

## HIGH-ORDER APPROXIMATION WITH CONVOLUTION OPERATORS

P. C. Sikkema

**Summary.** In this paper a class of convolution operators  $U_\rho$  of a certain type (1) is considered. It is proved that there exists a  $\beta$  satisfying conditions (i)—(iv) such that the order of approximation to  $f(x)$  ( $f$  bounded and continuous on  $R$ ) by  $(U_\rho f)(x)$  at a point  $x$ , where  $f''(x)$  exists, is equal to  $\exp(-\rho/\alpha(\rho))$ , given in Section 7.

**1. Introduction.** The convolution operators  $U_\rho$ , studied in this paper, are defined on the class  $M$  of all those real functions  $f$ , defined on the real axis  $R$ , that are bounded and continuous on  $R$ . The class  $B$  consists of all real functions  $\beta$ , defined on  $R$  and having the following four properties:

- (i)  $\beta \geq 0$  on  $R$ ;
- (ii)  $\beta(0) = 1$ ,  $\beta$  is continuous at  $t = 0$ ;
- (iii) for each  $\delta > 0$  is  $\sup \{\beta(t) : |t| \geq \delta\} < 1$ ;
- (iv)  $\beta \in L_1(R)$ .

Then the operators  $U_\rho$  are defined on  $M$  by

$$(1) \quad (U_\rho f)(x) = I_\rho^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-t) \beta^\rho(t) dt \quad (f \in M, \beta \in B, x \in R, \rho \geq 1).$$

$I_\rho$  is a normalizing factor:

$$(2) \quad I_\rho = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta^\rho(t) dt \quad (\rho \geq 1).$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that  $(U_\rho 1)(x) = 1$ .

Operators of this type, though of a very special nature, were considered a. o. by Weierstrass [13], Landau [4] and, somewhat more general than these, by Korovkin [3]. Concerning the speed, with which the approximation

$$(3) \quad (U_\rho f)(x) \rightarrow f(x)$$

takes place, first results were given by Bojanic and Shisha [1], but only for a small subclass of operators of type (1), (2). They used the modulus of continuity of  $f$ . For the general class of operators  $U_\rho$ , defined above, results in this direction were derived by the author [5, 6] in sharp form.

By deriving a formula of Voronovskaya type the author showed in [8], using a  $\beta$  belonging to a subclass of  $B$ , that the order of approximation in (3) at a point  $x$ , where  $f''(x)$  exists, can be  $\rho^{-\alpha}$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ), generalizing some results by him and Rathore [7]. By using another subclass of  $B$  he proved [9] that the order of approximation at such a point  $x$  can be  $\exp(-\sqrt{\rho} + p(\rho))$ , where  $p(\rho) = o(\sqrt{\rho})$  is a polynomial in some fractional power of  $\rho$ . In [10] this result was generalized to the existence of a  $\beta$  such that the order of approximation is  $\exp(\rho^{1/p} + q(\rho))$ ,  $p > 0$ ,  $q(\rho) = o(\rho^{1/p})$  being a polynomial in some smaller power of  $\rho$ . As a counterpart the author showed [11], that the approximation can be very slow at such an  $x$ , viz.  $(\log_n \rho)^{-1}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

The aim of the present paper is to show that there exists to each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  a  $\beta \in B$  such that if  $f''(x)$  exists at a point  $x \in R$  and  $f'(x) \neq 0$ , (3) can be written as

$$(4) \quad (U_\rho f)(x) - f(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \exp\{-\rho \log 2 / (\log \rho \log_2 \rho \dots \log_{n-1} \rho \log_n^2 \rho)\} \\ \times (1 + o(1))\} f'(x) (1 + o(1)),$$

so that the order of approximation at  $x$  is  $\exp\{-\rho \log 2 / (\log \rho \log_2 \rho \dots \log_{n-1} \rho \log_n^2 \rho) \cdot (1 + o(1))\}$ . This formula may hold at all points of a finite interval. This improves a result by Totik [12], who showed by constructing an appropriate stepfunction  $\beta \in B$ , that the order of approximation can be  $\exp(-\rho^{\sigma(x)})$  with  $\sigma(x) = 1 - (\log \log \rho)^{-1/k}$  ( $k > 1$ ), which, however, is worse than our result. On the other hand, Totik shows that only in some very special cases of  $f$  (not of  $\beta$ ) there exists a  $\beta \in B$  such that the order of approximation is  $\exp(-\sigma x)$  ( $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\sigma$  constant). Moreover he conjectures, that if  $a(\rho) > 0$  ( $\rho \geq 1$ ),  $a(\rho) \rightarrow \infty$ , no matter how slowly, if  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists a  $\beta \in B$  such that the order of approximation in the above sense is  $\exp(-\rho/a(\rho))$ . Hence our result strengthens the believe that some day this unsolved problem will be solved.

**2. The Difference**  $(U_\rho f)(x) - f(x)$ . In the following  $e_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ) will stand for  $\exp(\exp(\dots(\exp 1)\dots))$ , where  $\exp$  is occurring  $n$  times;  $\log_n a$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $a > e_{n-2}$ ) will stand for  $\log \log \dots \log a$ , where  $\log$  is occurring  $n$  times.

Let  $\delta = e_7^{-1}$ . The function  $\beta(t)$  is defined on  $R$  in the following way:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta(0) &= 1, \\ \beta(t) &= 1 - (\log_3(1/t))^{-1} && (0 < t \leq \delta), \\ \beta(t) &= a e^{-t^2} && (t > \delta), \\ \beta(t) &= 1 - (\log_4(1/|t|))^{-1} && (-\delta \leq t < 0), \\ \beta(t) &= a' e^{-t^2} && (t < -\delta), \end{aligned}$$

$a, a'$  being chosen such that  $\beta$  is continuous at  $t = \pm \delta$ . Obviously,  $\beta \in B$ . Since  $\beta$  is continuous on  $R$ , a general theorem [8, Theorem 1] learns that (3) holds at all points of  $R$ . In order to investigate the speed, with which the approximation in (3) takes place, it is assumed that at an arbitrarily chosen and then fixed point  $x$  the second derivative  $f''(x)$  exists. Then Taylor's expansion around  $x$  reads

$$(6) \quad f(x-t) - f(x) = -t f'(x) + t^2 f''(x)/2 + t^2 \gamma_x(t) \quad (t \in R).$$

Setting  $\gamma_x(0)=0$ , then  $\gamma_x(t)$  is bounded and continuous on  $R$ . Defining for  $\rho \geq 1$

$$(7) \quad I_{\nu\rho}(\delta) = \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} t^{\nu} \beta^{\rho}(t) dt, \quad J_{\nu\rho}(\delta) = \int_{|t| \geq \delta} t^{\nu} \beta^{\rho}(t) dt \quad (\nu=0, 1, 2),$$

$$(8) \quad K_{\rho} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 \gamma_x(t) \beta^{\rho}(t) dt,$$

it follows from (6), (7) and (8) that, if  $\rho \geq 1$ ,

$$(9) \quad (U_{\rho} f)(x) - f(x) = I_{\rho}^{-1} \{ -I_{1\rho}(\delta) f'(x) + I_{2\rho}(\delta) f''(x)/2 - J_{1\rho}(\delta) f'(x) + J_{2\rho}(\delta) f''(x)/2 + K_{\rho} \}.$$

In view of the first formula in (7) the following functions are introduced:

$$(10) \quad A_{\nu\rho}(\delta) = \int_0^{\delta} t^{\nu} \beta^{\rho}(t) dt, \quad B_{\nu\rho}(\delta) = \int_0^{\delta} t^{\nu} \beta^{\rho}(-t) dt \quad (\nu=0, 1, 2),$$

so that

$$(11) \quad I_{\nu\rho}(\delta) = A_{\nu\rho}(\delta) + (-1)^{\nu} B_{\nu\rho}(\delta) \quad (\nu=0, 1, 2).$$

The asymptotic behaviour of  $A_{\nu\rho}(\delta)$  and  $B_{\nu\rho}(\delta)$ , if  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , is now of interest.

### 3. The Function $\lambda(\rho, u)$ . In this section the function

$$(12) \quad \lambda(\rho, u) = \gamma^{-1} u - \rho \log(1 - (\log_2 u)^{-1}) \quad (0 < \gamma \leq 1, \rho \geq 1, u \geq e_6)$$

will be investigated with emphasis on its asymptotic behaviour, if  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ . First of all

$$(13) \quad d\lambda/du = \gamma^{-1} (1 - (u \log u \cdot \log_2 u \cdot (\log_2 u - 1))^{-1} \sigma) \quad (\sigma = \gamma\rho),$$

$$(14) \quad d^2\lambda/du^2 = \gamma^{-1} \sigma (\log u \cdot \log_2 u \cdot (\log_2 u - 1) + \log_2 u \cdot (\log_2 u - 1) + 2 \log_2 u - 1) (u \log u \cdot \log_2 u \cdot (\log_2 u - 1))^{-2}.$$

From (14) it follows that  $d^2\lambda/du^2 > 0$  ( $u \in [e_6, \infty)$ ). Consequently,  $\lambda(\rho, u)$  is strict convex on  $[e_6, \infty)$ . This means that the equation  $d\lambda/du = 0$ , i. e.

$$(15) \quad u \log u \cdot \log_2 u (\log_2 u - 1) = \sigma$$

has at most one root on  $[e_6, \infty)$ . As  $d\lambda/du < 0$  at  $e_6$  for all sufficiently large values of  $\sigma$  (say  $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$ ) and  $d\lambda/du > 0$  if  $u$  is then sufficiently large, there is exactly one solution  $u = u_0$  of (15) on  $[e_6, \infty)$ , if  $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$ . Clearly a first approximation to  $u_0$  is  $u_1 = \sigma / (\log \sigma \log_2^2 \sigma)$ .

In order to get a second approximation the substitution  $u = u_1(1 + \varphi(\sigma))$  ( $\varphi(\sigma) = o(1)$ ) in (15) is made, which yields

$$(16) \quad u_0 = \sigma (\log \sigma \log_2^2 \sigma)^{-1} \{ 1 + 1/(\log_2 \sigma) + \log^{-1} \sigma (\log_2 \sigma + 2 \log_3 \sigma) (1 + o(1)) \}.$$

In this way it is possible to construct more terms between the brackets. However, it will now be proved that the solution  $u_0$  of (15), belonging to  $[e_6, \infty)$ , can be written in the form

$$(17) \quad u_0 = (\log \sigma \log_2^2 \sigma)^{-1} \sigma P(1/(\log_2 \sigma), 1/(\log \sigma), (\log_2 \sigma + 2 \log_3 \sigma)/(\log \sigma)),$$

in which  $P(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$  is a power series in the three variables  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$ , convergent for all sufficiently small values of them (Cf. [2, §2.4]). In fact, substitution in (15) of  $u = \sigma v / (\log \sigma \log_2^2 \sigma)$  and setting

(18)  $1/(\log_2 \sigma) = \mu_1$ ,  $1/(\log \sigma) = \mu_2$ ,  $(\log_2 \sigma + 2 \log_3 \sigma)/(\log \sigma) = \mu_3$ ,  
 leads to the following equation for  $v$ :

$$(19) \quad v\{1 - \mu_3 + \mu_2 \log v\} \{1 + \mu_1 \log(1 - \mu_3 + \mu_2 \log v)\} \\
 \times \{1 - \mu_1 + \mu_1 \log(1 - \mu_3 + \mu_2 \log v)\} = 1.$$

Substitution in this equation of

$$(20) \quad v = e^w$$

and setting

$$(21) \quad G(w) = 1 - (1 - \mu_3 + \mu_2 w) \{1 + \mu_1 \log(1 - \mu_3 + \mu_2 w)\} \\
 \times \{1 - \mu_1 + \mu_2 \log(1 - \mu_3 + \mu_2 w)\},$$

yields for  $w$  the equation

$$(22) \quad F(w) = e^{-w} - 1 + G(w) = 0.$$

From (21) it follows that there exists a positive number  $\kappa$  such that for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, w$  satisfying

$$(23) \quad |\mu_1| \leq \kappa, \quad |\mu_2| \leq \kappa, \quad |\mu_3| \leq \kappa, \quad |w| = \pi,$$

the inequalities

$$(24) \quad |\mu_3 - \mu_2 w| \leq 2^{-1}$$

and

$$(25) \quad |G(w)| \leq 4^{-1} \min \{ |e^{-w} - 1| : |w| = \pi \}$$

hold. As  $e^{-w} - 1 = 0$  has exactly one solution  $w$  with  $|w| \leq \pi$ , viz.  $w = 0$ , it follows from Rouché's theorem that the equation (22) has exactly one solution  $w = w_0$  inside the circle  $|w| = \pi$ . Cauchy's theorem then gives  $w_0$  as

$$(26) \quad w_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=\pi} \frac{w F'(w)}{F(w)} d\tau,$$

where the path of integration is taken in the positive direction. Because of (22) and (25),  $(F(w))^{-1}$  can be developed on  $|w| = \pi$  in the following way:

$$(27) \quad (F(w))^{-1} = (e^{-w} - 1)^{-1} \left\{ 1 + \frac{G(w)}{e^{-w} - 1} \right\}^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (e^{-w} - 1)^{-k-1} (G(w))^k.$$

Writing under conditions (23)

$$\log(1 - \mu_3 + \mu_2 w) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j j^{-1} (-\mu_3 + \mu_2 w)^j \\
 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^j \binom{j}{m} (\mu_2 w)^m (-1)^m \mu_3^{j-m},$$

substitution of this result in (21) and then (21) in (27) gives

$$(F(w))^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (e^{-w} - 1)^{-k-1} [1 - (1 - \mu_3 + \mu_2 w) \\
 \times \{1 + \mu_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^j \binom{j}{m} (\mu_2 w)^m (-1)^m \mu_3^{j-m}\}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \{1 - \mu_1 + \mu_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{m} (\mu_2 \omega)^p (-1)^p \mu_3^{n-p}\}^k \\ & = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (e^{-\omega} - 1)^{-k-r-s-t-1} \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{\infty} d_{krst} \mu_1^r \mu_2^s \mu_3^t, \end{aligned}$$

where all series are converging absolutely and uniformly, if (23) are satisfied. Hence (26) gives  $\omega_0$  in the form of a triple power series

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{\infty} f_{rst} \mu_1^r \mu_2^s \mu_3^t,$$

converging, if  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  satisfy (23). Returning to (20),  $v_0 = e^{\omega_0}$  can be written in the form of such a triple power series:

$$v_0 = \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{\infty} g_{rst} \mu_1^r \mu_2^s \mu_3^t,$$

$\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  satisfying (23). A few coefficients are  $g_{000} = g_{100} = g_{010} = g_{001} = 1$ ,  $g_{110} = -1$ ,  $g_{101} = 2$ ,  $g_{111} = 0$ ,  $g_{200} = 1$ ,  $g_{210} = -1.5$ ,  $g_{211} = 2$ .

Recalling  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  were given in fact by (18), the formula (17) is proved.

Returning now to (16) and using for the sake of brevity the notations (18), it follows from (16) that

$$\begin{aligned} (28) \quad & \log u_0 = \log \sigma \cdot \{1 - \mu_3 + o(\mu_3)\}; \\ & \log_2 u_0 = \log_2 \sigma \cdot \{1 - \mu_1 \mu_3 + o(\mu_1 \mu_3)\}; \\ & \log_2 u_0 - 1 = \log_2 \sigma \cdot \{1 - \mu_1 - \mu_1 \mu_3 + o(\mu_1 \mu_3)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$\lambda(\rho, u)$  has a minimum at  $u = u_0$  ( $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$ ) (as was noticed before, this minimum is the only extremum on  $[e_6, \infty)$  if  $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$ ). Further  $\lambda(\rho, u_0) = \mu_1 \rho + 1/2 \mu_1^2 \rho (1 + o(1))$ . Hence

$$(29) \quad \exp(-\lambda(\rho, u_0)) = \exp(-\mu_1 \rho + 1/2 \mu_1^2 \rho (1 + o(1)))$$

and this value is the maximum value of  $e^{-\lambda(\rho, u)}$  on  $[e_6, \infty)$ , if  $\rho \geq \gamma^{-1} \sigma_1$ .

**4. Asymptotic Behaviour of  $A_{v\rho}(\delta)$  ( $v=0, 1, 2$ ).** Using definition (5) of  $\beta(t)$ ,  $A_{v\rho}(\delta)$ , given by (10), can be written after having made the substitution  $t = e^{-u}$ , as

$$A_{v\rho}(\delta) = \int_{e_6}^{\infty} e^{-(v+1)u} \{1 - (\log_2 u)^{-1}\}^{\rho} du.$$

This is given in the form

$$(30) \quad A_{v\rho}(\delta) = \int_{e_6}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(\rho, u)} du, \quad \gamma = (v+1)^{-1},$$

in which  $\lambda(\rho, u)$  is the function, defined and studied in the previous section. Let  $\mu(\sigma)$  be given by

$$(31) \quad \mu(\sigma) = \sigma \log_3 \sigma / \log \sigma \log_2^3 \sigma \quad (\sigma \geq \sigma_2),$$

where  $\sigma_2$  is chosen so large, that  $\sigma_2 \geq \sigma_1$  and  $u_0 - \mu(\sigma) > e_6$  for all  $\sigma \geq \sigma_2$ . Then the integral in (31) is split up in the following way:

$$(32) \quad A_{vp}(\delta) = \left\{ \int_{e_6}^{u_0 - \mu(\sigma)} + \int_{u_0 - \mu(\sigma)}^{u_0 + \mu(\sigma)} + \int_{u_0 + \mu(\sigma)}^{\infty} \right\} e^{-\lambda(\rho, u)} du = I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

The integrals  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  are investigated separately.

Investigation of  $I_2$ . On the interval  $J_\mu(u_0) = [u_0 - \mu(\sigma), u_0 + \mu(\sigma)]$  is

$$(33) \quad \lambda(\rho, u) = \lambda(\rho, u_0) + (u - u_0) \frac{d\lambda}{du_0} + \frac{1}{2} (u - u_0)^2 \frac{d^2\lambda}{du_0^2} \quad (u \in J_\mu(u_0))$$

with  $d\lambda/du_0 = 0$ . Substitution of (16) in (14) yields

$$(34) \quad \frac{d^2\lambda}{du_0^2} = (\gamma\sigma)^{-1} \log \sigma \log^2 \sigma (1 + o(1)) > 0$$

and it follows that for all  $u \in J_\mu(u_0)$ ,  $(d^2\lambda/du^2) = (d^2\lambda/du_0^2) (1 + o(1))$ ,  $o(1)$  being uniform in  $u \in J_\mu(u_0)$ . This means that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \exp(-\lambda(\rho, u_0)) \int_{u_0 - \mu(\sigma)}^{u_0 + \mu(\sigma)} \exp(\lambda(\rho, u_0) - \lambda(\rho, u)) du \\ &= \exp(-\lambda(\rho, u_0)) \int_{u_0 - \mu(\sigma)}^{u_0 + \mu(\sigma)} \exp(-1/2 (u - u_0)^2 \frac{d^2\lambda}{du_0^2} (1 + o(1))) du, \end{aligned}$$

$o(1)$  uniform in  $u \in J_\mu(u_0)$ . Substitution of  $(u - u_0) (2^{-1} d^2\lambda/du_0^2)^{1/2} = t$  is possible because of (34) and setting

$$t_1 = -\mu(\sigma) (2^{-1} d^2\lambda/du_0^2)^{1/2}, \quad t_2 = \mu(\sigma) (2^{-1} d^2\lambda/du_0^2)^{1/2} \text{ it gives}$$

$$I_2 = \exp(-\lambda(\rho, u_0)) 2^{1/2} (d^2\lambda/du_0^2)^{-1/2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \exp(-t^2 (1 - o(1))) dt.$$

As  $t_1 \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $t_2 \rightarrow \infty$  if  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that

$$(35) \quad I_2 = (\log \sigma \log^2 \sigma)^{-1/2} (2\pi\gamma\sigma)^{1/2} e^{-\lambda(\rho, u_0)} (1 + o(1)).$$

Hence, by (29) and (18)

$$(36) \quad I_2 = (\log \sigma \log^2 \sigma)^{-1/2} (2\pi\gamma\sigma)^{1/2} [\exp\{-\sigma(\log_2 \sigma)^{-1} - \sigma(2 \log_2^2 \sigma)^{-1} \times (1 + o(1))\}] (1 + o(1)) \quad (\rho \rightarrow \infty).$$

Investigation of  $I_3$ . We write

$$(37) \quad I_3 = \int_{u_0 + \mu(\sigma)}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(\rho, u)} du = \exp(-\lambda(\rho, u_0))$$

$$\times \exp(\lambda(\rho, u_0) - \lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma))) \int_{u_0 + \mu(\sigma)}^{\infty} \exp(\lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) - \lambda(\rho, u)) du$$

and consider first the factor  $\exp(\lambda(\rho, u_0) - \lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma)))$ . Using definition (12) of  $\lambda(\rho, u)$ , it is clear that for sufficiently large values of  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \geq \sigma_3$  ( $\sigma_3 \geq \sigma_2$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\rho, u_0) - \lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) &= -\gamma^{-1} \mu(\sigma) + \gamma^{-1} \sigma \{1/(\log_2 u_0) - 1/(\log_2 (u_0 + \mu(\sigma))) \\ &\quad + 1/(\log_2^2 u_0) - 1/(\log_2^2 (u_0 + \mu(\sigma)))\} \\ &< \gamma^{-1} \{-\mu(\sigma) + \sigma(1/(\log_2 u_0) - 1/(\log_2 (u_0 + \mu(\sigma)))) (1 + 1/(2 \log_2 u_0))\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \gamma^{-1} \{ -\mu(\sigma) + \sigma(1/(\log_2 u_0) - (\log_2 u_0 + \mu(\sigma))/((u_0 \log u_0))^{-1} (1 + 1/(2 \log_2 u_0))) \} \\
&= \gamma^{-1} \{ -\mu(\sigma) + \sigma(1/(\log_2 u_0) - 1/(\log_2 u_0) \cdot (1 + \mu(\sigma)/(u_0 \log u_0 \log_2 u_0))^{-1}) \\
&\quad \times (1 + 1/(2 \log_2 u_0)) \} \\
&\leq \gamma^{-1} \{ -\mu(\sigma) + \sigma \mu(\sigma)/(u_0 \log u_0 \log_2 u_0) \cdot (1 + 1/(2 \log_2 u_0)) \} \\
&\leq \gamma^{-1} \mu(\sigma) \{ -1 + \sigma/(u_0 \log u_0 \log_2 u_0) \cdot (1 + 1/(2 \log_2 u_0)) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (16) and (28), this yields that for all sufficiently large values  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \geq \sigma_4 \geq \sigma_3$  say,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(\rho, u_0) - \lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) &\leq -\gamma^{-1} \mu(\sigma) \{ 1/(\log_2 \sigma) - 2(\log_2 \sigma)/(\log \sigma) \} \\
&\quad \times (1 + 1/(2 \log_2 u_0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists a  $\sigma_5 \geq \sigma_4$  such that

$$(38) \quad \lambda(\rho, u_0) - \lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) \leq -\mu(\sigma)/(2\gamma \log_2 \sigma) \quad (\sigma \geq \sigma_5).$$

Next, the integral in the right-hand side of (37) is investigated. For all sufficiently large values of  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \geq \sigma_6$  ( $\sigma_6 \geq \sigma_5$ ) say, is

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) - \lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v) &\leq -\gamma^{-1} v + \rho \{ 1/(\log_2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma))) \\
&\quad - 1/(\log_2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v)) + 1/(\log_2^2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma))) - 1/(\log_2^2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v)) \} \\
&= -\gamma^{-1} v + \rho \left\{ \frac{\log_2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v) - \log_2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma))}{\log_2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) \log_2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\log_2^2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v) - \log_2^2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma))}{\log_2^2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) \log_2^2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v)} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the mean value theorem of the differential calculus to both the fractions between curled brackets shows the existence of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  between 0 and  $v$  such that the expression between the curled brackets is equal to

$$\frac{v \frac{d}{dt}(\log_2 t)_{u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v_1}}{\log_2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) \log_2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v)} + \frac{v \frac{d}{dt}(\log_2 t)_{u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v_2}}{\log_2^2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) \log_2^2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(39) \quad \lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) - \lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v) &\leq -\gamma^{-1} v + \rho v \{ 1/((u \log u \log_2 u)_{u_0 + \mu(\sigma)}) \\
&\quad + (2 \log_2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v))/((u \log u \log_2 u)_{u_0 + \mu(\sigma)} \cdot \log_2^2(u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v)) \} \\
&\leq \gamma^{-1} v \{ -1 + \sigma/((u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) \log u_0 \log_2^2 u_0) \cdot (1 + 2/(\log_2 u_0)) \} \\
&\leq \gamma^{-1} v \{ -1 + \sigma/(u_0 \log u_0 \log_2^2 u_0) \cdot (1 - (\mu(\sigma))/u_0 + (\mu_0^2(\sigma))/u_0^2) (1 + 2/(\log_2 u_0)) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (16), (28) and (31), it follows from (39) that for all sufficiently large values of  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \geq \sigma_7$  ( $\sigma_7 \geq \sigma_6$ ) say

$$(40) \quad \lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma)) - \lambda(\rho, u_0 + \mu(\sigma) + v) \leq -v/2\gamma \cdot (\log_3 \sigma)/(\log_2 \sigma).$$

Finally, it follows from (37), (38) and (40) that for  $\sigma \geq \sigma_7$

$$(41) \quad I_3 \leq e^{-\lambda(\rho, u_0)} \cdot \exp(-(\mu(\sigma))/(2\gamma \log_2 \sigma)) \cdot \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-v/2\gamma \cdot (\log_3 \sigma)/(\log_2 \sigma)) dv \\ = e^{-\lambda(\rho, u_0)} (2\gamma \log_2 \sigma)/(\log_3 \sigma) \cdot \exp(-(\mu(\sigma))/(2\gamma \log_2 \sigma)).$$

Comparing this result with (36) and using (31), it is clear that

$$(42) \quad I_3 = o(I_2) \quad (\rho \rightarrow \infty).$$

Investigation of  $I_1$ . Let the function  $\mu^* = \mu^*(\sigma)$  be defined by

$$(43) \quad \mu^* = \sigma/(\log \sigma \log_2^2 \sigma) \quad (\sigma \geq \sigma_2).$$

Then  $\mu^* \in [u_0 - \mu(\sigma), u_0]$ .  $I_1$  is written as

$$(44) \quad I_1 = \int_{e_6}^{u_0 - \mu(\sigma)} e^{-\lambda(\rho, u)} du = e^{-\lambda(\rho, u^*)} \cdot \exp(\lambda(\rho, u^*) - \lambda(\rho, u^* - \mu(\sigma))) \\ \times \int_{e_6}^{u_0 - \mu(\sigma)} \exp(\lambda(\rho, u^* - \mu(\sigma)) - \lambda(\rho, u)) du.$$

Hence, because  $\lambda(\rho, u)$  attains its minimum at  $u = u_0$  and  $u^* \neq u_0$ ,

$$(45) \quad I_1 < e^{-\lambda(\rho, u_0)} \cdot \exp(\lambda(\rho, u^*) - \lambda(\rho, u^* - \mu(\sigma))) \int_{e_6}^{u_0 - \mu(\sigma)} \exp(\lambda(\rho, u^* \\ - \mu(\sigma)) - \lambda(\rho, u)) du.$$

Then it can be proved in a similar way as (38) was derived, that

$$(46) \quad \lambda(\rho, u^*) - \lambda(\rho, u^* - \mu(\sigma)) \leq -\frac{1}{2\gamma} \mu(\sigma) (\log_2 \sigma)/(\log \sigma).$$

Again, as  $u^* - \mu(\sigma) < u_0 - \mu(\sigma)$  and  $\lambda(\rho, u)$  is decreasing on  $[e_6, u_0]$ , it is obvious that the integral in the right-hand side of (45) is smaller than  $u_0$ . Using this and (46) in (45), it follows that

$$I_1 < e^{-\lambda(\rho, u_0)} u_0 \exp(-(\mu(\sigma))/(2\gamma) \cdot (\log_2 \sigma)/(\log \sigma)).$$

Because of (16), (31) and (36) this results in

$$(47) \quad I_1 = o(I_2) \quad (\rho \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then it follows from (32), (47) and (42) that  $A_{v\rho}(\delta) = I_2(1 + o(1))$  as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, in view of (36) and recalling that  $\sigma = \gamma\rho$ , this gives, if  $v = 0, 1, 2$ ,

$$(48) \quad A_{v\rho}(\delta) = ((2\pi\gamma\sigma)/(\log \sigma \log_2^2 \sigma))^{1/2} \cdot \exp(-\rho/(\log_2 \sigma) - \rho/(2 \log_2^2 \sigma) (1 + o(1))) \\ \times (1 + o(1)) \\ = (v+1)^{-1} ((2\pi\rho)/(\log \rho \log_2^2 \rho))^{1/2} \exp\{-\rho(1/(\log_2 \rho) + (\log \gamma/\log \rho \log_2^2 \rho) \\ \times (1 + o(1)))\} \cdot (1 + o(1)) \quad (\rho \rightarrow \infty).$$

**5. Asymptotic Behaviour of  $(U_\rho f)(x) - f(x)$ .** From the definition (5) of  $\beta(t)$  it can be shown on the same lines as those above that (see Section 6)

$$(49) \quad B_{v\rho}(\delta) = O\{\rho \exp(-\rho/(2(v+1)) (\log_3 \sigma)^{-1})\} \quad (\rho \rightarrow \infty).$$

From (48) and (49) it then follows that

$$(50) \quad I_{v\rho}(\delta) = A_{v\rho}(\delta) (1 + o(1)) \quad (v = 0, 1, 2; \rho \rightarrow \infty).$$



Thus,  $I_\rho = I_{0\rho}(\delta)(1 + o(1)) = A_{0\rho}(1 + o(1))$  ( $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $I_\rho^{-1}I_{\nu\rho}(\delta) = A_{0\rho}^{-1}(\delta)A_{\nu\rho}(\delta) \times (1 + o(1))$  ( $\nu = 1, 2; \rho \rightarrow \infty$ ). Using (48), this gives after some calculations

$$I_\rho^{-1}I_{1\rho}(\delta) = 1/2 \exp(-\rho \log 2 / (\log \rho \log_2^2 \rho) (1 + o(1)))$$

and

$$I_\rho^{-1}I_{2\rho}(\delta) = 1/3 \exp(-\rho \log 3 / (\log \rho \log_2^2 \rho) (1 + o(1))).$$

Moreover, it is not difficult to show that the functions  $J_{\nu\rho}$  ( $\nu = 0, 1, 2$ ) and  $K_\rho$ , defined in (7) and (8), are all of  $o(I_{2\rho}(\delta))$ . Hence, substitution of these results in (9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp\{\rho \log 2 / (\log \rho \log_2^2 \rho) (1 + o(1))\} \cdot \{(U_\rho f)(x) - f(x)\} \\ & = -f'(x) (1 + o(1)) / 2 \quad (\rho \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $f'(x) \neq 0$ , the order of approximation at  $x$  is equal to

$$\exp\{-\rho \log 2 / (\log \rho \log_2^2 \rho) (1 + o(1))\}.$$

If  $f'(x) = 0$ ,  $f''(x) \neq 0$ , the order of approximation at  $x$  is higher, viz.

$$\exp\{-\rho \log 3 / (\log \rho \log_2^2 \rho) (1 + o(1))\}.$$

**6. Generalization.** Let  $\delta = e_{n+4}^{-1}$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  ( $n \geq 4$ ). If  $\beta$  is now defined by

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta(0) &= 1, \\ \beta(t) &= 1 - (\log_{n+1}(1/t))^{-1} \quad (0 < t \leq \delta), \\ \beta(t) &= \alpha e^{-t^2} \quad (t > \delta), \\ \beta(t) &= 1 - (\log_{n+2}(1/|t|))^{-1} \quad (-\delta \leq t < 0), \\ \beta(t) &= \alpha' e^{-t^2} \quad (t < -\delta), \end{aligned}$$

in which  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$  are chosen such that  $\beta(t)$  is continuous on  $\mathbf{R}$ , then it can be proved with the method, used in § 3, that the function

$$\lambda(\rho, u) = \gamma^{-1} u - \rho \log(1 - (\log_n u)^{-1}) \quad (0 < \gamma \leq 1, \rho \geq 1, u \geq e_{n+4})$$

has one and only one zero on  $[e_{n+4}, \infty)$ , if  $\rho$  is sufficiently large. This root  $u = u_0^*$  gives a minimum for  $\lambda(\rho, u)$  and it can be written as

$$u_0^* = \sigma v^* / (\log \sigma \log_2 \sigma \log_3 \sigma \dots \log_{n-1} \sigma \log_n^2 \sigma),$$

in which  $v^*$  is a power series in the  $n+1$  variables

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= (\log_n \sigma)^{-1}, \quad \mu_2 = (\log_{n-1} \sigma)^{-1}, \quad \dots, \quad \mu_n = (\log \sigma)^{-1}, \\ \mu_{n+1} &= (\log_2 \sigma + \log_3 \sigma + \dots + \log_n \sigma + 2 \log_{n+1} \sigma) / \log \sigma, \end{aligned}$$

convergent for all sufficiently small values  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n+1}$ .

Furthermore, it can be shown that, if the operators  $U_\rho$  are constructed with  $\beta(t)$ , defined by (51) instead of by (5), the order of approximation at a point  $x$ , at which  $f''(x)$  exists, is

$$\exp\{-\rho \log 2 / (\log \rho \log_2 \rho \dots \log_{n-1} \rho \log_n^2 \rho) (1 + o(1))\}.$$

**7. Conclusion.** From the above sections it is clear that for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  the class  $B$  contains a  $\beta$  such that the order of approximation of  $f(x)$  by  $(U_\rho f)(x)$ , if  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ , is equal to  $\exp(-\rho/\alpha(\rho))$  for all  $f \in M$ , for which  $f''(x)$

at the point  $x$  exists, with  $\alpha(\rho) = (\log 2)^{-1} \log \rho \log_2 \rho \dots \log_{n-1} \rho \log_n^2 \rho (1 + o(1))$ . Obviously, the larger  $n$ , the slower  $\alpha(\rho)$  tends to infinity. Thus this result strengthens a conjecture of Totik mentioned in the Introduction.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Bojanic, O. Shisha. On the precision of uniform approximation of continuous functions by certain linear positive operators of convolution type. *J. Approx. Theory*, **8**, 1973, 101-113.
2. N. G. de Bruijn. *Asymptotic Methods in Analysis*. Amsterdam, 1958.
3. P. P. Korovkin. *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*. Delhi, 1960.
4. E. Landau. Über die Approximation einer stetigen Funktion durch eine ganze rationale Funktion. *Rend. Cir. Mat., Palermo*, **25**, 1908, 337-345.
5. P. C. Sikkema. Estimations involving a modulus of continuity for a generalization of Korovkin's operators. —In: *Linear Spaces and Approximation*. Basel, 1978, 289-303.
6. P. C. Sikkema. On the exact degree of local approximation by convolution operators. *Proc. Kon. Ned. Acad. Wetensch., Ser. A*, **82**, 1979, 337-351.
7. P. C. Sikkema, R. K. S. Rathore. Convolutions with powers of bell-shaped functions. Report Dept. of Math. University of Technology. Delft, 1976, 22 p.
8. P. C. Sikkema. Approximation formulae of Voronovskaya-type for certain convolution operators. *J. Approx. Theory*, **26**, 1979, 26-45.
9. P. C. Sikkema. Voronovskaya type formulae for convolution operators approximating with great speed. — In: *Approximation Theory. III*. (Proc. Conf. Austin, Texas). 1980, 837-840.
10. P. C. Sikkema. Fast approximation by means of convolution operators. *Proc. Kon. Ned. Acad. Wetensch., Ser. A*, 1981, **84**, 1981, 431-444.
11. P. C. Sikkema. Slow approximation with convolution operators.—In: *Functional Analysis and Approximation Theory*. Basel, 1981, 323-334.
12. V. Totik. Approximation by convolution operators. *Anal. Math.* **8**, 1982, 151-163.
13. K. Weierstrass. Über die analytische Darstellbarkeit sogenannter willkürlicher Funktionen einer reellen Veränderlichen. *Sitzungsber. Akad. Wiss. Berlin*, 1885, 633-639.

University of Technology  
Department of Mathematics  
Delft The Netherlands

Received on July 4, 1981