

On the b -adic diaphony of the Roth net and generalized Zaremba net

V. S. Grozdanov and S. S. Stoilova

Presented by Bl. Sendov

In our paper we consider two classes of two-dimensional nets- the net of Roth and the generalized net of Zaremba. We give above and low estimations for the b -adic diaphony of these nets. We obtain an order $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log b^\nu}}{b^\nu}\right)$ of the b -adic diaphony of these nets.

AMS Subj. Classification: Primary 11J71; Secondary 42C10

Key Words: b -adic diaphony, a net of Roth, a net of Zaremba

1. Introduction

Let $E^s = [0, 1]^s$ ($s \geq 1$) be the s -dimensional unit cube. Let $\sigma_N = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$ be an arbitrary net, composed from N points in E^s . For each $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in E^s$ let $A(\sigma_N; \mathbf{x}) = \{\mathbf{x}_n : \mathbf{x}_n \in \prod_{i=1}^s [0, x_i], 1 \leq n \leq N\}$.

The quadratical discrepancy $T(\sigma_N)$ of the net σ_N is an classical measure of the irregularity of distribution and it is defined as

$$T(\sigma_N) = \left(\int_{E^s} \left| N^{-1} A(\sigma_N; \mathbf{x}) - x_1 \dots x_s \right|^2 dx_1 \dots dx_s \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Roth [1] established a general lower bound of the quadratical discrepancy: For every net σ_N , composed from N points in E^s with $s \geq 2$, there is a positive constant $C(s)$, depending on the dimension s so that $T(\sigma_N) > C(s) \frac{(\log N)^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}{N}$. This lower bound is possibly the best. There exist nets Θ_N , composed from N points with $T(\Theta_N) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{(\log N)^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}{N}\right)$. For $s = 2$ this is the net of Zaremba [2]

(see Definition 1) and for $s \geq 3$ Roth [3], [4] proposes nets, which have the best possible order of the their quadratical discrepancy.

In 1976, Zinterhof [5] proposed another measure for the irregularity of distribution, called diaphony, which is defined as

$$F(\sigma_N) = \left(\sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^s \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} R^{-2}(\mathbf{m}) \left| N^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp(2\pi i \langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where for $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_s)$ $R(\mathbf{m}) = \prod_{i=1}^s \max(|m_i|, 1)$, and $\langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle$ is the inner product of the vectors $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{x}_n$ ($1 \leq n \leq N$).

Bikovski [6] established a general lower bound of the diaphony: For every net σ_N , composed from N points in E^s with $s \geq 2$ $F(\sigma_N) > C_1(s) \frac{(\log N)^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}{N}$, where $C_1(s)$ is a positive constant, depending on the dimension s .

Grozdanov [7] obtained a class of two-dimensional nets with an order $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log 2^\nu}}{2^\nu}\right)$ of their diaphony, which is possibly the best. Xiao [8] proved that the diaphony of the nets of Roth and Zaremba (see Definition 1) has an order $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log b^\nu}}{b^\nu}\right)$, too.

2. Statements of the results

Let $b \geq 2$ denote a fixed integer and $\omega = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{b})$. Chrestenson [9] considers a class of generalized Walsh [10] functions, defined in b -adic system: The Rademacher functions of order b are defined by

$$r_0(x) = \omega^k, \text{ if } \frac{k}{b} \leq x < \frac{k+1}{b}, \quad k = 0, \dots, b-1,$$

and for $n \geq 1$ $r_n(x) = r_0(b^n x)$.

The Chrestenson functions of order b are defined as: $w_0(x) = 1, \forall x \in [0, 1)$ and for integer $n \geq 1$ in the form

$$n = a_1 b^{n_1} + \dots + a_m b^{n_m},$$

where for $1 \leq j \leq m$ $a_j \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$ and $n_1 > \dots > n_m \geq 0$ the n -th Chrestenson function is

$$w_n(x) = r_{n_1}^{a_1}(x) \dots r_{n_m}^{a_m}(x), \quad x \in [0, 1).$$

Let $\mathcal{W}(b) = \{w_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ denote the system of the Chrestenson functions of order b . When $b = 2$ the original system of Walsh $\mathcal{W}(2)$ is obtained.

We note that for an arbitrary real $x \in [0, 1)$ with b -adic development $x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j b^{-j-1}$ and each integer $n \geq 0$ we have the equation

$$r_n(x) = \omega^{x_n}. \quad (1)$$

For vector $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_s)$ with nonnegative coordinates the Chrestenson function $w_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})$ on E^s is $w_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^s w_{k_i}(x_i)$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in E^s$.

Using the system $\mathcal{W}(2)$ Hellekalek and Leeb [11] introduce a new version of diaphony, the so-called dyadic diaphony. The authors [12] make an extension of the definition of dyadic diaphony to the so-called b -adic diaphony. The b -adic diaphony of arbitrary net σ_N in E^s is defined as

$$F(\mathcal{W}(b); \sigma_N) = \left(\frac{1}{(b+1)^s - 1} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}} \rho(\mathbf{k}) \left| N^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^N w_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}_n) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where for the vector $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_s)$ with nonnegative coordinates $\rho(\mathbf{k}) = \prod_{i=1}^s \rho(k_i)$ and

$$\rho(k) = \begin{cases} b^{-2g}, & \text{if } b^g \leq k < b^{g+1}, \quad g \geq 0, \quad g \in \mathbf{Z}, \\ 1, & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

For $\gamma, \delta \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ we note $\gamma \oplus \delta = \gamma + \delta \pmod{b}$. If $x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_j b^{-j-1}$ and $y = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y_j b^{-j-1}$ we put $x \oplus y = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (x_j \oplus y_j) b^{-j-1}$.

Let $b \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Let $n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(n) b^j$ be the b -adic expansion of n and let $\phi_b(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(n) b^{-j-1}$ be the n -th element of the Van der Corput-Halton [13] sequence in base b .

Let $\nu > 0$ be an arbitrary fixed integer. Let for $0 \leq j \leq \nu - 1$ $\mu_j \equiv \alpha j + \beta \pmod{b}$, with fixed $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ and $\mu = 0.\mu_0\mu_1\dots\mu_{\nu-1}$. For $0 \leq n \leq b^\nu - 1$ we put $\psi_{b,\nu}(n) = \frac{n}{b^\nu}$ and $\phi'_b(n) = \phi_b(n) \oplus \mu$.

Let $\psi_{b,\nu} = \{\psi_{b,\nu}(n) : 0 \leq n \leq b^\nu - 1\}$ and $\phi'_{b,\nu} = \{\phi'_b(n) : 0 \leq n \leq b^\nu - 1\}$.

Definition 1. For any positive integer ν , the Roth net and the generalized Zaremba net in base b , composed from b^ν points are defined respectively by

$$R_{b,\nu} = \{(\psi_{b,\nu}(n), \phi_b(n)) : 0 \leq n \leq b^\nu - 1\},$$

$$Z_{b,\nu}^{\alpha,\beta} = \{(\psi_{b,\nu}(n), \phi'_b(n)) : 0 \leq n \leq b^\nu - 1\}.$$

When $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$ the net $Z_{b,\nu}^{1,0}$ is introduced by Warnock [14]. When $b = 2$ and $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 1$ the net $Z_{2,\nu}^{1,1}$ is the original Zaremba [2] net. If $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ then $Z_{b,\nu}^{0,0}$ is the original net of Roth $R_{b,\nu}$.

In our paper we will obtain an order $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log b^\nu}}{b^\nu}\right)$ of the b-adic daphony of the Roth net and the generalized Zaremba net. The next results hold.

Theorem 1. *For any integer ν the inequations hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b^2 - 1}{b + 2} \frac{\nu}{b^{2\nu}} - \frac{b}{b + 2} \frac{1}{b^{2\nu}} + \frac{b}{b + 2} \frac{1}{b^{3\nu}} &\leq F^2(\mathcal{W}(b); R_{b,\nu}), \quad F^2(\mathcal{W}(b); Z_{b,\nu}^{\alpha,\beta}) \\ &\leq \frac{b^2 - 1}{b + 2} \frac{\nu}{b^{2\nu}} + \frac{2(b + 1)}{b + 2} \frac{1}{b^\nu} - \frac{2b}{b + 2} \frac{1}{b^{2\nu}} + \frac{b}{b + 2} \frac{1}{b^{3\nu}}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1. *The following equations hold*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b^\nu F(\mathcal{W}(b); R_{b,\nu})}{\sqrt{\log b^\nu}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{b^2 - 1}{(b + 2) \log b}}; \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b^\nu F(\mathcal{W}(b); Z_{b,\nu}^{\alpha,\beta})}{\sqrt{\log b^\nu}} = \sqrt{\frac{b^2 - 1}{(b + 2) \log b}}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2. *The following equations hold*

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^\nu F(\mathcal{W}(2); R_{2,\nu})}{\sqrt{\log 2^\nu}} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\log 2}}; \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^\nu F(\mathcal{W}(2); Z_{2,\nu})}{\sqrt{\log 2^\nu}} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\log 2}}.$$

The results, exposed in Theorem 1, Corollaries 1 and 2 have been announced in Grozdanov and Stoilova [15].

3. Preliminary results

Lemma 1. *Let $0 \leq g_1 \leq \nu - 1$ be an arbitrary fixed integer. An arbitrary integer k_1 , $b^{g_1} \leq k_1 < b^{g_1+1}$ we will represent in the form*

$$k_1 = \alpha_{g_1} b^{g_1} + \alpha_{g_1-1} b^{g_1-1} + \dots + \alpha_m b^m, \quad (2)$$

where for $0 \leq m \leq j \leq g_1$ $\alpha_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ and $\alpha_m, \alpha_{g_1} \neq 0$. Using k_1 we define the integer

$$k_1^* = \bar{\alpha}_m b^{\nu-1-m} + \bar{\alpha}_{m+1} b^{\nu-2-m} + \dots + \bar{\alpha}_{g_1} b^{\nu-1-g_1},$$

where for $m \leq j \leq g_1$ $\bar{\alpha}_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ and $\alpha_j \oplus \bar{\alpha}_j = 0$.

Then for every integers g_2 , $0 \leq g_2 \leq \nu - 1$ and k_2 , $b^{g_2} \leq k_2 < b^{g_2+1}$ the following equations hold

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right| = \begin{cases} b^\nu, & \text{if } k_2 = k_1^*, \\ 0, & \text{if } k_2 \neq k_1^*. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For an arbitrary integer i , $0 \leq i < b^\nu$ we will use the representation $i = \sum_{h=0}^{\nu-1} i_h b^h$. For an integer k_1 of the form (2) using (1) we have

$$w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) = \prod_{h=m}^{g_1} \omega^{\alpha_h i_{\nu-1-h}}. \quad (3)$$

Let $k_2 = k_1^*$. From (1) we have

$$w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) = \prod_{h=m}^{g_1} \omega^{\bar{\alpha}_h (i_{\nu-1-h} + \mu_{\nu-1-h})}. \quad (4)$$

From (3) and (4) for $\forall i$, $0 \leq i < b^\nu$, $w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) = \prod_{h=m}^{g_1} \omega^{\bar{\alpha}_h \mu_{\nu-1-h}}$ and

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right| = \left| \prod_{h=m}^{g_1} \omega^{\bar{\alpha}_h \mu_{\nu-1-h}} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} 1 \right| = b^\nu.$$

Let now $k_2 \neq k_1^*$. In order to avoid considering different cases, we will be using the representations $k_1 = \sum_{h=0}^{\nu-1} \alpha_h b^h$, $k_2 = \sum_{h=0}^{\nu-1} \beta_h b^h$, where $\alpha_h, \beta_h \in$

$\{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$, and for $0 \leq h \leq m-1$ and $g_1+1 \leq h \leq \nu-1$ $\alpha_h = 0$. Then from (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) &= \sum_{i_0=0}^{b-1} \dots \sum_{i_{\nu-1}=0}^{b-1} \prod_{h=0}^{\nu-1} \omega^{\alpha_h i_{\nu-1-h}} \prod_{h=0}^{\nu-1} \omega^{\beta_h (i_h + \mu_h)} \\ &= \prod_{h=0}^{\nu-1} \omega^{\beta_h \mu_h} \prod_{h=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{i_h=0}^{b-1} \omega^{(\alpha_{\nu-1-h} + \beta_h) i_h}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The condition $k_2 \neq k_1^*$ shows, that there is some δ , $0 \leq \delta \leq \nu-1$, so that

$$\beta_\delta \neq \bar{\alpha}_{\nu-1-\delta}. \text{ Then we have } \sum_{i_\delta=0}^{b-1} \omega^{(\alpha_{\nu-1-\delta} + \beta_\delta) i_\delta} = 0 \text{ and from (5) we obtain}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) = 0.$$

■

Lemma 2. *The following inequations hold*

$$F(\mathcal{W}(b); \psi_{b,\nu}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^\nu}}, \quad F(\mathcal{W}(b); \phi'_{b,\nu}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^\nu}}.$$

Proof. From the definition of the b-adic diaphony we have the next:

$$\begin{aligned} F^2(\mathcal{W}(b); \psi_{b,\nu}) &= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{g=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g} \sum_{k=b^g}^{b^{g+1}-1} \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_k(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) \right|^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{b} \sum_{g=\nu}^{\infty} b^{-2g} \sum_{k=b^g}^{b^{g+1}-1} \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_k(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We have that for each integers g , $0 \leq g \leq \nu-1$ and k , $b^g \leq k < b^{g+1}$, the functions $w_k(x)$ are situated on b^{g+1} sections, each with length b^{-g-1} . It shows that in each section there will be $b^{\nu-g-1}$ points of the net $\psi_{b,\nu}$. But the functions $w_k(x)$ take the values $\omega^0, \omega^1, \dots, \omega^{b-1}$ and each of these values is encountered b^g times. Hence,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_k(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) = b^{\nu-1} \sum_{h=0}^{b-1} \omega^h = 0. \quad (7)$$

For each integers g , $g \geq \nu$ and k , $b^g \leq k < b^{g+1}$, we will use the estimation

$$\left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_k(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) \right| \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

From (6), (7) and (8) we obtain

$$F^2(\mathcal{W}(b); \psi_{b,\nu}) \leq \frac{1}{b} \sum_{g=\nu}^{\infty} b^{-2g} \sum_{k=b^g}^{b^{g+1}-1} 1 = \frac{1}{b\nu}.$$

The demonstration of the second inequality is the same. ■

4. Proof of Theorem 1

From the definition of the b -adic diaphony we have the next:

$$\begin{aligned} F^2(\mathcal{W}(b); Z_{b,\nu}^{\alpha,\beta}) &= \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}} \rho(\mathbf{k}) \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho(k) \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_k(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) \right|^2 + \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho(k) \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_k(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \rho(k_1, k_2) \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{b+2} F^2(\mathcal{W}(b); \psi_{b,\nu}) + \frac{1}{b+2} F^2(\mathcal{W}(b); \phi'_{b,\nu}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \rho(k_1, k_2) \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

For the sum of the equation (9) we have the presentation

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_2=1}^{\infty} \rho(k_1, k_2) \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{k_1=b^{g_1}}^{b^{g_1+1}-1} \sum_{g_2=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_2} \sum_{k_2=b^{g_2}}^{b^{g_2+1}-1} \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{k_1=b^{g_1}}^{b^{g_1+1}-1} \sum_{g_2=\nu}^{\infty} b^{-2g_2} \sum_{k_2=b^{g_2}}^{b^{g_2+1}-1} \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=\nu}^{\infty} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{k_1=b^{g_1}}^{b^{g_1+1}-1} \sum_{g_2=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_2} \sum_{k_2=b^{g_2}}^{b^{g_2+1}-1} \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=\nu}^{\infty} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{k_1=b^{g_1}}^{b^{g_1+1}-1} \sum_{g_2=\nu}^{\infty} b^{-2g_2} \sum_{k_2=b^{g_2}}^{b^{g_2+1}-1} \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2 \\
& = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 + \Sigma_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

We have the next estimations:

$$\Sigma_2 \leq \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{k_1=b^{g_1}}^{b^{g_1+1}-1} \sum_{g_2=\nu}^{\infty} b^{-2g_2} \sum_{k_2=b^{g_2}}^{b^{g_2+1}-1} 1 = \frac{b}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^\nu} - \frac{b}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^{2\nu}}. \tag{11}$$

By analogy we can prove that

$$\Sigma_3 \leq \frac{b}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^\nu} - \frac{b}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^{2\nu}}; \tag{12}$$

$$\Sigma_4 \leq \frac{b}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^{2\nu}}. \tag{13}$$

For arbitrary integers m and g , $0 \leq m \leq g$, we introduce the sets

$$A(g, m) = \{k \in \mathbf{N} : k = \sum_{j=m}^g \alpha_j b^j, \alpha_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}, \alpha_m, \alpha_g \neq 0\}.$$

It is obvious that

$$|A(g, m)| = \begin{cases} (b-1)^2 b^{g-1-m}, & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq g-1, \\ b-1, & \text{if } m = g. \end{cases}$$

For the sum Σ_1 we have the next:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 &= \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{k_1=b^{g_1}}^{b^{g_1+1}-1} \sum_{g_2=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_2} \\
&\times \sum_{k_2=b^{g_2}}^{b^{g_2+1}-1} \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{m=0}^{g_1} \sum_{k_1 \in A(g_1, m)} \sum_{g_2=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_2} \\
&\times \sum_{k_2=b^{g_2}}^{b^{g_2+1}-1} \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{k_1 \in A(g_1, g_1)} \sum_{g_2=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \sum_{k_2=b^{g_2}}^{b^{g_2+1}-1} \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=1}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{m=0}^{g_1-1} \sum_{k_1 \in A(g_1, m)} \sum_{g_2=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_2} \\
& \times \sum_{k_2=b^{g_2}}^{b^{g_2+1}-1} \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2. \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1 for (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 &= \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_1} b^{-2(\nu-1-g_1)} \sum_{k_1 \in A(g_1, g_1)} 1 \\
&+ \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{m=0}^{g_1-1} b^{-2(\nu-1-m)} \sum_{k_1 \in A(g_1, m)} 1 \\
&= \frac{b}{(b+2)b^{2\nu}} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{k_1 \in A(g_1, g_1)} 1 + \frac{b}{(b+2)b^{2\nu}} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{m=0}^{g_1-1} b^{2m} \sum_{k_1 \in A(g_1, m)} 1 \\
&= \frac{b(b-1)}{(b+2)} \frac{\nu}{b^{2\nu}} + \frac{(b-1)^2}{(b+2)b^{2\nu}} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-g_1} \sum_{m=0}^{g_1-1} b^m \\
&= \frac{b^2-1}{b+2} \frac{\nu}{b^{2\nu}} - \frac{b}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^{2\nu}} + \frac{b}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^{3\nu}}. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

From (9), Lemma 2, (10), (11), (12), (13) and (15) we obtain

$$F^2(\mathcal{W}(b); Z_{b,\nu}^{\alpha,\beta}) \leq \frac{b^2-1}{b+2} \frac{\nu}{b^{2\nu}} + \frac{2(b+1)}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^\nu} - \frac{2b}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^{2\nu}} + \frac{b}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^{3\nu}}.$$

It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned}
& F^2(\mathcal{W}(b); Z_{b,\nu}^{\alpha,\beta}) \geq \\
& \frac{1}{b(b+2)} \sum_{g_1=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_1} \sum_{k_1=b^{g_1}}^{b^{g_1+1}-1} \sum_{g_2=0}^{\nu-1} b^{-2g_2} \sum_{k_2=b^{g_2}}^{b^{g_2+1}-1} \left| \frac{1}{b^\nu} \sum_{i=0}^{b^\nu-1} w_{k_1}(\psi_{b,\nu}(i)) w_{k_2}(\phi'_b(i)) \right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From (15) we obtain

$$F^2(\mathcal{W}(b); Z_{b,\nu}^{\alpha,\beta}) \geq \frac{b^2-1}{b+2} \frac{\nu}{b^{2\nu}} - \frac{b}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^{2\nu}} + \frac{b}{b+2} \frac{1}{b^{3\nu}},$$

so that the Theorem 1 is completely proved. ■

Corollaries 1 and 2 are obtained directly from Theorem 1.

References

- [1] K. Roth. On irregularities of distribution, *Mathematika*, **1** (1954), 73-79.
- [2] J. H. Halton and S. K. Zaremba. The extreme and L^2 discrepancy of some plane sets, *Mh. Math.*, **73** (1969), 316-328.
- [3] K. Roth. On irregularities of distribution, III, *Acta Arithmetica* **35** (1979), 373-384.
- [4] K. Roth. On irregularities of distribution, IV, *Acta Arithmetica* **36** (1980), 67-75.
- [5] P. Zinterhof. Über einige Abschätzungen bei der Approximation von Funktionen mit Gleichverteilungsmethoden, *Sitzungsber. Österr. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl. II* **185** (1976), 121-132.
- [6] A. V. Bikovski. On the exact order of the error of the cubature formulae in space with dominating derivative and quadratical discrepancy of sets, *Calculated center*, DVNC, AS SSSR, Vladivostok (1985), *Preprint*.
- [7] V. S. Grozdanov. On the diaphony of two-dimensional finite sequences, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, **48**, No 4 (1995), 15-18.
- [8] Y. J. Xiao. On the diaphony and the star-diaphony of the Roth sequences and the Zaremba sequences, *Preprint*.
- [9] H. E. Chrestenson. A class of generalized Walsh functions, *Pacific J. Math.* **5** (1955), 17-31.
- [10] J. L. Walsh. A closed set of normal orthogonal functions, *Amer. J. Math.* **45** (1923), 5-24.
- [11] P. Hellekalek and H. Leeb. Dyadic diaphony, *Acta Arithmetica* **LXXX. 2** (1997), 187-196.
- [12] V. S. Grozdanov and S. S. Stoilova. On the theory of b-adic diaphony, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, **54**, No 3 (2001), 31-34.
- [13] J. H. Halton. On the efficiency of certain quasi-random sequences of points in evaluating multi-dimensional integrals, *Numer. Math.*, **2** (1960), 84-90.
- [14] T. Warnock. Computational Investigations of Low-Discrepancy Point Sets, In: *Applications of Number Theory to Numerical Analysis*, Academic Press, N. York (1972), 319-343.
- [15] V. S. Grozdanov and S. S. Stoilova. On the irregularities of distribution, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.*, **55**, No 3 (2002), 15-18.

Department of Mathematics
 South West University, 66 Ivan Mihailov str.
 2700 Blagoevgrad, BULGARIA
 e-mail: vassgroz@aix.swu.bg , stst@aix.swu.bg

Received: 19.03.2002