

## THE UNIFORM ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF A RATIO OF GAMMA FUNCTIONS

J. L. Fields

**Summary.** The following formula is established:

$$w^{\beta-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(z+\alpha)}{\Gamma(z+\beta)} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha+2j)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)(2j)!} B_{2j}^{(2p)}(\varrho) w^{-2j} + o\left(\left(\frac{1+|\alpha-\beta|^2}{w^3}\right)^m\right),$$

$$z \rightarrow \infty, |\arg z| < \pi,$$

where  $w = z + \frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{2}$ ,  $2\varrho = 1 + \alpha - \beta$  and  $B_k^{(\sigma)}(\varrho)$  is the generalized Bernoulli polynomial.

**Introduction.** In [1] I established the result, for  $\alpha, \beta$  bounded,  $m$  arbitrary,

$$\frac{\Gamma(z+\alpha)}{\Gamma(z+\beta)} = \frac{\Gamma(w+p)}{\Gamma(w+1-p)} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-2\varrho+2j)}{\Gamma(1-2\varrho)(2j)!} B_{2j}^{(2p)}(\varrho) w^{2p-1-2j} + O(w^{2\varrho-1-2m}),$$

$$w \rightarrow \infty, |\arg(w+\varrho)| < \pi, 2w = 2z + \alpha + \beta - 1, 2\varrho = 1 + \alpha - \beta,$$

which is a computational improvement of the Tricomi-Erdélyi result [2],

$$(2) \quad \frac{\Gamma(z+\alpha)}{\Gamma(z+\beta)} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^j \Gamma(\beta-\alpha+j)}{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)j!} B_j^{(\alpha-\beta+1)}(\alpha) + O(z^{\alpha-\beta-m}),$$

$$z \rightarrow \infty, |\arg(z+\alpha)| < \pi,$$

where the  $B_j^{(\sigma)}(\alpha)$  are the generalized Bernoulli polynomials defined by

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t-1}\right)^\sigma e^{at} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{j!} B_j^{(\sigma)}(\alpha), \quad B_0^{(\sigma)}(\alpha) = 1, \quad |t| < 2\pi.$$

Here, I improve (1), (2) by showing that  $O(w^{2\varrho-1-2m})$ ,  $O(z^{\alpha-\beta-m})$  can be replaced by  $O(w^{2\varrho-1-2m}(1+|\varrho|)^{3m})$ ,  $O(z^{\alpha-\beta-m}(1+|\alpha-\beta|^m)(1+|\alpha|+|\alpha-\beta|)^m)$  respectively, which permits  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to be large, i. e.,  $\varrho = o(w^2)$ ,  $(1+|\alpha-\beta|)(1+|\alpha|+|\alpha-\beta|) = o(z)$ . The proof essentially consists in considering a situ-

ation where Watson's Lemma [3] can be generalized to include secondary parameters which are large. A point of interest is that two integral representations of the error are apparently necessary instead of the usual single integral representation.

I begin by recording some easily proved technical results which will be used later.

*Lemma 1.* If

$$h(v) = \sqrt{\frac{2(v-1-\log v)}{(v-1)^2}}, \quad h(1) = 1,$$

$$x(v) = 2^{-1/2} e^{-t\pi/2} (v-1)h(v) = \sqrt{1-v+\log v},$$

$$y(v) = 2^{-1/2} (v-1)h(v) = \sqrt{v-1-\log v}$$

and the  $v$ -contours  $C(x, \varphi)$ ,  $C(y, \varphi)$  are defined by

$$C(x, \varphi) : \operatorname{Im}\{e^{i\varphi} x(v)\} = 0, \quad |\varphi| < \pi/4,$$

$$C(y, \varphi) : \operatorname{Im}\{e^{i\varphi} y(v)\} = 0, \quad \varphi = 0,$$

then for  $|\varphi| \leq \pi/4 - \varepsilon < \pi/4$  if  $z = x$ , and  $\varphi = 0$  if  $z = y$ ,

(i)  $z(v)$  maps the  $v$ -contour  $C(z, \varphi)$  onto the  $z$ -contour  $C'(z, \varphi) : z = Re^{-i\varphi}$ ,  $-\infty < R < \infty$ ;

(ii)  $v = v(z)$  is an analytic function of  $z$  for  $z \in C'(z, \varphi)$ ;

(iii)  $\frac{dv(z)}{dz} = \frac{(-1)^\sigma 2zv(z)}{1-v(z)} = O(1+|z|)$ ,  $\sigma = 0, 1$  if  $z = x, y$ ,

$$v(z) = O(1+|z|^2),$$

uniformly for  $z \in C'(z, \varphi)$ .

*Proof.* The lemma follows from the fact that the derivative of  $1-v+\log v$  equals zero only if  $v$  equals one.

*Remarks.* The orientation of  $C(z, \varphi)$  is determined by  $C'(z, \varphi)$ , which moves from left to right. Clearly,  $C(y, 0)$  is just the positive  $v$  axis. It is not hard to see that the curves  $C(x, \varphi)$  in polar coordinates,  $v = re^{i\theta}$ , are among the solutions of

$$r \sin(2\theta + \varphi) - (1 + \log r) \sin 2\varphi - \varphi \cos 2\varphi = 0,$$

and that for  $C(x, \varphi)$

$$\theta = -2\varphi \pm \pi + O\left(\frac{\log r}{r}\right), \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \quad |\varphi| < \pi/4.$$

The reader will find that a rough sketch of  $C(x, 0)$  and  $C(x, \pm\pi/4) = \lim_{\varphi \rightarrow \pm\pi/4} C(x, \varphi)$  is very instructive.

*Lemma 2.* If

$$\theta(t, a, \sigma) = at - \sigma \log\left(\frac{e^t - 1}{t}\right), \quad \theta(0, a, \sigma) = 0,$$

$$r_m(t, a, \sigma) = t^{-m} \left\{ e^{\theta(t, a, \sigma)} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^j}{j!} B_j^{(\sigma)}(a) \right\},$$

then

$$(i) \quad r_m(t, \alpha, \sigma) = O((1 + |\alpha| + |\sigma|)^m e^{|\theta(t, \alpha, \sigma)|}),$$

$$(ii) \quad r_{2m}(t, \alpha, 2\alpha) = O((1 + |\alpha|)^m e^{|\theta(t, \alpha, 2\alpha)|}),$$

uniformly in  $t$ .

Proof. From  $\theta(t, \alpha, 2\alpha) = \theta(-t, \alpha, 2\alpha)$ , it follows that  $B_{2j+1}^{(2\alpha)}(\alpha) = 0$ . Let  $r = (1 + |\alpha| + |\sigma|)^{-1}$  if  $\sigma \neq 2\alpha$ , and  $r = (1 + |\alpha|)^{-1/2}$  if  $\sigma = 2\alpha$ . Then by Cauchy's estimates on the circle  $|t| = 2r < 2\pi$

$$\left| \frac{B_j^{(\sigma)}(\alpha)}{j!} \right| \leq (2r)^{-j} \max_{|t|=2r} |e^{\theta(t, \alpha, \sigma)}| = O(r^{-j}),$$

$$|r_m(t, \alpha, \sigma)| \leq \frac{(2r)^{1-m}}{2r - |t|} \max_{|z|=2r} |e^{\theta(z, \alpha, \sigma)}| = O(r^{-m}), \quad |t| \leq r,$$

whereas when  $|tr^{-1}| \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |r_m(t, \alpha, \sigma)| &\leq |t|^{-m} \left\{ e^{|\theta(t, \alpha, \sigma)|} + O(1) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} |tr^{-1}|^j \right\} \\ &= |t|^{-m} \{ e^{|\theta(t, \alpha, \sigma)|} + O(|tr^{-1}|^m) \} = O(r^{-m} e^{|\theta(t, \alpha, \sigma)|}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. If  $t$  is restricted to a smooth curve  $C$  which avoids the points  $2\pi ik$ ,  $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ; does not wind around  $t=0$  an infinite number of times, and approaches infinity in such a way that  $e^t \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\theta(t, \alpha, \sigma) = O(at), \quad \sigma \neq 2\alpha,$$

$$\theta(t, \alpha, 2\alpha) = \left( \frac{at^2}{1 + |t|} \right),$$

uniformly for  $t \in C$ .

I now establish my main result.

*Theorem.*

$$(i) \quad \frac{\Gamma(z+\alpha)}{\Gamma(z+\beta)} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^j \Gamma(\beta - \alpha + j)}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha) j!} B_j^{(\alpha - \beta + 1)}(\alpha) z^{\alpha - \beta - j} + O(z^{\alpha - \beta - m} (1 + |\alpha - \beta|)^m (1 + |\alpha| + |\alpha - \beta|)^m)$$

$$z \rightarrow \infty, \quad |\arg(z+\alpha)| < \pi, \quad (1 + |\alpha - \beta|)(1 + |\alpha| + |\alpha - \beta|) = o(z);$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{\Gamma(z+\alpha)}{\Gamma(z+1-\alpha)} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-2\alpha+2j)}{\Gamma(1-2\alpha)(2j)!} B_{2j}^{(2\alpha)}(\alpha) z^{2\alpha-1-2j} + O(z^{2\alpha-1-2m} (1 + |\alpha|)^{3m}),$$

$$z \rightarrow \infty, \quad |\arg(z+\alpha)| < \pi, \quad (1 + |\alpha|)^3 = o(z^2);$$

$$(iii) \quad \frac{\Gamma(z+\alpha)}{\Gamma(z+\beta)} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha + 2j)}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha)(2j)!} B_{2j}^{(\alpha - \beta + 1)}\left(\frac{\alpha - \beta + 1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2z + \alpha + \beta - 1}{2}\right)^{\alpha - \beta - 2j}$$

$$+ O((2z + \alpha + \beta - 1)^{\alpha - \beta - 2m} (1 + |\alpha - \beta|)^{3m}),$$

$$z \rightarrow \infty, \quad |\arg(z+\alpha)| < \pi, \quad (1 + |\alpha - \beta|)^3 = o((2z + \alpha + \beta - 1)^2).$$

**Proof.** After proving (i), I will indicate what minor modifications must be made to establish (ii). Using the  $w, \varrho$  notation of (1), it is not hard to see that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. For convenience, let  $\sigma = 1 + \alpha - \beta$ .

First assume that  $|\arg \sigma| \leq \pi - \varepsilon < \pi$ . It follows from the Beta integral and Lemma 2, that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(z+\alpha)}{\Gamma(z+\beta)} &= \frac{\Gamma(\sigma)}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty e^{i\delta}}^{0+} e^{(z+\alpha)t} (e^t - 1)^{-\sigma} dt \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^j \Gamma(\beta - \beta + j)}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha) j!} B_j^{(\sigma)}(\alpha) z^{\alpha - \beta - j} + R_m(z, \alpha, \sigma), \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$R_m(z, \alpha, \sigma) = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma)}{4\pi i} \int_{-\infty e^{i\delta}}^{0+} e^{zt} t^{m-\sigma} r_m(t, \alpha, \sigma) dt,$$

$$|\arg [(z+\alpha)e^{i\delta}]| < \pi/2, \quad |\arg [(e^t - 1)e^{-i\delta}]| \leq \pi, \quad |\delta| < \pi/2.$$

Note that

$$|\arg (ze^{i\delta})| = \left| \arg [(z+\alpha)e^{i\delta}] - \arg \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{z} \right) \right| \leq |\arg [(z+\alpha)e^{i\delta}]| + O\left(\frac{\alpha}{z}\right) < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

allows the use of Hankel's integral,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty e^{i\delta}}^{0+} e^{zt} t^{-\lambda} dt = \frac{z^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)}, \quad |\arg (ze^{i\delta})| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad |\delta| < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

in the above.

If  $\sigma$  is bounded, the change of integration variable  $zt = u$  leads directly to the desired order estimate for  $R_m$ . If  $\sigma$  is unbounded, make the change of variable  $zt = \sigma v$ , and choose  $\Phi$  such that  $|\omega| < \pi/4$ ,  $2\omega = \arg \sigma - 2\Phi$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} R_m(z, \alpha, \sigma) &= \Gamma(\sigma) \left(\frac{\sigma}{z}\right)^{m-\sigma+1} \frac{e^\sigma}{2\pi i} \int_{C(x, \Phi)} e^{\sigma(v-1-\log v)} v^m r_m\left(\frac{\sigma v}{z}, \alpha, \sigma\right) dv \\ &= \Gamma(\sigma) \left(\frac{\sigma}{z}\right)^{m-\sigma+1} \frac{e^\sigma}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty e^{-i\Phi}}^{\infty e^{-i\Phi}} e^{-\sigma x^2} [v(x)]^m r_m\left(\frac{\sigma v(x)}{z}, \alpha, \sigma\right) \frac{dv(x)}{dx} dx \\ &= O\left( z^{\sigma-1-m} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}+m} (1+|\alpha|+|\sigma|)^m \int_{-\infty e^{-i\Phi}}^{\infty e^{-i\Phi}} (1+|x|)^{4m+1} \left| \exp\left(-\sigma x^2 + O\left(\frac{\alpha \sigma v(x)}{z}\right)\right) \right| dx \right) \\ &= O\left( z^{\sigma-1-m} \sigma^m (1+|\alpha|+|\sigma|)^m \int_{-\infty e^{-i\omega}}^{\infty e^{i\omega}} (1+|u|)^{4m+1} \exp\left(-u^2 \left[1 + O\left(\frac{\alpha}{z}\right)\right] + O\left(\frac{\alpha \sigma}{z}\right)\right) du \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= O(z^{\sigma-1-m}(1+|\sigma|)^m(1+|a|+|\sigma|)^m),$$

since  $a, a\sigma$  are  $o(z)$ . Here we have made use of Stirling's formula

$$\Gamma(\sigma) = \sqrt{2\pi\sigma} \sigma^{-1} e^{-\delta} \{1 + O(\sigma^{-1})\}, \quad \sigma \rightarrow \infty, \quad |\arg \sigma| \leq \pi - \varepsilon < \pi.$$

For remaining sector  $|\arg(-\sigma)| < \pi/2$ , an alternate representation for  $R_m$  is needed. If  $\sigma < 1$  and  $z > 0$ , the loop contour in (3) can be shrunk into the straight lines  $zt = ue^{\pm i\pi}$ , which with an analytic continuation argument leads us to

$$R_m(z, a, \sigma) = (-1)^m \frac{z^{\sigma-1-m}}{\Gamma(1-\sigma)} \int_0^{\infty e^{i\delta}} e^{-u} u^{m-\sigma} r_m\left(-\frac{u}{z}, a, \sigma\right) du,$$

$$\delta < \pi/2, \quad \operatorname{Re}(-\sigma) > -1.$$

From the change of variable  $u = (-\sigma)v(y)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_m(z, a, \sigma) &= (-1)^m \left(-\frac{\sigma}{z}\right)^{m+1-\sigma} \frac{e^{\delta}}{\Gamma(1-\sigma)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{\sigma(v-1-\log v)} v^m r_m\left(\frac{\sigma v}{z}, a, \sigma\right) dv \\ &= (-1)^m \left(-\frac{\sigma}{z}\right)^{m+1-\sigma} \frac{e^{\sigma}}{\Gamma(1-\sigma)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\sigma y^2} [v(y)]^m r_m\left(\frac{\sigma v(y)}{z}, a, \sigma\right) \frac{dv(y)}{dy} dy \\ &= O(z^{\sigma-1-m} (-\sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}+m} (1+|a|+|\sigma|)^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|y|)^{4m+1} \left| \exp\left(\sigma y^2 + O\left(\frac{a\sigma v(y)}{z}\right)\right) \right| dy, \end{aligned}$$

which reduces as before to the correct order estimate. The analysis of  $R_{2m}(z, a, 2a)$  is almost identical, but it must be kept in mind that when  $zt = 2a v(x)$ ,  $v(x) = O(1+|x|^2)$ ,

$$\frac{at^2}{1+|t|} = O\left(\frac{a^2 t(1+|x^2|)}{z(1+|t|)}\right) = O\left(\frac{a^2 t}{z}\right) + O\left(\frac{a^2 x^2}{z}\right) = o(1) + ax^2 o(1).$$

A similar remark holds when  $v = \bar{v}(y)$ .

Corollary 1. Under the changes of variable

$$2z = v(\lambda + \mu) + a + b - 1,$$

$$2a = v(\lambda + \mu) + a - b + 1,$$

$$2\beta = v(\mu - \lambda) - a + b + 1,$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(z+a)}{\Gamma(z+\beta)} = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda v+a)}{\Gamma(\mu v+b)}$$

and (iii) of the theorem yields an asymptotic expansion for  $\frac{\Gamma(\lambda v+a)}{\Gamma(\mu v+b)}$  in terms of the variable  $[v(\lambda + \mu) + a + b - 1]^2$  as  $(\lambda + \mu)v \rightarrow \infty$ , when  $|\arg[(\lambda + \mu)v]| < \pi$ , and  $[v(\lambda - \mu) + a - b]^3 = o([v(\lambda + \mu) + a + b - 1]^2)$ , i. e.  $\lambda - \mu = o(v^{-\frac{1}{3}})$ .

*Remark.* This form of (iii) was first mentioned by P. C. Consul in the January 1969 *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*. In private correspondence, he informed me that he had not established this result analytically, but only investigated its first few terms by direct computation.

Corollary 2. If  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $k$  an integer, then

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{k} &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(n+1-k)} = \frac{n^k}{k!} \left\{ 1 + \frac{k(k-1)}{2n} + O\left(\frac{(k+1)^4}{n^2}\right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{(n+(1-k)/2)^k}{k!} \left\{ 1 + \frac{k-k^3}{6(2n+1-k)^2} + O\left(\frac{(k+1)^6}{(2n+1-k)^4}\right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $\lambda$  a parameter,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+\lambda+k)}{\Gamma(n+1-k)\Gamma(n+\lambda)} &= n^{2k} \left\{ 1 + \frac{k\lambda}{n} + O\left(\frac{(2k+|\lambda|+1)^2 + (|\lambda|+1)^2}{n^2}\right) \right\} \\ &= \left(n + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2k} \left\{ 1 - \frac{k[4k^2 + 6k(\lambda-1) + 3\lambda^2 - 6\lambda + 2]}{3(2n+\lambda)^2} + O\left(\frac{(2k+|\lambda|+1)^6}{(2n+\lambda)^4}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* This last expansion is derived by multiplying the expansions for  $\Gamma(n+1)/\Gamma(n+\lambda)$  and  $\Gamma(n+\lambda+k)/\Gamma(n+1-k)$  together. For some purposes, it is more convenient to use the large variable  $4n(n+\lambda) = (2n+\lambda)^2 - \lambda^2$ . The last expression then becomes

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+\lambda+k)}{\Gamma(n+1-k)\Gamma(n+\lambda)} = [n(n+\lambda)]^k \left\{ 1 - \frac{k(k-1)(2k+3\lambda-1)}{6n(n+\lambda)} + O\left(\frac{(2k+|\lambda|+1)^6}{[n(n+\lambda)]^2}\right) \right\}.$$

#### REFERENCES

1. J. L. Fields. A note on the Asymptotic Expansion of a Ratio of Gamma Functions. *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.*, **15** (1966), 43-45.
2. F. G. Tricomi, A. Erdélyi. The Asymptotic Expansion of a Ratio of Gamma Functions. *Pacific J. Math.*, **1** (1951), 133-142.
3. G. N. Watson. A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions. Cambridge, 1958.

University of Alberta Department of Mathematics  
Edmonton Canada

Received on May 26, 1970