17] T. Zh. M o l l o v. On the unit groups of real and rational group algebras of abelian  $p$ -groups. *Compt. Rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **37**, No 9, 1984, 1151-1153 (In Russian).
- [18] W. U l l e r y. *A direct factor theorem for commutative group algebras.* *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, **33**, No 3, 1992, 383-387.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Plovdiv University*  
*4000 Plovdiv, BULGARIA*

*Received: 15.01.1998*