

Simultaneous Approximation by Bivariate Schurer-Stancu Type Operators

Dan Bărbosu

Presented by P. Kenderov

Let p, q be positive integers and let $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ be real parameters satisfying the conditions $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, 0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \beta_2$. The bivariate Schurer-Stancu type operator $\tilde{S}_{m,n,p,q}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)} : C([0, 1+p] \times [0, 1+q]) \rightarrow C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ is defined at (1.3). Some approximation properties of this operator were investigated in [5]. The deal of the present paper to present properties of simultaneous approximation for the operator $\tilde{S}_{m,n,p,q}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)}$. As particular cases follow similar properties for Schurer, Stancu and Bernstein bivariate operators.

AMS Subj. Classification: 41A28, 41A36

Key Words: Parametric extension, uniform convergence, simultaneous approximation, bivariate Schurer-Stancu operator

1. Preliminaries

Let α, β be real parameters satisfying the conditions $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ and let p be a non-negative integer. In [4] was considered the Schurer-Stancu operator $\tilde{S}_{m,p}^{(\alpha, \beta)} : C([0, 1+p]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$, defined for any $f \in C([0, 1+p])$ and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by:

$$(1.1) \quad \left(\tilde{S}_{m,p}^{(\alpha, \beta)} f \right) (x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) f \left(\frac{k + \alpha}{m + \beta} \right)$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) = \binom{m+p}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m+p-k}$$

are fundamental Schurer's polynomials [11].

In [4] were established some approximation properties of the operators (1.1), concerning a convergence theorem for the sequence $\left\{ \tilde{S}_{m,p}^{(\alpha, \beta)} f \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and an

evaluation of the approximation order of $f \in C([0, 1 + p])$ by $\tilde{S}_{m,p}^{(\alpha,\beta)} f$ on $[0, 1]$ using the first order modulus of smoothness.

Supposing that $j \in \mathbb{N}$ is given, $f \in C^j([0, 1 + p])$ and denoting by D^j the j -th order differential operator, in [6] we proved

Theorem 1.1. *For any $f \in C^j([0, 1 + p])$ the sequence $\left\{ D^j \tilde{S}_{m,p}^{(\alpha,\beta)} f \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $D^j f$, uniformly on $[0, 1]$ ($0 \leq j \leq m + p$).*

Let p, q be given non-negative integers and let $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ be real parameters satisfying the conditions $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1, 0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \beta_2$.

Using the method of parametric extensions [8] in [6] was constructed the bivariate Schurer-Stancu type operator $\tilde{S}_{m,n,p,q}^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2)} : C([0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q]) \rightarrow C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$, defined for any $f \in C([0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q])$ and any $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ by

$$(1.3) \quad \left(\tilde{S}_{m,n,p,q}^{(\alpha_1,\beta_1,\alpha_2,\beta_2)} f \right) (x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \sum_{j=0}^{n+q} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) \tilde{p}_{n,j}(y) f \left(\frac{k + \alpha_1}{m + \beta_1}, \frac{j + \alpha_2}{n + \beta_2} \right)$$

where $\tilde{p}_{m,k}(x), \tilde{p}_{n,j}(y)$ are the fundamental Schurer polynomials defined at (1.2).

Some of them approximation properties were investigated in [6].

In what follows, we suppose that i and l are given positive integers and the approximated function f belong to the space $C^{i,j}([0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q])$.

2. Main results

Let $\tilde{p}_{m,k}(x), \tilde{p}_{n,j}(y)$ be the fundamental Schurer polynomials defined at (1.2). By direct computation follows:

Lemma 2.1. *The fundamental Schurer polynomials $\tilde{p}_{m,k}(x), \tilde{p}_{n,j}(y)$ verify the following identities:*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} D^1 \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) &= (m + p) \{ \tilde{p}_{m-1,k-1}(x) - \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) \} = \\ &= \frac{k - (m + p)}{x(1 - x)} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} D^1 \tilde{p}_{n,j}(y) &= (n + q) \{ \tilde{p}_{n-1,j-1}(y) - \tilde{p}_{n-1,j}(y) \} = \\ &= \frac{j - (n + q)}{y(1 - y)} \tilde{p}_{n,j}(y) \end{aligned}$$

where D^1 denotes the first order differential operator and $\tilde{p}_{0,0}(x) := 0, \tilde{p}_{0,0}(y) := 0, \tilde{p}_{k,-1}(x) := 0, \tilde{p}_{j,-1}(y) := 0, \tilde{p}_{m-1,m+p-1}(x) := 0, \tilde{p}_{n-1,n+q-1}(y) := 0$.

In what follows the brackets denote divided differences

Lemma 2.2. *The following equalities*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D^{1,1} \tilde{S}_{m,n,p,q}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)} f(x, y) = \\
 & = (m+p)(n+q) \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+q-1} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) \tilde{p}_{n-1,j}(y) \cdot \\
 & \cdot \Delta_{\frac{1}{m+p}, \frac{1}{n+q}} f \left(\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_2}, \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2} \right) = \\
 & = \frac{(m+p)(n+q)}{(m+\beta_2)(n+\beta_2)} \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n+q-1} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) \tilde{p}_{n-1,j}(y) \cdot \\
 & \cdot \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{k+\alpha_1+1}{m+\beta_1+1} \\ \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_1}, \frac{j+\alpha_2+1}{n+\beta_1+1} \end{array} ; f \right]
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.3}$$

hold, where $D^{i,j}$ denotes the (i, j) -th order partial differentiation operator, and:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Delta_{\frac{1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{1}{n+\beta_2}} f \left(\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2} \right) = \\
 & = \Delta_{\frac{1}{m+\beta_1}} \left(\Delta_{\frac{1}{n+\beta_2}} f \left(\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{k+\alpha_1+1}{m+\beta_1+1} \\ \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_1}, \frac{j+\alpha_2+1}{n+\beta_1+1} \end{array} ; f \right] = \\
 & = \left[\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{k+\alpha_1+1}{m+\beta_1+1} ; \left[\frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2}, \frac{j+\alpha_2+1}{n+\beta_2+1} ; f \right] \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. In [6], Lemma 2.2 we proved the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^1 \tilde{S}_{m,p}^{(\alpha, \beta)} f(x) & = (m+p) \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-1} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) \Delta_{\frac{1}{m+\beta}} f \left(\frac{k+\alpha}{m+\beta} \right) = \\
 & = \frac{m+p}{m+\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-1} \tilde{p}_{m-1,k}(x) \left[\frac{k+\alpha}{m+\beta}, \frac{k+\alpha+1}{m+\beta+1} ; f \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we apply the method of parametric extensions [8]. ■

Theorem 2.1. *For any non-negative integers i and l satisfying the conditions $i \leq m + p$, $l \leq n + q$, the operator (1.3) verifies:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^{i,l} \widetilde{S}_{m,n,p,q}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)} f(x, y) &= \\
 &= (m+p)^{[i]} (n+q)^{[l]} \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-i} \sum_{j=0}^{n+q-l} \widetilde{p}_{m-i,k}(x) \widetilde{p}_{n-l,j}(y) \cdot \\
 (2.6) \quad &\cdot \Delta_{\frac{1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{1}{n+\beta_2}} f \left(\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{where} \quad (m+p)^{[i]} &= (m+p)(m+p-1) \dots (m+p-i+1) \\
 (n+q)^{[l]} &= (n+q)(n+q-1) \dots (n+q-l+1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In [6] Theorem 2.1 we established the equality:

$$D^i \widetilde{S}_{m,p}^{(\alpha, \beta)} f(x) = (m+p)^{[i]} \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-i} \widetilde{p}_{m-i,k}(x) f \left(\frac{k+\alpha}{m+\beta} \right)$$

Taking the above identity into account and applying the method of parametric extensions [8] yields the desired equality (2.6). ■

Corollary 2.1. *For any integers i, l satisfying the conditions $1 \leq i \leq m + p$, $1 \leq l \leq n + q$ the operator (1.3) satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^{i,l} \widetilde{S}_{m,n,p,q}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)} f(x, y) &= \frac{(m+p)^{[i]}}{(m+\beta_1)^i} \frac{(n+q)^{[l]}}{(n+\beta_2)^l} i! l! \sum_{k=0}^{m+p-i} \sum_{j=0}^{n+q-l} \cdot \\
 (2.7) \quad &\cdot \widetilde{p}_{m-i,k}(x) \widetilde{p}_{n-l,j}(y) \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \dots, \frac{k+i+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1} \\ \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2}, \dots, \frac{j+l+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2} \end{array} ; f \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. One applies Theorem 2.1 taking the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \dots, \frac{k+\alpha_1+i}{m+\beta_1} \\ \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2}, \dots, \frac{j+\alpha_2+l}{n+\beta_2} \end{array} ; f \right] = \\
 &= \frac{(m+\beta_1)^i}{i!} \frac{(n+\beta_2)^l}{l!} \Delta_{\frac{1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{1}{n+\beta_2}}^i f \left(\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

into account. ■

We are now ready to prove the main result of the paper, which is the following

Theorem 2.2. *Let i, l be two given integers satisfying $0 \leq i \leq m + p$, $0 \leq l \leq n + q$. For any $f \in C^{i,l}([0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q])$ the sequence*

$$\left\{ D^{i,l} \tilde{S}_{m,n,p,q}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_2, \alpha_2, \beta_2)} f \right\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

converges to $D^{i,l}f$, uniformly on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

Proof. For $i = l = 0$ the assertion was proved ([6], Theorem 3.2).

For $i = 0, l \geq 1$ or $l = 0, i \geq 1$ the assertion follows from Theorem 3.1 [5], applied to the partial function $f(x, *)$ and respectively $f(*, y)$.

If $i \geq 1, l \geq 1$, using the symbol of Landau (see for example [1]) the relations $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) = 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 0$, can be expressed under the form $\mu\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) = o(1)$ and respectively $\gamma\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = o(1)$.

Taking the above remarks into account, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (m+p)^{[i]}(n+q)^{[l]} \Delta_{\frac{1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{1}{n+\beta_2}}^{i,l} f\left(\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2}\right) = \\ (2.8) \quad = (m+p)^i(n+q)^l \{1+o(1)\}^2 i! l! \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \dots, \frac{k+i+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1} \\ \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2}, \dots, \frac{j+l+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2} \end{array} ; f \right] \end{aligned}$$

Next, using the mean theorem for bivariate divided differences, from (2.8) follows that there exists

$$(\xi_k, \eta_j) \in \left[\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{k+\alpha_1+i}{m+\beta_1} \right] \times \left[\frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2}, \frac{j+\alpha_2+l}{n+\beta_2} \right]$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} (m+p)^{[i]}(n+q)^{[l]} \Delta_{\frac{1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{1}{n+\beta_2}}^{i,l} f\left(\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2}\right) = \\ (2.9) \quad = (1+o(1))^2 D^{i,l}f(\xi_k, \eta_j). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^{i,l}f(\xi_k, \eta_j) &= \left(D^{i,l}f(\xi_k, \eta_j) - D^{i,l}f\left(\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2}\right) \right) + \\ &+ D^{i,l}f\left(\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2}\right) = o(1) + D^{i,l}f\left(\frac{k+\alpha_1}{m+\beta_1}, \frac{j+\alpha_2}{n+\beta_2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

because
$$\left| \xi_k - \frac{k + \alpha_1}{m + \beta_1} \right| < \frac{i}{m + \beta_1}, \quad \left| \eta_j - \frac{j + \alpha_2}{n + \beta_2} \right| < \frac{l}{n + \beta_2}$$

and $f \in C^{i,l}([0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q])$.

This way follows the identity:

$$(2.10) \quad (1 + o(1))^2 D^{i,l} f(\xi_k, \eta_j) = D^{i,l} f\left(\frac{k + \alpha_1}{m + \beta_1}, \frac{j + \alpha_2}{n + \beta_2}\right) + o(1).$$

Applying next Theorem 2.1 and taking into account that

$$(\xi_k, \eta_j) \in \left] \frac{k + \alpha_1}{m + \beta_1}, \frac{k + \alpha_1 + i}{m + \beta_1} \right[\times \left] \frac{j + \alpha_2}{n + \beta_2}, \frac{j + \alpha_2 + l}{n + \beta_2} \right[,$$

we get

$$(2.11) \quad D^{i,l} \tilde{S}_{m,n,p,q}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)} f(x, y) = \tilde{S}_{m+p-i, n+q-l}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)} D^{i,l} f(x, y) + o(1).$$

Because the sequence $\left\{ \tilde{S}_{m+p-i, n+q-l}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)} g \right\}_{m+p \geq i, n+q \geq l}$ converges to g , uniformly on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ for any $g \in C([0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q])$, from (2.11) we arrive to the desired result. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 2.2. *For any $f \in C^{i,l}([0, 1 + p] \times [0, 1 + q])$, the sequence $\left\{ D^{i,l} \tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q} f \right\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $D^{i,l} f$ uniformly on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, where $\tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q}$ is the bivariate Schurer operator, defined by*

$$(2.12) \quad \left(\tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q} f \right)(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+p} \sum_{j=0}^{n+q} \tilde{p}_{m,k}(x) \tilde{p}_{n,j}(y) f\left(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{j}{n}\right).$$

Proof. Because $\tilde{B}_{m,n,p,q} = \tilde{S}_{m,n,p,q}^{(0,0,0,0)}$, the assertion follows from Theorem 2.2. $\blacksquare$

Corollary 2.3. *For any $f \in C^{i,l}([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ the sequence*

$$\left\{ D^{i,l} P_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)} f \right\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

converges to $D^{i,l} f$ uniformly on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, where $P_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)}$ denotes the bivariate Stancu operator, defined by

$$(2.13) \quad \left(P_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)} f \right)(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n p_{m,k}(x) p_{n,j}(y) f\left(\frac{k + \alpha_1}{m + \beta_1}, \frac{j + \alpha_2}{n + \beta_2}\right).$$

Proof. Clearly that $P_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)} = \tilde{S}_{m,n,0,0}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)}$ and one applies Theorem 2.2. ■

Corollary 2.4. For any $f \in C^{i,l}([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ the sequence $\{D^{i,l}B_{m,n}f\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $D^{i,l}f$, uniformly on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, where $B_{m,n}$ is the bivariate Bernstein operator, defined by

$$(2.14) \quad (B_{m,n}f)(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n p_{m,k}(x)p_{n,j}(y)f\left(\frac{k}{m}, \frac{j}{n}\right).$$

Proof. Because $B_{m,n} = \tilde{S}_{m,n,0,0}^{(0,0,0,0)}$, the assertion follows from Theorem 2.2. ■

Remark 2.1. In Corollary 2.1 the integers i, l satisfy the conditions $0 \leq i \leq m+p$, $0 \leq l \leq n+q$ while in Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 the integers i and l satisfy the conditions $0 \leq i \leq m$, $0 \leq l \leq n$.

The polynomials $p_{m,k}(x)$, $p_{n,j}(y)$ from (2.13), and respectively (2.14) are the fundamental Bernstein polynomials defined respectively by:

$$(2.15) \quad p_{m,k}(x) = \binom{m}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m-k}, \quad p_{n,j}(y) = \binom{n}{j} y^j (1-y)^{n-j}.$$

References

- [1] O. Agratini. *Aproximare prin operatori liniari* (Romanian), Presa universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 2000.
- [2] O. Agratini. On simultaneous approximation by Stancu-Bernstein operators in Approximation and Optimization, In : *Proceedings of ICAOR (International Conference on Approximation and Optimization, Romania, Cluj-Napoca, July 29–August 1, 1996* ed. by Stancu D. D., Coman Gh., Breckner W., Blaga P., I, Transilvania press, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 1997, 157-162.
- [3] D. Bărbosu, M. Bărbosu. Some properties of the fundamental polynomials of Bernstein-Schurer, In: *Proc. of icam 3 ("3-rd" International Conference on Applied Mathematics)*, Baia Mare-Borșa, Romania, October 10-13, 2002 in *Bul. Științ. Univ. Baia Mare, Ser. B, fasc. Mat-Inf, XVII* (2), 2002, 133-136.
- [4] D. Bărbosu. Schurer-Stancu type operators, *Studia Univ. "Babeș-Bolyai", Mathematica*, **XLVII**, (3), 2003, 31-35.
- [5] D. Bărbosu. Bivariate operators of Schurer-Stancu type, *Anal. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanța, Ser. Mat.*, **XI** (1), 2003, 1-8.

- [6] D. Bărbosu. Simultaneous approximation by Schurer-Stancu type operators, *Mathematica Balkanica* , **17**, 2003, 365-374.
- [7] S. N. Bernstein. Demonstration du théorème de Weierstrass fondée sur le calcul des probabilités, *Commun. Soc. Math. Kharkhov (2)*, **13**, 1912-1913, 1-2.
- [8] F. J. Delvos, W. Schempp. *Boolean Methods in Interpolation and Approximation*, Longman Scientific & Technical, 1989.
- [9] G. G. Lorentz. *Bernstein Polynomials*, Toronto, Univ. of Toronto Press, 1953.
- [10] G. Mastroianni, R. Occorsio. Sulle derivate dei polinomi di Stancu, *Rend. Acad. Fis. Mat. Napoli*, **45**, 1978, No. 4, 273-281.
- [11] F. Schurer. *Linear positive operators in approximation theory*, Math. Inst. Tech. Univ. Delft: Report, 1962.
- [12] D. D. Stancu. On the monotonicity of the sequence formed by the first order derivatives on the Bernstein polynomials, *Math. Zeitsch.*, **98**, 1967.
- [13] D. D. Stancu. Approximation of function by a new class of linear polynomial operators, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, (8), **13**, 1968, 1173-1194.
- [14] D. D. Stancu. Asupra unei generalizări a polinoamelor lui Bernstein, (Romanian). *Studia Univ. "Babeş-Bolyai", Mathematica*, **14**, (2) , 1969, 31-45.
- [15] D. D. Stancu , A. Vernescu. On some remarkable positive polynomial operators of approximation, *Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx.*, **28**. 1999, 85-95.
- [16] D. D. Stancu , Gh. Coman, O. Agratini, R. Trîmbiţaş. *Analiză numerică şi teoria aproximării*, (Romanian), **I**, Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [17] D. D. Stancu , Gh. Coman, P. Blag. *Analiză numerică şi teoria aproximării* (Romanian), **II**, Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.

North University of Baia Mare

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Victoriei 76, 430122 Baia Mare, ROMÂNIA

E-mail: danbarbosu@yahoo.com

Received 22.02.2005