

A Characterization of n -Seminorm

Aleksa Malcheski¹, Vesna Manova-Erakovik²

*Announced at MASSEE International Congress on Mathematics,
MICOM-2009, Ohrid, Republic of Mavedonia*

The notion of n -norm is introduced in [6] as a generalization of the notion of 2-norm introduced by Gahler in [1]. The notion of n -seminorm is introduced in [5] as a generalization of the notion of 2-seminorm. An equivalent definition of 2-norm is given in [2]. Using the technique in [2], some classes of subsets of X^n are introduced in [3]. Using them, in this paper, it is given a characterization of n -seminorm in the sense of Minkowski.

1. Introduction

Definition 1.1 Let X be a vector space over the field Φ and let $p : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping which satisfies the conditions:

- a) $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$, for every linearly dependant set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$;
- b) $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$, for every permutation $\pi : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$;
- c) $p(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = |\alpha| p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, for any scalar α and arbitrary $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$;
- d) $p(x_1 + x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + p(x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, for arbitrary $x_1, x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$.

The function $p : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the conditions a) – d) is called n -seminorm, and the ordered pair (X, p) is called real n -seminormed space.

Let X be a vector space over a field Φ . (The field Φ is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) The notions of n -absorbing, n -balanced and n -convex set in X^n are introduced

in [3], as a generalization of the notions of absorbing, balanced and convex set in X , respectively. In [3] are, also, introduced two new notions i.e. n -invariant set and the set Δ_n and some of the basic properties of these subsets of X^n are given. Since we will use these subsets in our subsequent work, first we will give their definitions and some of their properties.

Let $M_n(\Phi)$ denote the set of quadratic matrixes of order n over the field Φ .

For arbitrary $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and for any matrix $A \in M_n(\Phi)$, we define operation $\cdot : M_n(\Phi) \times X^n \rightarrow X^n$, by $A \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) = A(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$, where the right side of the equation is multiplication of a matrix with a vector.

For example, in the case $n = 2$, for $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\Phi)$ and $(x_1, x_2) \in X^2$, we get $A \cdot (x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \cdot (x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} (x_1, x_2)^T$
 $= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = (a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2, a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2).$

Definition 1.2. The set $V \subseteq X^n$ is n -balanced if $AV^T \subseteq V$, for arbitrary matrix $A \in M_n(\Phi)$ with $|\det A| \leq 1$, where $V^T = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T | (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V\}$.

Definition 1.3. The set $S \subseteq X^n$ is n -convex if $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, tx_i + (1-t)x'_i, \dots, x_n) \in S$, for arbitrary $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n), (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'_i, \dots, x_n) \in S$ and for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 1.4. The set $W \subseteq X^n$ is n -absorbing if for every $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ there exists $A_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \in M_n(\Phi)$ with $\det A_{x_1, \dots, x_n} > 0$ such that

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A_{x_1, \dots, x_n} W^T, \text{ i.e. } (A_{x_1, \dots, x_n})^{-1} (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in W.$$

Definition 1.5. The set $P \subseteq X^n$ is n -invariant if $AP^T \subseteq P$, for every $A \in M_n(\Phi)$ with $\det A = 1$, where $P^T = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T | (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in P\}$.

Definition 1.6. The set $\Delta_n \subseteq X^n$ consists of all elements $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ for which the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is linearly dependent.

The following lemma is important for the characterization of n -seminorms.

Lemma 1.7. If $W \subseteq X^n$ is n -absorbing and n -invariant set, then $\Delta_n \subseteq W$.

The proof of Lemma 1 is given in [3].

Theorem 1.8. Let $p : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be n -seminorm. The set $F = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | p(x_1, \dots, x_n) < 1\}$ is n -convex, n -absorbing and n -balanced set and $\Delta_n \subseteq F$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in [3].

2. Main results concerning the characterization of n -seminorms as n -functionals of Minkowski

Let the set $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -absorbing set. For $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$, we define the sets $H_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subseteq M_n(\Phi)$ and $M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, to be the following sets:

$$H_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \{A \mid \det A > 0, (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in AU^T\} \text{ and} \\ M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \{\det A \mid A \in H_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\}.$$

For the n -absorbing set $U \subseteq X^n$, we define $\mu_U : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be the function $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \inf\{t \mid t \in M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\}$.

We call this function **n -functional of Minkowski**.

Lemma 2.1. *Let the set $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -absorbing, n -invariant and n -convex set and $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. Then the set $M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a semiline with end point $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -absorbing, n -invariant and n -convex set and $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. Let $H_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subseteq M_n(\Phi)$ and $M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be the sets defined as above. We will prove that the set $M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a semiline with end point $\mu_U(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. If $t \in M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is an arbitrary element, then there exists $A \in M_n(\Phi)$, $A \in H_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with $\det A = t$ and $A^{-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$. Since $\det A = t$ and $\det A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} = \frac{1}{t}$, and because U is n -invariant, we get that $\left(\frac{1}{t}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right) \in U$. Using the fact that the set U is n -absorbing and n -invariant, according to Lemma 1.7, we get that $(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in U$. Because the set U is n -convex, for arbitrary $s > t$, $0 < \frac{t}{s} < 1$, we have that

$$\frac{t}{s} \left(\frac{1}{t}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \right) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{s} \right) (0, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in U$$

i.e.

$$\left(\frac{1}{s}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \right) = \left(\frac{t}{s} \frac{1}{t}x_1 + \left(1 - \frac{t}{s} \right) 0, x_2, \dots, x_n \right) \in U.$$

Using this and the fact that U is n -invariant set, we get that, for $B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ with $\det B = s$, $B^{-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$.

Since $s > t$ is arbitrary, we get that $M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a semiline with end point $\mu_U(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. ■

Lemma 2.2. *Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -invariant set and let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. Then $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is subadditive with respect to every variable, i.e.*

$$\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i + x'_i, \dots, x_n) \leq \mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) + \mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n),$$

where $x'_i, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ are arbitrary elements.

Proof. Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -invariant set and let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. It is enough to prove that the function $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is subadditive with respect to the first variable. For arbitrary chosen elements $x_1, x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$, let $s > \mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $t > \mu_U(x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be arbitrary chosen. Using the definitions and the properties of $M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $M_U(x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, we get that there exist $A \in M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with $\det A = s$ and $B \in M_U(x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with $\det B = t$ such that

$$A^{-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U, \quad B^{-1}(x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U.$$

Since U is n -invariant, we have that $(\frac{1}{t}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in U$ and $(\frac{1}{s}x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in U$.

Because the set U is n -convex, for $u = t + s$ and $\alpha = \frac{t}{u}$, $\beta = \frac{s}{u}$ ($\alpha + \beta = 1$), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{u} (x_1 + x'_1), x_2, \dots, x_n \right) = \left(\frac{1}{u} x_1 + \frac{1}{u} x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \right) = \\ & = \left(\frac{t}{u} \left(\frac{1}{t} x_1 \right) + \frac{s}{u} \left(\frac{1}{s} x'_1 \right), x_2, \dots, x_n \right) = \left(\alpha \left(\frac{1}{t} x_1 \right) + \beta \left(\frac{1}{s} x'_1 \right), x_2, \dots, x_n \right) \in U \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every matrix $C \in M_n(\Phi)$ with $\det C = u$, it holds

$$C^{-1}(x_1 + x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U,$$

i.e. $u \in M_U(x_1 + x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. According to the definition of the functional μ_U , we have

$$\mu_U(x_1 + x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq u = s + t,$$

where s and t are arbitrary elements.

So, we proved that $\mu_U(x_1+x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq \mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + \mu_U(x'_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, i.e. that the functional μ_U is subadditive. ■

Lemma 2.3. *Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -invariant set and let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. Then $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is homogenous for every positive scalar, with respect to every variable, i.e. $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, tx_i, \dots, x_n) = t\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)$, for arbitrary $t > 0$ and for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -invariant set and let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ and $t > 0$ be arbitrary chosen. For arbitrary $s \in M_U(tx_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ there exist a matrix A with $\det A = s > 0$ and $(tx_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in AU^T$, i.e. $A^{-1}(tx_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$. Using that U is n -invariant set, we get that $\left(\frac{t}{s}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right) \in U$. Since $t, s > 0$, there exists B with $\det B = \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{-1}$ such that $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in BU^T$. Therefore $\frac{s}{t} \in M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, and, according to the definition of the functional μ_U , we have that $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq \frac{s}{t}$ i.e. $t\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq s$. Since s is arbitrary, we get that

$$(1) \quad t\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq \mu_U(tx_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

Let $s \in M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be arbitrary chosen. Then there exist $A \in H_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with $\det A = s$ and $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in AU^T$ i.e. $A^{-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$. Using that U is n -invariant set and $\det A^{-1} = \frac{1}{s}$, we get that $\left(\frac{1}{s}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right) \in U$, i.e. $\left(\frac{1}{ts}(tx_1), x_2, \dots, x_n\right) \in U$. Since U is n -invariant set, for each matrix C with $\det C = st > 0$, we have that $(tx_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in CU^T$ i.e. $C^{-1}(tx_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$. Therefore $st \in M_U(tx_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, and, according to the definition of the functional μ_U , we have that

$$\mu_U(tx_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq ts.$$

Since $s \in M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is arbitrary, we get that

$$(2) \quad \mu_U(tx_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq t\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

From (1) and (2), we get $\mu_U(tx_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = t\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, for arbitrary $t > 0$ and for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$.

In the case $t = 0$, we have $(0x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (0, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \Delta_n \subseteq U$. So, for every $A \in M_n(\Phi)$ with $\det A > 0$, $A^{-1}(0, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \Delta_n \subseteq U$. Therefore $M_U(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (0, +\infty)$, i.e. $\mu_U(0x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 = 0\mu_U(0, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. ■

Lemma 2.4. *Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -balanced set and let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. Then $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is homogenous for every scalar, with respect to every variable, i.e. $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, tx_i, \dots, x_n) = t\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)$, for arbitrary scalar t and for every $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -balanced set and let $\alpha \in \Phi$ with $|\alpha| = 1$ and $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ be arbitrary chosen. Let

$$M_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \{\det A | A \in H_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\}$$

and

$$M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \{\det A | A \in H_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\}.$$

For arbitrary $s \in M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ there exists $A \in M_n(\Phi)$ with $\det A = s$ such that $A^{-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$. For the matrixes

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\alpha} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

we have that $B\bar{B} = E$ and $A^{-1} = A^{-1}E = A^{-1}B\bar{B}$. Since $A^{-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$, using the last equation, we get $(A^{-1}B\bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$. Therefore $(A^{-1}B)(\bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T)^T \in U$, i.e. $(A^{-1}B)(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$.

Since U is n -balanced set and $|\det B| = |\alpha| = 1$, we get that $\bar{B}((A^{-1}B)(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T)^T \in U$, i.e. $(\bar{B}(A^{-1}B))(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$.

Because of $\det(\bar{B}A^{-1}B) = \det \bar{B} \det A^{-1} \det B = \alpha \det A^{-1} \bar{\alpha} = \det A^{-1} = \frac{1}{s} > 0$ and $(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in (\bar{B}A^{-1}B)^{-1}U^T$, we get that $B^{-1}A\bar{B}^{-1} = \bar{B}AB \in H_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ i.e. $s \in M_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Since s is an arbitrary element which belongs to $M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, we conclude that

$$(3) \quad M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subseteq M_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

Let us now suppose $t \in M_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is arbitrary chosen. Because of the definition of $M_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, there exists $D \in M_n(\Phi)$ such that $\det D = t$ and $D^{-1}(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$. Therefore $D^{-1}(\bar{B}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T)^T \in U$ i.e. $(D^{-1}\bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$.

Since U is n -balanced set and $|\det B| = |\bar{\alpha}| = 1$, we have that $BU^T \subseteq U$. Therefore $(BD^{-1}\bar{B})(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$. Using that $\det BD^{-1}\bar{B} = \bar{\alpha} \det D^{-1}\alpha = \frac{1}{t} > 0$, we get that $(\bar{B}D^{-1}B)^{-1} \in H_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, i.e. $t \in M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Since t is an arbitrary element which belongs to $M_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, we conclude that

$$(4) \quad M_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subseteq M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

From (3) and (4), we get that

$$(5) \quad M_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

for any scalar α with $|\alpha| = 1$.

Using (5) and the definition of the n -functional of Minkowski, μ_U , we get

$$(6) \quad \mu_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

for any scalar α with $|\alpha| = 1$.

Now, let α be an arbitrary scalar and $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ be an arbitrary chosen element. Then, using that $\left| \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} \right| = 1$, $|\alpha| > 0$ and (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \mu_U\left(|\alpha| \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right) = \\ &= |\alpha| \mu_U\left(\frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right) = |\alpha| \mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_U(\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = |\alpha| \mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, for any scalar $\alpha \in \Phi$ and for an arbitrary $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. ■

Lemma 2.5. *Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -balanced set and let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$. Then $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ does not change the value under any permutation of its variables, i.e. $\mu_U(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mu_U(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$, for any permutation $\pi : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -balanced set. Let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ be arbitrary chosen and let $\pi : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be an arbitrary permutation. Each permutation matrix has determinant equals to 1 or -1. Let $\pi(k) = i_k$ and let us denote the permutation matrix with $B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$ and $C = (B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n})^{-1}$. Then $\det C = \frac{1}{\det B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}} = \frac{1}{\pm 1}$, i.e. $|\det C| = 1$.

Let $t \in M_U(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ be arbitrary chosen. For $A \in H_U(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ with $\det A = t$, $A^{-1}(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})^T \in U$. Using that U is n -balanced set, for

the matrix C , we have $C \left(A^{-1} \left(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)} \right)^T \right)^T \in U$ i.e. $(CA^{-1}B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}) (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$. Therefore $\left((B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n})^{-1} AC^{-1} \right)^{-1} (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$. Since $\det \left((B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n})^{-1} AC^{-1} \right) = \det (B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n})^{-1} \det A \det C^{-1} = t$, we get that $t \in M_U (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

We proved that $M_U (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}) \subseteq M_U (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Let $t \in M_U (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be arbitrary chosen. For $B \in H_U (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $\det B = t$, $B^{-1} (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in U$. Then $(B^{-1}C) \left(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)} \right)^T \in U$. Since U is n -balanced set and $|B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}| = 1$, we have

$$(B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} B^{-1}C) \left(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)} \right)^T \in U$$

i.e.

$$\left(C^{-1}B (B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n})^{-1} \right)^{-1} \left(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)} \right)^T \in U.$$

Because of $\det \left(C^{-1}B (B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n})^{-1} \right) = \det C^{-1} \det B \det (B_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n})^{-1} = t$ we get that $t \in M_U (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$. Therefore $M_U (x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq M_U (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$.

So, we proved that $M_U (x_1, \dots, x_n) = M_U (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$.

Using the last equation and the definition of the n -functional of Minkowski, μ_U , we get $\mu_U (x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mu_U (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$. ■

Lemma 2.6. *Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -invariant set and let the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be linearly dependant. Then $\mu_U (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -invariant set and let the set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be linearly dependant i.e. $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \Delta_n$. For any matrix $A = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ with $\det A > 0$, the set of vectors $\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} x_j, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{2j} x_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} x_j \right\}$ is linearly dependent. Therefore, for any matrix $A = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ with $\det A > 0$, $A^{-1} (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \Delta_n \subseteq U$. So, $M_U (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (0, +\infty)$ i.e. $\mu_U (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$. ■

The following theorem 2.7 is a direct consequence of the previous Lemmas and the fact that every n -balanced set is n -invariant set.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -balanced set. Then the n -functional of Minkowski, μ_U , is a seminorm on X^n .*

Theorem 2.8. *Let $U \subseteq X^n$ be n -convex, n -absorbing and n -balanced set and let $\mu_U : X^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the n -functional of Minkowski. If*

$$V = \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid \mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) < 1 \} \text{ and}$$

$$W = \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid \mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 1 \}$$

then $V \subset U \subset W$ and $\mu_U = \mu_V = \mu_W$.

Proof. It is obvious that $V \subseteq W$. If $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V$ then $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) < 1$. Because of the definition of μ_U , we have that $1 \in M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ i.e. $E_n \in H_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where E_n is the unit matrix of order n . Therefore $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in U$. So, we proved that $V \subseteq U$.

If $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in U$ then $E \in H_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, so $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 1$ i.e. $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in W$. So, we proved that $U \subseteq W$.

Therefore $V \subset U \subset W$. Because of this, we have

$$H_V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subseteq H_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subseteq H_W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

i.e.

$$M_V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subseteq M_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subseteq M_W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

Using the definition of μ_U , we get that $\mu_W \leq \mu_U \leq \mu_V$.

If s and t are real numbers such that $\mu_W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) < s < t$, then, according to the definition of μ_W , we get that

$$\mu_W\left(\frac{1}{s}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right) = \frac{1}{s}\mu_W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) < 1 \text{ i.e. } \left(\frac{1}{s}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right) \in W.$$

Therefore $\mu_U\left(\frac{1}{s}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right) \leq 1$ i.e. $\mu_U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq s$, so

$$\mu_U\left(\frac{1}{t}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right) \leq \frac{s}{t} < 1 \text{ i.e. } \left(\frac{1}{t}x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\right) \in V.$$

Using the definition of μ_V , we get $\mu_V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq t$. Since $t > \mu_W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is an arbitrary element, we get that

$$\mu_W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \geq \mu_V(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n). \text{ Therefore } \mu_V \leq \mu_W.$$

We proved that $\mu_W = \mu_U = \mu_V$. ■

References

- [1] S. Gähler. Lineare 2-normierte Räume, *Math. Nach.*, **28**, 1965.
- [2] A. Malčeski. Zabeleška za definicijata na 2-normiran prostor, *Matematički bilten*, **26**, 2002.
- [3] A. Malčeski. Classes of subsets of x^n , *International Conference FMNS 2007, Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria*, 2007.

- [4] A. Malčeski, V. Manova-Eraković. Algebraic structure of the kernel of the n -seminorm, *Matematički bilten*, **31**, 2007.
- [5] R. Malčeski, A. Malčeski, n -seminormed space, *Godišen zbornik na Institut za matematika*, **38**, 1997.
- [6] A. Misiak. n -inner product spaces, *Math. Nachr.*, **140**, 1989.

¹ *Faculty of Mechanical Engineering*
Karposh 2, P.O.Box 464
1000 Skopje, MACEDONIA
E-mail: aleksa@mf.edu.mk

² *Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics*
Institute of Mathematics
P.O. Box 162
1000 Skopje, MACEDONIA
E-mail: vesname@iunona.pmf.ukim.edu.mk