

## $\ell$ -Fuzzy Integrals of Multifunctions on Lattice

*S. K. Kundu*<sup>1</sup>, *J. K. Maisal*<sup>2</sup>

*Presented by P. Boyvalenkov*

The aim of the present paper is to introduce a new kind of integral called  $\ell$ -fuzzy integral for multi-functions on lattice. Various kind of properties are shown for  $\ell$ -fuzzy integral of multi-functions.

*Key Words:* Interval topology; order-complete;  $\ell$ -fuzzy measure; multi-function;  $\ell$ -fuzzy integral

### 1. Introduction

Sugeno [5] had introduced the concept of Fuzzy measures and Fuzzy integrals in 1974; subsequently other authors [4, 6-8] contributed a lot to the theory. However, they dealt with integrands which were all point-functions (point-valued).

Aumann [1] introduced integrals for multi-function with respect to Lebesgue measure in 1965. Using the approaches of Aumann *et al.*, Zhanand Wang [8] extended fuzzy integrals of Sugeno to integrals involving multi-functions and discussed many properties thereof.

In this paper, we introduce a kind of integrals, called  $\ell$ -Fuzzy integrals of multifunction with respect to  $\ell$ -fuzzy measure. These integrals are lattice-valued. We generalized almost all results of [4] in the weaker setting of a complete lattice with universal bounds.

### 2. Preliminaries

Since we proposed to discuss fuzzy integrals in the backdrop of lattice, it is quite in order to recall some definitions and results from the theory of lattice. a *lattice*  $L$  is a partially ordered set in which every pair of elements  $x$  and  $y$  have a least upper bound  $x \vee y$  and a greatest lower bound  $x \wedge y$ . It is

called an *order-complete* lattice if every set  $M \subset L$ , has a least upper bound and a greatest lower bound in  $L$ . If there exist two elements  $l, u \in L$  such that  $l \leq x \leq u$  for every  $x \in L$ , then  $l$  and  $u$  are called universal bounds; they are usually denoted respectively by 0 and 1. We assume that if  $S \subset L$  and  $\sup \sup S = s$  exists, there exists a sequence  $\{s_n\} \subset S$  such that  $0 - \lim_n s_n = s$  (definition follows). The set  $R$  is a lattice of this type.

Let  $\{x_n\} \subset L$  be a sequence; then we define

$$\lim_n \sup x_n = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigvee_{m=n}^{\infty} x_m \right)$$

and

$$\lim_n \inf x_n = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigwedge_{m=n}^{\infty} x_m \right),$$

provided  $\sup(\cdot)$  and  $\inf(\cdot)$  exist.

Clearly  $\lim_n \inf x_n \leq \lim_n \sup x_n$ . When equality occurs we say that  $\{x_n\}$  order-converges and write

$$0 - \lim x_n = \lim_n \inf x_n = \lim_n \sup x_n = x.$$

Then  $x$  is called the *order-limit* of  $\{x_n\}$ . If  $x = 0 - \lim_n x_n$ , then there exists sequences  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  in  $L$  such that

$$u_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} x \quad \text{and} \quad v_n \downarrow_{n=1}^{\infty} x,$$

and  $u_n \leq x_n \leq v_n$  for every  $n$  and conversely;  $u_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} x$  means  $u_n \leq u_{n+1}$  for every  $n$  and  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n = x$ ; and  $v_n \downarrow_{n=1}^{\infty} x$  means the dual statement, ([2], Chapter 8, §9, p. 244).

Among intrinsic topologies of an arbitrary lattice  $L$  (topologies born out of order-structure), mention must be made of order-topology and interval topology. In order-topology a subset  $S \subset L$  is closed if and only if

$$\left( \{x_n\} \subset S, 0 - \lim_n x_n = x \right) \Rightarrow x \in S.$$

On the other hand, in a lattice with universal bounds 0 and 1, interval topology is defined by taking closed intervals  $[a, b]$ ,  $a, b \in L$  as sub-basis of closed sets.

In this connection we mention a theorem.

**Theorem A.** ([2], Th. 21, P. 251): *Every subset of a bidirected set which is closed in the interval topology is also closed in the order-topology.*

Obviously this results also holds in a lattice.

We mention another theorem due to Frink.

**Theorem B.** ([2], Th. 20, P. 250): *A lattice is compact in its interval topology if and only if it is order-complete.*

### 3. $\ell$ -Fuzzy measure, measurable multi-functions

Let  $L$  be an order-complete lattice with universal bounds throughout the paper, if not stated otherwise.

We consider interval topology on  $L$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a non-empty set,  $\mathbf{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$  and  $(\Omega, \mathbf{A})$  be a measurable space. Let  $\mu : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow L$  be a function satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $\mu(\phi) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$  whenever  $A, B \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $A \subset B$ ;
- (iii) If  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathbf{A}$ ,  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then

$$\mu(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = 0 - \lim_n \mu(A_n);$$

- (iv) If  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathbf{A}$ ,  $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , then

$$\mu(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = 0 - \lim_n \mu(A_n).$$

We call  $\mu$  lattice-fuzzy measure (or, in short,  $\ell$  fuzzy measure).

**Definition 3.2.** A function  $F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^L - \{\phi\}$  is called a

- (i) *multifunction*; and a function  $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow L$  is called a
- (ii) *selection* of  $F$  if for every  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $\sigma(t) \in F(t)$ .

**Definition 3.3.**  $F$  is called a *measurable function* if for every closed set  $U$  (or equivalently for every open set  $U$ ) of  $L$ ,

$$F_U = \{t \in \Omega \mid F(t) \cap U \neq \phi\} \in \mathbf{A}.$$

We assume that every measurable multifunction  $F(\omega)$  admits a measurable selection function  $\sigma(w)$  such that

$$\sigma(\omega) \in F(\omega).$$

Let  $S(F)$  denote the class of all measurable selections of  $F$  and let

$$g(w) = \sup_{\sigma(\omega) \in S(F)} \sigma(\omega).$$

#### 4. Integrals of multifunctions and their properties

**Definition 4.1.** For,  $A \in \mathbf{A}$  and a measurable multifunction

$$F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^L - \{\phi\},$$

we define

$$\int_A F d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu(A \cap F_\alpha)]$$

where  $F_\alpha = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid F(\omega) \cap [\alpha, 1] \neq \phi\}$ .

We call  $\int_A F d\mu$  - the  $\ell$ -fuzzy integral of  $F$  on  $A$  and instead of  $\int_\Omega F d\mu$ , we will write  $\int F d\mu$ .

**Definition 4.2.** For  $A \in \mathbf{A}$  and a measurable single valued function  $F : \Omega \rightarrow L$ , we define

$$\int_A f d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu(A \cap f_\alpha)],$$

where  $f_\alpha = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid f(\omega) \geq \alpha\}$ .

We call  $\int_A f d\mu$  - the  $\ell$ -fuzzy integral of  $f$  on  $A$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $L$  be an order-complete lattice with universal bounds. Then

$$(i) \int_\Omega F d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu(F_\alpha)];$$

$$(ii) \int_A F d\mu = 0, \text{ if } \mu(A) = 0;$$

$$(iii) \text{ If } A \subset B, \text{ then } \int_A F d\mu \leq \int_B F d\mu;$$

(iv) Let  $F$  and  $H$  be two multifunctions with closed-values such that

$$F(\omega) \subset H(\omega) \text{ for every } \omega \in \Omega, \text{ then } \int F d\mu \leq \int H d\mu;$$

(v) If  $f$  and  $f_n$  be point-functions for every  $n$  and

$$f_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} f \text{ (} f_n \downarrow_{n=1}^{\infty} f \text{)}, \text{ then } \int f_n d\mu \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} \int f d\mu \text{ (} \int f_n d\mu \downarrow_{n=1}^{\infty} \int f d\mu \text{)};$$

(vi) If  $0 - \lim_n f_n = f$ , then  $\int f d\mu = 0 - \lim_n \int f_n d\mu$ .

Proof. (i) – (iv) follow immediately from the definition (4.1).

(v) If  $f$  and  $f_n$  be point-functions for every  $n$  and

$$f_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} f \text{ (} f_n \downarrow_{n=1}^{\infty} f \text{)}, \text{ then } \int f_n d\mu \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} \int f d\mu \text{ (} \int f_n d\mu \downarrow_{n=1}^{\infty} \int f d\mu \text{)};$$

Proof. Since  $f_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} f$ ,

$$(1) \quad \int f_n d\mu \leq \int f_{n+1} d\mu$$

$$(2) \quad \text{and } \int f_n d\mu \leq \int f d\mu$$

for every  $n$ . Moreover, if  $g$  be a point-function such that  $f_n \leq g$  for every  $n$ , then

$$f_n \leq f \leq g \text{ holds for every } n$$

Accordingly,

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \int f_n d\mu \leq \int g d\mu, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \text{ and} \\ \int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu \end{cases}$$

From (2) and (3) it follows that

$$\int f_n d\mu \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} \int f d\mu.$$

Identically we can show that if  $f_n \downarrow_{n=1}^{\infty} f$ , then  $\int f_n d\mu \downarrow_{n=1}^{\infty} \int f d\mu$ .

(vi) If  $0 - \lim_n f_n = f$ , then  $\int f d\mu = 0 - \lim_n \int f_n d\mu$ .

Proof. There exist sequences  $\{h_n\}$  such that

$$h_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ and } g_n \downarrow_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

and  $h_n \leq f_n \leq g_n$  for every  $n$ , where  $h_n = \bigwedge_{i=n}^{\infty} f_i$  and  $g_n = \bigvee_{i=n}^{\infty} f_i$ .

From (v) above,

$$\int h_n d\mu \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} \int f d\mu \text{ and } \int g_n d\mu \downarrow_{n=1}^{\infty} \int f d\mu.$$

However,  $\int h_n d\mu \leq \int f_n d\mu \leq \int f_n d\mu$ .

Therefore,  $0 - \lim_n \int f_n d\mu = \int f d\mu$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *For measurable multifunction  $F$ ,*

$$\int_A F d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \chi_A \cdot F d\mu, \quad A \in \mathbf{A}$$

where

$$(\chi_A \cdot F)(\omega) = \begin{cases} F(\omega), & \text{if } \omega \in A \\ \{0\}, & \text{if } \omega \notin A \end{cases}$$

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A F d\mu &= \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu(A \cap F_{\alpha})]; \\ &= \bigvee_{\alpha \in L - \{0\}} [\alpha \wedge \mu(A \cap F_{\alpha})] \vee [0 \wedge \mu(A \cap F_0)] \\ &= \bigvee_{\alpha \in L - \{0\}} [\alpha \wedge \mu((\chi_A \cdot F)_{\alpha})] \vee [0 \wedge \mu((\chi_A \cdot F)_0)] \\ &= \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu((\chi_A \cdot F)_{\alpha})] \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \chi_A \cdot F d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

## 5. Properties of integrals

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $F$  be a measurable multifunction with closed values; then*

(i)  $\int F d\mu = \beta \wedge \mu(F_{\beta})$ , for some  $\beta \in L$ ,

and

(ii)  $g(\omega) \geq \beta \wedge \mu(F_{\beta})$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

**Proof.** (i) We have  $\int F d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu(F_{\alpha})]$ , where

$$F_{\alpha} = \{\omega \in \Omega : F(\omega) \cap [\alpha, 1] \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let  $\int F d\mu = t$ . Then there exists  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset L$  such that

$$0 - \lim_n \{\alpha_n \wedge \mu(F_{\alpha_n})\} = t.$$

Since  $L$  is compact [vide theorem B], we can choose a monotone subsequence  $\{\alpha_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{\alpha_n\}$  such that  $0 - \lim_k \alpha_{n_k} = \beta$ , for some  $\beta \in L$ .

Suppose  $\alpha_{n_k} \uparrow_{k=1}^\infty \beta$ , so that  $\alpha_{n_k} \leq \alpha_{n_{k+1}} \leq \beta$  for every  $k$ .

This ensures that  $F_\beta \subset F_{\alpha_{n_{k+1}}} \subset F_{\alpha_{n_k}}$  for every  $k$ .

Accordingly,  $F_\beta = \cap_{k=1}^\infty F_{\alpha_{n_k}}$ , and  $F_\beta$  is a closed set and  $F_{\alpha_{n_k}} \downarrow_{k=1}^\infty F_\beta$ .

By definition 3.1 (iii),

$$0 - \lim_k \mu(F_{\alpha_{n_k}}) = \mu(F_\beta) = \mu(\cap_{k=1}^\infty F_{\alpha_{n_k}}).$$

On the other hand, if  $\alpha_{n_k} \downarrow_{k=1}^\infty \beta$ , then  $F_{\alpha_{n_k}} \uparrow F_\beta$  and  $F_{\alpha_{n_k}} \subset F_\beta$ ,  $\forall k$ ; and  $F_\beta = \cup_{k=1}^\infty F_{\alpha_{n_k}}$ .

Hence

$$0 - \lim_k \mu(F_{\alpha_{n_k}}) = \mu(\cup_{k=1}^\infty F_{\alpha_{n_k}}) \leq \mu(F_\beta).$$

Therefore,

$$0 - \lim_k \mu(F_{\alpha_{n_k}}) \leq \mu(F_\beta).$$

So,  $t = 0 - \lim_k [\alpha_{n_k} \wedge \mu(F_{\alpha_{n_k}})] \leq 0 - \lim_k [\alpha_{n_k} \wedge \mu(F_\beta)]$  (by monotonicity of  $\mu$ )

$$\begin{aligned} &= (0 - \lim_k \alpha_{n_k}) \wedge \mu(F_\beta) \\ &= \beta \wedge \mu(F_\beta) \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu(F_\alpha)] = t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $t = \beta \wedge \mu(F_\beta)$  for some  $\beta \in L$ . This proves (i).

(ii) In view of (i) above,

$$\int F \, d\mu = \beta \wedge \mu(F_\beta), \text{ for some } \beta \in L.$$

$L$  is assumed to bear the interval topology.

Let  $F(\omega)$  be a closed set,  $\omega \in \Omega$  with respect to interval topology so that,

$$(1) \quad F(\omega) = \cap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} C_\gamma^\omega,$$

where every  $C_\gamma^\omega$  is a finite union of closed intervals of the form  $[a, b]$ ,  $a, b \in L$ , corresponding to  $\omega$ .

Let  $\sigma(\omega) \in F(\omega)$  be a selection of  $F$ . Then

$$(2) \quad \sigma(\omega) \in F(\omega) \subset C_\gamma^\omega$$

for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

However, for every  $\gamma$ ,  $C_\gamma^\omega = \cup_{i=1}^{p(\gamma)} [a_{n_i^\gamma}^\omega, b_{n_i^\gamma}^\omega]$ , where  $p(\gamma)$  is a positive integer depending upon  $\gamma$ ,  $a_{n_i^\gamma}^\omega, b_{n_i^\gamma}^\omega \in L$ ,  $a_{n_i^\gamma}^\omega \leq b_{n_i^\gamma}^\omega$ ,  $n_i^\gamma$  are positive integers for every  $\gamma$  and  $i$ .

From (2),  $\sigma(\omega) \geq a_{n_i^\gamma}^\omega$ , for some  $i$  and  $\gamma$ .

As  $\gamma$  varies over  $\Gamma$ ,  $\sigma(\omega) \geq a_{n_i^\gamma}^\omega \geq \beta \geq \beta \wedge \mu(F_\beta)$ .

Therefore,  $g(\omega) = (\text{Sup}_{\sigma \in S(F)} \sigma)(\omega) \geq \sigma(\omega) \geq \beta \wedge \mu(F_\beta)$ .

Hence,  $g(\omega) \geq \beta \wedge \mu(F_\beta)$ ,  $\omega \in L$ .

This proves (ii). ■

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $L$  be lattice and  $F$  be as in the preceding theorem.*

*Then*

$$\int F \, d\mu = \int g \, d\mu.$$

**Proof.** We shall firstly show that  $\int g \, d\mu \leq \int F \, d\mu$ .

Let  $A_\alpha = \{\omega \in L | g(\omega) \geq \alpha\}$ ,  $\alpha \in L$ . For  $\omega \in A_\alpha$ , we can choose a sequence  $\{\sigma_n\}$  in  $S(F)$  such that  $0 - \lim_n \sigma_n(\omega) = g(\omega)$ .

However,  $\sigma_n(\omega) \in F(\omega)$ , since  $\sigma_n(\omega)$  is a selection and  $F(\omega)$  is closed.

So,  $g(\omega) \in F(\omega)$ ; however,  $g(\omega) \geq \alpha$ , and so,  $F(\omega) \cap [\alpha, 1] \neq \emptyset$  i.e.,  $\omega \in F_\alpha$ .

Hence  $A_\alpha \subset F_\alpha$ , for each  $\alpha \in L$ .

Therefore,  $\int g \, d\mu \leq \int F \, d\mu$ , by Lemma 1.4.1 (iv).

Next we shall show the reverse inequality.

By Theorem 5.1 (i), there exists  $\beta \in L$  such that

$$\int F \, d\mu = \beta \wedge \mu(F_\beta).$$

We have

$$(3) \quad \{\omega | g(\omega) \geq \beta\} \supset F_\beta$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int g \, d\mu &= \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu(\{\omega | g(\omega) \geq \alpha\})] \\ &\geq \beta \wedge \mu(\{\omega | g(\omega) \geq \beta\}) \\ &\geq \beta \wedge \mu(F_\beta) = \int F \, d\mu, \quad \text{by (3).} \end{aligned}$$



Hence  $\int F \, d\mu = \int g \, d\mu$ .

The proof of theorem is complete.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $F$  be as in the preceding theorem; then*

$$\int F \, d\mu = t, \quad t > 0$$

*if and only if*

(i)  $\beta \wedge \mu(F_\beta) \leq t$ ,  $\beta \in L$  and

(ii) there exists  $\beta_o \in L$  such that  $\beta_o \wedge \mu(F_{\beta_o}) = t$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\int F \, d\mu = t$ . We have,  $\int F \, d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu(F_\alpha)] = t$ , so

that  $\beta \wedge \mu(F_\beta) \leq t$  for all  $\beta \in L$ .

On the other hand, by theorem 1.5.1 (i), there exists  $\beta_o \in L$  such that  $\int F \, d\mu = \beta_o \wedge \mu(F_{\beta_o})$ .

Therefore,  $\beta_o \wedge \mu(F_{\beta_o}) = t$ . Conversely, if (i) holds, then  $\int F \, d\mu \leq t$ . In addition,

$$t = \beta_o \wedge \mu(F_{\beta_o}) \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu(F_\alpha)] = \int F \, d\mu.$$

Hence,  $\int F \, d\mu = t$ . This proves the theorem.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 5.4.** *If  $L$  be a distributive lattice, then for  $c \in L$*

$$\int (c \vee F) \, d\mu = \int c \, d\mu \vee \int F \, d\mu,$$

*where*

$$(c \vee F)(\omega) = \{c \vee f(\omega) | f(\omega) \in F(\omega)\}$$

*for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\int (c \vee F) \, d\mu = \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu((c \vee F)_\alpha)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{However, } (c \vee F)_\alpha &= \{\omega \in \Omega | (c \vee F)(\omega) \geq \alpha\} \\ &= \{\omega \in \Omega | c \vee f(\omega)(\omega) \geq \alpha, f(\omega) \in F(\omega)\} \\ &\supset \{\omega \in \Omega | c \geq \alpha\} \cup \{\omega \in \Omega | f(\omega)(\omega) \geq \alpha, f(\omega) \in F(\omega)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (c \vee F)_\alpha \supset \{\omega \in \Omega | c \geq \alpha\}$$

$$\text{and } (c \vee F)_\alpha \supset \{\omega \in \Omega | f(\omega)(\omega) \geq \alpha, f(\omega) \in F(\omega)\},$$

and so,  $\mu((c \vee F)_\alpha) \geq \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega | c \geq \alpha\})$ , (monotonicity of  $\mu$ )

$$\Rightarrow \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu((c \vee F)_\alpha)] \geq \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu(\{\omega \in \Omega | c \geq \alpha\})]$$

$$\Rightarrow \int (c \vee F) d\mu \geq \int c d\mu.$$

Similarly,  $\int (c \vee F) d\mu \geq \int F d\mu$ .

Therefore,

$$(4) \quad \int (c \vee F) d\mu \geq \int c d\mu \vee \int F d\mu.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int c d\mu \vee \int F d\mu &\geq [\alpha \wedge \mu(\Omega)] \vee [\alpha \wedge \mu(F_\alpha)], \\ &= [(\alpha \wedge \mu(\Omega)) \vee \alpha] \wedge [(\alpha \wedge \mu(\Omega)) \vee \mu(F_\alpha)] \text{ by distributivity} \\ &= \alpha \wedge [(\alpha \wedge \mu(\Omega)) \vee \mu(F_\alpha)], \text{ (since } \alpha = (\alpha \wedge \mu(\Omega)) \vee \alpha) \\ &= [(\alpha \wedge \mu(\Omega))] \vee [\alpha \wedge \mu(F_\alpha)], \text{ Distributivity} \\ &= \alpha \wedge [\mu(\Omega) \vee \mu(F_\alpha)], \text{ Distributivity} \\ &= \alpha \wedge \mu(\Omega) \\ &\geq \alpha \wedge \mu\{\omega \in \Omega \mid (c \vee f(\omega)) \geq \alpha, f(\omega) \in F(\omega)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int c d\mu \vee \int F d\mu &\geq \bigvee_{\alpha \in L} [\alpha \wedge \mu\{\omega \in \Omega \mid (c \vee f(\omega)) \geq \alpha, f(\omega) \in F(\omega)\}] \\ (5) \quad &= \int (c \vee F) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

From (4) and (5),

$$\int (c \vee F) d\mu = \int c d\mu \vee \int F d\mu.$$

This completes the proof. ■

## 6. Convergence Theorems

For a sequence of multi-functions  $\{F_n\}$ , we define  $\lim_n \sup F_n$  and  $\lim_n \inf F_n$  pointwise i.e.,

$$(\lim_n \sup F_n)(\omega) = (\lim_n \sup) F_n, \quad \omega \in \Omega$$

and

$$(\lim_n \inf F_n)(\omega) = (\lim_n \inf) F_n, \omega \in \Omega.$$

where

$$\lim_n \sup F_n(\omega) = \cap_{m=1}^{\infty} \cup_{n=m}^{\infty} F_n(\omega)$$

and

$$\lim_n \inf F_n(\omega) = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} (\cap_{n=m}^{\infty} F_n(\omega)).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_n \sup F_n = \left\{ \alpha \in L \mid \alpha = 0 - \lim_k \alpha_{n_k}, \alpha_{n_k} \in F_{n_k}, \{n_k\} \subset \{n_k\} \text{ is a subsequence} \right\}$$

and

$$\lim_n \inf F_n = \left\{ \alpha \in L \mid \alpha = 0 - \lim_n \alpha_n, \alpha_n \in F_n \right\}.$$

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\{F_n\}$  be a sequence of closed valued multi-functions from  $\Omega$  to  $2^L - \{\emptyset\}$  with  $\lim_n \sup F_n$  and  $\lim_n \inf F_n$  as closed set (with respect to the order topology). Then the following hold:*

$$(i) \quad \lim_n \sup \int F_n d\mu \leq \int \lim_n \sup F_n d\mu$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \int \lim_n \inf F_n d\mu \leq \lim_n \inf \int F_n d\mu.$$

**Proof.** (i) Let  $t_n = \int F_n d\mu \in L$  and  $t = \lim_n \sup \int F_n d\mu = \lim_n \sup t_n$ . There exists, therefore, a subsequence  $\{t_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{t_n\}$  [ $t_{n_k} \downarrow t$ ] such that

$$t = 0 - \lim_k t_{n_k}.$$

By theorem 5.2,

$$(1) \quad \int f_{n_k} d\mu = \int F_{n_k} d\mu = t_{n_k},$$

where  $f_{n_k} = \sup \{\sigma_{n_k} \in S(F_{n_k})\} \in S(F_{n_k})$ .

However,  $\{f_{n_k}\} \subset L^\Omega$  and  $L^\Omega$  is compact with respect to the interval topology of  $L$ , since  $L$  is complete. So,  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  possesses a convergent subsequences, say,  $\{f_{n_{k_m}}\}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$n_{k_1} < n_{k_2} < \dots < n_{k_m} < \dots$$

The same is, therefore, a subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$  and the corresponding sequence  $\{F_{n_{k_m}}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{F_{n_k}\}$ . Now,

$$(2) \quad \int f_{n_{k_m}} d\mu = \int F_{n_{k_m}} d\mu = t_{n_{k_m}} \quad \text{for all } m,$$

and  $\{t_{n_{k_m}}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{t_{n_k}\}$ .

Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} t = 0 - \lim_m t_{n_{k_m}} &= 0 - \lim \int F_{n_{k_m}} d\mu \\ &= 0 - \lim \int f_{n_{k_m}} d\mu, \text{ by (2)} \\ &= \int \left(0 - \lim_m f_{n_{k_m}}\right) d\mu, \text{ by [Lemma 4.1.(vi)]} \\ &\leq \int 0 - \lim_n \sup F_n d\mu, \text{ by [Lemma 4.1.(iv)]} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\lim_n \sup \int F_n d\mu \leq \int 0 - \lim_n \sup F_n d\mu$ .

(ii) Let

$$(3) \quad E_n = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i; \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then  $E_n$  is closed and

$$E_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\cap_{i=n}^{\infty} F_i) = \lim_n \inf F_n.$$

Which is a closed set by supposition.

By theorem 5.2,

$$(4) \quad \int E_n d\mu = \int g_n d\mu$$

and

$$(5) \quad \int (\lim_n \inf F_n) d\mu = \int h d\mu$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_n &= \sup \{f_n \mid f_n \in S(E_n)\} \\ h &= \sup \{f_n \mid f_n \in S(\lim_n \inf F_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

However,  $\{E_n\}$  monotonically increases to  $\lim_n \inf F_n$  and as such  $g_n \uparrow_{n=1}^{\infty} h$ .

Thus we obtain, in view of Lemma 4.1.(v)

$$\begin{aligned} \int h d\mu &= \lim_n \int g_n d\mu = \lim_n \inf \int g_n d\mu \\ (6) \quad &= \int (\lim_n \inf F_n) d\mu, \quad \text{by (5),} \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (iv) of the lemma 4.1, that

$$\int E_i \, d\mu \leq \int F_i \, d\mu, \quad i \geq n$$

and so

$$\inf_{i \geq n} \int E_i \, d\mu \leq \inf_{i \geq n} \int F_i \, d\mu, \quad i \geq n.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{n \geq 1} \left( \inf_{i \geq n} \int F_i \, d\mu \right) &\geq \sup_{n \geq 1} \left( \inf_{i \geq n} \int E_i \, d\mu \right) \\ \Rightarrow \lim_n \inf \int F_n \, d\mu &\geq \lim_n \inf \int E_n \, d\mu \\ &= \lim_n \inf \int g_n \, d\mu, \quad \text{by (4)} \\ &= \int h \, d\mu \\ &= \int (\lim_n \inf F_n) \, d\mu, \quad \text{by (6)} \\ \Rightarrow \int (\lim_n \inf F_n) \, d\mu &\leq \lim_n \inf \int F_n \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $\{F_n\}$  be sequence of closed-valued multi-functions from  $\Omega$  to  $2^L - \{\phi\}$ . If  $F$  be a closed-valued multi-functions from  $\Omega$  to  $2^L - \{\phi\}$  such that  $\lim_n F_n = F$ . Then*

$$\lim_n \int F_n \, d\mu = \int F \, d\mu.$$

*Proof.* By the given condition,

$$F = \lim_n F_n = \lim_n \inf F_n = \lim_n \sup F_n.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int F \, d\mu &= \int \lim_n \inf F_n \, d\mu \\ &\leq \lim_n \inf \int F_n \, d\mu, \quad \text{by the preceding theorem} \\ &\leq \lim_n \sup \int F_n \, d\mu \\ &\leq \int \lim_n \sup F_n \, d\mu = \int F \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\int F \, d\mu = \lim_n \inf \int F_n \, d\mu = \lim_n \sup \int F_n \, d\mu = \lim_n \int F_n \, d\mu$ .

This concludes the proof. ■

## References

- [1] J. Aumann, Integrals of Set-valued functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **12** (1965), 1-12.
- [2] G. Birkhoff, Lattice theory, *J. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*, **25**, Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [3] C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [4] S. J. Cho, B.S. Lee, G. M. Lee, D.S. Kim, Fuzzy integrals for set-valued mappings, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **117** (2001), 333-337.
- [5] M. Sugeno, *Theory of Fuzzy Integrals and its Applications*, PhD Dissertation, Tokyo Inst. of Tech., 1974.
- [6] D. Zhang, and C. Guo, Fuzzy integrals of set-valued mappings and fuzzy mappings, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **75** (1995), 103-109.
- [7] D. Zhang, and C. Guo, Generalized fuzzy integrals of Set-Valued functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **76** (1995), 365-373.
- [8] D. Zhang, and Z. Wang, On Set-Valued fuzzy integrals, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **56** (1993), 237-241.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics,  
Jadavpur University,  
Kolkata - 700 032, INDIA  
Email: skkundu01@yahoo.com

Received 26.06.2005

<sup>2</sup> Tikrapara a.m. High School,  
Pratapdighi-721440, Purba  
Medinipur (w.b.) , INDIA