

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe  
A quarterly published by  
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal  
<http://www.mathbalkanica.info>

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office;  
Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273,  
E-mail: [balmat@bas.bg](mailto:balmat@bas.bg)

## Integral Primary Decomposition of Differential Modules

*I. D. Trendafilov*

*Presented by Bl. Sendov*

If  $M$  is a differential module and the factor-module  $M / \int_M^\omega 0$  has no differential submodules other than 0 and  $M$ , the zero submodule is called  $\omega$ -primary. In this paper we prove the existence and uniqueness of an  $\omega$ -primary decomposition of a submodule of a differentially noetherian module.

*AMS Subj. Classification:* 12H05

*Key Words:* differential algebra, differential modules, nil derivations, non-commutative differential rings.

Throughout the paper  $R$  will denote an associative ring with identity,  $\Delta$  is a set of derivations on  $A$ . A derivation  $\delta \in \Delta$  is said to be nil if for each  $x \in R$  there is a natural number  $n = n(x)$  such that  $\delta^n(x) = 0$ . Let all derivations from  $\Delta$  are nil. In [1] L. O. Chung proved the results for a prime ring with one nil derivation. In [2] the present author have the results for a Ritt algebra with one nil derivation. We assume that the constant subring  $C_R = \bigcap_{\delta \in \Delta} \{x \in R | \delta(x) = 0\}$  of  $R$  is included in the centre of  $R$ . The free commutative semigroup generated by  $\Delta$  will be denoted by  $\Theta$ , the set  $\mathfrak{D}_R$  of all finite linear combinations of elements from  $\Theta$  over  $R$  be the ring of differential operators on  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{C_R}$  be the subring of differential operators with constant coefficients.

The left  $\mathfrak{D}_R$ -modules are called differential modules. For differential modules  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $B \subseteq A$ , and  $\omega \in \mathfrak{D}_{C_R}$  in [3] we define

$$\int_A^\omega B = \{x | x \in A, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \omega^n(x) \in B\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be the lattice of differential submodules of a fixed differential module  $M$  and

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(A, B) | A, B \in \mathfrak{L}, B \subseteq A\}.$$

Having the results of [3] and [4] we immediately receive

**Proposition 1.** *The mapping  $I^\omega : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ , defined by  $I^\omega(A, B) = \int_A^\omega B$ , where  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{A}$ , is a strongly hereditary radical closure in the lattice  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

An immediate consequence from the Proposition 1 is the equality

$$\left(\int_A^\omega B\right) \cap \left(\int_{A_1}^\omega B_1\right) = \int_{A \cap A_1}^\omega (B \cap B_1) \text{ for arbitrary } (A, B), (A_1, B_1) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

For any ordered couple  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{A}$  and differential operator  $\omega \in \mathfrak{D}_{C_R}$  we define

$$\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B) = \left\{ X \mid X \in \mathcal{L}, X \subseteq A, \int_A^\omega X = \int_A^\omega B \right\}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B)$  is a complete sublattice of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\int_M^\omega A \neq M$ . The submodule  $A$  is called an  $\omega$ -primary if  $X \cap Y \subseteq A$  and  $X \not\subseteq A$  implies  $Y \subseteq \int_M^\omega A$ , where  $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}$ .

**Proposition 2.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are an  $\omega$ -primary submodules of  $M$  and  $B \in \mathfrak{A}_\omega(M, A)$ , then  $A \cap B$  is also an  $\omega$ -primary submodule of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X \cap Y \subseteq A \cap B$  and  $X \not\subseteq A \cap B$ . Then  $X \cap Y \subseteq A$  and  $X \cap Y \subseteq B$ , and also  $X \not\subseteq A$  or  $X \not\subseteq B$ . Using that  $A$  and  $B$  are an  $\omega$ -primary it follows  $Y \subseteq \int_M^\omega A$  or  $Y \subseteq \int_M^\omega B$ . Since  $\int_M^\omega A = \int_M^\omega B$  from Proposition 1 we have

$$\int_M^\omega A = \int_M^\omega B = \left(\int_M^\omega A\right) \cap \left(\int_M^\omega B\right) = \int_M^\omega (A \cap B).$$

Thus  $Y \subseteq \int_M^\omega (A \cap B)$  and  $A \cap B$  is an  $\omega$ -primary module.

In all that follows  $M$  will be a differentially noetherian module. A module  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  is called irreducible if it is not an intersection of two submodules from  $\mathcal{L}$  different from  $A$ .

The next proposition is analogous to a fact from [5], p. 102.

**Proposition 3.** *Every module  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  is an intersection of a finite number irreducible submodules.*

*Proof.* We claim that every submodule of  $M$  can be written as the intersection of a finite number irreducible submodules. For if not, then since

$M$  is noetherian, it contains a maximal submodule  $A$  for which this is not true. Then  $A$  must be reducible, say  $A = B \cap C$ , where  $B, C \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $A \subset B, A \subset C$ . By the maximality of  $A$ , each of the submodules  $B$  and  $C$  is an intersection of a finite number irreducible submodules and so  $A$  is also such an intersection. This is a contradiction, and it proves our claim.

It is clear that every irreducible differential submodule of  $M$  is an  $\omega$ -primary, where  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{C_R}$ . So we have the existence result:

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $M$  will a differentially noetherian module and  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{C_R}$ . Then every  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  is an intersection of a finite number of  $\omega$ -primary submodules of  $M$ .*

Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then  $X \cap B \subseteq A$  and  $Y \cap B \subseteq A$  implies  $(X+Y) \cap B \subseteq A$ , where  $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}$ . Since  $M$  is a differentially noetherian module there is a maximal module  $X \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $X \cap B \subseteq A$ . This module is denoted by  $A : B$ .

From the definition it is clear that  $\left(\bigcap_k A_k\right) : B = \bigcap_k (A_k : B)$ .

**Proposition 5.** *The submodule  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  is an  $\omega$ -primary if and only if  $A : B = A$  for any submodule  $B \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $B \not\subseteq \int_M^\omega A$ .*

Proof. Let  $A : B = A$  for every module  $B \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $B \not\subseteq \int_M^\omega A$ . Suppose that  $X \cap Y \subseteq A$  and  $X \not\subseteq \int_M^\omega A$ . Then  $X \not\subseteq A$ . So we have  $A : X = A$ . Hence  $A$  is a maximal element in  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $X \cap A \subseteq A$ . Thus  $Y \subseteq A$  and  $Y \subseteq \int_M^\omega A$ . Therefore  $A$  is an  $\omega$ -primary module.

Conversely, let  $A$  is an  $\omega$ -primary submodule of  $M$  and  $X \cap Y \subseteq A$  where  $Y \not\subseteq \int_M^\omega A$ . Thus  $X \subseteq A$  whence  $A : Y \subseteq A$ . Since  $A \subseteq A : Y$  we have  $A : Y = A$ .

Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ . By a primary decomposition of  $A$  we understand the representation  $A = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_n$ , where  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in \mathcal{L}$  are  $\omega$ -primary modules. Moreover if  $\bigcap_{i \neq k} P_i \not\subseteq P_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ , the primary decomposition of  $A$  is called irredundant.

**Proposition 6.** *Let the module  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  has an irredundant primary decomposition  $A = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_n$  such that  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , are  $\omega$ -primary*

modules. If  $P_k \notin \mathfrak{A}_\omega(M, P_i)$  for some  $1 \leq i, k \leq n$ , the module  $A$  is not an  $\omega$ -primary.

Proof. Let  $X = \bigcap_{k \neq i} P_k$ . Suppose that  $A$  is an  $\omega$ -primary module. Since  $A = X \cap P_i$  and  $X \not\subseteq A$  (if  $X \subseteq A$  this is a contradiction with the irredundancy of the primary decomposition of  $A$ ) it follows  $P_i \subseteq \int_M^\omega A$ . Hence, using the Proposition 3 from [3], we have

$$\int_M^\omega P_i \subseteq \int_M^\omega \left( \int_M^\omega A \right) = \int_M^\omega A = \int_M^\omega \left( \bigcap_k P_k \right) = \bigcap_k \left( \int_M^\omega P_k \right).$$

Thus  $\int_M^\omega P_i \subseteq \int_M^\omega P_k$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since the index  $i$  is an arbitrary, it follows  $\int_M^\omega P_i \subseteq \int_M^\omega P_k$  for any indices  $i$  and  $k$ . Therefore we have  $\int_M^\omega P_i = \int_M^\omega P_k$ . So  $P_k \in \mathfrak{A}_\omega(M, P_i)$  for any  $i$  and  $k$ ,  $1 \leq i, k \leq n$ . This is a contradiction, and it establishes the desired conclusion.

We can now state the uniqueness theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let the module  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  has two primary decompositions*

$$A = P_1 \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_n = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_m,$$

where  $P_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $Q_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , are  $\omega$ -primary modules,  $P_k \notin \mathfrak{A}_\omega(M, P_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i, k \leq n$ ,  $i \neq k$  and  $Q_s \notin \mathfrak{A}_\omega(M, Q_j)$ ,  $1 \leq j, s \leq m$ ,  $j \neq s$ . Then  $m = n$  and modules  $Q_i$  can be renumbered such that  $P_i \in \mathfrak{A}_\omega(M, Q_i)$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Proof. We use induction on  $n$ .

For  $n = 1$  we have  $P_1 = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_m$ . Suppose that  $m > 1$ . This is a contradiction by Proposition 6. Hence  $m = 1$  and it is clear that  $\int_M^\omega P_1 = \int_M^\omega Q_1$ .

Let  $n > 1$ . Among differential modules  $\int_M^\omega P_1, \dots, \int_M^\omega P_n, \int_M^\omega Q_1, \dots, \int_M^\omega Q_m$  we choose such one which is not a submodule in some of the others. Without loss of generality we assume that  $\int_M^\omega P_1$  is that module. So  $\int_M^\omega P_1 \not\subseteq$

$\int_M^\omega P_i$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$  and  $\int_M^\omega P_1 \not\subseteq \int_M^\omega Q_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . We will show that there is an index  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , such that  $\int_M^\omega P_1 = \int_M^\omega Q_j$ . Suppose that  $P_1 \notin \mathfrak{A}_\omega(M, Q_j)$  for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

From the definition of  $A : B$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A : P_1 &= (P_1 : P_1) \cap (P_2 : P_1) \cap \dots \cap (P_n : P_1) = \\ &= (Q_1 : P_1) \cap (Q_2 : P_1) \cap \dots \cap (Q_m : P_1). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $P_1 : P_1 = M$ . If suppose  $P_1 \subseteq \int_M^\omega P_i$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, n$  it follows  $\int_M^\omega P_1 \subseteq \int_M^\omega \left( \int_M^\omega P_i \right) = \int_M^\omega P_i$  and this is a contradiction the choice of  $P_i$ . Hence  $P_1 \not\subseteq \int_M^\omega P_i$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . Similarly we prove that  $P_1 \not\subseteq \int_M^\omega Q_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . By Proposition 5 it follows  $P_i : P_1 = P_i$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$  and  $Q_j : P_1 = Q_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Hence

$$P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_n = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_m.$$

Thus  $A = P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_n$  and this contradict the irredundancy of the decomposition of  $A$ .

Therefore exists  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$  such that  $\int_M^\omega P_1 = \int_M^\omega Q_j$ . By renumbering we may write  $\int_M^\omega P_1 = \int_M^\omega Q_1$ .

Consider  $B = P_1 \cap Q_1$ . So

$$\int_M^\omega B = \int_M^\omega (P_1 \cap Q_1) = \left( \int_M^\omega P_1 \right) \cap \left( \int_M^\omega Q_1 \right) = \int_M^\omega P_1.$$

By Proposition 2  $B$  is also an  $\omega$ -primary module. Since  $B \subseteq \int_M^\omega B = \int_M^\omega P_1$ , by choice of  $P_1$  it follows  $B \not\subseteq \int_M^\omega P_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$  and  $B \not\subseteq \int_M^\omega Q_j$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq m$ . Now by Proposition 5 we have  $P_i : B = P_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$  and  $Q_j : B = Q_j$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq m$ . Since  $B = P_1 \cap Q_1$  it follows  $P_1 : B = Q_1 : B = M$ . Using that

$$\begin{aligned} A : B &= (P_1 : B) \cap (P_2 : B) \cap \dots \cap (P_n : B) = \\ &= (Q_1 : B) \cap (Q_2 : B) \cap \dots \cap (Q_m : B) \end{aligned}$$

we have  $P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_n = Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_m$ . By the induction hypothesis follows  $n - 1 = m - 1$  and  $P_i \in \mathfrak{A}_\omega(M, Q_i)$  for every  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $P_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_\omega(M, Q_1)$  this completes the proof. ■

For lattices  $\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B), (A, B) \in \mathcal{A}$ , we define

$$\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B_1) \cap \mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B_2) = \mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B_1 \cap B_2),$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B_1) + \mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B_2) = \mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B_1 + B_2),$$

where  $(A, B_1), (A, B_2) \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is easy to see that relative to these operations

$$\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A) = \{ \mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B) \mid B \subseteq A \}$$

is a complete lattice.

When the ordered couple  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{A}$  is from the radical class of the strongly hereditary radical closure  $I^\omega$  it follows  $A = \int_A^\omega B$  and the module  $A$  is called  $B$  - differentially nil module.

The next result shows the relation between the structure of a differentially noetherian module  $A$  and the complete lattice  $\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A)$ .

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $A$  be a differentially noetherian module and for  $\omega \in \mathfrak{D}_{CR}$  the complete lattice  $\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A)$  is a linearly ordered. Then  $A$  is either differentially generated by a single element, or  $B$  - differentially nil module, where  $B$  is differentially generated by a single element.*

*Proof.* Since the lattice  $\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A)$  is a linearly ordered it follows that for  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  where  $(A, B_1), (A, B_2) \in \mathcal{A}$  is either  $\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B_1) \leq \mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B_2)$ , or  $\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B_2) \leq \mathfrak{A}_\omega(A, B_1)$ . Then we have either  $B_1 \subseteq \int_A^\omega B_2$ , or  $B_2 \subseteq \int_A^\omega B_1$ . For an arbitrary element  $x \in A$  let  $\{x\}$  be the differentially generated by  $x$  differential submodule of  $A$ . Let  $x_1 \in A, \{x_1\} \neq A$  and  $\int_M^\omega \{x_1\} \neq A$ . Then there is an element  $x_2 \in A$  such that  $x_2 \notin \int_M^\omega \{x_1\}$ . By linearly ordering in the lattice  $\mathfrak{A}_\omega(A)$  it follows  $\{x_1\} \subseteq \int_M^\omega \{x_2\}$  whence  $\int_M^\omega \{x_1\} \subseteq \int_M^\omega \{x_2\}$ . Thus we construct an ascending chain

$$\int_M^\omega \{x_1\} \subseteq \int_M^\omega \{x_2\} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \int_M^\omega \{x_2\} \subseteq \dots$$

which becomes stationary for some  $n$ . Hence there is an element  $x_n \in A$  such that  $A$  is  $\{x_n\}$  – differentially nil module.

### References

- [1] L. O. Chung, Nil derivations, *J. of Algebra*, **95**, 1985, 20–30.
- [2] I. D. Trendafilov, Rings with nil derivations, *Proc. of the 19 conf. of UBM*, 1990, 133–137.
- [3] I. D. Trendafilov, Integration in differential modules, *Univ. Annual Appl. Math.* **16**, No 2, 1980, 59–70.
- [4] И. Д. Трендафилов, Силно наследствени радикални обвивки в пълни решетки 1., *Год. ВУЗ Прил. Математика*. **22**, Но 2, 1986, 83–92.
- [5] М. Атья , И. Макдональд, Введение в коммутативную алгебру. МИР, Москва, 1972.

*Technical University - Sofia*  
*Sofia, BULGARIA*

*Received 21.05.2003*