

A Tauberian Theorem of Littlewood Type for the Summation by Means of Laguerre Polynomials

Georgi Boychev

Presented at Internat. Congress "MASSEE' 2003", 4th Symposium "TMSF"

For the summations of divergent series, defined by means of the classical Laguerre polynomials, a Tauberian theorem of Littlewood type is proved.

AMS Subj. Classification: 33C45, 40D99, 40G10

Key Words: Laguerre polynomials, summation of divergent series, Tauberian theorems

1. Introduction

The series

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

is called $(P - A)$ -summable, if the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ converges in the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and, moreover, there exists

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 1-0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = s \neq \infty.$$

By definition, the complex number s is called $(P - A)$ -sum of the series (1.1).

The $(P - A)$ -summation is regular. It means that if the series (1.1) is convergent, then it is $(P - A)$ -summable, and its $(P - A)$ -sum is equal to its sum in the usual sense.

The $(P - A)$ -summability of the series (1.1) does not imply, in general, its convergence. But if $a_n = O(n^{-1})$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., if the sequence $\{na_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded, and the series (1.1) is $(P - A)$ -summable, then it is convergent. The last assertion is known as the Tauberian theorem of Littlewood [1, **7.6.6**].

2. Series in Laguerre polynomials

We define the Laguerre polynomials $\{L_n^{(\alpha)}(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with parameter $\alpha \neq -1, -2, -3, \dots$ by their Rodrigues type formula

$$(2.1) \quad L_n^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{z^\alpha \exp(-z)} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} z^{n+\alpha} \exp(-z), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

provided that $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$.

Let $0 < \lambda < \infty$ and define $\Delta(\lambda) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(-z)^{1/2} < \lambda\}$, and $\Delta^*(\lambda) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Delta(\lambda)}$. It is easy to see that $\Delta(\lambda)$ is the interior of the parabola $p(\lambda)$ with focus at the origin and vertex at the point $-\lambda^2$, and that $\Delta^*(\lambda)$ is the exterior of $p(\lambda)$. Further, we assume that $\Delta(0) = \emptyset$, $\Delta(\infty) = \mathbb{C}$, $\Delta^*(0) = \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$, and $\Delta^*(\infty) = \emptyset$.

Proposition 1. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an arbitrary sequence of complex numbers, and define*

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda = \max\{0, -\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2\sqrt{n})^{-1} \log |a_n|\}.$$

If $\alpha \neq -1, -2, -3, \dots$ is real, then the series

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n L_n^{(\alpha)}(z)$$

is absolutely uniformly convergent on every compact subset of the region $\Delta(\lambda)$, and diverges in $\Delta^(\lambda)$ [2, Theorem 4.3, b].*

Remarks.

(1) The equality (2.2) can be regarded as a formula of Cauchy-Hadamard type for the series in Laguerre polynomials.

(2) In the proof of Proposition 1 it is used a particular case of Peron's asymptotic formula for the Laguerre polynomials with real parameter α [3, (8.22.3)]. Namely, the representation ($n \geq 1$)

$$(2.4) \quad L_n^{(\alpha)}(z) = l^{(\alpha)}(z) n^{\alpha/2-1/4} \exp\{2(-z)^{1/2} \sqrt{n}\} \{1 + \lambda_n^{(\alpha)}(z)\}$$

holds in the region $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$, where

$$(2.5) \quad l^{(\alpha)}(z) = (2\sqrt{\pi})^{-1} (-z)^{-\alpha/2-1/4} \exp(z/2),$$

$\{\lambda_n^{(\alpha)}(z)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of functions holomorphic in the region $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ and, moreover,

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda_n^{(\alpha)}(z) = O(n^{-1/2})$$

uniformly on every compact subset of this region.

3. $(L^{(\alpha)}, \zeta)$ -summation

Let $\alpha > -1, \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$, and define

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(z; \zeta) = \{L_n^{(\alpha)}(z)\} \{L_n^{(\alpha)}(\zeta)\}^{-1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Let us note that the system of polynomials $\{\mathcal{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(z; \zeta)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is well-defined since, because of the assumption that $\alpha > -1$, all the zeros of Laguerre polynomials with parameter α are in the interval $(0, \infty)$ and, hence, $L_n^{(\alpha)}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The series (1.1) is called $(L^{(\alpha)}, \zeta)$ -summable if the series

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n \mathcal{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(z; \zeta)$$

is convergent in the region $\Delta(\lambda)$ with $\lambda = \Re(-\zeta)^{1/2}$ and, moreover, there exists

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow +0} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(\zeta + \delta; \zeta) \neq \infty.$$

Remark. Every $(L^{(\alpha)}, \zeta)$ -summation is regular [4].

In [5] is given a result of Tauberian type for the $(L^{(\alpha)}, \zeta)$ -summations, namely:

Proposition 2. *If the series (1.1) is $(L^{(\alpha)}, \zeta)$ -summable, and*

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + na_n}{n+1} = 0,$$

then it is convergent. In particular, this holds if $a_n = o(n^{-1})$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

4. The main result

The main result in this paper is a Littlewood type generalization of the $o(n)$ -version of Proposition 2 in the case when $\zeta = -\lambda^2$.

Proposition 3. *Let $\alpha \geq 1$ and $0 < \lambda < \infty$. If the series (1.1) is $(L^{(\alpha)}, -\lambda^2)$ -summable, and $a_n = O(n^{-1})$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, then it is convergent.*

Proof. We assume that $a_0 = 0$ which is not an essential restriction. Then as a corollary of the asymptotic formula (2.4) as well as of (2.5) we obtain that for $\delta \in [0, \lambda^2)$,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_n^{(\alpha)}(-\lambda^2 + \delta; -\lambda^2) \\ &= D^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) \exp\{-2(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \delta})\sqrt{n}\} \{1 + \gamma_n^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $D^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) \neq 0$ for $\delta \in [0, \lambda^2)$, $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} D^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) = 1$, $\gamma_n^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, 0) = 0$, and $\gamma_n^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) = O(n^{-1/2})$ uniformly with respect to δ in any interval $[0, \theta\lambda^2]$ with $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

We choose $\theta = 1/2$ and define

$$F^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) = F_1^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) + F_2^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta), \quad \delta \in [0, \lambda^2/2],$$

where

$$F_1^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) = D^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp\{-2(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \delta})\sqrt{n}\},$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad F_2^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) = D^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp\{-2(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \delta})\sqrt{n}\} \gamma_n^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta).$$

Obviously, the assumption that the series (1.1) is $(L^{(\alpha)}, -\lambda^2)$ -summable implies the existence of

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} F^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) \neq \infty.$$

Further, it is easily seen that the series in the right-hand side of equality (3.7) is uniformly convergent with respect to $\delta \in [0, \lambda^2/2]$ and, hence, there exists $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} F_2^{(\alpha)}(\lambda, \delta) \neq \infty$. Finally, from (3.6) it follows that if we denote $u = 2(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \delta})$, then there exists

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow +0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(-u\sqrt{n}) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp\{-2(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \delta})\sqrt{n}\} \neq \infty.$$

But $a_n = O(n^{-1})$ implies

$$a_n = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n-1}}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and by Theorem 104 from [6], it follows that the series (1.1) is convergent. ■

5. Comments

It seems that the statement of Proposition 3 holds for every $(L^{(\alpha)}, \zeta)$ -summation. This will be really the fact if every such a summation is equivalent, e.g., to the $(L^{(\alpha)}, -\lambda^2)$ -summation with $\lambda = \Re(-\zeta)^{1/2}$ but this is still an open problem.

It is interesting whether the $(L^{(\alpha)}, -\lambda^2)$ -summation of the series (1.1) with real a_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and the assumption that $a_n > -Cn^{-1}$, $C = \text{Const.}$, imply its convergence. If this is true, it will be a Tauberian theorem of Landau type for the $(L^{(\alpha)}, -\lambda^2)$ -summations.

References

- [1] E. Titchmarsh. *Theory of Functions*, Oxford, 1939.
- [2] P. Rusev. *Analytic Functions and Classical Orthogonal Polynomials*, Sofia, Publishing House of Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1984.
- [3] G. Szegő. *Orthogonal Polynomials*, AMS Colloquium Publications, vol. **23**, 1959.
- [4] P. Rusev. Abel's theorem for Laguerre series, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* **29**, No 5, 1976, 615-617 (in Russian).
- [5] P. Rusev. A theorem of Tauber type for the summation by means of Laguerre's polynomials, *C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci.* **30**, No 3, 1977, 331-334 (in Russian).
- [6] G. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Oxford, 1949.

Tracian University
Stara Zagora, BULGARIA
e-mail: gbojchev@af.uni-sz.bg

Received: 30.09.2003