

SOME REMARKS ON APPROXIMABLE ELEMENTS IN COUNTABLY MODULARED SPACES

A. Waszak

Summary. Let \mathcal{E} be an abstract set, and let \tilde{X} be a σ -algebra of subsets of the set \mathcal{E} . Moreover, let m be a nonnegative measure on \tilde{X} . We take a family of modulars depending on a parameter $\bar{\varrho} = \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x)$. Now, by means of this family, one may define the modulars: $\varrho_0(x)$, $\varrho_S(x)$ and $\varrho(x)$. If $\bar{\varrho}$ is any of the above modulars, then $X_{\bar{\varrho}} = \{x \in X : \bar{\varrho}(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0\}$ are modular spaces. In $X_{\bar{\varrho}}$ we may define an F -norm $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\varrho}}$. Elements $x \in X$ belonging to $X_{\bar{\varrho}}$ will be called approximable by $\bar{\varrho}$.

In case when we take for X the space of all infinitely differentiable real-valued functions x in $]-\infty, \infty]$, we define the family of modulars $\bar{\varrho}_t(\xi, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\xi, |x^{(i-1)}(t)|) dt$. Next we define various modulars: $\varrho_{0t}(x) = \text{supes } \bar{\varrho}_t(\xi, x)$, $\varrho_{St}(x) = \int_{\tilde{X}} \bar{\varrho}_t(\xi, x) dm$ and $\varrho_t(x) = \int_{\tilde{X}} p(\xi) \cdot (1 + \bar{\varrho}_t(\xi, x)) \cdot (\bar{\varrho}_t(\xi, x))^{-1} dm$, where $p(\xi)$ is measurable, $0 < p(\xi) < \infty$, $\int_{\tilde{X}} p(\xi) dm = 1$.

We look for conditions that $\bar{\varrho}$ must satisfy in order that $X_{\bar{\varrho}}$ is contained in X_{ϱ_0} , and investigate connections between convergences in the sense of $\|\cdot\|_{\bar{\varrho}}$ and $\bar{\varrho}$.

In the paper [6] there was considered a family of modulars depending on a parameter.

Let X be a real or complex linear space and let \mathcal{E} be an abstract set, and let \tilde{X} be a σ -algebra of subsets of the set \mathcal{E} . Moreover, let m be a nonnegative measure on \tilde{X} . We take $\bar{\varrho} : \mathcal{E} \times X \rightarrow]-\infty, \infty]$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $\bar{\varrho}$ is m -measurable in \mathcal{E} for every x ,

(2) $\bar{\varrho}(\xi, 0) = 0$ for m -almost every ξ ,

(3) $\bar{\varrho}(\xi, x) = \bar{\varrho}(\xi, -x)$ for m -almost every ξ ,

(4) $\bar{\varrho}(\xi, \alpha x + \beta y) \leq \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x) + \bar{\varrho}(\xi, y)$ for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta = 1, x, y \in X$ and for m -almost every ξ .

The map satisfying the foregoing conditions is called a family of pseudo-modulars depending on a parameter. If $\bar{\varrho}$ satisfies the condition

(2') $\bar{\varrho}(\xi, x) = 0$ in a set of ξ of positive measure implies $x = 0$,

instead of the condition (2), then $\bar{\varrho}$ is called a family of modulars depending on a parameter, (see [4, 6]).

Let $\bar{\varrho}$ be a given family of modulars in X . By means of this family, one may define modulars

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_0(x) &= \sup_{\xi \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x), \\ \varrho_s(x) &= \int_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x) dm \\ \varrho(x) &= \int_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}} p(\xi) (1 + \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x))^{-1} \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x) dm, \end{aligned}$$

where $p(\xi)$ is measurable, $0 < p(\xi) < \infty$, $\int_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}} p(\xi) dm = 1$. In case when $\bar{\varrho}$ is a pseudomodular in X for every $\xi \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}$, one may define also a modular $\varrho_u(x) = \sup_{\xi \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x)$. If $\bar{\varrho}$ is any of the modulars $\varrho_0, \varrho_s, \varrho$ and ϱ_u , then $X_{\bar{\varrho}} = \{x \in X : \bar{\varrho}(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0\}$ is the respective modular space $X_{\varrho_0}, X_{\varrho_s}, X_{\varrho}$ and X_{ϱ_u} .

Elements $x \in X$ belonging to $X_{\bar{\varrho}}$ will be called approximable by $\bar{\varrho}$. In particular, elements $x \in X$ belonging to X_{ϱ_0} will be called uniformly approximable by $\bar{\varrho}$ (see also [3]). It is obvious that every uniformly approximable element belongs to the space of approximable elements X_{ϱ} , but the converse does not hold in general. Moreover, convergence in the space X_{ϱ_0} of uniformly approximable elements implies convergence in the space X_{ϱ} of approximable elements. The respective modular spaces $X_{\bar{\varrho}}$ satisfy the following relations:

$$X_{\varrho_u} \subset X_{\varrho_0} \subset X_{\varrho}; \quad X_{\varrho_s} \subset X_{\varrho}; \quad \text{if } m(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) < \infty, \text{ then } X_{\varrho_0} \subset X_{\varrho_s};$$

If $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$ consists of a countable number of pairwise disjoint atoms A_1, A_2, \dots and $\inf_k m(A_k) > 0$, then $X_{\varrho_s} \subset X_{\varrho_u}$; an element $x \in X$ belongs to X_{ϱ} , if and only if $\bar{\varrho}(\xi, \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ m -almost every in $\bar{\mathcal{E}}$.

Some generalizations of these problems we obtain, if we take a real linear space as X and if a family of measures $\mathfrak{M} = \{m_\eta\}$, $\eta \in H$, be given on a σ -algebra \tilde{X} of subsets of an abstract set \mathcal{E} . We consider the family of modulars depending on a parameter $\bar{\varrho} = \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x)$ defined as above. By means of the family $\bar{\varrho}$ we define new pseudomodulars and modulars on X , and next we investigate connections between the respective modular spaces. In [7] two special cases were considered.

In the first case let us suppose that there is given a σ -algebra Y of subsets of the set H , and a measure n in Y . An extended real-valued function f in \mathcal{E} is said to be equal to 0 η -almost everywhere, if there exists a set $H_1 \subset H$ satisfying the condition $n(H \setminus H_1) = 0$ such that for every $\eta \in H_1$ there is a set $\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}$ for which $m_\eta(\mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}_1) = 0$ and $\bar{\varrho}(\xi, x) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_1$. The following extended real-valued functionals are pseudomodulars in X :

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{00}(x) &= \sup_{\eta} \sup_{\xi} \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x), & \varrho_{ss}(x) &= \int_H \int_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x) dm_\eta dn, \\ \varrho_{0\sigma(\mathfrak{M})}(x) &= \sup_{\eta} \int_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x) dm_\eta, & \varrho_{ss}^\varphi(x) &= \int_H \varphi \left(\int_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}} \bar{\varrho}(\xi, x) dm_\eta \right) dn, \end{aligned}$$

where φ is a φ -function in the sense of [5].

In the second case, if H is an abstract set, we say that an extended real-valued function f defined in \mathcal{E} is equal to 0 almost everywhere, if for each $\eta \in H$ there holds $m_\eta(\{\xi: f(\xi) \neq 0, \xi \in \mathcal{E}\}) = 0$.

The following extended-real valued functions are pseudomodulars in X :

$$\varrho_{u_0}(x) = \sup_\eta \sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \overline{\varrho}(\xi, x), \quad \varrho_{u_0}(\mathfrak{M})_1(x) = \sup_\eta \int_{\mathcal{E}} \overline{\varrho}(\xi, x) dm_\eta.$$

Moreover, if $\eta_0 \notin H$, $H_0 = H \cup \{\eta_0\}$ is topological space and we denote

$$\overline{\lim}_{\eta \rightarrow \eta_0} g(\eta) = \inf_U \sup_{\eta \in U \setminus \{\eta_0\}} g(\eta),$$

where U runs over all neighbourhoods of η_0 in H_0 , then

$$\varrho_{u_0}(\mathfrak{M})_1(x) = \overline{\lim}_{\eta \rightarrow \eta_0} \int_{\mathcal{E}} \overline{\varrho}(\xi, x) dm_\eta$$

is also a pseudomodular on X .

In papers of J. Musielak and myself [6–11], the following problems were considered for a number of important special cases of $\overline{\varrho}$:

- 1) convergence in $X_{\overline{\varrho}}$ in the sense of the F -norm $\|\cdot\|_{\overline{\varrho}}$, that is $\|x_n - x\|_{\overline{\varrho}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\|x\|_{\overline{\varrho}} = \inf\{u > 0: \overline{\varrho}(x/u) \leq u\}$;
- 2) convergence in $X_{\overline{\varrho}}$ in the sense of the modular $\overline{\varrho}$, that is $\overline{\varrho}(\lambda(x_n - x)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a certain $\lambda > 0$;
- 3) completeness of $X_{\overline{\varrho}}$;
- 4) connections between the Orlicz classes $L^\psi(E, \tilde{E}, m)$ and the respective modular classes $X_{\overline{\varrho}(\xi, \cdot)} = L^{\varphi(\xi, \cdot)}(E, \tilde{E}, m)$ for some φ -functions φ and ψ ;
- 5) characterization of uniformly approximable elements among all approximable elements;
- 6) under which conditions on $\overline{\varrho}$ every approximable element is uniformly approximable.

First we consider the case when we take as X the space of all infinitely differentiable real-valued functions $x(t)$ in $]-\infty, \infty[$. Let φ be a convex φ -function in the sense [5]. We define a family of modulars by the formula $\overline{\varrho}_i(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(|x^{(i-1)}(t)|) dt$.

The following may be proved.

Let $x_n \in X_{\overline{\varrho}_0}$. Then $x_n \xrightarrow{e} 0$ implies $x_n \xrightarrow{e_0} 0$, and $\|x_n\|_{\overline{\varrho}_0} \rightarrow 0$ implies $\|x_n\|_{e_0} \rightarrow 0$.

Now we take a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) with a finite measure μ . We define X as the space of all real-valued Σ -measurable functions over Ω , with equality μ -almost everywhere. Let (φ_i) be sequence of φ -functions, equicontinuous at zero. We take $\overline{\varrho}_i(x) = \int_\Omega \varphi_i(|x|) d\mu$. If we suppose that the following condition holds:

(S) there exist positive constants $k, c, u_0 > 0$ and an index i_0 such that $\varphi_i(cu) \leq k\varphi_{i_0}(u)$ for all $u \geq u_0$ and all $i \geq i_0$, then we have the following **Theorem**:

If (\mathfrak{S}) holds and $x_n \in x_0$, then $x_n \in X_{e_0}$, $x_n \xrightarrow{e}$ implies $x_n \xrightarrow{e_0} 0$, $\|x_n\|_e \rightarrow 0$, implies $\|x_n\|_{e_0} \rightarrow 0$, (compare [9]).

Under some additional assumption given in [9], condition (\mathfrak{S}) is also necessary. The results obtained in [9] are generalized in [10] to the case of modulars depending on a parameter, and in [11] for a special case of family of modulars \underline{q} .

Now we define a map $q_i: \mathcal{E}X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ as follows:

$$q_i(\xi, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\xi, |x(t)|) dt$$

and we put $q_i(\xi, x) = q_i(\xi, x^{(i-1)})$ for $i=2, 3, \dots$, where $x^{(i)}$ is the derivative of x of order i in the usual sense. Now, we may define various modulars in X :

$$\bar{q}_{0i}(x) = \sup_{\xi} q_i(\xi, x),$$

$$\bar{q}_{si}(x) = \int_{\mathcal{E}} q_i(\xi, x) dm,$$

$$\bar{q}_i(x) = \int_{\mathcal{E}} p(\xi) (1 + q_i(\xi, x))^{-1} q_i(\xi, x) dm,$$

where $p(\xi)$ is measurable, $0 < p(\xi) < \infty$, $\int_{\mathcal{E}} p(\xi) dm = 1$.

Moreover, let $\{m_\eta\}$, $\eta \in H$, be the family of nonnegative measures on \tilde{X} , where H is an abstract set, then we write

$$\bar{q}_{oi}(x) = \sup_{\eta} \int_{\mathcal{E}} q_i(\xi, x) dm_\eta.$$

If \tilde{q}_i denotes one of these modulars \bar{q}_{0i} , \bar{q}_{si} and \bar{q}_{oi} , then we write

$$\tilde{q}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \tilde{q}_i(x) (1 + \tilde{q}_i(x))^{-1}, \quad \tilde{q}_0(x) = \sup_i \tilde{q}_i(x).$$

The respective modular spaces are denoted by $X_{\tilde{q}}$ and $X_{\tilde{q}_0}$, and as before are called the space of approximable elements and uniformly approximable elements, respectively. We may prove the following theorems about the space of approximable elements by the \tilde{q}_i .

Theorems: If $x_n \in X_{\tilde{q}_0}$, then $x_n \xrightarrow{e} 0$ implies $x_n \xrightarrow{e_0} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We give the proof of then theorems only in the case when \tilde{q} and \tilde{q}_0 are defined by means of \bar{q}_{oi} (compare [11]).

Proof. By the assumption $x_n \xrightarrow{e} 0$, there holds

$$\tilde{q}(\lambda_0 x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \bar{q}_{oi}(\lambda_0 x_n) (1 + \bar{q}_{oi}(\lambda_0 x_n))^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for a certain $\lambda_0 > 0$. Hence $\bar{q}_{oi}(\lambda_0 x_n) = \sup_{\eta} \int_{\mathcal{E}} q_i(\xi, \lambda_0 x_n) dm_\eta \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a certain $\lambda_0 > 0$, and in consequence, $\sup_{\eta} \int_{\mathcal{E}} q_i(\xi, \lambda_0 x_n) dm_\eta < \infty$ for $n > N_i$, N_i independent of η . Thus, there exists a set $\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}$ of measure $m_\eta(\mathcal{E}_1) = 0$, \mathcal{E}_1 independent of η , such that $q_i(\xi, \lambda_0 x_n) < \infty$ for $n > N_i$, and $\xi \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}_1$.

By the condition $x_n \in X_{\rho_0}^{\sim}$, which means that $\tilde{\rho}_0(\lambda x_n) = \sup_i \bar{\rho}_{\sigma_i}(\lambda x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\bar{\rho}_{\sigma_i}(\lambda x_n) = \sup_{\mathcal{E}} \int \rho_i(\xi, \lambda x_n) dm_{\eta} \rightarrow 0, \text{ and } \int_{\mathcal{E}} \rho_i(\xi, \lambda x_n) dm_{\eta} \rightarrow 0$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in i and for each n separately. Hence there are $\lambda'_i > 0$ independent of η such that $\int_{\mathcal{E}} \rho_1(\xi, \lambda'_i x_n) dm_{\eta} < \infty$ for $n < N_i$. Now, if $\lambda_i = \min(\lambda_0, \lambda'_i)$ we have $\int_{\mathcal{E}} \rho_i(\xi, \lambda_i x_n) dm_{\eta} < \infty$ for $n < N_i$, and we conclude that there exists a set \mathcal{E}_2 dependent of η such that $m_{\eta}(\mathcal{E}_2) = 0$ and $\rho_i(\xi, \lambda_i x_n) < \infty$ for $n < N_i$. Finally, we have $\rho_i(\xi, \lambda_i x_n) < \infty$ for all n, i and for $\xi \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \mathcal{E}_0$, where $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2$ depends on η .

In [11] there is a lemma: If $x \in X$ and there exists a sequence of non-negative numbers (λ_i) such that $\rho_i(\xi, \lambda_i x) < \infty$ for almost every $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$, then $\rho_1(\xi, \lambda_1 x) \geq \rho_2(\xi, \lambda_1 x) \geq \rho_3(\xi, \lambda_1 x) \geq \dots$ almost everywhere in \mathcal{E} (compare [2]). By this lemma for m_{η} with fixed η , we have $\rho_1(\xi, \lambda_1 x_n) \geq \rho_2(\xi, \lambda_1 x_n) \geq \dots$ and next

$$\int_{\mathcal{E}} \rho_1(\xi, \lambda_1 x_n) dm_{\eta} \geq \int_{\mathcal{E}} \rho_2(\xi, \lambda_1 x_n) dm_{\eta} \geq \dots$$

Consequently, $\bar{\rho}_{\sigma_1}(\lambda_1 x_n) \geq \bar{\rho}_{\sigma_2}(\lambda_1 x_n) \geq \dots$. But $\tilde{\rho}_0(\lambda_1 x_n) = \sup_i \bar{\rho}_{\sigma_i}(\lambda_1 x_n) \leq \bar{\rho}_{\sigma_1}(\lambda_1 x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, because $x_n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_0} 0$ and this means that $x_n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_0} 0$.

REFERENCES

1. J. Albrycht, J. Musielak. Countably modular spaces. *Studia Math.* 31, 1968 331–337.
2. R. Bojanic, J. Musielak. An inequality for functions with derivatives in an Orlicz space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15, 1964, 902–906.
3. J. Musielak. Countably modular spaces and approximable elements. *Proceed. Colloq. Constr. Theory of Functions, Budapest, 1969*, p. 315–318.
4. J. Musielak. Modular spaces and Orlicz spaces and their generalizations, UAM. *Komunikaty i Rozprawy.* 1977, 1–18.
5. J. Musielak, W. Orlicz. On modular spaces. *Studia Math.* 18, 1959, 49–65.
6. J. Musielak, A. Waszak. On families of modulars depending on a parameter. *Functiones et Approximatio*, 1, 1974, 81–90.
7. J. Musielak, A. Waszak. On some families of modulars. *Functiones et Approximatio*, 2, 1976, 197–205.
8. J. Musielak, A. Waszak. Some remarks on families of Orlicz classes. *Comment. Math.*, 19, 1976, 323–329.
9. J. Musielak, A. Waszak. Some problems of convergence in countably modular spaces. *Proceed. 4th Prague Topological Symposium 1976. Prague, 1977*, 319–326.
10. J. Musielak, A. Waszak. Some theorems concerning modulars depending on a parameter. *Functiones et Approximatio*, 7, 1978, 123–127.
11. J. Musielak, A. Waszak. A contribution to the theory of countably modular spaces. *Functiones et Approximatio*, 6, 1978, 81–85.
12. A. Waszak. Orlicz spaces connected with strong summability III. *Publ. Inst. Math., Beograd, Nouv. ser.* 12 (26), 1971, 149–160.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Poznan
Poznan Poland

Received June 25, 1977