

EQUIVALENCE AND SHIFT PROPERTY OF SPLINE BASES IN L_p SPACES

Z. Ciesielski

Summary. There is known relation between the orthonormal Haar $(h_n, n=1, 2, \dots)$ and the Walsh-Paley $(w_n, n=1, 2, \dots)$ systems. A new system of orthonormal splines $(f_n^{(r)}, n=2-r, \dots)$ of order $r > 0$ is being defined as follows: 1. Integrate the Haar system $(r-1)$ times. 2. Complete set of functions obtained in this way with the monomials $1, t, \dots, t^{r-2}$. 3. Apply to this set the Schmidt orthonormalization procedure. It is assumed that $(f_n^{(1)}) = (h_n)$. Let now $w_n^{(r)} = f_n^{(r)}$ for $n=2-r, \dots, 0$, and let for $n > 0$ $w_n^{(r)}$ be obtained from $f_n^{(r)}, n > 0$, by the same matrix transformations as (w_n) is being obtained from (h_n) . Clearly $(w_n^{(1)}) = (w_n)$. For each $r > 0$ the orthonormal set $(w_n^{(r)})$ is uniformly bounded. The main object of this paper is to prove that the shift operator $S: w_n^{(r)} \rightarrow w_{n+1}^{(r)}$ is for each $r > 0$ bounded in $L_p(0, 1), 1 < p < \infty$. Consequently, it is proved that any two bases $(w_n^{(r)})$ and $(w_n^{(r')})$ are equivalent in $L_p(0, 1)$ and in the Sobolev space $W_p^{(m)}(0, 1)$ whenever $0 \leq m \leq \min(r, r')$ and $1 < p < \infty$.

1. Introduction. In the case of Walsh-Paley system $(w_n) = (w_n^{(1)})$ the boundedness of the shift was established in [5]. The uniformly bounded set of polygonals $(c_n) = (w_n^{(2)})$ was introduced in [1]. The very definition of (c_n) and Paley's result that (w_n) is a basis in $L_p(I), I = (0, 1), 1 < p < \infty$, imply immediately (cf. [10]) that (c_n) is a basis in $L_p(I), 1 < p < \infty$, as well. With the help of the boundedness of the shift of (w_n) it is proved in [5] that the bases (w_n) and (c_n) are equivalent in $L_p(I), 1 < p < \infty$. The aim of this paper is to extend these results to higher orders of uniformly bounded o. n. (orthonormal) spline systems $(w_n^{(r)}), r > 0$. Moreover, we are going to construct equivalent interpolating spline bases in the Sobolev spaces $W_p^{(m)}(I)$.

2. Preliminaries. In the interval $I = [0, 1]$ we consider the sequence of dyadic partitions $\pi_n = (s_{n,i}, i=0, 1, \dots, n)$ defined as follows: for $n=1$ we put $s_{n,0} = 0, s_{n,1} = 1$ and for $n > 1: n = 2^k + p, k \geq 0, 1 \leq p \leq 2^k$;

$$s_{n,i} = i\bar{2}^{k-1} \text{ for } i=0, \dots, 2p; \quad s_{n,i} = (i-p)\bar{2}^k \text{ for } i=2p+1, \dots, n.$$

For each n π_n is being extended to a partition of $R = (-\infty, \infty)$ by the formula $s_{n,i+jn} = s_{n,i} + j$ for $i=0, \dots, n-1$, and for any integer j . To each integer $r > 0$ and to the knots π_n there corresponds the space $S_n^r = S_n^r(R)$ of

splines of order r (of degree $r-1$) with multiplicities 1 at each knot. The set of all restrictions to I of f from S_n^r is denoted by $S_n^r(I)$, $n > 0$. For $1-r < n \leq 0$ the space $S_n^r(I)$ is simply defined as the linear span of $1, t, \dots, t^{n+r-2}$. Thus, $\dim S_n^r(I) = n+r-1$ and for $n > 1-r$ $S_n^r(I) \subset S_{n+1}^r(I)$.

Natural basis in $S_n^r(I)$ do form the B -splines

$$N_{n;i}^{(r)}(t) = (s_{n,i+r} - s_{n,i}) [s_{n,i}, \dots, s_{n,i+r}; (s-t)_+^{r-1}],$$

$i = 1-r, \dots, n-1$. For the entries of the inverse to the Gram matrix of these B -splines we have the following estimate (cf. [6])

$$(2.1) \quad |a_{n;i,j}^{(r)}| \leq C_r n q_r^{|i-j|}, \quad i, j = 1-r, \dots, n-1; \quad n > 0,$$

where $C_r > 0$ and $q_r, 0 < q_r < 1$, depend on r only. For the dual basis with respect to the L_2 scalar product we have the formula

$$(2.2) \quad N_{n;j}^{(r)} = \sum_{i=1-r}^{n-1} a_{n;i,j}^{(r)} N_{n;i}^{(r)}, \quad j = 1-r, \dots, n-1.$$

Clearly, $(N_{n;i}^{(r)}, N_{n;j}^{(r)}) = \delta_{i,j}$. It now follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that the following inequalities

$$(2.3) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1-r}^{n-1} a_{i,j} N_{n;i}^{(r)} \right\|_p \sim M_{n,r}^{(p)}(a)$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1-r}^{n-1} a_j N_{n;j}^{(r)} \right\|_p \sim n M_{n,r}^{(p)}(a),$$

take place uniformly in $n > 1-r$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ with (see [4])

$$M_{n,r}^{(p)}(a) = \left(\frac{1}{n+r-1} \sum_{j=1-r}^{n-1} |a_j|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Let us now define the o. n. spline basis in $S_n^r(I)$. We denote by $f_{2-r}^{(r)}, \dots, f_0^{(r)}, f_1^{(r)}$ the first r o. n. Legendre polynomials on I , with degree of $f_j^{(r)}$ equal to $j+r-2$. For $n > 1$ the function $f_n^{(r)}$ is defined as the unique element in $S_n^r(I)$ orthogonal in the L_2 sense to $S_{n-1}^r(I)$ such that $\|f_n^{(r)}\|_2 = 1$ and $\|f_n^{(r)}\|_\infty = f_n^{(r)}(s_n)$ at some point $s_n \in I$. Notice, for $n > 1$, $n = 2^m + k$ with $1 \leq k \leq 2^m$, $m \geq 0$,

$$f_n^{(r)} = \sum_{j=r-1}^{n-1} (N_{n;j}^{(r)}, f_n^{(r)}) N_{n;j}^{(r)},$$

and since $(N_{n;j}^{(r)}, f_n^{(r)}) = 0$ for the indices j for which $N_{n;j}^{(r)}$ is in $S_{n-1}^r(I)$ it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad f_n^{(r)} = \sum_{j=2k-1-r}^{2k-1} (N_{n;j}^{(r)}, f_n^{(r)}) N_{n;j}^{(r)}.$$

In connection with the investigation of the spline Fourier series $\sum_{j=2-r}^\infty (f, f_j^{(r)}) f_j^{(r)}$ in the Sobolev spaces it is necessary to look at the related

systems $(f_j^{(r,k)}, j > |k| + 1 - r)$ with integer $k: |k| < r$. These systems are defined as follows

$$f_j^{(r,k)} = \begin{cases} D^k f_j^{(r)} & \text{for } 0 \leq k < r, \\ H^{-k} f_j^{(r)} & \text{for } -r < k < 0; \end{cases}$$

where $j > |k| + 1 - r$, D is the differentiation operator and $Hf(t) = \int_1^t f(s) ds$. One checks easily that $(f_i^{(r,k)}, f_j^{(r,-k)}) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $i, j > |k| + 1 - r$. The properties of the systems $(f_j^{(r,k)}, |k| < r$, are discussed in [2, 3 and 4]*).

Theorem 2.1, (cf. [3]). *Let $|k| < r, 1 < p < \infty$. Then $(f_j^{(r,k)}, j > |k| + 1 - r)$ is an unconditional basis in $L_p(I)$.*

To compare the coefficient spaces of the various systems we normalize them as follows

$$h_j^{(r,k)} = f_j^{(r,k)} / \|f_j^{(r, |k|)}\|_2^{\text{sgn } k}.$$

Clearly, $(h_j^{(r,k)}, h_i^{(r,-k)}) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $i, j > |k| + 1 - r$, and it follows from [2] that for large j $\|h_j^{(r,k)}\|_2 \sim 1$.

Theorem 2.2, (cf. [3]). *Let two pairs $(r, k)(r', k')$ be given with $|k| < r, |k'| < r'$. Then for $1 < p < \infty$ the bases $(h_j^{(r,k)}, j > |k| + 1 - r)$ and $(h_j^{(r',k')}, j > |k'| + 1 - r')$ are equivalent in $L_p(I)$.*

Theorem 2.3, (cf. [3]). *Let $(h_j^{(r,k)})$ and $(h_j^{(r',k')})$ be given as in Theorem 2.2. Then the following series $\sum_j a_j h_j^{(r,k)}$ and $\sum_j a_j h_j^{(r',k')}$ are equiconvergent in $L_p(I), 1 < p < \infty$.*

Theorem 2.4. *Let be given r, k and p such that $|k| < r, 1 < p < \infty$. Moreover, let us define the linear shift by the formula: $Tf_j^{(r,k)} = f_{j+1}^{(r,k)}, j > |k| + 1 - r$. Then $T: L_p(I) \rightarrow L_p(I)$ is bounded.*

This result is implicitly contained in [3] and it is being obtained from Theorem 2.1—2.3 as follows. We know that $\|f_n^{(r,k)}\|_2 \sim n^k$ for large n . Thus Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 imply equiconvergence in $L_p(I)$ of the series

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{j=|k|+1-r}^{\infty} a_j f_{j+1}^{(r,k)}$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{j=|k|+1-r}^{\infty} a_j f_j^{(r+1,k)}.$$

Now, Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 give equiconvergence of (2.7) with

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{j=|k|+1-r}^{\infty} a_j f_j^{(r,k)}.$$

Consequently, (2.8) is equiconvergent with (2.6) and this proves Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $|k| < \min(r, r')$. Then the bases $(f_j^{(r,k)}, j > |k| + 1 - r)$ and $(f_j^{(r',k')}, j > |k| + 1 - r')$ are equivalent in $L_p(I)$.*

The situation with this theorem is similar as with the previous one, i. e. it follows from [3]. It is simply a consequence of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 and the fact that the asymptotic $\|f_n^{(r,k)}\|_2 \sim n^k$ is independent of r (cf. [2]).

* In the earlier author's works the notation for the present $(f_j^{(r,k)})$ is a little different. Namely, $(f_j^{(r,k)})$ for $r > k \geq 0$ is identified with $(f_j^{(m,k)})$ and for $-r < k < 0$ with $(g_j^{(m,k)})$, where $m = r - 2$.

3. The Bounded Orthonormal Spline Systems. The Haar ($h_n, n=1, 2, \dots$) and the Walsh-Paley ($w_n, n=1, 2, \dots$) systems we define e. g. as in [1]. Thus, the relation between (h_n) and (w_n) is the following: $h_1 = w_1 = 1$ and for $m \geq 0$

$$\text{span}(h_{2^m+j}, j=1, \dots, 2^m) = \text{span}(w_{2^m+j}, j=1, \dots, 2^m).$$

Consequently, to each $m \geq 0$ there exists orthogonal matrix $A_{i,j}^{(m)}, i, j=1, \dots, 2^m$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad w_{2^m+j} = \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} A_{i,j}^{(m)} h_{2^m+i},$$

and it can be seen that $(A_{i,j}^{(m)})$ is symmetric (cf. [1]). Moreover

$$(3.2) \quad A_{i,j}^{(m)} = (h_{2^m+i}, w_{2^m+j}) = \pm 2^{-m/2}.$$

For the given o. n. spline system $(f_j^{(r)}, j > 1-r)$ we define a new o. n. system $(w_j^{(r)}, j > 1-r)$ in exactly the same way as the Walsh-Paley system in terms of Haar system using (3.1). More precisely, let us define: $w_n^{(r)} = f_n^{(r)}$ for $1-r < n < 2$ and for $m \geq 0, i=1, \dots, 2^m$,

$$(3.3) \quad w_{2^m+i}^{(r)} = \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} A_{i,j}^{(m)} f_j^{(r)},$$

where $(A_{i,j}^{(m)})$ is given by (3.2). Since (cf. [2])

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} |f_{2^m+j}^{(r)}| \right\|_{\infty} \leq C_r 2^{m/2},$$

it follows from (3.3) and (3.2) that $(w_n^{(r)}, n > 1-r)$ is uniformly bounded o. n. set of splines of order r on I . Moreover, to each $(f_n^{(r,k)}, |k| < r)$, there corresponds in a natural way the spline system $(w_n^{(r,k)}, n > |k| + 1 - r)$ defined as follows: $w_n^{(r,k)} = f_n^{(r,k)}$ for $|k| + 1 - r < n < 2$, and for $m \geq 0, i=1, \dots, 2^m$,

$$(3.4) \quad w_{2^m+i}^{(r,k)} = \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} A_{i,j}^{(m)} f_{2^m+j}^{(r,k)}.$$

It should be clear that $(w_n^{(r,k)})$ can be obtained by $|k|$ -fold differentiation or integration of $(w_n^{(r)})$ for k positive or negative, respectively.

Theorem 3.1. (cf. [10]). *Let the integers k and $r, |k| < r$, be given. Then $(w_n^{(r,k)}, n > |k| + 1 - r)$ is a basis in $L_p(I), 1 < p < \infty$.*

The next result follows from Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let k, r and p be given such that $|k| < r$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then for some constant $C_{p,r} > 0$ we have for $f \in L_p(I)$*

$$\|f\|_p C_{p,r}^{-1} \leq \left(\int \sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} (D_m(f))^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq C_{p,r} \|f\|_p,$$

where $D_{-1}(f) = \sum_{j=|k|+2-r}^1 (f, w_j^{(r,-k)}) w_j^{(r,k)}$ and for $m=0, 1, \dots, D_m(f) = \sum_{j=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}+1} (f, w_j^{(r,-k)}) w_j^{(r,k)}$.

For later use the following decomposition lemma is needed.

Lemma 3.1. Let k, r and p be given as in theorem 3.2. Then the projection

$$P(r, k)f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (f, \omega_{2^{m+1}}^{(r, -k)}) \omega_{2^{m+1}}^{(r, k)}$$

in the $L_p(I)$ space is bounded.

Proof. According to Theorem 3.2

$$\|P(r, k)f\|_p \sim \left\| \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_{2^{m+1}}^2 (\omega_{2^{m+1}}^{(r, k)})^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C_{p,r} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_{2^{m+1}}^2 2^{2k(m+1)} \right)^{1/2},$$

where $b_j = (f, \omega_j^{(r, -k)})$. If now

$$(3.5) \quad f = \sum_{j=|k|+2-r}^{\infty} a_j f_j^{(r, k)}$$

with $a_j = (f, f_j^{(r, -k)})$, then by (3.4) $b_{2^{m+1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} A_{2^m, j}^{(m)} a_{2^m+j}$, whence (3.2) implies $(2^{k(m+1)} b_{2^{m+1}})^2 \leq 2^{2k(m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} (a_{2^m+j})^2$. Thus for some constant $C_{p,r}$

$$\|P(r, k)f\|_p \leq C_{p,r} \left(\sum_{n=|k|+2-r}^{\infty} ((n+r)^k a_n)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand $(f_n^{(r, k)})$ is a Riesz basis in $L_2(I)$ (cf. [9]) and therefore by (3.5)

$$\|f\|_2 \sim \left(\sum_{n=|k|+2-r}^{\infty} ((n+r)^k a_n)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Consequently, $\|P(r, k)f\|_p \leq C_{p,r} \|f\|_2$ which for $p > 2$ implies the required inequality

$$\|P(r, k)f\|_p \leq C_{p,r} \|f\|_p, \quad |k| < r.$$

Since the conjugate operator is $P(r, -k): L_q(I) \rightarrow L_q(I)$, $q(p-1)=p$, Banach's theorem gives $\|P(r, -k)f\|_q \leq C_{p,r} \|f\|_q$, $|k| < r$, and clearly this completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3. Let k, r and p be given as in Theorem 3.2. Moreover, let S be the shift operator, i. e. $S\omega_n^{(r, k)} = \omega_{n+1}^{(r, k)}$ for $n > |k|+1-r$. Then $S: L_p(I) \rightarrow L_p(I)$ is bounded.

Proof. For given f from $L_p(I)$ let

$$(3.6) \quad f_0 = \sum_{n=|k|+2-r}^1 (f, \omega_n^{(r, -k)}) \omega_n^{(r, k)}$$

$$(3.7) \quad f_1 = P(r, k)f = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (f, \omega_{2^{m+1}}^{(r, -k)}) \omega_{2^{m+1}}^{(r, k)},$$

$$(3.8) \quad f_2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{m-1}} (f, \omega_{2^m+i}^{(r, -k)}) \omega_{2^m+i}^{(r, k)}.$$

It is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.1 that the series (3.7) and (3.8) converge in $L_p(I)$ and therefore in that space we have the following decomposition $f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2$. Formula (3.6) gives immediately for some constant $C_{p,r}$

$$(3.9) \quad \|Sf_0\|_p \leq C_{p,r} \|f\|_p.$$

The next step is to estimate the norm of Sf_1 . For simplicity let $b_m = (f, \omega_{2^m}^{(r, -k)})$ and then

$$Sf_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m \omega_{2^{m+1}}^{(r, k)}.$$

Using now (3.4) and Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$\|Sf_1\|_p \sim \left\| \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m^2 (\omega_{2^{m+1}}^{(r, k)})^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p.$$

For the Hardy maximal function Mg of g we have the following trivial inequalities $\|g\|_1 \leq Mg(t) \leq \|g\|_{\infty}$ satisfied for all t in I . On the other hand (cf. [10])

$$\|\omega_n^{(r, k)}\|_1 \sim \|\omega_n^{(r, k)}\|_{\infty} \sim n^k,$$

and therefore for some positive constants C and C'

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m^2 (\omega_{2^{m+1}}^{(r, k)})^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (b_m 2^{km})^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C' \left\| \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (b_m M \omega_{2^m}^{(r, k)})^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p.$$

Application of the Fefferman-Stein maximal inequality (cf. [7]) and of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.1 gives for some constants C, C' and C''

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (b_m M \omega_{2^m}^{(r, k)})^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C \left\| \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (b_m \omega_{2^m}^{(r, k)})^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C' \|f_1\|_p \leq C'' \|f\|_p.$$

Combining this with the previous inequality we get

$$(3.10) \quad \|Sf_1\|_p \leq C_{p,r} \|f\|_p.$$

The estimate for $\|Sf_2\|_p$. We know from (3.8) that $f_2 = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} d_n \omega_n^{(r, k)}$, with $d_{2^m} = 0$ for $m = 2, 3, \dots$. For later convenience let $f_2 = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} a_n f_n^{(r, k)}$, and $Sf_2 = \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} d'_n \omega_n^{(r, k)} = \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} a'_n f_n^{(r, k)}$, where $d'_{2^m+i} = d_{2^m+i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq 2^m$, and $d'_{2^m+1} = 0$ for $m = 2, 3, \dots$. It now follows from (3.4) that $a_{2^m+j} = \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} A_{j,i}^{(m)} d_{2^m+i}$, and $a'_{2^m+j} = \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} A_{j,i}^{(m)} d'_{2^m+i}$.

Now, $\|f_n^{(r, k)}\|_2 \sim n^{|k|}$, $f_n^{(r, k)} = h_n^{(r, k)} \|f_n^{(r, k)}\|_2^{\text{sgn } k}$, and therefore by Theorem 2.1 Sf_2 is in $L_p(I)$ if and only if the sum $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} a'_{2^m+i} 2^{mk} h_{2^m+i}^{(r, k)}$, $a'_3 = 0$, is in L_p . According to Theorem 2.3, since $h_n^{(1,0)} = f_n^{(1)}$, the last series is equiconvergent with

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{mk} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} a'_{2^m+i} f_{2^m+i}^{(1)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{mk} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} d'_{2^m+i} \omega_{2^m+i}^{(1)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{mk} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m-1} d_{2^m+i} \omega_{2^m+i+1}^{(1)}.$$

We know, however, that the Walsh-Paley system $(\omega_n^{(1)})$ has bounded shift in L_p (cf. [5]), and therefore the last series is equiconvergent in L_p with

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{mk} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} d_{2^m+i} \omega_{2^m+i}^{(1)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{mk} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} a_{2^m+i} f_{2^m+i}^{(1)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{mk} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} a_{2^m+i} h_{2^m+i}^{(1,0)},$$

and this according to Theorem 2.3 equiconverges with

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{mk} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} a_{2^m+i} h_{2^m+i}^{(r,k)}$$

Application of Theorem 2.1 shows that the last series is equiconvergent with $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} a_{2^m+i} f_{2^m+i}^{(r,k)} = f_2$, and this implies

$$(3.11) \quad \|Sf_2\|_p \leq C'_{p,r} \|f_2\|_p \leq C_{p,r} \|f\|_p.$$

To complete the proof it remains to combine the inequalities (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11).

We are now in a position to prove the analogue of Theorem 2.5 for the bounded o. n. spline systems $(\omega_n^{(r)})$. This result for $k=0, r=1$ and $r'=2$ was proved earlier in [5].

Theorem 3.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $|k| < \min(r, r')$. Then the bases $(\omega_j^{(r,k)}, j > |k| + 1 - r)$ and $(\omega_j^{(r',k)}, j > |k| + 1 - r')$ are equivalent in $L_p(I)$. In particular, the bounded o. n. spline systems $(\omega_j^{(r)}, j > 1 - r)$ and $(\omega_j^{(r')}, j > 1 - r')$ are equivalent bases in $L_p(I)$.*

Proof. It follows by Theorem 3.3 that the series $\sum_{j=|k|+2-r}^{\infty} b_j \omega_j^{(r,k)}$ equiconverges with

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b'_j \omega_j^{(r,k)} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a'_j f_j^{(r,k)},$$

where $b'_j = b_{j+r'-r}$ for $j \geq 2$, and for $1 \leq i \leq 2^m, m \geq 0, a'_{2^m+i} = \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} A_{i,j}^{(m)} b'_{2^m+j}$. However Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 imply the equiconvergence of (3.12) with $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a'_j f_j^{(r',k)} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b'_j \omega_j^{(r',k)} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_{j+r'-r} \omega_j^{(r',k)}$, and this completes the proof.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $|k| < \min(r, r')$: Then the following two series are equiconvergent in $L_p(I)$:*

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j \omega_j^{(r,k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j \omega_j^{(r',k)}.$$

This follows from Theorems 3.3 and 3.4.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let r be given positive integer. Then the bounded o. n. spline system $(\omega_n^{(r)})$ is not an equivalent basis in $L_p(I)$ to the trigonometric basis.*

It has been proved in [11] that the Walsh-Paley basis is not equivalent to the trigonometric basis in $L_p(I)$. On the other hand, Theorem 3.4 gives the equivalence of the Walsh-Paley basis with $(\omega_n^{(r)})$, and this completes the proof.

For the completeness of our discussion of the bounded o. n. spline systems $(\omega_n^{(r)})$ we introduce on analogy to $h_j^{(r,k)}$ the following functions

$$v_j^{(r,k)} = \omega_j^{(r,k)} \quad \text{for } 1 - r < j < 2,$$

$$v_j^{(r,k)} = \omega_j^{(r,k)} / \|\omega_n^{(r, |k|)}\|_2^{\text{sgn } k} \quad \text{for } j \geq 2 \quad \text{and } n = 2^{\lfloor \log_2(j-1) \rfloor},$$

where $[x]$ is the integer part of x . It should be clear that $(v_i^{(r,k)}, v_j^{(r,-k)}) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $i, j > |k| + 1 - r$ and $|k| < r$. Moreover, $\|v_j^{(r,k)}\|_2 \sim 1$ for large j

Theorem 3.5. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $|k| < r, |k'| < r'$. Then,

- (a) $(\varphi_j^{(r,k)}, j > |k| + 1 - r)$ is a basis in $L_p(I)$.
- (b) The linear shift $T: \varphi_j^{(r,k)} \rightarrow \varphi_{j+1}^{(r,k)}$ is bounded in L_p .
- (c) The bases $(\varphi_j^{(r,k)}, j > |k| + 1 - r)$ and $(\varphi_j^{(r',k')}, j > |k'| + 1 - r')$ are equivalent in $L_p(I)$.
- (d) The following two series are equiconvergent in $L_p(I)$:

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j \varphi_j^{(r,k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j \varphi_j^{(r',k')}.$$

Proof. Since $(\varphi_j^{(r,k)})$ is a basis in $L_p(I)$ property (a) follows by the very definition of $(\varphi_j^{(r,k)})$. Checking (b) we repeat the argument used in the proof of Theorem 3.3. For the proof of (c) let

$$(3.13) \quad f = \sum_{j=|k|+2-r}^{\infty} b_j \varphi_j^{(r,k)}$$

be in $L_p(I)$ and let $g = \sum_{j=|k'|+2-r}^{\infty} b_{j+|k|-|k'|+r-r'} \varphi_j^{(r',k')}$. We need to show that g is in $L_p(I)$. The shift property reduces this problem to the equiconvergence of (3.13) and $\sum_j b_j \varphi_j^{(r',k')}$. To see this let us write $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j \varphi_j^{(r',k')} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b'_j \varphi_j^{(r,k)} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a'_j f_j^{(r,k)} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a''_j h_j^{(r,k)}$, which equiconverges with

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a'_j h_j^{(r',k')} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a'_j \varepsilon_j f_j^{(r',k')},$$

where for $n = 2^{\lfloor \log_2(j-1) \rfloor}$

$$\varepsilon_j = \frac{\|f_j^{(r,|k|)}\|_2^{\text{sgn } k}}{\|f_j^{(r',|k'|)}\|_2^{\text{sgn } k'}} \sim \frac{\|\varphi_n^{(r,|k|)}\|_2^{\text{sgn } k}}{\|\varphi_n^{(r',|k'|)}\|_2^{\text{sgn } k'}} = \delta_j.$$

Thus the last series is equiconvergent with

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \delta_j a'_j f_j^{(r',k')} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \delta_j b'_j \varphi_j^{(r',k')} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j \varphi_j^{(r',k')},$$

and this completes the proof of (c).

Finally, (a), (b) and (c) imply (d).

4. Bases in Sobolev Spaces. On the interval $I = [0, 1]$ we consider the Sobolev space $W_p^m(I)$, $m \geq 0, 1 \leq p < \infty$, with the norm $\|f\|_{p,m} = \sum_{k=0}^m \|D^k f\|_p$.

It is known that $(f_j^{(r)}, j > 1 - r)$ is a basis in $W_p^m(I)$ for $m < r$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$ (cf. [4]) and that it is unconditional basis in the same space for $1 < p < \infty$ (cf. [3]). The bounded system $(\varphi_j^{(r)}, j > 1 - r)$ is a basis in $W_p^m(I)$ for $m < r$ and $1 < p < \infty$ as well (cf. [10]).

Theorem 4.1. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $r > 0$. Then for each $m, 0 \leq m < r$, the linear shift operators $T: f_j^{(r)} \rightarrow f_{j+1}^{(r)}$ and $S: \varphi_j^{(r)} \rightarrow \varphi_{j+1}^{(r)}$ are bounded in $W_p^m(I)$.

Proof. For the polynomial $f = \sum_{j=2-r}^n a_j f_j^{(r)}$ we have for $k=0, 1, \dots, m$, $D^k f = \sum_{j=k+2-r}^n a_j f_j^{(r,k)}$ and $D^k T f = \sum_{j=j_0}^n a_j f_{j+1}^{(r,k)}$; $j_0 = \max(1, k) + 2 - r$. It now follows from Theorem 2.4 that for some constant $C_{p,r}$ $\|D^k T f\|_p \leq C_{p,r} \|D^k f\|_p$ for $k=0, 1, \dots, m$, and this implies boundedness of T in $W_p^m(I)$. Similar argument gives the continuity of S .

Theorem 4.2. Let the integers m, r and r' be such that $0 \leq m < r < r'$. Then, for $1 < p < \infty$,

(a) the systems $(f_n^{(r)}, n > 1 - r)$ and $(f_n^{(r')}, n > 1 - r')$ are equivalent bases in $W_p^m(I)$,

(b) the bounded systems $(\omega_n^{(r)}, n > 1 - r)$ and $(\omega_n^{(r')}, n > 1 - r')$ are equivalent bases in $W_p^m(I)$.

Proof. In case (a) Theorem 4.1 reduces the problem to the question of equiconvergence in $W_p^m(I)$ of the following two series $\sum_j a_j f_j^{(r)}$ and $\sum_j a_j f_j^{(r')}$. However, this property follows immediately from Theorems 2.4 and 2.5.

Statement (b) is being proved by similar argument.

It may be of some interest to prove a theorem on equivalence of interpolating spline bases in $W_p^m(I)$. For given $f \in W_p^r(I)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $r > 0$, let us denote by $H^{(2r)}f$ the algebraic polynomial of degree $2r - 1$ solving the following two-point Hermite interpolating problem $D^j H^{(2r)}f(s) = D^j f(s)$ for $s = 0, 1$; $j = 0, \dots, r - 1$. The basic interpolating spline functions are now defined by the formula

$$g_n^{(2r)}(t) = \int_0^t f_n^{(r, -r+1)}(s) ds, \quad t \in I, \quad n > 1.$$

Clearly $g_n^{(2r)}$ is in $S_n^{2r}(I)$. It has been essentially shown in [2] that $(H^{(2r)}g_n^{(2r)}, n > 1)$ is a basis in $W_p^m(I)$ for $r \leq m < 2r$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, i. e. for each $f \in W_p^m(I)$ we have in this space

$$f = H^{(2r)}f + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n(f) g_n^{(2r)} \quad \text{with} \quad a_n(f) = \int_I f_n^{(r, r-1)}(s) df(s).$$

The basis $(H^{(2r)}, g_n^{(2r)}, n > 1)$ is interpolating. Namely, for $n > 1$, $n = 2^q + k$, $1 \leq k \leq 2^q$, $g_n^{(2r)}(s_{n,j}) = g_n^{(2r)}(s_{n,2k-1}) \delta_{j,2k-1}$, $j = 0, \dots, n$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $n = 2^q + k$, $1 \leq k \leq 2^q$, $0 \leq q$. Now, for given $r > 0$ there are constants $C_r > 0$ and q_r , $0 < q_r < 1$, such that

$$(4.1) \quad |g_n^{(2r)}(t)| \leq C_r n^{1/2r} q_r^n |t - t_n|,$$

where $t_n = s_{n,2k-1}$. Moreover,

$$(4.2) \quad \|g_n^{(2r)}\|_{\infty} \sim |g_n^{(2r)}(t_n)| \sim n^{1/2-r}.$$

Proof. We know that for some constants C_r and q_r , $0 < q_r < 1$, (see [2]) the following inequality holds

$$(4.3) \quad |f_n^{(r, -r+1)}(t)| \leq C_r n^{3/2-r} q_r^n |t - t_n|.$$

Now, for each $t \in I$ there is an \bar{i} , $1 \leq \bar{i} \leq n$, such that $s_{n, \bar{i}-1} \leq t \leq s_{n, \bar{i}}$, and either $g_n^{(2r)}(s_{n, \bar{i}-1}) = 0$ or $g_n^{(2r)}(s_{n, \bar{i}}) = 0$. Suppose that the first case takes place. Then by (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n^{(2r)}(t)| &= |g_n^{(2r)}(t) - g_n^{(2r)}(s_{n, \bar{i}-1})| \\ &\leq \int_{s_{n, \bar{i}-1}}^{s_{n, \bar{i}}} |f_n^{(r, -r+1)}(s)| ds \leq C_r \frac{2}{n} n^{3/2-r} \max_{s \in I_{n, \bar{i}}} q_r^n |s - t_n| \leq C_r' n^{1/2-r} q_r^n |t - t_n|, \end{aligned}$$

where $I_{n,i} = [s_{n,i-1}, s_{n,i}]$. In the second case the argument i is almost identical.

It now follows by (4.1) that

$$|g_n^{(2r)}(t_n)| \leq \|g_n^{(2r)}\|_\infty \leq C_r n^{1/2-r}.$$

The estimates from below are a little more difficult to obtain. Since for $n > 1$ the function $f_n^{(r)}$ is orthogonal to polynomials of degree $r-1$ we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} g_n^{(2r)}(t) &= -(g_n^{(2r)}(1) - g_n^{(2r)}(t)) = -\int_t^1 f_n^{(r, -r+1)}(s) ds \\ &= \frac{-1}{(r-1)!} \int_0^1 (s-t)_+^{r-1} f_n^{(r)}(s) ds = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \int_0^1 (t-s)_+^{r-1} f_n^{(r)}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

With the help of formula (4.4) we extend $g_n^{(2r)}$ from I to R , clearly this is the same as extension of $g_n^{(2r)}$ outside of I by zero. Formula (4.4) gives now

$$(4.5) \quad [s_{n,j}, \dots, s_{n,j+r}; g_n^{(2r)}] = (-1)^{r-1} (N_{n,j}^{(r)}, f_n^{(r)}) / (r-1)! (s_{n,j+r} - s_{n,j}).$$

For later convenience let $\omega_{j,r}(s) = (s - s_{n,j}) \cdots (s - s_{n,j+r})$ and $a_{n,j} = (N_{n,j}^{(r)}, f_n^{(r)})$. Now, the properties of $g_n^{(2r)}$ for $2k-1-r \leq j \leq 2k-1$ imply

$$(4.6) \quad [s_{n,j}, \dots, s_{n,j+r}; g_n^{(2r)}] = g_n^{(2r)}(t_n) / (D\omega_{j,r})(t_n).$$

Comparing (4.5) and (4.6) we get for $2k-1-r \leq j \leq 2k-1$

$$a_{n,j} = (-1)^{r-1} (r-1)! (s_{n,j+r} - s_{n,j}) g_n^{(2r)}(t_n) / (D\omega_{j,r})(t_n),$$

whence we infer

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{j=2k-1-r}^{2k-1} |a_{n,j}| \leq C'_r n^{r-1} |g_n^{(2r)}(t_n)|$$

with some constant C'_r . On the other hand, (2.5) and (2.4) corresponding to $p=1$ give

$$n^{-1/2} \leq C''_r \|f_n^{(r)}\|_1 \leq C'''_r \sum_{j=2k-1-r}^{2k-1} |a_{n,j}|.$$

Combining these inequalities with (4.7) we complete the proof.

For comparing the interpolating bases $(H^{(2r)}, g_n^{(2r)}, n > 1)$ and $(H^{(2r')}, g_n^{(2r')}, n > 1)$ it is convenient to normalize them as follows $G_n^{(2r)}(t) = g_n^{(2r)} \times (t) / g_n^{(2r)}(t_n), n > 1$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let p, m, r and r' be given such that $1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq r \leq m < 2r, 1 \leq r' \leq m < 2r'$. Then $(H^{(2r)}, G_n^{(2r)}, n > 1)$ and $(H^{(2r')}, G_n^{(2r')}, n > 1)$ are equivalent bases in $W_p^m(I)$.*

Proof. It is enough to check equiconvergence of the following two series in $W_p^m(I)$

$$\sum_j a_j G_j^{(2r)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_j a_{j+2r'-2r} G_j^{(2r')},$$

and this is equivalent to equiconvergence in $L_p(I)$ of the series

$$\sum_j a_j D^m G_j^{(2r)} \text{ and } \sum_j a_{j+2r'-2r} D^m G_j^{(2r')}.$$

Now, the first series equiconverges with

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_j a_j j^{m-1/2} h_j^{(r, m-r)},$$

what follows by Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 2.1. The second series for the same reasons equiconverges with

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_j a_{j+2r'-2r} (j+2r'-2r)^{m-1/2} h_j^{(r', m-r')}.$$

To get the equiconvergence of (4.8) and (4.9) it is sufficient to apply Theorems 2.3, 2.1 and 2.4.

REFERENCES

1. Z. Ciesielski. A bounded orthonormal system of polygons. *Studia Math.*, 31, 1968, 339—346.
2. Z. Ciesielski. Constructive function theory and spline systems. *Studia Math.*, 43, 1975, 277—302.
3. Z. Ciesielski. Equivalence, unconditionality and convergence a. e. of spline bases in L_p spaces. Approximation Theory. Banach Center Publications, vol. 4 55—68
4. Z. Ciesielski, J. Domsta. Construction of an orthonormal basis in $C^m(I^d)$ and $W_p^m(I^d)$. *Studia Math.*, 41, 1972, 211—224.
5. Z. Ciesielski, S. Kwapien. Some properties of the Haar, Walsh-Paley, Franklin and the bounded polygonal orthonormal bases in L_p spaces. *Comment. Math.*, t. spec. in Honorem Ladislai Orlicz, II, 1979, 37—42.
6. J. Domsta. A Theorem on B -splines. *Studia Math.*, 41, 1972, 291—314.
7. C. L. Fefferman, E. M. Stein. Some maximal inequalities. *Amer. J. Math.*, 93, 1971, 107—115.
8. R. E. A. C. Paley. A remarkable series of orthogonal functions. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 34, 1932, 241—279.
9. S. Ropela. Spline Bases in Besov Spaces. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Série math. astr.*, 24, 1976, 319—325.
10. S. Ropela. Properties of bounded orthonormal spline bases. Approximation Theory. Banach Center Publications, vol. 4, 1979, 37—42.
11. Wo-Sang Young. A note on Walsh-Fourier Series. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 59, 1976, No. 2, 305—310.

Mathematical Institute
of the Polish Academy of Sciences
Warsaw Poland

Received November 8, 1977