

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

More Cardinal Inequalities on Urysohn Spaces

Ofelia T. Alas[†] and Ljubiša D. Kočinac[‡]

Presented by P. Kenderov

Some results concerning cardinality of Urysohn spaces are proven.

AMS Subj. Classification: 54A25

Key Words: Urysohn space, cardinality, cardinal inequalities

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper X will denote an infinite Urysohn space (i.e. a space such that if $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, x and y have disjoint closed neighborhoods).

We use the standard notation and terminology, following [3], [4]. For definitions of θ -versions of some cardinal invariants, see [5], [6]. α, β, γ are ordinal numbers, while κ, λ denote infinite cardinals and κ^+ is the successor cardinal of κ . As usual, cardinals are assumed to be initial ordinals. If X is a set, then $[X]^{\leq \kappa}$ denotes the collection of all subsets of X whose cardinality is $\leq \kappa$.

Recall that the θ -closure $Cl_\theta A$ of a subset A of a space X is the set $\{x \in X : \overline{U} \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ for every neighborhood } U \text{ of } x\}$.

In [2], the cardinal function $sL(X)$ was introduced as being the smallest cardinal κ such that for every $A \subset X$ and every open collection \mathcal{U} , with $\overline{A} \subset \cup \mathcal{U}$, there exists $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ satisfying $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa$ and $A \subset \overline{\cup \mathcal{V}}$. It was also shown that for a Hausdorff space X , $|X| \leq 2^{sL(X) \times (X)}$.

We introduce the following definition.

Definition 1. For a space X , $sL_\theta(X)$ is the smallest cardinal κ such that if $A \subset X$, \mathcal{U} is an open collection and $cl_\theta(A) \subset \cup \mathcal{U}$, there is $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ with $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa$ and $A \subset \overline{\cup \mathcal{V}}$.

[†] The author thanks FARESP and the University of Niš for its hospitality;

[‡] Supported by the Serbian Scientific Foundation, Grant N^o 04M01.

It is immediate that $sL_\theta(X) \leq sL(X)$ for every space X .

Definition 2. For a Hausdorff space X let $\kappa(X)$ be the smallest cardinal number κ such that for each point $x \in X$, there is a collection \mathcal{V}_x of closed neighborhoods of x so that $|\mathcal{V}_x| \leq \kappa$ and if W is a closed neighborhood of x , then W contains a member of \mathcal{V}_x .

We need the following lemma which can be easily shown.

Lemma. For a subset A of a Urysohn space X , $|cl_\theta(A)| \leq |A|^{\kappa(X)}$.

It is immediate that $\kappa(X) \leq \chi(X)$ for every Hausdorff space X ; as a matter of fact, $\kappa(X)$ is equal to the character of the semiregularization of X . The following example shows that $sL_\theta(X)$ may be strictly smaller than $sL(X)$ and $\kappa(X)$ strictly smaller than $\chi(X)$.

Example . Let $X = \omega_2 \times \{0, 1\}^{\omega_2} \cup \{a\}$, where $a \notin \omega_2 \times \{0, 1\}^{\omega_2}$, and consider the following topology τ on X :

(i) $V \subset X$ is a neighborhood of a iff there is a $\lambda < \omega_2$ such that

$$V \supset \{a\} \cup (\omega_2 \setminus \lambda) \times (\{0, 1\}^{\omega_2} \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots)\});$$

(ii) $W \subset X$ is a neighborhood of a point $(\beta, t) \in \omega_2 \times \{0, 1\}^{\omega_2}$ iff

$$W \supset \{\beta\} \times (U \setminus S),$$

where U is a neighborhood of t in the usual product topology and S is a subset of $\{0, 1\}^{\omega_2}$ of cardinality $\leq \omega_2$ to which t does not belong.

It is easy to prove that:

- 1) (X, τ) is Urysohn;
- 2) $\chi(X) \geq \omega_3$ and $\kappa(X) = \omega_2$;
- 3) $sL(X) \geq \omega_2$, because $F = \omega_2 \times \{(0, 0, \dots)\}$ is closed and $\mathcal{U} = \{\{\alpha\} \times \{t, \infty\}^{\omega_\epsilon} : \alpha \in \omega_\epsilon\}$ is an open cover of F such that if $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $|\mathcal{V}| < \omega_\epsilon$, then $F \not\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}$;
- 4) $sL_\theta(X) = \omega_1$.

Indeed, let $A \subset X$ and \mathcal{U} be an open collection which covers $cl_\theta(A)$. There are two cases: I) $a \in cl_\theta(A)$; II) $a \notin cl_\theta(A)$.

I) If $a \in cl_\theta(A)$, there is $U \in \mathcal{U}$ so that $a \in U$, hence there is $\lambda < \omega_2$ and

$$U \supset \{a\} \cup (\omega_2 \setminus \lambda) \times (\{0, 1\}^{\omega_2} \setminus \{(0, 0, \dots)\})$$

and

$$\bar{U} \supset \{a\} \cup (\omega_2 \setminus \lambda) \times \{0, 1\}^{\omega_2}.$$

The set $cl_\theta(A) \cap (\lambda \times \{0, 1\}^{\omega_2})$ is covered by closures of $\leq \omega_1$ members of \mathcal{U} . (Notice that if $\beta \in \lambda$, $t \in \{0, 1\}^{\omega_2}$ and $\{\beta\} \times (V \setminus S)$ is such that V is a basic clopen neighborhood of t in the usual product topology and $t \notin S \subset \{0, 1\}^{\omega_2}$, $|S| \leq \omega_2$, then $\overline{\{\beta\} \times (V \setminus S)} = \{\beta\} \times V$.)

II) If $a \notin cl_\theta(A)$, there is $\lambda < \omega_2$ and

$$(\{a\} \cup (\omega_2 \setminus \lambda) \times \{0, 1\}^{\omega_2}) \cap A = \emptyset,$$

hence $A \subset \lambda \times \{0, 1\}^{\omega_2}$. Since the last set is clopen and it is easy to prove that $cl_\theta(A)$ is equal to the closure of A in the usual product topology (λ with the discrete topology), there is $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ with $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \omega_\infty$ and $A \subset \overline{\cup \mathcal{V}}$. ■

Theorem 1. *If X is a Urysohn space, then $|X| \leq 2^{sL_\theta(X)\kappa(X)}$.*

Proof. Applying the well-known method of Pol-Šapirovsĳii-Arhangel'skii, let $\kappa = sL_\theta(X)\kappa(X)$ and for each $x \in X$ let \mathcal{B}_x be a collection of closed neighborhoods of x such that $|\mathcal{B}_x| \leq \kappa$ and every closed neighborhood of x contains a member of \mathcal{B}_x . We shall define an increasing sequence $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa^+\}$ of subsets of X and a sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa^+\}$ of collections of open subsets of X such that:

- (1) $|A_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa, \forall \alpha < \kappa^+ \ \& \ A_\alpha \supset cl_\theta\left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta\right), \forall \alpha < \kappa^+;$
- (2) $\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \left\{ \bigcup \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{F} \in \cup \{ \mathcal{B}_x : x \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta \} \right\}, \alpha < \kappa^+;$
- (3) If $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}_\alpha]^{\leq \kappa}$ and $\overline{\cup \mathcal{V}} \neq X$, then $A_{\alpha+1} \setminus \overline{\cup \mathcal{V}} \neq \emptyset, \alpha < \kappa^+.$

Suppose that the sets A_β and \mathcal{U}_β , satisfying (1) - (3), have been defined for all $\beta < \alpha < \kappa^+$ and let us define A_α and \mathcal{U}_α .

Since $cl_\theta\left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta\right)$ has cardinality $\leq 2^\kappa$, according to (2) \mathcal{U}_α has cardinality $\leq 2^\kappa$. For each $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}_\alpha]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $X \setminus \overline{\cup \mathcal{V}} \neq \emptyset$ fix a point $x_\mathcal{V} \in X \setminus \overline{\cup \mathcal{V}}$ and let A_α be the θ -closure of the union $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$ with all these $x_\mathcal{V}$.

Finally, put $A = \cup \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$. Then $cl_\theta(A) = A$. Indeed, let $y \in X$ so that the closure of each neighborhood of y intersects A ; then for each $W \in \mathcal{B}_y$ there is $\alpha_W < \kappa^+$ so that $W \cap A_{\alpha_W} \neq \emptyset$. Since $|\{\alpha_W : W \in \mathcal{B}_y\}| \leq \|\cdot\|$, there is $\beta < \kappa^+$ so that $\beta > \alpha_W$ for every $W \in \mathcal{B}_y$ and $y \in cl_\theta(A_\beta)$.

Now it is enough to show that $A = X$. On the contrary, there is $y \in X \setminus A$ then there is $W \in \mathcal{B}_y$ so that $W \cap A = \emptyset$. For each $x \in A$ choose a $V_x \in \mathcal{B}_x$ so that

$$V_x \subset X \setminus \text{int}(W).$$

$\{\text{int}(V_x) : x \in A\}$ is an open cover of $A = cl_\theta(A)$, so there is $B \subset A$ with $|B| \leq sL_\theta(X) \leq \kappa$ such that

$$A \subset \overline{\bigcup_{x \in B} \text{int}(V_x)}.$$

But since $|B| \leq \kappa$, there is $\beta < \kappa^+$ so that $B \subset A_\beta$ and $\text{int } \mathcal{V} = \{\text{int}(\mathcal{V}_\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a convenient collection of open sets which appears at the step $\beta + 1$. Hence, $A_{\beta+1} \setminus \overline{\cup \mathcal{V}} \neq \emptyset$ and we have a contradiction. ■

Remark . In the precedent example we have:

$$2^{sL(X)\chi(X)} \geq 2^{\omega_3} \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{sL_\theta(X)\kappa(X)} = 2^{\omega_2}.$$

It is easy to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *If X is a Hausdorff space, then $|X| \leq 2^{c(X)\kappa(X)}$. If X is a Urysohn space, then $|X| \leq 2^{c_\theta(X)\kappa(X)}$.*

Here $c_\theta(X)$ denotes the supremum of cardinalities of all collections of open subsets of X whose members have disjoint closures.

Definition 3. For a space X , the θ -quasi-Menger number $qM_\theta(X)$ is the smallest cardinal number κ such that for every closed subset A of X and every collection $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha \leq \kappa\}$ of families of open subsets of X with $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} (\cup \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$, there are finite subfamilies \mathcal{V}_α of \mathcal{U}_α , $\alpha < \kappa$, such that $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \text{cl}_\theta(\cup \mathcal{V}_\alpha)$.

Theorem 3. *For every Urysohn space X , $|X| \leq 2^{qM_\theta(X)\kappa(X)}$.*

Proof. Let $qM_\theta(X)\kappa(X) = \kappa$ and let for each $x \in X$ \mathcal{B}_x be a collection of closed neighborhoods of x such that $|\mathcal{B}_x| \leq \kappa$ and every closed neighborhood of x contains a member of \mathcal{B}_x . We shall define an increasing sequence $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa^+\}$ of subsets of X and a sequence $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa^+\}$ of collections of open subsets of X satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $|F_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$, for every $\alpha < \kappa^+$;
- (2) $\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \left\{ \bigcup \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \in \cup \{ \mathcal{B}_x : x \in \downarrow_\theta \left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta \right) \} \right\}$, for every $\alpha < \kappa^+$;
- (3) If $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}_\alpha]^{\leq \kappa}$ and $\text{cl}_\theta(\cup \mathcal{V}) \neq \mathcal{X}$, then $F_\alpha \setminus \text{cl}_\theta(\cup \mathcal{V}) \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha < \kappa^+$.

Suppose $\alpha < \kappa^+$ and the sets F_β and \mathcal{U}_β satisfying (1) - (3) are already defined for all $\beta < \alpha$. We are going to define F_α and \mathcal{U}_α .

Put $M_\alpha = \text{cl}_\theta \left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta \right)$. By the lemma, $|M_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$, hence $|\mathcal{U}_\alpha| \leq \epsilon^\kappa$. For every $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}_\alpha]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $\text{cl}_\theta(\cup \mathcal{V}) \neq \mathcal{X}$ take a point $x_\mathcal{V} \in X \setminus \text{cl}_\theta(\cup \mathcal{V})$ and define F_α to be the θ -closure of the union of $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} F_\beta$ with the set of all these $x_\mathcal{V}$. Then $|F_\alpha| \leq 2^\kappa$.

Let $F = \cup \{F_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa^+\}$. Then $|F| \leq 2^\kappa$ and the proof will be finished if we prove that $\text{cl}_\theta(F) = X$ (taking into account the lemma once again). First, we show that $\text{cl}_\theta(F) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa^+} \text{cl}_\theta(F_\alpha)$. Let $x \in \text{cl}_\theta(F)$. The closure of every neighborhood of x intersects F , so that for each $B \in \mathcal{B}_x$ one can find some

$\alpha_B < \kappa^+$ for which $B \cap F_{\alpha_B} \neq \emptyset$. Since κ^+ is a regular cardinal and $|\{\alpha_B : B \in \mathcal{B}_\xi\}| \leq \kappa$, there exists $\beta < \kappa^+$ such that $\beta > \alpha_B$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_\xi$ and $x \in cl_\theta(F_\beta)$.

Suppose now $y \in X \setminus cl_\theta(F)$. Let $\mathcal{B}_\dagger = \{\mathcal{B}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$. For each $\alpha < \kappa$ let \mathcal{W}_α be the collection of all members $W \in \cup\{\mathcal{B}_\xi : \xi \in \downarrow_\theta(\mathcal{F})\}$ such that $B_\alpha \cap W = \emptyset$. Since X is a Urysohn space, $cl_\theta(F) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \cup\{\text{int}(W) : W \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha\}$. As $cl_\theta(F)$ is closed, one can choose $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \in [\mathcal{W}_\alpha]^{<\omega}$ for each $\alpha \in \kappa$ such that $cl_\theta(F) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} cl_\theta(\cup\{\text{int}(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha\})$. Clearly, for every $\alpha < \kappa$, $\cup\{\text{int}(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha\} \cap B_\alpha = \emptyset$, hence $y \notin cl_\theta(\cup\{\text{int}(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha\})$. This means $y \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} cl_\theta(\cup\{\text{int}(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha\})$. There is a $\beta < \kappa^+$ such that all \mathcal{V}_α , $\alpha < \kappa$, are contained in \mathcal{U}_β . Then by (3), $F_{\beta+1} \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} cl_\theta(\cup\{\text{int}(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}_\alpha\}) \neq \emptyset$ which is a contradiction. ■

References

- [1] O. Alas. More topological cardinal inequalities. *Colloq. Math.*, **65**, 1993, 165-168.
- [2] A. V. Arhangel'skii. A generic theorem in the theory of cardinal invariants of topological spaces. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, **36**, 1995, 303-325.
- [3] R. Engelking. *General Topology*. PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [4] R. Hodel. Cardinal functions: I. In: *Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology* (Ed-s K. Kunen, J.E. Vaughan), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 1-61.
- [5] Lj. Kočinac. On the cardinality of Urysohn spaces. *Q & A in General Topology*, **13**, No 2, 1995, 211-216.
- [6] Lj. Kočinac. On the cardinality of Urysohn and H -closed spaces. In: *Proc. Math. Conference in Priština' 1994 (Priština, Sept. 28 - Oct. 1, 1994)* (Ed. Lj. Kočinac), Priština, 1995, 105-111.

† *Instituto de Matematica, Universidade de Sao Paulo*
 Caixa Postal 66281
 05315-970 Sao Paulo, BRAZIL
 e-mail: alas@ime.usp.br

Received: 27.07.1998

‡ *Faculty of Philosophy, University of Niš*
 18000 Niš, YUGOSLAVIA
 e-mail: kocinac@archimed.filfak.ni.ac.yu