

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,
E-mail: balmat@bas.bg

Argument Estimates of Certain Meromorphic Functions

*Nak Eun Cho*¹, *Soon Young Woo*¹ and *Shigeyoshi Owa*²

Presented by V. Kiraykova

The object of the present paper is to derive some argument properties of certain meromorphic functions in the punctured open unit disk. Furthermore, we investigate their integral-preserving property in a sector.

AMS Subj. Classification: 30C45, 30D30

Key Words: argument properties, meromorphic starlike functions, subordination, meromorphic close-to-convex functions, univalent functions, integral operators

1. Introduction

Let Σ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disk $\mathcal{D} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\}$. We denote by $\Sigma^*(\gamma)$ the subclasses of Σ consisting of all functions which is meromorphic starlike of order γ in $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{0\}$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$). For analytic functions g and h with $g(0) = h(0)$, g is said to be subordinate to h , if there exists an analytic function w such that $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in \mathcal{U}$), and $g(z) = h(w(z))$. We denote this subordination by $g \prec h$ or $g(z) \prec h(z)$. Let

$$\Sigma^*[A, B] = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U} ; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1) \right\}. \quad (1.1)$$

In particular, we note that $\Sigma^*[1 - 2\gamma, -1] = \Sigma^*(\gamma)$ ($0 \leq \gamma < 1$). Furthermore, from (1.1), we observe [5] that a function f is in $\Sigma^*[A, B]$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; z \in \mathcal{U}). \quad (1.2)$$

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\Sigma_c(\gamma, \beta)$, if there is a meromorphic starlike function g of order γ such that

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1; z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Libera and Robertson [2] showed that $\Sigma_c(0, 0)$, the class of meromorphic close-to-convex functions, is not univalent. Also, $\Sigma_c(\gamma, \beta)$ provides an interesting generalization of the class of meromorphic close-to-convex functions [6].

In the present paper, we give some argument properties of the aforementioned classes of meromorphic functions in the open unit disk. An application of a certain integral operator is also considered.

2. Main results

In proving our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. ([1]) *Let h be convex univalent in \mathcal{U} with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta h(z) + \gamma) > 0$ ($\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$). If q is analytic in \mathcal{U} with $q(0) = 1$, then*

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Lemma 2.2. ([3]) *Let h be convex univalent in \mathcal{U} and λ be analytic in \mathcal{U} with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda(z) \geq 0$. If q is analytic in \mathcal{U} and $q(0) = h(0)$, then*

$$q(z) + \lambda(z)zq'(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Lemma 2.3. ([4]) *Let q be analytic in U with $q(0) = 1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$ in U . Suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that*

$$\left| \arg q(z) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \text{ for } |z| < |z_0| \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\left| \arg q(z_0) \right| = \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \quad (0 < \eta \leq 1). \tag{2.2}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} = ik\eta, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$k \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \text{ when } \arg q(z_0) = \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \tag{2.4}$$

$$k \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left(a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \text{ when } \arg q(z_0) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \eta \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$q(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} = \pm ia \quad (a > 0). \tag{2.6}$$

By using the above lemmas, we now derive the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that*

$$1 + B > \alpha(2 + A + B) \quad \left(-1 < B < A \leq 1 ; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1 ; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta,$$

where η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation:

$$\delta = \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - t(A, B, \alpha)\}]}{\left(\frac{1+A}{1+B} + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right) + \eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - t(A, B, \alpha)\}]} \right) \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$t(A, B, \alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{A - B}{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)(1 - B^2) - (1 - AB)} \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Let

$$q(z) = -\frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} + \beta \right) \quad \text{and} \quad r(z) = -\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}.$$

Then, by a simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{1 - \beta} \left(\frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} + \beta \right) \\ = q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{-r(z) + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, from (1.2), we have

$$r(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

If we let

$$-r(z) + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right) = \rho e^{i\frac{\pi\phi}{2}} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then it follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1+B)-(1+A)}{1+B} < \rho < \frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1-B)-(1-A)}{1-B}, \\ -t(A, B, \alpha) < \phi < t(A, B, \alpha), \end{cases}$$

where $t(A, B, \alpha)$ is defined by (2.8). Let h be a function which maps \mathcal{U} onto the angular domain $\{w : |\arg w| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta\}$ with $h(0) = 1$. Applying Lemma 2.2 for this h with $\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{-r(z) + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}$, we see that $\operatorname{Re} q(z) > 0$ in \mathcal{U} and hence $q(z) \neq 0$ in \mathcal{U} .

If there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that the conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied, then (by Lemma 1) we obtain (2.3) under the restrictions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6).

First, we suppose that

$$\{q(z_0)\}^{\frac{1}{\eta}} = ia \quad (a > 0).$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \left(-\frac{\alpha z_0(z_0 f'(z_0))' + (1 - \alpha)z_0 f'(z_0)}{\alpha z_0 g'(z_0) + (1 - \alpha)g(z_0)} - \beta \right) \\ &= \arg \left(q(z_0) + \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{-r(z_0) + (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)} \right) \\ &= \arg \left\{ q(z_0) \left(1 + \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} \frac{1}{-r(z_0) + (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)} \right) \right\} \\ &= \arg \{q(z_0)\} + \arg \left(1 + i\eta k(\rho e^{i\frac{\pi\phi}{2}})^{-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}\eta + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta k \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}(1 - \phi)]}{\rho + \eta k \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}(1 - \phi)]} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\pi}{2}\eta + \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - t(A, B, \alpha)\}]}{\left(\frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1-B)-(1-A)}{1-B}\right) + \eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - t(A, B, \alpha)\}]} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2}\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where δ and $t(A, B, \alpha)$ are given by (2.7) and (2.8), respectively. This evidently contradicts the assumption of Theorem 2.1.

Next, we suppose that

$$q(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} = -ia \quad (a > 0).$$

Applying the same method as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \left(-\frac{\alpha z_0(z_0 f'(z_0))' + (1 - \alpha)z_0 f'(z_0)}{\alpha z_0 g'(z_0) + (1 - \alpha)g(z_0)} - \beta \right) \\ &\leq -\frac{\pi}{2}\eta - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - t(A, B, \alpha)\}]}{\left(\frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1-B)-(1-A)}{1-B}\right) + \eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - t(A, B, \alpha)\}]} \right) = -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where δ and $t(A, B, \alpha)$ are given by (2.7) and (2.8), respectively. This also contradict the assumption of Theorem 2.1. Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1. ■

Letting $A = 1, B = 0$ and $\delta = 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we have

Corollary 2.1. *Let $f \in \Sigma$. If*

$$-Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha z(z f'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)z f'(z)}{\alpha z g'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad \left(0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{3}; 0 \leq \beta < 1 \right)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition:

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

If we put $g(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ in Theorem 2.1, then by letting $B \rightarrow A$ ($A < 1$), we obtain

Corollary 2.2. *Let $f \in \Sigma$. If*

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z^2(f'(z) + \alpha zf''(z))}{1 - 2\alpha} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad \left(0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1 \right)$$

then

$$|\arg \{-z^2 f'(z) - \beta\}| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta,$$

where η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation:

$$\delta = \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(\alpha\eta). \quad (2.9)$$

The proof of Theorem 2.2 below is much akin to that of Theorem 2.1. The details may be omitted.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that*

$$1 + B > \alpha(2 + A + B) \quad \left(-1 < B < A \leq 1; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (\beta > 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(\beta + \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta,$$

where η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation (2.7).

For a function f belonging to the class Σ , we define the integral operator F_α as follows:

$$F_\alpha(f) := F_\alpha(f)(z) = \frac{1-2\alpha}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} f(t) dt \quad (2.10)$$

$$(0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; z \in \mathcal{D}).$$

The following lemma will be required for the proof of Theorem 2.3 below.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f \in \Sigma$ and let h be a convex (univalent) function in \mathcal{U} with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{h(z)\} > 0$ in \mathcal{U} . If*

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$-\frac{zF'_\alpha(f)}{F_\alpha(f)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

for $\max_{z \in \mathcal{U}} \operatorname{Re} h(z) < \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1$ ($0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$), where F_α is defined by (2.10).

Proof. From the definition (2.10), we get

$$\alpha z F'_\alpha(f)(z) + (1-\alpha)F_\alpha(f)(z) = (1-2\alpha)f(z) \quad (2.11)$$

Let

$$q(z) = -\frac{zF'_\alpha(f)}{F_\alpha(f)}.$$

Then (2.11) yields

$$q(z) - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 2\right) \frac{f(z)}{F_\alpha(f)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Taking logarithmic derivatives in (2.12) and multiplying by z , we get

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{-q(z) + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} = -\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we have that $q(z) \prec h(z)$ for $\max_{z \in \mathcal{U}} \operatorname{Re} h(z) < \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1$ ($0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$). This evidently completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. ■

Next, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that*

$$1 + B > \alpha(2 + A + B) \quad \left(-1 < B < A \leq 1; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1; \beta > 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha zF'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta, \tag{2.13}$$

where F_α is given by (2.10) and η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation (2.7).

Proof. Since $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, by applying Lemma 2.4, the function $F_\alpha(g)$ belongs to the class $\Sigma[A, B]$. Then, from (2.11), we get

$$-\frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha zF'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} = -\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}.$$

Hence, by the hypothesis and Theorem 2.1, we have (2.13), which completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. ■

Taking $A = 1, B = 0$ and $\delta = 1$ in Theorem 2.3, we have

Corollary 2.3. *Let $f \in \Sigma$. If*

$$-Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition:

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$-Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha zF'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

Putting $g(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ in Theorem 2.3, and then by letting $B \rightarrow A$ ($A < 1$), we obtain

Corollary 2.4. *Let $f \in \Sigma$. If*

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z^2(f'(z) + \alpha z f''(z))}{1 - 2\alpha} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1),$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{z^2(F'_\alpha(f) + \alpha z F''_\alpha(f))}{1 - 2\alpha} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \quad (0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1),$$

where η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation (2.9).

By a similar method of the proof in Theorem 2.3, we get the next theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f \in \Sigma$ and suppose that*

$$1 + B > \alpha(2 + A + B) \quad \left(-1 < B < A \leq 1; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha z g'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1; \beta > 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(\beta + \frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha z F'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta, \tag{2.13}$$

where F_α is given by (2.10) and η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation (2.7).

Finally, we prove

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f \in \Sigma$. If*

$$\left| \arg \left[-\left(\alpha \frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right) - \beta \right] \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (\alpha < 0; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$, then

$$\left| \arg \left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi \eta}{2},$$

and η ($0 < \eta \leq 1$) is the solution of the equation:

$$\delta = \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\alpha\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - \sin^{-1}(\frac{A-B}{1-AB})\}]}{\frac{1+A}{1+B} - \alpha\eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - \sin^{-1}(\frac{A-B}{1-AB})\}]} \right).$$

Proof. Setting

$$q(z) = -\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} + \beta \right) \quad \text{and} \quad r(z) = -\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)},$$

we have

$$-\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\alpha \frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} + (1-\alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} + \beta \right) = q(z) + \frac{\alpha zq'(z)}{-r(z)}.$$

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 2.5 is similar to that of Theorem 2.1, and so we omit it. ■

Acknowledgement. The first author likes to acknowledge the financial support of the Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-99-015-DP0019).

References

- [1] P. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **29** (1984), 567-573.
- [2] R. J. Libera, M. S. Robertson, Meromorphic close-to-convex functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **8** (1961), 167-176.
- [3] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **28** (1981), 157-171.
- [4] M. Nunokawa, On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **69** (1993), 234-237.
- [5] H. Silverman, E. M. Silvia, Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions, *Canad. J. Math.* **37** (1985), 48-61.
- [6] R. Singh, Meromorphic Close-to-convex functions, *J. Indian. Math. Soc.* **33** (1969), 13-20.

¹ *Department of Applied Mathematics*
Pukyong National University
Pusan 608 - 737, KOREA
e-mail: necho@pknu.ac.kr

Received: 28.11.2000

² *Department of Mathematics*
Kinki University
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, JAPAN
e-mail: owa@math.kindai.ac.jp