

## APPROXIMATION BY MEANS OF BIMODULAR NORM

J. Musielak

**Summary.** A functional  $\tilde{\varrho}(x)$  defined in a real linear space  $X$  is called a convex pseudomodular, if  $0 \leq \tilde{\varrho}(x) \leq \infty$ ,  $\tilde{\varrho}(0) = 0$ ,  $\tilde{\varrho}(-x) = \tilde{\varrho}(x)$ ,  $\tilde{\varrho}(ax + \beta y) \leq a\tilde{\varrho}(x) + \beta\tilde{\varrho}(y)$  for  $a, \beta \geq 0$ ,  $a + \beta = 1$ . Let  $X$  be a real linear space,  $Y$  a real normed linear space, and let  $\varrho(x, y)$  be a functional defined in  $X \times Y$  such that it is convex pseudomodular both in  $X$  and  $Y$  separately, and  $\varrho(\lambda x, y) = \varrho(x, \lambda y)$  for real  $\lambda$ . Let  $\|(\cdot, y)\|_{\varrho} = \inf \{\gamma > 0 : \varrho(\gamma^{-1}x, y) \leq 1\}$ , then  $\|x\|_{\varrho} = \sup \{\|(\cdot, y)\|_{\varrho} : \|y\| \leq 1\}$  is a norm in the linear space  $X^0 = \{x : \|x\|_{\varrho} < \infty, x \in X\}$ , called the bimodular norm. Necessary and sufficient conditions for approximation of elements  $x \in X^0$  by means of a subset  $X_1$  of  $X^0$  are expressed by means of the functional  $\varrho$ .

1. Let  $X$  be a real linear space. An extended real-valued functional  $\tilde{\varrho}$  defined on  $X$  is called a convex pseudomodular, if  $0 \leq \tilde{\varrho}(x) \leq \infty$ ,  $\tilde{\varrho}(0) = 0$ ,  $\tilde{\varrho}(-x) = \tilde{\varrho}(x)$ ,  $\tilde{\varrho}(ax + \beta y) \leq a\tilde{\varrho}(x) + \beta\tilde{\varrho}(y)$  for  $a, \beta \geq 0$ ,  $a + \beta = 1$ . If, additionally  $\tilde{\varrho}(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ ,  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is called a convex modular. The linear subspace  $X_{\tilde{\varrho}} = \{x : \tilde{\varrho}(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0+\}$  of  $X$  is called a modular space. The functional

$$\|x\|_{\tilde{\varrho}} = \inf \{\varepsilon > 0 : \tilde{\varrho}(x/\varepsilon) \leq 1\}$$

is a homogeneous pseudonorm in  $X_{\tilde{\varrho}}$  (if  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is a convex modular,  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{\varrho}}$  is a norm in  $X_{\tilde{\varrho}}$ ), and  $\|x\|_{\tilde{\varrho}} < 1$  implies  $\tilde{\varrho}(x) \leq \|x\|_{\tilde{\varrho}}$  (see [1] and [2]).

The pseudomodular  $\tilde{\varrho}$  will be called

(i) left-continuous, if  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1-} \tilde{\varrho}(\lambda x) = \tilde{\varrho}(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ ,

(ii) right-continuous, if  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1+} \tilde{\varrho}(\lambda x) = \tilde{\varrho}(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ ;

(iii) continuous, if it is both left-continuous and right-continuous.

**Proposition 1.1.** If  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is left-continuous, then the inequality  $\|x\|_{\tilde{\varrho}} \leq 1$  is equivalent to  $\tilde{\varrho}(x) \leq 1$ . If  $\tilde{\varrho}$  is right-continuous, then the inequality  $\|x\|_{\tilde{\varrho}} < 1$  is equivalent to  $\tilde{\varrho}(x) < 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{\varrho}$  be left-continuous and let  $\|x\|_{\tilde{\varrho}} \leq 1$ . Then  $\tilde{\varrho}(\lambda x) \leq 1$  for all  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , and so  $\tilde{\varrho}(x) \leq 1$ . If  $\|x\|_{\tilde{\varrho}} < 1$ , then  $\tilde{\varrho}(x) < 1$  always. Hence  $\|x\|_{\tilde{\varrho}} \leq 1$  implies  $\tilde{\varrho}(x) \leq 1$ . The converse implication is true always. Now, let

$\varrho$  be right-continuous and let  $\tilde{\varrho}(x) < 1$ . Then  $\|x\|_{\tilde{\varrho}} \leq 1$ . Let us suppose that  $\|x\|_{\tilde{\varrho}} = 1$ , then  $\tilde{\varrho}(\lambda x) > 1$  for all  $\lambda > 1$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{\varrho}(x) \geq 1$ , a contradiction. The converse implication is true always.

2. Let  $X, Y$  be two real linear spaces. An extended real-valued functional  $\varrho$  defined on  $X \times Y$  is called a bipseudomodular on  $X \times Y$ , if  $\varrho(x, y)$  is a pseudomodular on  $X$  for each  $y \in Y$  and a pseudomodular on  $Y$  for each  $x \in X$ , and moreover,  $\varrho(\lambda x, y) = \varrho(x, \lambda y)$  for every real  $\lambda$ . If, additionally,  $\varrho(x, y) = 0$  for all  $y \in Y$  implies  $x = 0$ ,  $\varrho$  is called a bimodular (see [1]).

For all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  one can define

$$\|(x, y)\|_{\varrho} = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : \varrho \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, y \right) \leq 1 \right\} = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : \varrho \left( x, \frac{y}{\varepsilon} \right) \leq 1 \right\};$$

in case when the set under the sign of infimum is void, we put  $\|(x, y)\|_{\varrho} = \infty$ .

Now, let  $Y$  be a normed linear space, and let us write

$$\|x\|^0 = \sup \{ \|x, y\|_{\varrho} : \|y\| \leq 1 \},$$

$$X^0 = \{x : \|x\|^0 < \infty, x \in X\}.$$

Then  $\|\cdot\|^0$  is a pseudonorm in  $X^0$ . If  $\varrho$  is a bimodular,  $\|\cdot\|^0$  is a norm in  $X^0$ , called the bimodular norm (see [1]).

*Proposition 2.1.* Let  $x_1, x \in X^0$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then

(i) if  $\|x_1 - x\|^0 < \varepsilon$ , then  $\varrho(x_1 - x, y) < 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 1/\varepsilon$ ;

(ii) if  $\varrho(x_1 - x, y) \leq 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 1/\varepsilon$ , then  $\|x_1 - x\|^0 \leq \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\|x_1 - x\|^0 < \varepsilon$ , then  $\varrho(x_1 - x, y/\varepsilon) \leq 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 1$ , i. e.  $\varrho(x_1 - x, y) < 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 1/\varepsilon$ . Conversely, let  $\varrho(x_1 - x, y) \leq 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 1/\varepsilon$ , then  $\varrho(x_1 - x, y/\varepsilon) \leq 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 1$ , and so  $\|x_1 - x\|^0 \leq \varepsilon$ .

*Proposition 2.2.* Let  $x_1, x \in X$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ;

(i) if  $\varrho(x, y)$  is left-continuous in  $X$  for every  $y \in Y$ , then  $\|x_1 - x\|^0 \leq \varepsilon$ , if and only if,  $\varrho(x_1 - x, y) \leq 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 1/\varepsilon$ ,

(ii) if  $\varrho(x, y)$  is right-continuous in  $X$  for every  $y \in Y$ , then  $\|x_1 - x\|^0 < \varepsilon$ , if and only if,  $\varrho(x_1 - x, y) < 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 1/\varepsilon$ .

This follows from Propositions 1.1 and 2.1, immediately. From Proposition 2.1 follows also

*Proposition 2.3.* Let  $x_n, x_0 \in X^0$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . There holds  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  in  $X^0$ , if and only if, for each  $r > 0$ ,  $\varrho(x_n - x_0, y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq r$ .

3. If  $\emptyset \neq X_1 \subset X^0$ ,  $x \in X^0$ , we call

$$E_{X_1}(x) = \inf \{ \|x_1 - x\|^0 : x_1 \in X_1 \}$$

the best approximation of the element  $x$  by means of the set  $X_1$ .

We shall consider for a given  $\delta \geq 0$  the problem under which conditions  $E_{X_1}(x) = \delta$ . We shall treat the cases  $\delta = 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  separately. If  $E_{X_1}(x) = 0$ , we shall say that  $x$  may be approximated arbitrarily by elements from  $X_1$  in the bimodular norm  $\|\cdot\|^0$ .

*Theorem 3.1.* Let  $\varrho$  be a bimodular in  $X \times Y$  and let  $\varphi = X_1 \subset X^0$ ,  $x \in X^0$ ,  $x \in X_1$ . The element  $x$  may be approximated arbitrarily by elements from  $X_1$  in the bimodular norm  $\|\cdot\|^0$ , if and only if, there is a sequence of elements  $x_n \in X_1$  such that  $\varrho(x_n - x, y) < 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq n$  (or equivalently, if and only if, there is a sequence of elements  $x_n \in X_1$  such that  $\varrho(x_n - x, y) \leq 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq n$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $\varrho(x_n - x, y) \leq 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq n$ , where  $x_n \in X_1$ , then  $\varrho(x_n - x, y)r/n \leq 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 1$ , and so  $\|x_n - x\|^0 \leq 1/n$ . Hence  $\|x_n - x\|^0 \rightarrow 0$ . Conversely, let  $E_{X_1}(x) = 0$ , i. e.  $\|x'_n - x\|^0 \rightarrow 0$  for a sequence of elements  $x'_n \in X_1$ . By Proposition 2.3, this implies  $\varrho(x'_n - x, y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in each ball  $\|y\| \leq r$ . In particular, there exists an increasing sequence of indices  $n_k$  such that  $\varrho(x'_n - x, y) < 1$  for  $n \geq n_k$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq k$ . Writing  $x_k = x'_{n_k}$ , we get  $\varrho(x_k - x, y) < 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq k$ .

*Theorem 3.2.* Let  $\varrho$  be a right-continuous bimodular in  $X \times Y$  and let  $\varphi \neq X_1 \subset X^0$ ,  $x \in X^0$ ,  $x \in X_1$ ,  $\delta > 0$ . There holds  $E_{X_1}(x) = \delta$ , if and only if,

(i) for every  $x_1 \in X_1$  there exists  $y_1 \in Y$  such that  $\|y_1\| \leq 1/\delta$  and  $\varrho(x_1 - x, y_1) \geq 1$ ;

(ii) for every  $\eta > 0$  there exists  $x_\eta \in X_1$  such that  $\varrho(x_\eta - x, y) < 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 1/(\delta + \eta)$ . An equivalent necessary and sufficient condition for  $E_{X_1}(x) = \delta$  is obtained, if we replace the inequality  $\varrho(x_\eta - x, y) < 1$  in (ii) by the inequality  $\varrho(x_\eta - x, y) \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* First, let us see that  $E_{X_1}(x) = \delta$  is equivalent to the conditions

1<sup>o</sup>  $\|x_1 - x\|^0 \geq \delta$  for every  $x_1 \in X_1$ ;

2<sup>o</sup> for every  $\eta > 0$  there exists  $x_\eta \in X_1$  such that  $\|x_\eta - x\|^0 < \delta + \eta$ .

Condition 2<sup>o</sup> may be replaced by an equivalent condition replacing the inequality  $\|x_\eta - x\|^0 < \delta + \eta$  by  $\|x_\eta - x\|^0 \leq \delta + \eta$ .

Now, let us suppose that there holds 2<sup>o</sup>, then, by Proposition 2.1,  $\varrho(x_\eta - x, y) < 1$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 1/(\delta + \eta)$ , and we obtain (ii). Conversely, let us suppose (ii), then, again by Proposition 2.1,  $\|x_\eta - x\|^0 \leq \delta + \eta$ . Since  $\eta > 0$  is arbitrary, this gives 2<sup>o</sup>. Now, applying Proposition 2.2 we find that 1<sup>o</sup> is equivalent to the following condition: there exists  $y_1 \in Y$  such that  $\|y_1\| \leq 1/\delta$ , but  $\varrho(x_1 - x, y_1) \geq 1$ , i. e. we get the condition (i).

4. Let us yet remark that just as in the case of modular spaces, besides norm convergence one may consider also modular convergence ([2], 1.04). A sequence of elements  $x_n \in X^0$  is called  $\varrho$ -convergent (bimodular convergent) to  $x \in X^0$ , if there exists an  $r > 0$  such that  $\varrho(x_n - x, y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in the ball  $\|y\| \leq r$ .  $\varrho$ -convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to  $x$  will be denoted by  $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho} x$ . From Proposition 2.3 follows immediately that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (in bimodular norm  $\|\cdot\|^0$ ) implies  $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho} x$ . Converse implication does not hold in general. In fact, it is easily seen that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho} x$  implies  $x_n \rightarrow x$  for every sequence of elements  $x_n \in X^0$ , if and only if, for every  $r > 0$  uniform convergence to 0 of a sequence  $\varrho(x_n, y)$  in the ball  $\|y\| \leq r$  implies uniform convergence to 0 of this sequence in the ball  $\|y\| \leq 2r$  (this generalizes Theorem 1.31 in [2]). To problems of  $\varrho$ -convergence in  $X^0$  we shall return in another paper.

REFERENCES

1. T. M. Jedryka, J. Musielak. On bimodular spaces. *Prace Matem.*, **15** (1971), 201—208.
2. J. Musielak, W. Orlicz. On modular spaces. *Studia Math.*, **18** (1959), 49—65.

Kraśińskiego 8D  
Poznań Poland

Received on May 20, 1970