

Nonlinear Piecewise Polynomial Approximation of Functions from Besov Spaces ¹

Yuri Brudnyi

Dedicated to Professor Blagovest Sendov on the occasion of his 70th anniversary

We present two results on the N -term piecewise polynomial approximation in $L_q(\Omega)$ of functions from the Besov space $B_p^{\lambda, \theta}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain, and $\theta = p$, if $\frac{\lambda}{d} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$, and $\theta = \infty$, if $\frac{\lambda}{d} > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$.

AMS Subj. Classification: 41A10, 26A15, 41A50, 46E30

Key Words: approximation by polynomials, Besov spaces, k -modulus of continuity, Lipschitz domain

1. Introduction

We begin with the description of an approximating set of piecewise polynomials $\mathcal{P}_k^N(\mathcal{T})$. The basic component of its definition is a *tree* \mathcal{T} of partitions for a bounded measurable set Ω . A *partition* π of Ω is a collection of nonoverlapping subsets² of Ω such that

$$(1.1) \quad \text{supp } \pi := \bigcup_{\omega \in \pi} \omega = \Omega.$$

Definition 1.1. \mathcal{T} is said to be a *tree of partitions* for Ω , if $\mathcal{T} = \{\pi_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$, where

- (a) $\pi_0 := \{\Omega\}$ and π_j is a finite partition of Ω , $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$;
- (b) π_{j+1} is a refinement of π_j , i.e., every $\omega \in \pi_{j+1}$ is a subset of a unique $\omega' \in \pi_j$.

¹Partially supported by the Fund for the Promotion of Research at the Technion

²Sets of \mathbb{R}^d *nonoverlap*, if their intersection is a set of d -measure zero.

The *set of vertices* of \mathcal{T} is

$$(1.2) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{T}} := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \pi_j.$$

If ω, ω' are as in (b), we will say that they are *connected by edge directed from ω' to ω* , written $\omega' \rightarrow \omega$.

Hence \mathcal{T} is an ordered tree with the root Ω , and π_j is the j -th level of \mathcal{T} . We define $h : \pi_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ by

$$(1.3) \quad h(\omega) := j, \text{ if } \omega \in \pi_j.$$

Definition 1.2. Given $k, N \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the set $\mathcal{P}_k^N(\mathcal{T})$ as a collection of N -term sums $\sum_{\omega} p_{\omega} \mathbf{1}_{\omega}$, where p_{ω} are polynomials of degree $k-1$ and $\omega \in \pi_{\mathcal{T}}$.

Hereafter $\mathbf{1}_{\omega}$ stands for the characteristic function of ω .

Remark 1.3. Assuming that p_{ω} of this definition is a polynomial of *vector* degree $\bar{k} - \bar{e} := (k_1 - 1, \dots, k_d - 1)$, $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we introduce the set $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{k}}^N(\mathcal{T})$.

The main results of the present paper imply for a given $f \in B_p^{\lambda\theta}(\Omega)$ and a suitable $f_N \in \mathcal{P}_k^N(\mathcal{T})$ the inequality

$$\|f - f_N\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \leq CN^{-\frac{\lambda}{d}} |f|_{B_p^{\lambda\theta}(\Omega)}.$$

Here the parameters λ, θ, p, q have been introduced above, $k > \lambda$ and a constant C independent of N and f . Besides, \mathcal{T} is assumed to be *quasidyadic*, i.e., it satisfies the condition

(c) For every $\omega \in \pi_j$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there is a cube Q_{ω} such that

$$(1.4) \quad \omega \subset Q_{\omega},$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad |\omega| \approx |Q_{\omega}| \approx 2^{-jd} |\Omega|.$$

Hereafter $|S|$ stands for the d -measure of $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and $F \approx G$ means that

$$C_1 F \leq G \leq C_2 F$$

with positive constants independent of the arguments of functions F and G . Specially, the constants in (1.5) are independent of ω and j .

The aforementioned approximation is provided by the so called Up-and-Down Algorithm developed in collaboration with Dr. Inna Kozlov (see [4] and [7]). Since this algorithm has a relatively simple computer realization, the results presented may be of interest both for Approximation Theory and Numerical Analysis, in particular, for the finite element methods.

2. Formulations of the main results

We deal with an extended scale of Besov spaces defined on \mathbb{R}^d by the seminorms

$$(2.1) \quad |f|_{B_p^{\lambda\theta}(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\frac{\omega_k(f; t)_{L_p}}{t^\lambda} \right)^\theta \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta}},$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $0 < p, \theta \leq \infty$, and k is the smallest integer $> \lambda$. As usual, $\omega_k(f; \cdot)_{L_p}$ stands for the k -modulus of continuity of f in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $p < 1$, there is the definition of this space due to Peetre [13] equivalent to that of (3), if $\frac{\lambda}{d} \geq \frac{1}{p} - 1$. In the remaining case Peetre's definition gives a proper subspace of $B_p^{\lambda\theta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

In order to avoid a complication irrelevant to the case, we define $B_p^{\lambda\theta}(\Omega)$ for a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ to be the trace space of $B_p^{\lambda\theta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to Ω . Hence

$$(2.2) \quad |f|_{B_p^{\lambda\theta}(\Omega)} := \inf \left\{ |g|_{B_p^{\lambda\theta}(\mathbb{R}^d)} : f = g|_{\Omega} \right\},$$

where $g|_{\Omega}$ is the trace of g to Ω .

For a Lipschitz domain Ω , this definition is equivalent to the "interior" definition based on k -modulus of continuity of f in $L_p(\Omega)$.

Assume now that

- (a) $f \in B_p^{\lambda}(\Omega) (= B_p^{\lambda p}(\Omega))$ and $0 < p < q \leq \infty$ satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\lambda}{d} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q};$$

let, in addition, $p \leq 1$, if $q = \infty$.

- (b) \mathcal{T} is a quasyadic tree of partitions for a bounded $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Under these assumptions the following is true.

Theorem 2.1. *Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a piecewise polynomial $L_N(f) \in \mathcal{P}_k^N(\mathcal{T})$ such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \|f - L_N(f)\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \leq CN^{-\frac{\lambda}{d}} |f|_{B_p^{\lambda}(\Omega)}$$

with constant C independent of f and N .

Assume now that

(a) $f \in B_p^{\lambda\infty}(\Omega)$, and $0 < p < q \leq \infty$ satisfy

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\lambda}{d} > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}.$$

(b) \mathcal{T} is as in Theorem 2.1.

Then the following is true.

Theorem 2.2. *Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a piecewise polynomial $L_N(f) \in \mathcal{P}_k^N(\mathcal{T})$ such that (2.4) holds with $|f|_{B_p^{\lambda\infty}(\Omega)}$ substituted for $|f|_{B_p^\lambda(\Omega)}$, and C independent of f, N and $\varepsilon := \frac{\lambda}{d} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$.*

Remark 2.3. It can be shown that in this case the approximating piecewise polynomial can be rewritten in a form $\sum_{\omega \in \tilde{B}} \tilde{p}_\omega \mathbf{1}_\omega$ with $\tilde{p}_\omega \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and $\tilde{\Delta} \subset \pi_{\mathcal{T}}$ consisting of at most $C(\varepsilon)N$ subsets. Here $C(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The result of this type was firstly proved in her Ph.D. thesis by I. Irodova [11]. This author used an approximation algorithm that later was going to be named the *greedy algorithm*. In spite of Theorem 2.2, both constants C and $C(\varepsilon)$ tend in this case to infinity, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

To formulate a corollary of the latter result, define an *approximation space* $\mathcal{N}_p^{\lambda\theta}(\mathcal{T})$ by the seminorm

$$(2.6) \quad |f|_{\mathcal{N}_p^{\lambda\theta}(\mathcal{T})} := \left\{ \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N} [N^{\lambda d} e_k^N(f; L_p)]^\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta}}.$$

Here λ, k, p, θ are as in (2.1) and

$$(2.7) \quad e_k^N(f; L_p) := \inf \{ \|f - m\|_{L_q(\Omega)} : m \in \mathcal{P}_k^N(\mathcal{T}) \}.$$

Replacing in this definition $L_p(\Omega)$ by $BMO(\Omega)$, the trace space of $BMO(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one defines the approximation space $\mathcal{E}_{BMO}^{\lambda\theta}(\mathcal{T})$.

Corollary 2.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, but with $p < 1$, if $q = \infty$, it is true that*

$$(2.8) \quad B_p^\lambda(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{N}_\infty^{\lambda p}(\mathcal{T}).$$

In the remaining case $q = \infty$ and $1 < p < \infty$, the following embedding

$$(2.9) \quad B_p^\lambda(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{N}_{BMO}^{\lambda p}(\Omega).$$

holds.

We now briefly discuss the similar results for anisotropic Besov spaces which can be carried out by the very same approach. The corresponding definition is now based on the seminorm

$$(2.10) \quad |f|_{B_p^{\bar{\lambda}, \theta}(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \sum_{i=1}^d \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(\frac{\omega_{k_i}^i(f; t)_{L_p}}{t^{\lambda_i}} \right)^\theta \frac{dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\theta}},$$

where $0 < p, \theta \leq \infty$, $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ is a vector with the strictly positive components, and k_i is the smallest integer $> \lambda_i$, $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Recall that $\omega_k^i(f; \cdot)_{L_p}$ is *partial k -modulus of continuity* of $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to variable x_i .

For a subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we then define $B_p^{\bar{\lambda}, \theta}(\Omega)$ in the very same fashion as in (2.2). This definition is equivalent to the corresponding “interior” one in the case of domains satisfying *the cube condition*. Recall that a domain Ω satisfies this condition, if every x at the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is a vertex of an open cube containing in Ω and being congruent to a fixed cube $(0, \ell)^d$.

We also require the notion of a $\bar{\lambda}$ -*quasidydadic tree* which is introduced by the conditions (a), (b) of Definition 1.1 and the condition (c), see (1.4) and (1.5), with the cube Q_ω replaced by the corresponding $\bar{\lambda}$ -cube. In turn, a $\bar{\lambda}$ -cube is a closed d -interval³ whose sidelengths ℓ_i satisfy the condition

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda_i \log \ell_i = \text{const}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Together with the condition (1.5) this imply for the sidelengths $\ell_i(\omega)$ of the $\bar{\lambda}$ -cube Q_ω the inequalities

$$(2.12) \quad \ell_i(\omega) \approx 2^{-j \langle \bar{\lambda} \rangle / \lambda_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d,$$

being fulfilled uniformly in j .

Here $j := h(\omega)$, see (1.3), and

$$(2.13) \quad \langle \bar{\lambda} \rangle := \left(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right)^{-1}.$$

Assume now that

³ d -interval is a parallelotope $\prod_{k=1}^d I_k$, where I_k are intervals of \mathbb{R} .

- (a) $f \in B_p^{\bar{\lambda}}(\Omega)$ and $0 < p < q \leq \infty$ satisfy

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\langle \bar{\lambda} \rangle}{d} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q};$$

let, in addition, $p \leq 1$, if $q = \infty$.

- (b) $\mathcal{T} := \{\pi_j\}$ is a $\bar{\lambda}$ -dyadic tree of partitions for a bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Under these assumptions the following is true.

Theorem 2.5. *Given $N \geq 1$, there is a piecewise polynomial $L_N(f) \in \mathcal{P}_k^N(\mathcal{T})$, see Remark 1.3, such that*

$$(2.15) \quad \|f - L_N(f)\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \leq CN^{-\frac{\langle \bar{\lambda} \rangle}{d}} |f|_{B_p^{\bar{\lambda}}(\Omega)}.$$

Here C is independent of N and f .

To formulate the second result, assume that:

- (a) $f \in B_p^{\bar{\lambda}\infty}(\Omega)$ and $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ satisfy

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{\langle \bar{\lambda} \rangle}{d} > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q};$$

- (b) \mathcal{T} and Ω are as in Theorem 2.7.

Then the following holds.

Theorem 2.6. *Given $N \geq 1$, there is a piecewise polynomial $L_N(f) \in \mathcal{P}_k^N(\mathcal{T})$ such that (2.15) holds with $|f|_{B_p^{\bar{\lambda}\infty}(\Omega)}$ substituted for $|f|_{B_p^{\bar{\lambda}}(\Omega)}$ and C independent of f, N and $\varepsilon := \frac{\langle \bar{\lambda} \rangle}{d} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$.*

Remark 2.7. It can be shown that in this case $L_N(f)$ can be rewritten in a form described in Remark 2.3 (with $C(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$). Such a representation can be used for the following estimation of the ε -entropy $H_\varepsilon(B_p^{\bar{\lambda}\infty}; L_q)$ of the unit ball of $B_p^{\bar{\lambda}\infty}(\Omega)$ in $L_q(\Omega)$.

$$\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon(B_p^{\bar{\lambda},\infty}, L_q) \approx \varepsilon^{-\frac{d}{\langle \bar{\lambda} \rangle}}, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

We shall present this result elsewhere.

3. The proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.4

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We deduced the first two results of the present paper from the main approximation theorem of [7]. For the convenience of the reader, we formulate a special case of this theorem adapted to our setting.

Let $\mathcal{T} := \{\pi_j\}$ be a tree of partitions of a bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying the following condition.

There is a constant $C = C(\mathcal{T})$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\omega \in \pi_j$

$$(3.1) \quad 2 \leq \#\{\omega' \in \pi_{j+1} : \omega' \subset \omega\} \leq C(\mathcal{T}).$$

Note that this condition is clearly true for quasyadic or $\bar{\lambda}$ -quasyadic tree, since in these cases $|\omega| \approx 2^{-jd}$, see (1.5) and (2.14).

Let now \mathcal{P} be a fixed finite dimensional space of polynomials in $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Given a positive weight $w : \pi_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $0 < p < \infty$, introduce a space of functions $B_p^w(\mathcal{T})$ by condition

$$(3.2) \quad |f|_{B_p^w(\mathcal{T})} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{\omega \in \pi_{\mathcal{T}}} \left(w(\omega) \sup_{\omega} |f_{\omega}| \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Here the infimum is taken over all decompositions,

$$(3.3) \quad f = \sum_{\omega \in \pi_{\mathcal{T}}} f_{\omega} \mathbf{1}_{\omega} \quad (\text{convergence in } L_p(\Omega))$$

with $\{f_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \pi_{\mathcal{T}}} \subset \mathcal{P}$.

Assume now that

- (a) \mathcal{T} is a tree of partitions for a bounded $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying the condition (3.1).
- (b) For some $q \in (p, +\infty]$ the following continuous embedding

$$(3.4) \quad B_p^w(\mathcal{T}) \subset L_q(\Omega)$$

holds.

Under these assumptions the following is true.

Theorem 3.1. (see [7]) *Given $f \in B_p^w(\mathcal{T})$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a piecewise polynomial*

$$(3.5) \quad L_N(f) := \sum_{\omega \in \Delta} p_{\omega} \mathbf{1}_{\omega}$$

with $p_\omega \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\Delta \subset \pi_{\mathcal{T}}$ containing, at most, $4N$ sets such that

$$(3.6) \quad \|f - L_N(f)\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \leq CN^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}|f|_{B_p^w(\mathcal{T})}.$$

The constant C is independent of F and N .

We deduce Theorem 2.1 from this and the next result.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $w_q : \pi_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a weight defined by $w_q(\omega) := |\omega|^{\frac{1}{q}}$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, and \mathcal{P}_k is the space of polynomials of degree $k-1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1,*

$$(3.7) \quad B_p^{w_q}(\mathcal{T}) \subset L_q(\Omega).$$

If, in addition, $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_k$, $k > \lambda$, then

$$(3.8) \quad B_p^\lambda(\Omega) \subset B_p^{w_q}(\mathcal{T}).$$

Proof. The embedding (3.7) follows from a more general result that will be proved in the last section, see Theorem 6.1. To prove the next embedding, introduce a family of subspaces of $L_p(\Omega)$ by

$$(3.9) \quad V_j := \left\{ \sum_{\omega \in \pi_j} p_\omega \mathbf{1}_\omega : p_\omega \in \mathcal{P} \right\}, \text{ if } j \geq 0$$

and

$$V_j := \{0\}, \text{ if } j < 0.$$

Since π_{j+1} is a refinement of π_j

$$(3.10) \quad V_j \subset V_{j+1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z};$$

besides, $\bigcup_j V_j$ is dense in $L_p(\Omega)$, if $p < \infty$.

We then set

$$(3.11) \quad E_j(f) := \inf \{ \|f - g\|_{L_p(\Omega)} : g \in V_j \}$$

and introduce an approximation space $\mathcal{E}_p^\lambda(\mathcal{T})$ by the condition

$$(3.12) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_p^\lambda(\mathcal{T})} := \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(2^{j\lambda} E_j(f) \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

It is easily seen that this space is quasi-Banach. \blacksquare

Lemma 3.3. *Up to the equivalence of the quasinorms, it is true that*

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{E}_p^\lambda(\mathcal{T}) = B_p^{w_q}(\mathcal{T}).$$

Proof. According to Theorem 1 of [8], see also [9], Lemma 4.3.21, for every $f \in \mathcal{E}_p^\lambda(\mathcal{T})$ the following equivalence

$$(3.14) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_p^\lambda(\mathcal{T})} \approx \inf \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(2^{j\lambda} \|f_j - f_{j-1}\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

holds with constants independent of f . Here the infimum is taken over all decompositions

$$(3.15) \quad f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (f_j - f_{j-1})$$

with $f_j \in V_j$. Since for such f_j

$$(3.16) \quad f_j - f_{j-1} = \sum_{\omega \in \pi_j} p_\omega \mathbf{1}_\omega$$

with suitable polynomials $p_\omega \in \mathcal{P}$, see (3.10), the set of these decompositions for f coincides with that involved in the definition of the space $B_p^{w_q}(\mathcal{T})$, see (3.3).

Show now that uniformly in j

$$(3.17) \quad 2^{j\lambda} \|f_j - f_{j-1}\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \approx \left\{ \sum_{\omega \in \pi_j} \left(w_q(\omega) \sup_{\omega} |p_\omega| \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

with p_ω from (3.16). Together with (3.14) this implies the equivalence of the quasinorms (3.12) and (3.2) with $w := w_q$.

To prove (3.16), note first that

$$(3.18) \quad |\omega| \approx |\text{conv}(\omega)| \approx 2^{-jd}, \quad \omega \in \pi_j,$$

uniformly in ω and j , see (1.4) and (1.5). Hence the inequality of [5] implies for these ω the equivalence

$$(3.19) \quad \int_{\omega} |m|^p dx \approx |\omega| \left(\sup_{\omega} |m| \right)^p, \quad m \in \mathcal{P}_k,$$

which is uniform in m and ω .

Using this and (3.18), we then have

$$2^{j\lambda} \|f_j - f_{j-1}\|_{L_p(\Omega)} = \left\{ \sum_{\omega \in \pi_j} 2^{j\lambda p} \int_{\omega} |p_{\omega}|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \approx \left\{ \sum_{\omega \in \pi_j} |\omega|^{-\frac{\lambda p}{d} + 1} \left(\sup_{\omega} |p_{\omega}| \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since $-\frac{\lambda p}{d} + 1 = \frac{p}{q}$, see (2.3), the right-hand side coincides with that of (3.17). The lemma has been proved. \blacksquare

Remark 3.4. The equivalence (3.13) remains to be true for arbitrary space \mathcal{P} of polynomials of finite degree, since (3.19) holds in this case. Virtually, one can replace \mathcal{P} by a space of locally bounded functions satisfying (3.19).

Remark 3.5. The very same argument shows that for $f_j \in V_j$ the inequality

$$(3.20) \quad \|f_j - f_{j-1}\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C 2^{\frac{j d}{p}} \|f_j - f_{j-1}\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

holds with C independent of j and $f_j - f_{j-1}$. This will be used in the last section.

We now prove the inequality

$$(3.21) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_p^{\lambda}(\mathcal{T})} \leq C \left\{ \|f\|_{B_p^{\lambda}(\Omega)} + \|p_f\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \right\}$$

with C independent of f , and p_f being a polynomial of \mathcal{P}_k such that

$$\|f - p_f\|_{L_p(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \|f - m\|_{L_p(\Omega)} : m \in \mathcal{P}_k \right\}.$$

Together with Lemma 3.3, this implies the required embedding (3.8).

The key point in proving (3.21) is to estimate $E_j(f)$ by k -modulus of continuity of f . To do this, we first present $E_j(f)$ as follows. Let $f_j \in V_j$ be defined by

$$\|f - f_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)} := E_j(f).$$

If $f_j = \sum_{\omega \in \pi_j} p_{\omega} 1_{\omega}$, then

$$E_j(f) = \left\{ \sum_{\omega \in \pi_j} \int_{\omega} |f - p_{\omega}|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Because of optimality of f_j the polynomial p_ω provides best approximation of f in $L_p(\omega)$, i.e.,

$$E_k(f; \omega) := \inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}_k} \|f - g\|_{L_p(\omega)} = \|f - p_\omega\|_{L_p(\omega)}.$$

In particular, p_Ω is a polynomial of best approximation, i.e., it coincides with the polynomial p_f in (3.21).

We will prove later that $\{Q_\omega; \omega \in \pi_j\}$ is C -disjoint⁴, with C independent of j . Using this fact we have

$$E_j(f) \leq C^{\frac{1}{p}} \sup_{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{Q \in \pi} E_k(\tilde{f}; Q)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all nonoverlapping subfamilies π of π_j .

Finally, we apply for these π the inequality

$$(3.22) \quad \left\{ \sum_{Q \in \pi} E_k(\tilde{f}; Q)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup_{Q \in \pi} \omega_k \left(\tilde{f}; |Q|^{\frac{1}{k}} \right)_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

see [2] for $p \geq 1$ and [12] for $p < 1$. Since $|Q_\omega| \leq C2^{-jd}$, the last two inequalities imply

$$(3.23) \quad E_j(f) \leq C \omega_k(\tilde{f}; 2^{-j})_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

with C independent of j and f . It follows from here that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_p^\lambda(\mathcal{T})} \leq C \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(2^{j\lambda} \omega_k(\tilde{f}; 2^{-j})_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right)^p + \|p_f\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \left\{ |\tilde{f}|_{B_p^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|p_f\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \right\}.$$

Taking the infimum over all extensions \tilde{f} of f and using (2.2), we prove (2.21).

To complete this proof it remains to establish that $\{Q_\omega : \omega \in \pi_j\}$ is C -disjoint with C independent of j . Let

$$M := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} M(x) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \# \{Q_\omega \in \pi_j : x \in Q_\omega\}.$$

By the covering theorem of [6], the family $\{Q_\omega : \omega \in \pi_j\}$ is \tilde{C} -disjoint with

$$(3.24) \quad \tilde{C} := 2^{d-1}(M-1) + 1.$$

⁴A family of subsets is C -disjoint, if it is a union of, at most, C subfamilies consisting of pairwise nonoverlapping subsets.

According to (1.5),

$$\text{diam } Q_\omega \leq C2^{-j}, \quad \omega \in \pi_j,$$

with C independent of ω and j . Hence all Q_ω containing x are subsets of the ball B centered at x and of radius $C2^{-j}$. Let $S(x) := \{\omega \in \pi_j : x \in Q_\omega\}$. Since π_j is disjoint, $S(x)$ is disjoint as well. Therefore

$$M(x) \min\{|\omega| : \omega \in S(x)\} \leq \sum_{\omega \in S(x)} |\omega| \leq |B|.$$

By (1.5) this minimum is, at least, $C_1 2^{-jd}$, while $|B| = C_2 2^{-jd}$ where C_1, C_2 independent of j and positive. Hence

$$M := \sup_x M(x) \leq \frac{C_2}{C_1}$$

and the desired estimate follows from (3.24).

To within the proof of the embedding (3.7), Proposition 3.2 has been done.

We now prove Theorem 2.1. Using Theorem 3.1 with $w := w_q$ and $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_k$ together with (3.7), we find a piecewise polynomial $L_N(f) \in \mathcal{P}_k^N(\mathcal{T})$ satisfying

$$\|f - L_N\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \leq CN^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} |f|_{B_p^{w_q}(\mathcal{T})}.$$

Applying this to the function $f - p_f$ and taking into account (3.21) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - p_f - L_N(f - p_f)\|_{L_q(\Omega)} &\leq CN^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} |f - p_f|_{B_p^w(\mathcal{T})} \\ &\leq C_1 N^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} |f - p_f|_{B_p^\lambda(\Omega)} = C_1 N^{-\frac{\lambda}{d}} |f|_{B_p^\lambda(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $p_f + L_N(f - p_f)$ is the required piecewise polynomial.

Theorem 2.1 has been proved. ■

Proof of Corollary 2.4. Using the notion of the approximation space $\mathcal{N}_p^{\lambda\theta}(\mathcal{T})$, see(2.6), we reformulate Theorem 2.1 as follows.

Let λ, p, q satisfy

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{\lambda}{d} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} (> 0)$$

and, in addition, $p \leq 1$, if $q = \infty$. Then it is true that

$$(3.26) \quad B_p^\lambda(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{N}_q^{\lambda\infty}(\mathcal{T}).$$

Using this we establish, first, the desired result for $q < \infty$, and $q = \infty$ and $p < 1$. Choose p_0, p_1 such that

$$p_0 < p < p_1, \quad \text{if } q < \infty,$$

and

$$p_0 < p < p_1 < 1, \quad \text{if } q = \infty.$$

Then for suitable $\theta \in (0, 1)$

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}.$$

By (3.25), there are $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ such that

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{d} = \frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{q}, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Using the embedding (3.26) for these λ_i, p_i and q and applying the real interpolation, we then have

$$(3.27) \quad \left(B_{p_0}^{\lambda_0}, B_{p_1}^{\lambda_1} \right)_{\theta p} (\Omega) \subset \left(\mathcal{N}_q^{\lambda_0 \infty}, \mathcal{N}_q^{\lambda_1 \infty} \right)_{\theta p} (T).$$

The Peetre–Sparr interpolation theorem for approximation spaces, see e.g., [1], Chapter 7, yields

$$(3.28) \quad \left(\mathcal{N}_q^{\lambda_0 \infty}, \mathcal{N}_q^{\lambda_1 \infty} \right)_{\theta p} (T) = \mathcal{N}_q^{\lambda p} (T).$$

Use now the interpolation theorem for Besov spaces, see e.g., [10]. We have

$$(3.29) \quad \left(B_{p_0}^{\lambda_0}, B_{p_1}^{\lambda_1} \right)_{\theta p} (\mathbb{R}^d) = B_p^{\lambda} (\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Since $B_p^{\lambda}(\Omega)$ is, by our definition, a trace space, the trace operator acts boundedly from $B_p^{\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $B_p^{\lambda}(\Omega)$. By the real interpolation theorem this operator acts continuously from the space (3.29) to the space $\left(B_{p_0}^{\lambda_0}, B_{p_1}^{\lambda_1} \right)_{\theta p} (\Omega)$, as well. In other words, we have

$$B_p^{\lambda}(\Omega) = B_p^{\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d) \Big|_{\Omega} \subset \left(B_{p_0}^{\lambda_0}, B_{p_1}^{\lambda_1} \right)_{\theta p} (\Omega).$$

Together with (3.28) and (3.27), this implies in this case the required embedding

$$B_p^{\lambda}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{N}_p^{\lambda p}(T).$$

Let now $q = \infty$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then (3.26) yields

$$\left(BMO, B_1^d\right)_{\theta p}(\Omega) \subset \left(\mathcal{N}_{BMO}^{0\infty}, \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{d\infty}\right)_{\theta p}(\Omega)$$

with $\theta \in (0, 1)$ determined by

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1 - \theta}{1}.$$

Then the Peetre–Sparr theorem gives

$$\left(\mathcal{N}_{BMO}^{0\infty}, \mathcal{N}_{\infty}^{d\infty}\right)_{\theta p}(\mathcal{T}) \subset \left(\mathcal{N}_{BMO}^{0\infty}, \mathcal{N}_{BMO}^{d\infty}\right)_{\theta p}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{N}_{BMO}^{(1-\theta)d, p}(\mathcal{T}).$$

But $\frac{\lambda}{d} = \frac{1}{p} = 1 - \theta$. Hence $(1 - \theta)d = \lambda$ and we have

$$(3.30) \quad \left(BMO, B_1^d\right)_{\theta p}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{N}_{BMO}^{\lambda p}(\mathcal{T}).$$

On the other hand, the Peetre–Svensson theorem [14] states that

$$\left(BMO, B_1^d\right)_{\theta p}(\mathbb{R}^d) = B_p^{\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Since $BMO(\Omega)$ is also defined as a trace space, as before, we obtain the embedding

$$B_p^{\lambda}(\Omega) \subset \left(BMO, B_1^d\right)_{\theta p}(\Omega).$$

Together with (3.30) this yields the desired result in this case.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.2

Let V_j and $E_j(f)$ be defined by (3.9) and (3.10) and (3.11), and $f_j \in V_j$ be determined by

$$(4.1) \quad E_j(f) = \|f - f_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)}.$$

Given N , one defines $J \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by

$$(4.2) \quad 2^{Jd} \leq N < 2^{(J+1)d}$$

and introduce the function

$$(4.3) \quad g_J := f - f_J.$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 to this g we have⁵

$$(4.4) \quad \|g_J - L_N(g_J)\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \leq CN^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} |f_J|_{B_p^{wq}(\mathcal{T})}.$$

Note that

$$g_J - L_N(g_J) = f - f_J - L_N(f - f_J) =: f - \tilde{L}_N(f)$$

and $\tilde{L}_N(f)$ is a sum of terms $\tilde{p}_\omega \mathbf{1}_\omega$, $\omega \in \tilde{\Delta}$, where $\tilde{p}_\omega \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and

$$\#\tilde{\Delta} \leq \dim \pi_J + 4N.$$

By (1.5) and (4.2) $\dim \pi_J \leq CN$ and therefore $\tilde{L}_N(f) \in \mathcal{P}_k^{CN}(\mathcal{T})$. Hence it remains to derive from (4.4) the desired upper bound.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 < \mu < \lambda$ be defined by*

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\mu}{d} := \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}.$$

Then uniformly in J and F the following is true:

$$(4.6) \quad |f - f_J|_{B_p^{wq}(\mathcal{T})} \leq C2^{-J(\lambda-\mu)} |f|_{B^{\lambda\infty}(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. By (4.3),

$$g = \sum_{j>J} (f_j - f_{j+1}) = \sum_{j>J} \sum_{\omega \in \pi_j} f_\omega \mathbf{1}_\omega$$

with suitable $f_\omega \in \mathcal{P}_k$. This and (3.2) imply

$$(4.7) \quad |f - f_J|_{B_p^{wq}(\mathcal{T})} \leq \left\{ \sum_{j>J} \sum_{\omega \in \pi_j} \left(|\omega|^{\frac{1}{q}} \sup_{\omega} |f_\omega| \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Using (3.17) and the inequality

$$\|f_j - f_{j+1}\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p^*}-1} (E_j(f) + E_{j+1}(f)),$$

⁵Hereafter C stands for a constant independent of f and N which may vary from line to line.

where $p^* := \min(1, p)$, we then bound the right hand side of (4.7) by

$$C \left\{ \sum_{j \geq J} (2^{j\mu} E_j(f))^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C 2^{-J(\lambda-\mu)} \sup_{j \geq J} 2^{j\lambda} E_j(f).$$

Using now the inequality (3.23) we have for $j \geq J$

$$E_j(f) \leq C \omega_k \left(\tilde{f}; 2^{-j} \right)_{L_p(\Omega)},$$

whence, in turn, one gets

$$\sup_{j \geq J} 2^{j\lambda} E_j(f) \leq C \sup_{j \geq 0} 2^{j\lambda} \omega_k \left(\tilde{f}; 2^{-j} \right)_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq C |f|_{B_p^{\lambda\infty}(\Omega)}.$$

Combine all these inequalities to prove (4.6). ■

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.2, it remains to estimate the right hand side of (4.4) by (4.6). Then for $\tilde{L}_N(f) \in \mathcal{P}_k^{CN}(\mathcal{T})$ we get by (4.5) and (4.2)

$$\|f - \tilde{L}_N(f)\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \leq C N^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} 2^{-J(\lambda-\mu)} |f|_{B_p^{\lambda\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C N^{-\frac{\lambda}{d}} |f|_{B_p^{\lambda\infty}(\Omega)}.$$

5. The anisotropic case

The proofs of Theorem 2.5 and 2.6 are based on the very same arguments as ones of the corresponding isotropic results. We only single out the changes required in these cases, remaining the details for the reader. In Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.1, we replace the space \mathcal{P}_k of polynomials of degree $k - 1$ by that of vector degree $\bar{k} - \bar{e} = (k_1 - 1, \dots, k_d - 1)$ (written $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{k}}$). Since Theorem 3.1 and the embedding (3.7) are true for arbitrary finite dimensional subspace \mathcal{P} of polynomials in x , it remains only to check that the analog of (3.8) holds in this situation.

So we have to prove that for $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_{\bar{k}}$, $k_i > \lambda_i$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, the following embedding

$$(5.1) \quad B_p^{\bar{\lambda}}(\Omega) \subset B_p^{w_q}(\mathcal{T})$$

holds. In turn, this embedding follows from the anisotropic analogue of the inequality (3.22) which asserts that

$$(5.2) \quad \left\{ \sum_{Q \in \pi} E_{\bar{k}}(\tilde{f}; Q)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup_{Q \in \pi} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \omega_{k_i}^i \left(\tilde{f}; \ell_i(Q) \right)_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right\}.$$

Here π is an nonoverlapping subfamily of the family $\{Q_\omega : \omega \in \pi_j\}$, and $\ell_i(Q)$ is the i th sidelength of the $\bar{\lambda}$ -cube Q_ω , see (2.11). The inequality (5.2) is, in fact, known and can be carried out by the argument presented, e.g. in [3], Appendix 1. Using now (2.12) and (5.2), we complete the proof of the embedding (5.1) repeating word by word the corresponding end of the proof for the embedding (3.7).

In the case of Theorem 2.6, we simply repeat the proof of Theorem 2.2 replacing in Lemma 4.1, \mathcal{P}_k by $\mathcal{P}_{\bar{k}}$ and applying (5.2) instead of (3.22).

6. An embedding theorem

We now present a general embedding result which will immediately yield the required embedding (3.7).

Let $\mathcal{A} := \{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a family of subsets from $L_p(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$(6.1) \quad A_j \subset A_{j+1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and $A_j := \{0\}$, $j < 0$. Define the best approximation

$$(6.2) \quad E_j(f) := \inf_{g \in A_j} \|f - g\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

and introduce an *approximation space* $\mathcal{E}_p^\lambda(\mathcal{A})$, $\lambda > 0$, $0 < p < \infty$, by the quasinorm

$$(6.3) \quad \|f\|_* := \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(2^{j\lambda} E_j(f) \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Assume that for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in A_j$

$$(6.4) \quad \|f\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \leq C 2^{\frac{j d}{p}} \|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

with C independent of j and f . Assume also that $|\Omega| < \infty$. Without loss of generality we let

$$(6.5) \quad |\Omega| = 1.$$

Under these assumptions the following holds.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\frac{\lambda}{d} \leq \frac{1}{p}$, and $0 < p < q \leq \infty$ be defined by*

$$(6.6) \quad \frac{\lambda}{d} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}.$$

Let, in addition, $p \leq 1$, if $q = \infty$. Then the following embedding holds:

$$(6.7) \quad \mathcal{E}_p^\lambda(\mathcal{A}) \subset L_q(\Omega).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_p^\lambda(\mathcal{A})$, and $f_j \in A_j$ be defined by

$$(6.8) \quad E_j(f) = \|f - f_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)}.$$

Show that

$$(6.9) \quad f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (f_j - f_{j+1}) \quad (\text{convergence in } L_p(\Omega)).$$

In fact, for $p^* := \min(1, p)$

$$(6.10) \quad \|f_j - f_{j+1}\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p^*}-1} (E_j(f) + E_{j+1}(f)),$$

and (6.9) is majorated by the series $\sum E_j(f)^{p^*}$. This, in turn, is convergent because of finiteness of (6.3).

Now by (6.4), (6.10) and (6.2)

$$\|f_j - f_{j+1}\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq C 2^{\frac{j d}{p}} E_j(f), \quad j \geq -1.$$

Let now $S \subset \Omega$ be a subset of measure $2^{-j d}$. Then for $C := 2^{\frac{1}{p^*}-1}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_p(S)} &\leq C \left\{ \|f - f_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)} + |S|^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f_j\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \right\} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \|f - f_j\|_{L_p(\Omega)} + 2^{\frac{-j d}{p}} \sum_{i \leq j} \|f_i - f_{i+1}\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with previous inequality this gives

$$(6.11) \quad \|f\|_{L_p(S)} \leq C 2^{\frac{-j d}{p}} \sum_{i \leq j} E_i(f).$$

To specify S , one introduces the set

$$\left\{ x \in \Omega : |f(x)| \geq f^*(2^{-j d}) \right\}$$

defined by the rearrangement f^* of f . By the definition of f^* , this set contains a subset S of measure $2^{-j d}$. With this choice of S one gets

$$\|f\|_{L_p(S)} \geq |S|^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(|S|) = 2^{\frac{-j d}{p}} f^*(2^{-j d}).$$

Together with (6.11) this implies that

$$(6.12) \quad f^*(2^{-jd}) \leq C \sum_{i \leq j} 2^{\frac{id}{p}} E_i(f).$$

We now derive from this the desired embedding (6.7). Since

$$\|f\|_{L_q(\Omega)} = \left\{ \int_0^1 f^*(t)^q dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(2^{-jd} f^*(2^{-jd}) \right)^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

the inequality (6.12) and the Hardy inequalities with $0 < p < q < \infty$ imply that

$$\|f\|_{L_q(\Omega)} \leq C \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(2^{jd(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} E_j(f) \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = C \|f\|_*.$$

By (6.3), this completes the proof for $q < \infty$. In the case $q = \infty$ the inequality (6.12) implies that

$$\|f\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f^*(2^{-jd}) \leq C \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} 2^{\frac{id}{p}} E_i(f).$$

Since in this case $p \leq 1$ and $\frac{\lambda}{d} = \frac{1}{p}$, the right hand side is at most

$$C \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(2^{j\lambda} E_j(f) \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \|f\|_*.$$

Hence the result holds for $q = \infty$, as well. ■

To derive the embedding (3.7) from Theorem 6.1, one sets $A_j = V_j$, see (3.9), and then apply Lemma 3.3. The lemma asserts that for this choice of A_j the space $\mathcal{E}_p^\lambda(\mathcal{A})$ coincides with the space $B_p^{wq}(\mathcal{T})$. Then (6.6) implies the desired result (3.7).

References

- [1] J. Bergh and J. Löfsterm. *Interpolation Spaces*, Springer, 1976.
- [2] Yu. Brudnyi. Spaces defined by local polynomial approximation, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, **24**, 1973, 69-132.

- [3] Yu. Brudnyi. Adaptive approximation of functions with singularities, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.*, **55**, 1995, 123-186.
- [4] Yu. Brudnyi. Nonlinear approximation by refinable functions and piecewise polynomials, In: *Approximation Theory*, **X** (Ed-s: Ch. Chai, L. Shumarev and J. Stöckler), 2002, 91-112.
- [5] Yu. Brudnyi and M. Ganzburg. An extremal problem for polynomials in n variables, *Math-USSR, Izvestia*, **7**, 1973, 345-356.
- [6] Yu. Brudnyi and B. Kotlyar. A theorem of combinatorial geometry, *Siberian Math. J.*, **11**, 1971, 1191-1193.
- [7] Yu. Brudnyi and I. Kozlov. An algorithm of nonlinear approximation by polynomials, *Math. Physics, Analysis and Geometry*, **3**, 2002, 17pp.
- [8] Yu. Brudnyi and N. Krugljak. On a family of approximation spaces. In: *Functions of Several Real Variables*, Yaroslavl' State Univ., Yaroslavl', 1978, 15-42.
- [9] Yu. Brudnyi and N. Krugljak. *Interpolation Functions and Interpolation Spaces*, North Holland, 1992.
- [10] R. DeVore and V. Popov. Interpolation of Besov spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **305**, 1988, 397-414.
- [11] I. Irodova. Approximation by piecewise polynomials and splines in the space $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, *Ph.D. Thesis*, 1981, Yaroslavl' State University, 1-150.
- [12] P. Oswald and E. Storojenko. Jackson's theorem in the spaces $L_p(\mathbb{R}^k)$, $0 < p < 1$, *Siberian Math. J.*, **19**, 1978, 888-901.
- [13] J. Peetre. Sur les espaces de Besov, *C.R.Acad. Sci.*, **264**, No 6, A281-A283.
- [14] J. Peetre and E. Swensson. On the generalized Hardy's inequality, *Math. Scand.*, **54**, 1984, 221-241.

Department of Mathematics
Technion-Israel Institute of Technology
32000 Haifa, ISRAEL

Received 31.05.2002

e-mail: ybrudnyi@tx.technion.ac.il